## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FIRE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT North Cascades National Park Service Complex May 2007

## **Purpose and Need**

The purpose of this proposed federal action is to further develop a fire management program in the North Cascades National Park Service Complex that restores and maintains ecosystem processes, while minimizing the negative impacts of fire to the public, firefighters, natural and cultural resources, Wilderness, and private property. This action is needed to 1) protect lives, health, and property in the park complex; 2) restore fire-dependent ecosystem processes in areas that are outside their historical range of variability; and 3) maintain natural fire processes in areas that are not yet outside their historical range of variability.

**1. Protect lives, health, and property.** The following forest characteristics have been found to significantly increase potential for high severity fires: 1) ladder fuels, which are dead branches, shrubs and seedlings that provide continuity between surface fuels (dead and downed wood, litter and duff) and tree crowns; 2) dense and continuous canopy cover of trees that allows fire to spread from crown to crown; and 3) heavy and continuous fine surface fuel loading (small diameter dead and downed wood, litter, and duff), which increases fire intensity and facilitates fire spread on the surface. These forest conditions are considered to be *hazardous fuels* in the wildland urban interface where potential fire behavior puts lives, health and property at risk. Fuels treatments (thinning and prescribed burning) aimed at reducing hazard fuels are currently performed in the Forest Fuel Reduction Areas (FFRAs) that were designated in the 1995 Stehekin Plan. Additional thinning around structures and along roadsides is also performed in the Stehekin Valley in order to create defensible space.

Monitoring of the thinning and prescribed fire treatments in Stehekin demonstrates that the 1995 plan's objectives are being met on the 780 acres of dry Douglas fir/ponderosa pine forest where treatments have occurred to date. In 2000, results from the peer review of the 1995 Stehekin Plan included recommending that additional measures are needed to provide greater community protection in areas at risk; for example, larger acreages of fire adapted conifer stands along the valley walls (Stehekin Contours) could be prescribe burned.

**2. Restore fire-dependent ecosystem processes.** The historical range of variability is the natural range of conditions occurring in key ecosystem components (species composition, structural stage, stand age, canopy closure, and fuel loadings) in an ecosystem unaffected by human influence. The identification of the historical range is dependent on the fire regime (e.g., low severity fire regimes are shorter and their imprint on the landscape is only perceptible for centuries rather than millennia, whereas high severity fire regimes are longer and occur at the millennial time scale). All of these components show signs of alteration in the Douglas fir/ponderosa pine forests of Stehekin. Prescribed burning and thinning in non-wilderness areas (Stehekin FFRAs), or prescribed burning only in Wilderness (Stehekin Contours) could be used to restore stand structure and composition. Thinning, where it is applied, can efficiently reduce stand density to a desired future condition within the historical range of variability which also meets hazard fuel reduction goals; however, it does not replace the need for prescribed burning to reduce surface fuels, and stimulate regeneration of fire-adapted plant species.

**3. Maintain natural fire processes.** Managing for wildland fire use in areas that are still within their natural range of variability will help to maintain fire as a natural process in those

ecosystems. It is important to note that wildland fire use is not beneficial to areas in which fuel loads have increased beyond their historical range, causing un-naturally severe fire behavior during wildland fire. These areas are targeted for prescribed burning so that wildland fire use can be considered as a management alternative in the future. Additionally, prescribed burning is needed to reduce fuel loads in areas that may be within their historical range of variability, but that are adjacent to resources at risk (Hozomeen, Stehekin). Until these areas have been treated, wildland fire use cannot be safely implemented.

#### Selected Management Alternative

Of the three management alternatives evaluated in the Environmental Assessment (EA), Alternative 3, the Preferred Alternative and the Environmentally Preferred Alternative, will be implemented. The proposed actions under the Preferred Alternative, as detailed in the EA, remain unchanged except for two details: 1) the number of proposed acres of prescribed burning in the Stehekin Contours will be reduced by 1,967 acres; and 2) prior to prescribe burning each unit, the extent of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*), an invasive and non-native grass, will be mapped and containment strategies will be implemented. Both of these changes are within the scope of the original environmental impact analysis and do not affect significance determinations. No substantive comments were received during the public review, nor did any of the comments necessitate changes to the original proposal.

The number of proposed acres in the Stehekin Contours will be reduced because the Flick Creek Fire of 2006 completely burned four of the proposed contour burns (Flick Creek, Maxwell, Hazard Creek, Imus Creek) and a portion of a fifth (Buellers). The remaining burn units of the Stehekin Contours, totaling 2,881 acres, will be burned according to prescription. Cheatgrass surveys and containment strategies will be implemented because since the EA was published, the extent of the invasive plant was found to be more widespread than previously thought in some of the burn units in both Stehekin and Hozomeen.

