



IN REPLY REFER TO:
L7615(YOSE-PM)

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Yosemite National Park
P. O. Box 577
Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Rod Kennec, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2010-048 Yosemite Village Post Office Exterior Restoration (31282)

The Leadership Team has reviewed the proposed project/action and completed its environmental assessment documentation, and we have determined that there:

- Will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- Will not be any effect on historical, cultural, or archeological resources; and
- Will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

//Charles Cuvelier// (acting)
Don L. Neubacher

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

*The signed original of this document is on file at
the Environmental Planning and Compliance
Office in Yosemite National Park.*



Categorical Exclusion Form

Project: 2010-048 Yosemite Village Post Office Exterior Restoration

PEPC Project Number: 31282

Project Description: This project will restore the exterior of the 1925 Village Post Office which will include removal of approximately 3200 square feet of artificial siding added to the upper level exterior walls in the 1950s. The wood shake siding on the upper level walls will be restored and the stone veneer on the lower level exterior will be stabilized as well as painting and staining of exterior surfaces. All work will be guided by the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

The park Safety Officer confirmed that the material to be removed is non-friable and contains very low levels of asbestos; the possibility of exposure is very remote when removed without breaking and using wet methods in containment. The park Safety Officer approved the concept of the project being undertaken using day labor with proper safety precautions. The park Safety Officer made specific recommendations for the removal method and protection practices that are detailed in the Safety Plan provided as part of this approval package.

- The non-friable asbestos containing transite siding will be moistened with water to eliminate dust prior to the removal of the fasteners that will release the tiles from the wall without breaking them.
- The removed siding tiles will be placed directly into 6mil disposal bags before being placed into the containment dumpster and burrito wrapped for transportation to the disposal site.
- The replacement shakes will be fire treated as requested by Yosemite's park Fire Marshal.
- All removal work will be done in a containment area built around the platform of the boom lift or scaffolding.
- A 500 square foot staging area for the disposal dumpster and material will be required. A suitable area in the parking lot behind the Post Office has been identified and approved by the Postmaster. Informational signage will be posted on site for the public to learn about the project and cautionary signage will be placed on temporary fencing around the day's work area to exclude pedestrian traffic from active areas. Exclusion zones will only be in effect during work hours.

Planning this project required consulting individuals and offices that are responsible for the management, maintenance, occupation and safety of the post office building. The project will not start until after Labor Day when visitation will be declining. There will always be access to the lobby through more than one of the four available doors.

Project Location:

Mariposa County, CA

Mitigations:

- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

Describe the category used to exclude action from further NEPA analysis and indicate the number of the category (see Section 3-4 of DO-12):

C.4 Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities and grounds under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or Cyclic Maintenance Guide; or if the action would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in Section 3-6 apply, and the action is fully described in Section 3-4 of DO-12.

Park Superintendent //Charles Cuvelier// (acting)

Date 8/2/2010

*The signed original of this document is on file at
the Environmental Planning and Compliance
Office in Yosemite National Park.*



ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

DO-12 APPENDIX 1

Date Form Initiated: 07/21/2010

Updated May 2007 - per 2004 Departmental Manual revisions and proposed Director's Order 12 changes

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Park Name: Yosemite National Park
Project Title: 2010-048 Yosemite Village Post Office Exterior Restoration
PEPC Project Number: 31282
Project Type: Facility Maintenance (FM)
Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, California
Project Leader: Rod Kenec

Preliminary drawings attached? Yes

Is project a hot topic (controversial or sensitive issues that should be brought to attention of Regional Director)? No

B. RESOURCE EFFECTS TO CONSIDER:

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Geologic resources – soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc.	No				
2. From geohazards	No				
3. Air quality		Negligible			Air emissions from construction equipment will occur during daylight hours throughout the project.
4. Soundscapes		Negligible			Temporary noises from equipment.
5. Water quality or quantity	No				
6. Streamflow characteristics	No				
7. Marine or estuarine resources	No				
8. Floodplains or wetlands	No				

9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use	No				
10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine	No				
11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat	No				
12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		Negligible			Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site.
13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat	No				
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	No				
15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	No				
16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.	No				
17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	No				The Post Office will always be accessible during business hours throughout the project.
18. Archeological resources	No				
19. Prehistoric/historic structure		Negligible			Yosemite Village Post Office.
20. Cultural landscapes		Negligible			Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Village Historic District.
21. Ethnographic resources	No				
22. Museum collections (objects, specimens, and archival and manuscript collections)	No				
23. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure	No				
24. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.	No				
25. Energy resources	No				
26. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies	No				
27. Resource, including	No				

energy, conservation potential, sustainability					
28. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.	No				
29. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	No				
30. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	No				

C. MANDATORY CRITERIA

Mandatory Criteria: If implemented, would the proposal:	Yes	No	N/A	Comment or Data Needed to Determine
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?		No		
B. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?		No		
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?		No		
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		No		
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		No		
F. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		No		
G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?		No		

H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		No		
I. Violate a federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		No		
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?		No		
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?		No		
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?		No		

For the purpose of interpreting these procedures within the NPS, any action that has the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values would constitute an action that triggers the DOI exception for actions that threaten to violate a federal law for protection of the environment.

