## **Environmental Impact Statement**

Appalachian National Scenic Trail Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area Middle Delaware National Scenic and Recreational River



# **Development of Alternatives**

## Issues and Impacts Involved in the Alternative Evalution Process

Socio-economic / Community Impacts
Scenic Resources and Viewsheds
Paleontological and Geological Resources
Health and Safety of Visitors and Staff
Natural Resources
Cultural Resources
Visitor Experience
Park Operations

Criteria for Alternatives
Evaluation Process
Technical feasibility
Environmental impacts

# Process for Evaluating Alternative Routes

- 1. Presentation of technical aspects of the alternative by David Evans & Associates, Inc. (DEA), with a description of why the alternative was recommended for evaluation and potential benefits and drawbacks of the alternative.
- 2. Question and answer session amongst interdisciplinary team.
- 3. Discussion of potential environmental concerns, especially potentially major impacts.
- 4. Evaluation of suitability of the alternative based on criteria with recommendation to evaluate or dismiss.
- 5. After review of all potential alternative routes by the interdisciplinary team, a review was conducted to assess if refinement was needed.

### Alternatives Considered But Dismissed

Cost

#### **Require New Tower Structures**

ACCC® conductor (aluminum conductor composite core) Refurbish the existing 230 kV line to meet energy needs

#### **High Cost**

Underground 500kV transmission line Superconductor lines (direct current)

#### Do Not Meet Technical Reliability Requirements

Smart grid

Distributed energy generation sites Localized renewable energy sources

#### **Unacceptable Adverse Impacts**

Alternative 8 Alternative 9 Alternative 10

#### Redundant Alternatives

Alternative 11 Alternative 12

