# 1 Chapter 5. Project Phasing and Class C Cost Estimate

# 2 a. Project Phasing

This chapter provides project statements to accomplish the Recommended Treatment (Alternative 2: Preferred Alternative) for the Oregon Trail ruts landscape. Each project statement includes treatments grouped together that need to occur concurrently, however the order of the projects as they are presented does not imply a level of importance or suggest a sequence for implementation.

## 8

9	Project A: Trail Preservation (Character Area A)
10	• Slow storm water runoff entering the trail rut corridor.
11	• Install runoff dissipaters or check dams to the north and south of the trail
12	where adjacent storm water runoff can be slowed prior to reaching the trail
13	corridor. Dissipaters should be natural materials (e.g. coir logs) strategically
14	place on the surface in tributary drainages that lead to problem trail areas.
15	• Reduce erosion potential of trail surface.
16	• Rehabilitate the trail surface by combining the native soil with a soil
17	hardening agent or soil cement.
18	• Raise the visitor trail surface.
19	• Raise the trail surface to meet the level of the adjacent vegetated edge and
20	level of adjacent non-eroded grade where significant scouring has occurred.
21	Fill soil should be native material or clean, weed free soil import, free of
22	archeological materials. Ensure compatibility with soil cement or soil
23	hardener materials. Separate existing grade from fill soil with geotextile
24	fabric.
25	• Divert runoff from the trail surface with water bars.
26	o Install water bars and drainage improvements where water should be
27	diverted off the trail. Water bars should extend well beyond the trail edge to
28	insure drainage is directed off of the trail corridor.
29	• Materials for water bars should be natural stone native to SCBL.

30	Project B: Visitor Trail Site Work (Character Area A)
31	Develop an Accessible Interpretive Wayside
32	o Develop an accessible interpretive wayside at the current interpretive sign
33	location.
34	• Concentrate information, seating and historic artifacts in this area.
35	• Remove and replace the lower asphalt trail (Visitor Center to Interpretive Station).
36	• Remove and replace the asphalt trail with a hardened natural surface trail.
37	• Locate the trail to improve visitor experience.
38	• Remove and replace the upper asphalt trail (existing asphalt/chip seal trail).
39	0 Remove and replace the asphalt trail with a hardened natural surface trial in
40	the current location. Separate existing grade from fill soil with geotextile
41	fabric.
42	
43	Project C: William Henry Jackson Campsite Rehabilitation
44	• Rehabilitate the interpretive wayside at the W.H. Jackson campsite.
45	• Rehabilitate the wayside to provide informal seating on low walls of native
46	stone materials.
47	• Accentuate the view of the adjacent trail resources, the historic view to the
48	east of Mitchell Pass, and the views of the double cut in Character Area B1.
49	• Provide information to guide the visitor to an overall understanding of the
50	emigrant experience and emigrant trail over Mitchell Pass.
51	• Complete archeological investigations in the proposed campsite area in advance of
52	any work on the project including demolition. Use non-destructive methods such as
53	ground-penetrating radar and magnetic gradient surveys, to document the extent of
54	buried or non-visible cultural resources.

55	Project D: Trail Documentation
56	• Document and map trail locations using the standards of the Mapping Emigrant
57	Trails (MET) manual by the Oregon-California Trails Association. Provide mapping
58	data that corresponds to the mapping procedures outlined in the MET.
59	• Mark known emigrant trail resources in the field and record GPS coordinate data
60	points and survey notes.
61	• Integrate this information into the park GIS data.
62	• Provide trail markers locating known emigrant trails using the Oregon-California
63	Trails Association (OCTA) Trail Marker and Trail Marking Policies.
64	
65	Refer to the Class C Cost Estimate that follows this section.