D. OTHER INFORMATION

Are personnel preparing this form familiar with the site? Yes

Did personnel conduct a site visit? No

Is the project in an approved plan such as a General Management Plan or an Implementation Plan with an accompanying NEPA document? No

Are there any interested or affected agencies or parties? No

Has consultation with all affected agencies or tribes been completed? No

Are there any connected, cumulative, or similar actions as part of the proposed action? (e.g., other development projects in area or identified in GMP, adequate/available utilities to accomplish project)? No

E. INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM SIGNATORIES

<u>Interdisciplinary Team</u>	<u>Field of Expertise</u>
Don L. Neubacher	Superintendent
Kathleen Morse	Chief of Planning
Mark Butler	Chief of Project Management
Katariina Tuovinen	Chief of Administration Management
Ed Walls	Chief of Facilities Management
Niki Nicholas	Chief of Resources Management & Science
Marty Nielson	Chief of Business and Revenue Management
Tom Medema	Chief of Interpretation and Education
Charles Cuvelier	Chief of Visitor and Resource Protection
Steven Bimm	Project Leader
Elexis Mayer	Environmental Planning and Compliance Program Manager
Jeannette Simons	NHPA Specialist
Renea Kennec	NEPA Specialist

F. SUPERVISORY SIGNATORY

Based on the environmental impact information contained in the statutory compliance file and in this environmental screening form, environmental documentation for this stage of the subject project is complete.

Recommended:

Compliance Specialists	Date
<u>//Renea Kennec//</u> Compliance Specialist – Renea Kennec	<u>7/21/10</u>
<u>//Elexis Mayer//</u> Compliance Program Manager – Elexis Mayer	<u>7/27/10</u>
<u>//Mark A. Butler//</u> Chief, Project Management – Mark Butler	<u>7/29/10</u>

Approved:

Superintendent	Date
<u>//Charles Cuvelier// (acting)</u> Don L. Neubacher	<u>8/2/10</u>

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



PARK ESF ADDENDUM

Today's Date: July 21, 2010

PROJECT INFORMATION

Park Name: Yosemite National Park
Project Title: 2010-048 Yosemite Village Post Office Exterior Restoration
PEPC Project Number: 31282
Project Type: Facility Maintenance (FM)
Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, California
Project Leader: Rod Kennec

PARK ESF ADDENDUM QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ESF Addendum Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST				
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		No		
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		No		
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		No		
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		No		
NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST				
5. Entail ground disturbance?		No		
6. Are any archeological or ethnographic sites located within the area of potential effect?		No		
7. Entail alteration of a historic structure or cultural landscape?		No		
8. Has a National Register form been completed?		No		
9. Are there any structures on the park's List of Classified Structures in	Yes			List of Classified Structures #100422.

the area of potential effect?				
WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST				
10. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor?		No		
11. Fall within the bed and banks AND will affect the free-flow of the river?		No		
12. Have the possibility of affecting water quality of the area?		No		
13. Remain consistent with its river segment classification?			N/A	
14. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		No		
15. Will the project encroach or intrude upon the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		No		
16. Will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?		No		
17. Consistent with the provisions in the Merced River Plan Settlement Agreement?			N/A	
WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
18. Within designated Wilderness?		No		
19. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		No		



Post Office North East Corner



North Side



West End

SAFETY PLAN

Yosemite Village Post Office Exterior Restoration

SCOPE

This project will restore exterior of the 1924 Yosemite Village Post Office. The Yosemite Historic Preservation Crew, under the supervision of Rod Kennec, will; 1) Remove the non-friable, asbestos containing transite siding tiles from the upper level exterior walls. 2) Restore the historic shake siding on the upper level exterior walls. 3) Stabilize the stone masonry of the lower level. The project will start 09/07/2010 and conclude around 12/17/2010.