The selected alternative includes four fire management strategies: suppression, wildland fire use, prescribed fire and manual/mechanical thinning. These strategies are the basis for the Fire Management Plan, which will guide fire management within the Complex for the next 10 years, at which time it will be re-evaluated and refined as necessary based on new environmental information, experience from project implementation, and/or advances in fire management practices which could not be foreseen. Projects and program elements include:

- 1. *Wildland Fire Use*. An assumed average of 200 acres will burn each year as a result of lightning ignitions that would be allowed to burn for the benefit of the resources.
- 2. *Suppression*. An assumed average of 260 acres will burn each year as suppression fires, i.e., unwanted fires that are actively extinguished by fire management staff.
- 3. Stehekin Forest Fuel Reduction Areas. Up to 200 acres will be thinned and prescribe burned per year to reduce hazard fuels in the Stehekin valley bottom, for a total of 1,209 acres.
- 4. *Corridor Thinning*. Ten to 15 acres will be thinned and pile-burned per year along the Stehekin road corridor, for a total of 124 acres.
- 5. *Safety Zone Thinning*. Ten to 15 acres will be thinned and pile-burned per year within the Orchard and Ranch safety zones in Stehekin, for a total of 24 acres.
- 6. Wyden Amendment Thinning/Burning. Two to 10 acres of privately-owned land in Stehekin will be thinned and pile-burned or prescribe burned per year, at the landowner's request, and when such action would benefit both the NPS and the landowner.

- 7. *Stehekin Contours*. Between 153 and 604 acres along the south facing slopes above Stehekin will be prescribe burned per year, for a total of 2,881 acres.
- 8. *Hozomeen Contours*. Between 1,630 and 3,039 acres above Ross Lake near Hozomeen will be prescribe burned per year, for a total of 5,219 acres.
- 9. *Re-ignition of Suppressed Fires.* Up to 200 acres will be burned through the re-ignition of suppressed fires per year.

All of the projects and program elements described above that would take place in designated Wilderness have been deemed essential for managing the area as wilderness. Activities associated with these necessary projects and/or program elements would utilize the minimum tools required to accomplish the objectives.

## Other Alternatives Considered in the Environmental Assessment

Two other alternatives were considered and evaluated:

Alternative 1 (No Action): Continue current management under 1991 Wildland Fire Management Plan and 1995 Stehekin Valley Forest Fuel Reduction/Firewood Management Plan. This alternative would utilize all fire management strategies, including prescribed burning for hazard fuel reduction on 822 acres in Stehekin. This alternative was not chosen because it does not allow for larger scale treatments aimed at protecting the Stehekin community under severe fire conditions, nor does it meet the need to restore and maintain ecosystem function throughout the Complex.

**Alternative 2:** Continue current direction under 1991 Wildland Fire Management Plan and 1995 Stehekin Valley Forest Fuel Reduction/Firewood Management Plan, with an increase in acreages of forest fuel reduction areas in Stehekin. This alternative would also utilize all fire management strategies. The forest fuel reduction areas in Stehekin would increase from 822 acres to 1,209 acres. Roadside thinning of conifers totaling 124 acres and thinning along safety zones totaling 24 acres would also occur. This alternative would allow thinning and prescribed fire treatments on up to 440 acres of privately owned property in Stehekin under the Wyden Authority. An increase in treatment acreage for hazard fuel reduction in Stehekin would help to further protect Stehekin; however, it does not attempt to restore altered ecosystems in wilderness adjacent to Stehekin. This alternative would perpetuate the effects of fire exclusion on stand structure and composition, and as a result, wildland fire use near this community would not be an option given the threat of fire spread toward the community.

## Environmentally Preferred Alternative

Alternative 3, the selected management alternative, is the "environmentally preferred" alternative. This alternative causes the least damage to the biological and physical environment, and best protects, preserves, and enhances historic, cultural, and natural resources. Both alternatives 1 and 2 concentrate project work on the Stehekin valley bottom and fail to address the broader impacts of fire suppression and exclusion surrounding Stehekin and Hozomeen. Alternative 3 treats additional altered acres surrounding Stehekin and Hozomeen, which will help to restore natural processes and relationships in designated Wilderness so that they can be maintained indefinitely into the future.

## Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practices

The following mitigation measures and best management practices (BMPs) were developed to minimize the impacts or effects of fire management activities on the environment. The measures are identical to those listed by resource in the EA, except for the fish and wildlife section, which was updated according to the Conservation Measures outlined in the Biological Opinion issued by the US Fish and Wildlife Service in March 2007.