66

# Class C Construction Cost Estimate

Project: Oregon Trail Ruts Landscape Study/ Enironmental AssessmentPark: Scotts Bluff National MonumentPMIS: 36867

Basis of Estimate	
Date of Estimate:	11/24/10
Estimated By:	Mundus Bishop Design 333 W. Colfax, Suite 350 Denver, CO 80214 (303) 477-5244
Supporting Material:	Oregon Trail Ruts Landscape Study/Environmental Assessment -100% Report (November 2010) Monument Field Visit 11/09
Cost Data:	Square Foot Cost Data. Unit Prices based on 2010 Cost data
Mark-ups and Add-ons:	<ul> <li>Published Location Factor: Nearest City - Alliance, Nebraska - Negative 14.5 percent</li> <li>Project Remoteness: Scotts Bluff, Nebraska , site is 60 miles from nearest published commercial center7 Percent</li> <li>Federal Wage Rate Factor: Included in Labor Cost - 7.5 Percent</li> <li>Design Contingency: Preferred Treatment Alternative - 30 Percent</li> <li>Taxes: Sales Tax included in Unit Costs - 5.5%</li> <li>Standard General Conditions: Remote Location - 14 Percent</li> <li>Government General Conditions: Remote Location - 8 Percent</li> <li>Bonds and Permits: No permit costs. Bond - 2 Percent</li> <li>Historic Preservation Factor: Not applicable.</li> <li>Overhead: Small Job, Limited sub-contractors.</li> <li>Profit: Small Size Project - 15 Percent</li> <li>Contracting Method Adjustment: Procurement Method unknown - 15 Percent</li> <li>Inflaton Escalation: Assume start of construction to be May 2012</li> <li>6 Month Construction Period. Inflation Predictions Indicate 6.6 Percent per year.</li> </ul>
Comments:	Class C Construction Cost Estimate based on; Preferred Treatment Alternative Plan - November 2010

#### **Class C Construction Cost Estimate**

Project: Oregon Trail Ruts Landscape Study Environmental Assessment Park: Scotts Bluff National Monument PMIS: 36867 Estimated By: Mundus Bishop Date: 24-Nov-10

#### Estimate Based on 2010 Costs

Reviewed By: Mundus Bishop Date: 24-Nov-10

## Project A: Trail Preservation (Character Area A)

ltem	Description	Quantity	Unit	Cost/Unit	Direct Cost	Total Net
	SITE WORK					
1 2	Slow storm water runoff (coir logs) Reduce trail erosion (soil cement)	40 130	EA CY	\$ 300.00 \$ 75.00	\$ 12,000.00 \$ 9,750.00	\$ 23,398.80 \$ 19,011.53
3 4	Raise visitor trail surface (soil import) Divert runoff (water bars)	220 6	CY EA	\$ 30.00 \$ 1,200.00	\$ 6,600.00 \$ 7,200.00	\$ 12,869.34 \$ 14,039.28
	Subtotal Project A				\$ 35,550.00	\$ 69,318.95

#### Project B: Visitor Trail Site Work (Character Area A)

ltem	Description	Quantity	Unit	Cost/Unit	Direct Cost	Total Net
	SITE WORK					
1	Interpretive Wayside					
	Signs	4	EA	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 7,799.60
	Seating (walls)	20	LF	\$ 300.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 11,699.40
	Paving	380	SF	\$ 10.00	\$ 3,800.00	\$ 7,409.62
	Relocated granite marker	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,924.85
2	Remove and replace lower asphalt trail					
	Demo and dispose	1	LS	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 15,599.20
	Soil cement	70	CY	\$ 75.00	\$ 5,250.00	\$ 10,236.98
	Import	70	CY	\$ 30.00	\$ 2,100.00	\$ 4,094.79
	Seeding	7320	SF	\$ 0.50	\$ 3,660.00	\$ 7,136.63
3	Remove and replace upper asphalt trail					
	Demo and dispose	1	LS	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 12,674.35
	Soil cement	60	CY	\$ 70.00	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 8,189.58
	Import	60	CY	\$ 30.00	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 3,509.82
	Seeding	6480	SF	\$ 0.50	\$ 3,240.00	\$ 6,317.68
4	Archeological Investigations (Ground Penetrating Radar)	1	LS	\$ 7,000.00	\$ 7,000.00	\$ 13,649.30
5	Archeological Investigations (Magnetic Gradient Survey)	1	LS	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 15,599.20
	Subtotal Project B				\$ 65,050.00	\$126,841.00