A variety of hand and power tools will be used including: hammers, saws, drills, chisels, pry bars, shovels, chain-hoist, rock bars, demolition hammer, masonry hand tools, mortar-mixer, and stone shaping hammers. Also employed on the job will be a variety of rigging including wire rope, grip hoist, blocks, shackles, anchor chains and straps. Because of the proximity to the road and utilities, this project will be supported through the use of power equipment including: articulating boom lift and skid steer loader.

The removal of the artificial siding from the upper level will be done from the platform of the boom lift or scaffolding. All removal work will be done inside of a containment area constructed of plastic sheeting and sealed to the building. The artificial siding will be thoroughly moistened prior to removal of the fasteners to eliminate dust. The siding will then be removed without breaking and placed directly into 6 mil plastic disposal bags. The bags of siding material will be taken straight to the on-site containment/disposal dumpster where they will be burrito wrapped prior to transportation to the contracted disposal facility. Personnel involved in the removal of the siding will use eye, hand and respiratory PPE. Protective clothing and fall protection will also be required.

SAFETY PROGRAM AND REFERENCES

An aggressive safety program will be enforced, including the review of JHA's at the outset of performing new tasks, weekly tailgate safety sessions, weekly worksite inspections, and daily safety reminders involving all employees on the worksite.

All employees are encouraged to report unsafe conditions and to incorporate any suggestions brought into the construction site by visiting management and other observers. Per Park Superintendent Don Neubacher's verbal instruction, all employees are authorized to stop work when unsafe/unhealthful conditions are observed.

Work on this site will be performed per requirements listed in the YNP Safety Policies found in the Workers Guide to Safety, the OSHA 29 CFR 1926 requirements for Construction, and the OSHA 29 CFR 1910 for General Industry that the Supervisor has been issued. Another copy of the 29 CFR 1926 and 1910 may be found in the Safety Office.

JOB HAZARD ANALYSES (JHA) AND ASSOCIATED TASKS

Establishing emergency response plan (attached)

Securing and maintaining closure of the construction site: **JSA 1**

Moving large wall stone by hand and using equipment or rigging: **JSA 2**

Laying Stone: **JSA 4**

Using power equipment: **JSA 11**

Bobcat Operation: **JSA 12**

Vehicle Use: **JSA 13**

Using mortar mixers: **JSA 22**

Scaffold Safety

Ladders and Scaffolding

Fall Protection

Aerial Platform

Manlift Operation

Using Hand Tools

Demo Hammer

Chain Hoists

Asbestos Abatement

YOSE Asbestos Management & Exposure Plan

Other JHA's in appendix 1 will be used on a less common basis than those listed above.

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT

Employees will wear safety glasses, steel-toe boots, long pants, hearing protection, gloves and safety colored traffic vest regularly at this construction site. Sunscreen will be provided as well as cool drinking water. When specified by JHA's or unusual task, employees will use specific protective equipment such as: Fall protection when working in the platform of the boom lift and respiratory protection when removing the siding.

When heavy equipment is operating in the site, **ALL** persons on the site will wear orange or lime green safety vests in addition to the standard PPE.

VISITOR PROTECTION/TRAFFIC CONTROL

Through the use of barricades, flagging tape, informational signage, traffic cones and intermittent flagging guards, the visiting public will be kept "out of harms way". These closures will be left in place throughout the period in which injury could be incurred due to uneven footing or other obstacles caused by project procedures, including after work hours. An effort will always be made to fill in, temporarily, uncompleted sections of work, to minimize the risk to individuals who enter the closed area after hours.

RESPIRATORY PROTECTION PROGRAM

The respiratory protection program on this site is per the YNP Respiratory Protection Policy. All personnel wearing respirators must have medical clearance, training, and a fit-test card on their person.

HEAVY EQUIPMENT

When mechanized heavy equipment is used on site, all vehicles will be checked daily for proper operation of all equipment, with particular emphasis on backup alarms, mirrors (if equipped), brakes, seatbelts, etc.

When so equipped, seatbelts will be worn at all times. Ground guides will be used to direct heavy equipment in areas where children or pedestrians could be encountered. If aerial lifts are used, workers shall use fall protection per 29 CFR 1926.500.

JOBSITE INSPECTIONS

Before the project starts- All employees will be familiar with the requirements of this program before work commences.

Daily- Supervisors will inspect the jobsite daily before work starts, and will brief the work crew on the Job Safety Analysis for that phase of work, and expected actions to enhance safety.

Weekly- Supervisors will inspect the jobsite weekly and prepare topics for discussion at the next Tailgate Meeting.