#### Table 1: Mitigation Matrix

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
Air Quality	Same-day burn approval from the Washington State Department of Natural Resources will be sought for all prescribed burns involving over 100 tons of fuel	Fire Management Officer (FMO)
	Local air quality will be monitored during the fire season using equipment at Ross Dam and Stehekin	FMO, Park Physical Scientist
	Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) data (e.g., aerosols) will be analyzed to build an understanding of air quality effects from local fires	Park Physical Scientist
Water Resources (Draw-down)	Only approved dip lakes will be used for bucket operations (high lakes that have been identified as sensitive during research conducted for the Mountain Lakes Fishery Management Plan EIS (pending) are not approved for dipping)	FMO, Resource Advisor
	Limit the quantity of water that can be drawn from any of the approved lakes if it appears that normal water level fluctuations may be exceeded	Resource Advisor
	Monitor impacts to lakes during suppression operations and determine whether or not they can continue to be used as a water source	Resource Advisor
Water Resources (Retardants)	The superintendent of the Complex is the only NPS official who can authorize the use of fire retardant chemicals	Superintendent
(,	Avoid direct drops of retardant or foam into rivers, streams, lakes, or along shores. Establish ¼ mile buffer zones around all water bodies, within which chemicals cannot be applied unless human lives are at stake	FMO, Resource Advisor
	During training or briefings, inform field personnel of the potential danger of fire chemicals, especially foam concentrates, in surface water	FMO
	Locate mixing and loading points for fire retardants where contamination of natural water, especially with the foam concentrate, is extremely unlikely	FMO
	Maintain all equipment in good working condition and use a pump system equipped with check valves where appropriate to prevent release of foam concentrate into any body of water	FMO
	Exercise particular caution when using any fire chemical in watersheds where federal- or state-listed species exist	Resource Advisor
	Dip from a tank rather than directly from a body of water, to avoid releasing any foam into these especially sensitive areas	FMO
	Make sure all buckets/containers that have carried chemicals are completely cleaned before resuming dipping in natural water bodies)	FMO
	The FMO will monitor and record the types of chemicals used, their amounts, dates of application, and areas where applied	FMO
	Water chemical analysis of nutrients, surfactants, and other significant chemical components of these products will be monitored shortly after application in the vicinity of any water body	Physical Scientist

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
	Notify proper authorities promptly if any fire retardant chemical is	Resource Advisor
	used in an area where there is likelihood of negative impacts	
	Insist that manufacturers provide pertinent information on the	FMO, Resource Advisor
	chemical content of their products	
Water Resources	All prescribed burns require a burn plan, in which specific	Prescribed Fire
(Riparian)	direction regarding riparian areas of concern will be discussed.	Specialist
	This may include buffer distances to avoid burning or cutting any	
	riparian vegetation	
	All thinning projects are conducted using approved silvicultural	Prescribed Fire
	prescriptions	Specialist
	Both prescribed burn and thinning project proposals require	Superintendent
	review by the Complex's Inter-disciplinary Leam (IDT), and	
	approval by the superintendent. Mitigation for impacts to water	
	resources could be required prior to approval of the proposal	
	Use alternative methods of fire line building in sensitive areas	Resource Advisor
Topography and	Avoid digging line across, and especially down, steep slopes	FMO, Resource Advisor
Solis	whenever possible	
	Construct water bars along fire line that crosses steep slopes	
	Set aside the removed topsoil and organic debris for later	
	restoration	
	Rake over fire lines as soon as possible, and/or before fall rains	
	Use ignition patterns and weather conditions that will result in	
	reduced fire intensities and residence time. This will prevent	
	excessive heat pulses that could penetrate lower soil levels	
	Use aeration and raking to relieve soil compaction and promote	
	re-growth	
	Leave woody material when prescribed burning for nutrient	
	cycling and tungal (e.g., mycorrnizal) function	
	Avoid, if possible, any activity in areas covered by cryptoblotic	
	crusts, including neilspot locations, fire line digging, loot traffic,	
	and camp locations	
	and how to avoid impacts	
Fich and Wildlife	Fire Suppression and Wildland Fire Use	
(Fish Species &	A recourse advisor will be consulted on fires greater than 1.0	Docourco Advisor
(LISH Species &	acro rogarding the prosonce of Ecderally listed fish species	Resource Auvisor
Aqualic Habilal	Avoid using rotardants, foams, and surfactants noar lakes or	EMO Posourco Advisor
	flowing streams (o.g. pot to be applied within 1/ mile of	TIVO, RESOULCE AUVISO
	waterways with listed fish species)	
	Avoid water withdrawals from fish bearing streams whenever	EMO Resource Advisor
	nossible. Heliconter bucket dinning from streams in or adjacent	
	to snawning should be avoided including inlet streams to lakes	
	Direct the spraving of foam away from waterways whenever	FMO
	possible	
	Avoid backflushing numps and charged hoses into surface water	FMO
	Utilize check bleeder valves whenever possible. Direct flow away	
	from water sources when draining pumps or charged hoses	
	Consult with Physical Scientist prior to installing temporary check	Physical Scientist
	dams	Jeres Colonior