#### Project C: William Henry Jackson Campsite Rehabilitation Item Description Quantity Unit Cost/Unit Direct Cost Total Net SITE WORK 1 Interpretive Wayside . Walls 20 LF \$ 250.00 \$ 5,000.00 \$ 9,749.50 380 SF 7,409.62 Paving \$ 10.00 \$ 3,800.00 \$ Signs 4 EA 750.00 5,849.70 \$ 3,000.00 \$ \$ Soil Cement 30 CY \$ 75.00 \$ 2,250.00 \$ 4,387.28 3500 SF 1,750.00 Seeding \$ 0.50 \$ \$ 3,412.33 \$ \$ Archeological Investigations (Ground Penetrating Radar) 1 LS \$ 4,000.00 4.000.00 \$ 7,799.60 2 3 Archeological Investigations (Magnetic Gradient Survey) 1 LS \$ 6,000.00 6,000.00 \$ 11,699.40 \$ 25,800.00 \$ 50,307.42 Subtotal Project C

#### **Class C Construction Cost Estimate**

Project: Oregon Trail Ruts Landscape Study Environmental Assessment Park: Scotts Bluff National Monument PMIS: 36867 Estimated By: <u>Mundus Bishop</u> Date: 24-Nov-10

Reviewed By: Mundus Bishop Date: 24-Nov-10

#### Estimate Based on 2010 Costs

### Project D. Trail Documentation

ltem	Description	Quantity	Unit	Cost/Unit	Direct Cost	Total Net
nem	Description	wuanniy	onit	COSt/Offic		i otal met
	SITE WORK					
1	Document and Map Trail Location using MET Handbook & GPS coord					
	Character Area A	1	LS	\$ 1,922.73	\$ 1,922.73	\$ 3,749.13
	Character Area B1	1	LS	\$ 1,769.32	\$ 1,769.32	\$ 3,449.99
	Character Area B2	1	LS	\$ 6,458.52	\$ 6,458.52	\$ 12,593.47
	Character Area C	1	LS	\$ 1,135.23	\$ 1,135.23	\$ 2,213.58
	Character Area D1	1	LS	\$ 3,380.11	\$ 3,380.11	\$ 6,590.88
	Character Area D2	1	LS	\$ 1,692.61	\$ 1,692.61	\$ 3,300.43
2	Integrate GPS data with SCBL GIS data	1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 19,499.00
3	Trail Soil Evaluation & Testing (all areas)	1	LS	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 15,599.20
4	Trail Vegetation Study (all areas)	1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 19,499.00
5	Trail Markers	64	EA	\$ 500.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 62,396.80
6	Archeological Investigations	1	LS	\$35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 68,246.50
	Subtotal Project D				\$ 111,358.52	\$217,137.98
	Subtotal Direct Construction Costs				\$237,758.52	\$463,605.34
	Published Location Factor (Negative 14.5 Percent)				\$ (34,474.99)	
	Remoteness Factor (7 Percent)				\$ 16,643.10	
	Federal wage Rate Factor (7.5 Percent)				\$ 17,831.89	
	Design Contingency (30 Percent)				\$ 71,327.56	
	Total Direct Construction Costs				\$309,086.08	
	Standard General Conditions (14 Percent)				\$ 33,286.19	
	Government General Conditions (8 Percent)				\$ 19,020.68	
	Bond (2 Percent)				\$ 4,755.17	
	Historic Preservation Factor (N/A)				\$-	
	Subtotal NET Construction Cost				\$366,148.13	
	Overhead (15 Percent)				\$ 35,663.78	
	Profit (10 Percent)				\$ 23,775.85	
	Estimated NET Construction Cost				\$425,587.76	
	Procurement Method Unknown (15 Percent)				\$ 35,663.78	
	Inflation Escalation (20 Months to Midpoint of Construction, July 2012 - 6.6	Percent)			\$ 2,353.81	
	Total Estimated NET Cost of Construction				\$463,605.34	