STOP WORK POLICY

Any park employee on this site has authority to stop work when unsafe/unhealthful conditions are noted. The supervisor will address the issue before work commences. If the employee is not satisfied with the supervisor's actions, the employee will file an unsafe/unhealthful directly to the Safety Officer, either by phone call (379-1072, 379-1064 or 379-1033) or by filling out and forwarding to the Safety Officer the YNP Unsafe/Unhealthful Form found in the Workers Guide to Safety, or at the YNP Safety Website.

CREW TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

Hazcom training

CPR certification

First aid

Bobcat and skid-steer loader

Aerial Platform Operation

Scaffolding

Asbestos Awareness

OSHA 30-hour construction safety training for all supervisors

EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN

LIFE THREATENING INJURIES

Call 911 and/or dispatch

Perform first aid/ first responder protocols Contact clinic and transport ASAP

Wilderness first Responder and First Aid Kits located in “ Job Box” at worksite

NON LIFE THREATENING INJURIES

First aid first (Contact clinic and transport if necessary)

Notify safety office (379-1079, 1064, or 1072)

Each individual employee carries minimal first aid kits in personal packs

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SPILLS

MSDS located in “ Job Box” at work site

Any spill over 5 gal: Contain and then call 911 to notify dispatch

Smaller spills immediately contain hazardous materials using spill kits

Spill kits will be provided for each piece of equipment and updated and restocked as necessary.

Refuel heavy equipment in maintenance area when possible.

Supply and resupply each maintenance kit for hand held equipment with spill kits

Always use absorbent blankets when refueling hand-operated equipment.

EMERGENCY PHONE NUMBERS

911 – Life threatening injuries / Hazardous spills more than 5 gal

Dispatch 379-1992

Yosemite Medical Clinic 372-4637

Yosemite Fire 372-0327

Safety Officer: Phone 379-1079, Pager 742-9447



ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. **Park:** Yosemite National Park **Park District:** Yosemite Valley

2. Project Description:

- a. **Project Name:** 2010-048 Yosemite Village Post Office Exterior Restoration
- b. **Date:** July 21, 2010
- c. **PEPC Project ID Number:** 31282

3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify cultural resources?

 No

 X Yes, Source or reference: Yosemite Village Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District.

 X Check here if no known cultural resources will be affected. (If this is because area has been disturbed, please explain or attach additional information to show the disturbance was so extensive as to preclude intact cultural deposits.)

4. Potentially Affected Resources:

Cultural landscapes affected?

Name and number(s): **Yosemite Valley Historic District**
NR status: **1 - Listed in Register and documented**

Name and number(s): **Yosemite Village Historic District**
NR status: **1 - Listed in Register and documented**

5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

 No Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure

 Yes Replace historic features/elements in kind

 No Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure

 No Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)

 No Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape

 No Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible

 No Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible

 No Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources

No Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources

No Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)

 Other (please specify)

6. Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties:

No Assessment of Effect mitigations identified.

7. Supporting Study Data:

(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

8. Attachments:

Maps Archeological survey, if applicable Drawings Specifications Photographs
 Scope of Work Site plan List of Materials Samples Other:

Prepared by: Renea Kennec **Date:** July 21, 2010 **Title:** Environmental Protection Specialist **Telephone:** 209-379-1038

B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

Archeologist
Name: Laura Kirn
Date: 07/14/2010
Comments:

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance
Assessment of Effect: No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Historical Architect
Name: Sueann Brown
Date: 05/26/2010
Comments:

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance
Assessment of Effect: No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement

Historical Landscape Architect

Name: David Humphrey

Date: 06/23/2010

Comments: None.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance

Assessment of Effect: No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse

Effect Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: None.

Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement

No Reviews From: Curator, Historian, 106 Advisor, Other Advisor, Anthropologist

C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Assessment of Effect:

No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect

2. Compliance requirements:

A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION

Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA)

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

APPLICABLE STREAMLINED REVIEW Criteria
(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

C. PLAN-RELATED UNDERTAKING

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800.

Specify plan/EA/EIS: _____

D. UNDERTAKING RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a

statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
Specify: 1999 Programmatic Agreement

E. COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS SATISFIED BY USE OF NEPA

Documentation is required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD has been developed and used so as also to meet the requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.6

F. No Potential to Cause Effects [800.3(a)(1)]

G. STIPULATIONS/CONDITIONS

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

Recommended by Park Section 106 coordinator:

Signature of Historic Preservation Officer //Jeannette Simons//

Date: 7/26/10

D. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

Signature of Superintendent //Charles Cuvelier// (acting)

Date: 8/2/10

*The signed original of this document is on file at
the Environmental Planning and Compliance
Office in Yosemite National Park.*