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
	Stream profile will be restored in areas where temporary check	Physical Scientist
	dams were constructed	
	If tactically possible, use of foam or retardant will be limited to	FMO
	upslope areas	
	Helicopter bucket dipping should be conducted only after	FMO
	chemical injection systems have been removed, disconnected or	
	rinsed clean if foam is not needed for that fire suppression	
	ACIIVILY	ГМО
	If toam application is necessary, crews will consider whether to	FMO
	Use a remote up talk away from water sources	EMO
	Pullip Indikes placed in Streams will be covered with 1/o include loss scrooped material to provent harm to amphibians and young	FINO
	fish	
	Avoid the use of riparian areas (300 feet from flowing water) as	EMO Resource Advisor
	landing areas and refueling areas for heliconter operations	
	whenever possible	
	Locate fire camps at least 200 feet away from riparian areas	FMO
	whenever possible	
	Sediment Control	
	Limit fire lines to 3 feet in width, construct erosion control	FMO, Resource Advisor
	structures (e.g., water bars), and rehabilitate them as soon as	
	possible to minimize sediment delivery to streams whenever	
	possible	
	To protect fisheries resources, stream disturbing activities shall	FMO
	generally be limited to the dry season from July 15 through	
	August 15	
	Erosion control methods shall be used to prevent slit-laden water	Resource Advisor, Unier
	Irom entering the stream whenever deemed necessary. On	of Resources
	Alger files, rederal burned Alea Emergency Renabilitation (RAED) Standards may be utilized	
	Wastewater from project activities and water removed from	FMO
	within the work area will be routed to an area landward of the	
	ordinary high water line to allow for removal of fine sediment and	
	other contaminants prior to being discharged to the stream	
	Water Quality	
	In the event of a hazardous fuel spill, the Complex will adhere to	FMO, Resource Advisor
	the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan	-,
	On larger pumping and helicopter operations, spill prevention kits	FMO
	will be available onsite to control, absorb, or contain the spill for	
	cleanup and disposal	
	Any machinery maintenance involving potential contaminants	FMO
	(fuel, oil, hydraulic fluid, etc) will occur greater than 200 feet from	
	the riparian area whenever possible. This measure is designed	
	to avoid/minimize the introduction of chemical contaminants	
	associated with machinery	

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
	Prior to starting work each day, all machinery will be inspected	FMO
	for leaks (fuel, oil, hydraulic fluid, etc) and all necessary repairs	
	will be made before the commencement of work. This measure is	
	designed to avoid/minimize the introduction of chemical	
	contaminants associated with machinery used in project	
	implementation	
	Heavy equipment should not enter streams except in extreme	FMO
	circumstances. Any equipment that does enter a stream should	
	be rinsed clean and should only use vegetable-based hydraulic	
	fluids	
	Removal of mature coniferous and deciduous trees within 250	FMO, Resource Advisor
	feet of a wetland, stream, or river will be minimized when	
	possible. In the event that trees need to be felled, trees should	
	be felled so that they stay in the floodplain, instead of upslope, if	
	this can be safely accomplished. If requested by a Resource	
	Advisor, the crew will directionally fall trees towards the	
	waterway	
	Helicopter landings in stream and river channels will occur on	FMO
	gravel bars outside the active channel whenever possible	
Fish and Wildlife	A resource advisor will be assigned to fires as needed to	Resource Advisor
(Terrestrial	minimize impacts to threatened and endangered species	
Species and	A wildlife biologist will be part of the Wildland Fire Situation	Wildlife Biologist
Habitat)	Analysis team to provide input to mitigate impacts of fire fighting	Ŭ
	tactics in listed species habitat and an up to date map of listed or	
	sensitive species (e.g., spotted owl, marbled murrelet, and bald	
	eagle) habitat and survey results. This information will be	
	provided to the Incident Commander for consideration in planning	
	fire activities	
	Fire management personnel will use "minimum impact	FMO
	techniques" when suppressing fires in the Complex	
	When possible, crews will hike into and out from a fire rather than	FMO
	flying	
	When possible, hand tools will be used rather than power	FMO
	equipment	
	When possible, helicopters will avoid staging within threatened	FMO, Resource Advisor
	and endangered species habitat in the Complex	
	When possible, helicopters will fly higher than 1,500 feet over	FMO, Resource Advisor
	threatened and endangered species habitat	
	Retardant will only be used when required to protect human life	FMO
	and property and is considered only when all other efforts have	
	failed	
	Explosives will not be used to manage fires in the Complex	FMO
	Mechanized equipment, such as dozers, will not be used in	FMO
	preparation work for or during the implementation of prescribed	
	fire or fire suppression. Any preparation will follow the minimum	
	impact tactic guidelines developed for the Complex described in	
	the EA	
Bald Eagle	Removal of mature coniferous and deciduous trees will be	FMO
Mitigation	minimized	

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
Measures	Maintain mature trees to protect forage, perch, alternate nest and	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	roost habitat within a 0.25 mile radius of a known nest site	
	Avoid fire management activities that result in increased	FMO, Wildlife Biologist,
	pedestrian activity within 0.5 mile of nest sites, and carefully	Resource Advisor
	manage public trail use and camping within that distance	
	Avoid tree cutting and other activities that produce noise above	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	ambient levels within 0.25 mile (0.5 mile if line of sight) of an	
	active nest during the breeding season (January 1 to August 31)	
	Maintain high tree density and moderate canopy closure to	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	visually buffer bald eagle nests from human activities	
	Helicopter pilots and crew will watch for and avoid bald eagles	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	There will be no prescribed fire or thinning treatments from	EMO Wildlife Dielegist
	Index will be no prescribed life of thinning treatments from	FINO, WIIDIIIE BIOIOGISI
	January T through August 31 Within 0.5 Inne of any known balu	
Spotted Owl	Pomoval of mature coniference treas will be minimized	EMO
Mitigation	Maintain all suitable spotted awl babitat within 0.7 miles of known	Nildlifo Biologist EMO
Measures	nest trees/site centers. If there is an owl management plan in	wildlife blologist, Fivio
INICASULES	place for a specific territory, it will take precedence over this	
	conservation measure	
	Wildland fire use will be excluded within the 100-acre core of	EMO Wildlife Biologist
	suitable babitat around any nest tree/site center at any time of	TIMO, WINNIC Diologist
	vear	
	Wildland fire use will be excluded within 0.7-mile radius of any	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	known nest tree/site centers before August 1 to protect eggs and	i mo, i mano Diologici
	nestlings. After August 1, wildland fire use is permitted, provided	
	that all suitable spotted owl habitat within 0.7-miles of known nest	
	trees/site centers is maintained (see second bullet above)	
	After August 1, maintain 55 percent of suitable habitat within any	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	known owl territory within 1.8 miles (outside of the 0.7-mile	
	radius) of any known nest tree or site center. If there is an owl	
	management plan in place for a specific territory, it will take	
	precedence over this conservation measure	
	Limit the use of wildland fire use and disturbing activities within	FMO
	0.25 mile of unsurveyed suitable owl habitat to after August 1	
	Avoid tree cutting and other noises that are above ambient noise	FMO, Wildlife Biologist
	levels within 0.25 mile of an active nest during the breeding	
	season (March 1 to September 30)	
	Lightning fires detected before August 1 burning in suitable	FMO
	spotted owl habitat will be managed using a suppression strategy	5140
	Lightning fires detected in the "red zones" (i.e., the low elevation	FMU
	Spotted own additat within the Stenekin Valley, United	
	States/Canada International Doundary, and Skagit River corridor	
	putituit of the wildiand File Use Zone) will be managed USING a	
	Droscribed fires relignition fires and/or thinning projects	EMO
	courring pear suitable spotted owl babitat will be scheduled for	FIVIU
	after August 1	
	alici nuyusi i	

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
	All preparation work for prescribed fire within or adjacent to	FMO
	suitable spotted owl habitat will occur after August 1	
	Spotted owl surveys will be conducted prior to the initiation of any	Wildlife Biologist, FMO
	project occurring in the Stehekin drainage. Occupied territories	
	will not be considered for prescribed fire or thinning treatments	
	Site-specific spotted owl activity buffers will be developed by local	Wildlife Biologist, FMO
	Complex biologists, and prescribed fire and thinning treatment	
	units altered to protect occupied spotted owi habitat	EMO
	Removal of mature confierous frees will be minimized	FMO
Milligation	Garbage and food items will be nandled appropriately by	FMO
weasures	licengineers to minimize attraction of corvios	ГМО
	Lightning fires detected before August 6 burning in marbied	FMO
Vegetation	munelet habitat will be managed using a suppression strategy	ГМО
vegetation	Equipment (nand tools, trucks, pumps, tracked equipment, tents,	FINO
	etc.) and personal line year (line packs, nonex, bools, etc.) will be shocked and cleaned in between movement of fire crows for	
	be checked and cleaned in between movement of the clews to	
	he thereughly cleaned before arrival and prior to departure	
	Disturbed sites will be monitored and invasive species will be	Diant Ecologist / Eiro
	controlled before they spread	Fidili Ecologist / File
	A resource advisor will monitor and document bazard tree	Pasourca Advisor
	removal along the Stehekin road corridor	Resource Advisor
	Avoid known locations of sensitive plant species that are	FMO, Plant Ecologist /
	disturbance-intolerant during prescribed burning and thinning	Fire Ecologist
	operations. Monitor locations before and after treatment	5
Research Natural	Manage fire perimeters (both suppression response fires and re-	FMO
Areas	ignitions) using a confinement strategy, which limits the extent of	
	the fire area to preset boundaries such as natural barriers and	
	terrain breaks	
	Use a confinement strategy within the Silver Lake RNA / US	
	Border Suppression Zone area, and use direct suppression	
	activities (fire retardant drops, hand line construction, tree felling,	
	back burning, etc) only when absolutely necessary to prevent a	
	fire from spreading into Canada. Wildland Fire Use will be the	
	preferred management strategy for the other four RNAs	
	Establish no large fire camps (type 3 incidents or larger) within	
	Research Natural Areas	
Wilderness	Follow all Minimum Impact Techniques	FMO
	Follow all Minimum Tool procedures	
Cultural	The Park Archeologist and/or Cultural Resource Specialist will be	HMO, Park Archeologist,
Resources	consulted early on in the case of planned burns, and as soon as	Cultural Resource
	possible in the case of unplanned fire events	Specialist
	In consultation with the Park Archeologist and/or Cultural	FMO, Park Archeologist,
	Resource Specialist, identify any threatened cultural resources,	Cultural Resource
	define their boundaries, and determine the Area of Potential	Specialist
	Effect (APE)	

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
	In consultation with the Park Archeologist and/or Cultural	GIS Specialist, Park
	Resource Specialist, maintain an updated version of the	Archeologist, Cultural
	Complex-wide archeological/cultural resource sensitivity map for	Resource Specialist
	use as a quick reference by fire management staff to assess the	
	potential effects of new fires on cultural resources	
	In consultation with the Park Archeologist and/or Cultural	FMO, Park Archeologist,
	Resource Specialist, identify the important qualities of the cultural	Cultural Resource
	resources and any potential threats to these qualities	Specialist
	Avoid disturbances within the APE, and in particular, avoid effects	FMO, Park Archeologist,
	to any important site qualities that are identified as threatened in	Cultural Resource
	consultation with Cultural Resource staff	Specialist
	Make available to fire crews a brief workshop, conducted by the	Park Archeologist,
	Park Archeologist and/or Cultural Resource Specialist, with the	Cultural Resource
	goal to train crews in the recognition, management, and	Specialist
	preservation of cultural resources	
	Depending on the cultural sensitivity of the undertaking, it may be	Park Archeologist
	necessary for a qualified archeologist to monitor on-site during	
	the construction of fire lines and helispots	
	Minimum Impact Lactics (MLL) will be used. Minimize extent of	FMO
	built fire lines and helispots, and other ground-disturbing actions	
	to mitigate damage to subsurface and surface cultural resources	
	In prescribed burn plans, identify threatened cultural resources, or	Park Archeologist,
	those within the APE, assess the potential fire effects and fire	Cultural Resource
	tighting tactics to the same, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate	Specialist
\ ('- 't	Inese effects, as required by according to 36CFR Part 800	EMO.
Visitor Use	Perform work during shoulder seasons (spring and fall) when	FMO
	VISITATION IS TOWER	EMO Diant Eaglesist /
	Renabilitate areas as soon as possible to minimize visual impacts	FIMO, PIANL ECOlOGISL/
Lloalth and Cofaty	Fire percennel must	FILE ECOLOGIST
Health and Salety	File personner musi:	FIMO
	<ul> <li>meet qualifications for incident assignments, including all applicable medical requirements</li> </ul>	
	applicable medical requirements	
	<ul> <li>meet qualification standards for the implementation of preservited fires and for using power equipment such as</li> </ul>	
	prescribed files and for using power equipment such as	
	chainsaws for thinning and bucking	
	be equipped with personal protective equipment	
	<ul> <li>comply with litness and personal protective equipment stor devide</li> </ul>	
	standards	
	<ul> <li>complete a required amount of wildland fire training, including refresher sefectivitraining.</li> </ul>	
	Including reliesher salety training	ГМО
	frouge fires and discuss current and ferencet emotion impacts	FIVIU
	The superintendent will make electrically areas of the Community for	Superintendent
	The superinterfuent will make closures in areas of the Complex If	Superintendent
	a me is posing a mieat to numan nealth of salety	EMO
	visitors will be kept at a safe distance during the operations, and	FIVIU
	when there are fewer vicitors	

Resource	Impact Mitigation and Best Management Practices	Responsible Party
	Private property owners in the vicinity of fire operations will be notified of upcoming projects and other potential disturbances	FMO
	Fire retardant chemicals will only be used during emergencies that threaten life and property and only with the superintendent's approval	Superintendent
Socioeconomics	Conduct projects during shoulder season (spring and fall) to	FMO
	minimize impacts to tourism	

## Why the Selected Action will not have a Significant Effect on the Environment

The NPS has determined that the selected alternative can be implemented with no significant adverse impacts or effects on air quality, water resources, topography and soils, fish and wildlife, vegetation, research natural areas, wilderness, cultural resources, visitor use, health and safety, or socioeconomics. The following criteria were used to determine the degree of significance of each potential impact:

Impacts that may have both beneficial and adverse aspects and which on balance may be beneficial, but that may still have significant adverse impacts.

Although there are short-term adverse impacts under the selected alternative, there are none that would have significant adverse impacts. Some of the short-term impacts will include noise disturbance from helicopter activity, smoke, temporary trail closures, and a transitory loss of solitude for park visitors in Wilderness during fire management activities.

Effects on public health and safety.

The selected alternative would not significantly impact human health and safety if all operational precautions and smoke mitigation measures are followed.

Unique characteristics of the area (proximity to historic or cultural resources, wild and scenic rivers, ecologically critical areas, wetlands or floodplains, and so forth).

The selected alternative will help to maintain and/or restore natural conditions across the landscape. Wildland fire use will be used to help maintain fire as a natural process in those areas that are still within their natural range of variability. Altered fire regimes in designated Wilderness surrounding Stehekin and Hozomeen will be restored so that in the future wildland fire use can be considered as a management alternative. All fire management undertaken in Wilderness will utilize Minimum Tools.

Degree to which impacts are likely to be highly controversial or are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.

Potential impacts which could result from the selected alternative are unlikely to be highly controversial. No scoping comments (oral and written) identified any controversial actions. None of three public comment letters responding to the EA identified any substantive issues nor any issues not fully considered in preparing the EA.

The procedures outlined in the Fire Management Plan ensure that work activities and their impacts are reasonably certain.

Whether the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects, or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.

The selected alternative neither establishes a precedent for future actions with significant effects, nor represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.

Whether the action is related to other actions that may have individual insignificant impacts but cumulatively significant effects.

There are no known other actions that could contribute to cumulatively significant effects.

Degree to which the action may adversely affect historic properties in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, or other significant scientific, archeological, or cultural resources.

Implementation of the selected alternative would not adversely affect any identified historic property, or scientific, archeological, or cultural resources.

Degree to which an action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat.

The US Fish and Wildlife Service concurs that the selected alternative may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, the wolf, lynx, or grizzly bear. Authorization for an incidental take was granted for spotted owl, murrelet, bald eagle, and bull trout, and it was determined that the level of incidental take anticipated would not jeopardize the continued existence of any of the four species.

Whether the action threatens a violation of federal, state, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment.

The selected alternative conforms to all existing law and policy, including DO #18: Wildland Fire Management, and Director's Order #41: Wilderness Preservation and Management.

#### Public Review and Agency Consultation

Public scoping for the revision of the Fire Management Plan took place from October 9 to November 17, 2003. A scoping letter was sent to agencies, tribes and interested organizations and individuals. Eleven comment letters were received from landowners in Stehekin, Rockport, East Wenatchee, and North Central Washington; from the Chelan Ranger District (USFS) and Washington Department of Natural Resources; from Northwest Ecosystem Alliance; and from individuals in both Colorado and California. Comments ranged from criticism for not suppressing fires in Ross Lake National Recreation Area, to support for suppressing fires in the Stehekin Valley, to support for allowing naturally-caused fires to run their course.

The Fire Management Plan revision and proposed actions were also discussed in Stehekin community meetings since 2001. These community meetings were informal and occurred annually to discuss management issues of particular interest to Stehekin residents and property owners. Typically three to eight community members attended the meetings. In 2003, maps of the proposed alternatives were presented. The main focus of the discussion that ensued was the recent wildfire activities that had occurred in the Chelan-Stehekin watershed during the previous two years and the need to prevent such wildfires from impacting Stehekin through

proposed prescribed fire treatments. Most comment regarding the proposed prescribed fires was about the potential visual impacts (some did not care for the appearance of blackened tree trunks), others suggested that well-visited areas should be buffered from prescribed fire (i.e., Coon Lake and the popular Rainbow Falls area). Other questions or comments were primarily operational in nature. Some of these meeting attendees submitted letters commenting on the EA. All were invited to submit letters to be sure their comments were a part of the public record. Informal discussions with specific community members also occurred at their requests.

Internal scoping meetings with park staff were held to provide a forum for comment during this same period. Comments from all sources were used to identify key issues and help determine the scope of analysis in the EA.

The environmental assessment was released to the public on May 18, 2005 and comments were accepted through June 20, 2005. Copies of the document were sent to 70 agencies, legislators, tribes, organizations, media, and public libraries. An additional 80 letters were sent to potentially interested individuals, informing them of the availability of the document locally and on-line via the internet. Three comment letters were received. Two of the letters (one from a private citizen in Stehekin and one from the Ministry of Environment in Canada) were in support of the preferred alternative and one (from a private citizen in Marblemount) was opposed to the entire fire management program. No substantive issues were raised in the letter of opposition. The official from the Ministry of Environment noted Canada's plan to introduce fire through prescribed burns north of the international boundary. The agency also expressed an interest in working together to establish a fire regime based on natural ecosystems rather than international boundaries. The Complex is dedicated to assisting land management agencies in Canada with the planning and implementation of prescribed fire treatments where there is mutual benefit.

#### US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)

On September 13, 2005 the NPS submitted a Biological Assessment (BA) to USFWS on the potential effects of the proposed fire management program on listed fish and wildlife species. On October 18, 2005 USFWS requested supplemental information to the BA. After additional information was provided, formal consultation was initiated on December 12, 2005 in accordance with section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The USFWS Biological Opinion was received on March 2, 2007. The BA evaluated the effects of the proposed action on the threatened northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*), marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus marmoratus*), bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Canada lynx (*Lynx Canadensis*), grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*), the endangered gray wolf (*Canus Lupus*), and designated bull trout critical habitat. The consultation covers the time period October 1, 2006 to December 31, 2010.

The USFWS concurred with the NPS' finding that the proposed action "may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect" the wolf, lynx, or grizzly bear. Incidental take was authorized for the spotted owl, murrelet, bald eagle, and bull trout. The level of incidental take anticipated would not jeopardize the continued existence of any of the four species. The following reasonable and prudent measures were identified as necessary and appropriate to minimize the incidental take of the four species:

- 1. Monitor project implementation
- 2. Report monitoring results to the USFWS

# Washington State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and affected Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO)

The environmental assessment was sent to the Washington State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and affected tribes for review and comment on May 18, 2005. No comments were received. Consultation with the Washington SHPO and any affected Tribal Historic Preservation Offices (THPO) for all planned fire-related undertakings will be conducted according to the National Historic Preservation Act as amended (NHPA; 16 USC 470 et seq.) and the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) (36 CFR 800). For unplanned future wildfire events, the Cultural Resource Manager and/or Archeologist will, upon notification of the fire event, contact the SHPO and any affected THPO and initiate consultation if an identified historic/cultural resource is at risk from a wildfire or related activities.

#### United States Forest Service (USFS)

The Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest, Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, and North Cascades National Park Service Complex wrote their respective fire management plans concurrently. Specific plans for fire management options in areas adjacent to the Complex that are managed by the USFS are contained in the Complex's Fire Management Plan.

#### Non-impairment of Park Resources and Values

The Environmental Assessment found that the selected alternative will have no major adverse impacts or effects to any resource or value whose conservation is 1) necessary to fulfill the specific purposes identified in the park's enabling legislation; 2) key to the natural or cultural integrity of the park or to opportunities for enjoyment of the park; or 3) identified as a goal in the park's General Management Plan or other relevant planning documents. The impacts resulting from implementation of the selected alternative will not impair park resources or values and will not violate the Organic Act of 1916.

#### Determination

Based on the environmental impact analysis contained in the Environmental Assessment; the mitigation measures designed to avoid, reduce, or eliminate potential impacts; and the results of public review and agency coordination, the National Park Service has determined that the selected alternative does not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment. The selected alternative is not without precedent, nor is it similar to an action which normally requires an environmental impact statement. No connected actions with potential significant impacts were identified. Therefore, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality, an Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared.

RECOMMENDED

Superintendent, North Cascades National Park Service Complex

**APPROVED** Regional Director, Pacific West Region

5/17/07 Date

14