UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

+ + + + +

NATIONAL CAPITAL MEMORIAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
OCTOBER 4, 2023

+ + + + +

The National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission met via Video conference, at 1:00 p.m. EDT, Tammy Stidham, Chairperson, presiding.

PRESENT

TAMMY STIDHAM for the National Park Service EDWIN FOUNTAIN for the American Battle Monuments Commission

JOSEPH IMAMURA for the Architect of the Capitol THOMAS LUEBKE for the Commission of Fine Arts DAVID MALONEY for the Mayor of the District of Columbia

PAUL McMAHON for the Secretary of Defense MICHAEL SHERMAN for the National Capital Planning Commission

KRISTI TUNSTALL WILLIAMS for the General Services Administration

CHRIS WILSON for the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Observer)

ALSO PRESENT

CORY CROWLEY, Executive Vice President, National Medal of Honor Museum Foundation

DANIEL FOX, Senior Advisor, Commission of Fine Arts

ANNA LAYMON, Executive Director, Women's Suffrage National Monument Foundation BLAKE MOORE, United States Representative CLAIRE SALE, Project Manager, AECOM

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and Opening Remarks Tammy Stidham
H.R. 2717, a bill to authorize the National Medal of Honor Museum Foundation to establish a commemorative work on the National Mall to honor the extraordinary acts of valor, selfless Service, and sacrifice displayed by Medal of Honor Recipients
Blake Moore
Women's Suffrage National Monument Site Selection
S.886 and H.R. 1318, a bill to authorize the location of a monument on the National Mall to commemorate and honor the women's suffrage movement and the passage of the 19th Amendment to the Constitution
Updates on other authorized memorials in progress
Other Business
Adjournment

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:01 p.m.

MS. STIDHAM: -- second meeting of this year of the National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission. I want to thank the Commission on Fine Arts for hosting his meeting on the Zoom platform (audio interference) Dan Fox of CFA, who is helping us manage the meeting today.

We couldn't have done it without you, so thank you so much.

My name is Tammy Stidham and I'm here representing the Director of the National Park Service at this meeting. Present for today's meeting are Michael Sherman representing the chairman of the National Capital Planning Commission, Joseph Imamura representing the Architect of the Capital, Thomas Luebke for the Chairman of the Commission on Fine Arts, David Maloney representing the Mayor of the District of Columbia, Kristi Tunstall Williams representing the Administrator of the General Services

Administration, Paul McMahon representing the Secretary of Defense, Edwin Fountain representing the Chairman of the American Battle Monuments Commission, and representing the Advisory Counsel on Historic Preservation is Mr. Chris Wilson.

Mr. Wilson participates with the Commission in a non-voting advisory capacity.

Also with us today are staff from NPS, which are Laurel Hamming, our Acting Memorial Program Manager, along with Michael McMahon, our acting Commission Secretary and Legislative Affairs Specialist for the National Park Service, National Capital Region.

So a little bit of an overview for today's business. As most of you know, we are here today. This Commission was established by the Commemorative Works Act of 1986 and is required by that act to advise the Secretary of Interior, the Administrator of the General Services Administration, and the Committees of Congress on the establishment of commemorative works in the District of Columbia and its

environments and to provide its views to appropriate committees of Congress when the committees are considering legislation to authorize commemorative works for the District of Columbia and its environments. The act also requires the sponsors of authorized memorials to consult with this Commission regarding site and design concept proposals.

Today we have four agenda items; actually three total items to our pieces of legislation on the same topic. The first item will be H.R. 2717. It's the Hershel "Woody" Williams National Medal of Honor Monument Location Act. Then we will do a site -- we will hear a site selection proposal presentation for the Women's Suffrage National Monument Site Selection, followed by H.R. 1318 and S.886, both of which are Women's Suffrage National Monument Location Act Bills.

All of our supporting materials for this meeting can be found on the National Park Service web page, which is

parkplanning.nps.gov/ncmacoctober2023. And one of the staff will be putting that in the chat for anyone who needs it.

If You're not scheduled to speak today but would like to make a comment on the site assessment or on any of the legislation presented, there's an opportunity to raise your hand and be promoted as a speaker after each agenda item.

The Commission offers members of Congress who wish to testify the courtesy of allowing them to share their testimony whenever they're available regardless of where we are in the agenda, so there may be some deviation from the agenda as members become available and we bring them in.

So onto our first item, which is the Hershel "Woody" Williams National Medal of Honor Monument Location Act, which is H.R. 2717, which was introduced by Representative Blake Moore, who is here with us today.

On April 19th, 2023 and referred to

1 the House National Resources Subcommittee on 2 Federal Lands, which held a hearing on July 13th 3 of 2023, and on July 26th, 2023 H.R. 2717 was 4 ordered to be reported in the nature of a 5 substitute as amended by a vote of yeas and nays 29 to 0. 6 The legislation authorizes the 7 National Medal of Honor Museum Foundation to 8 establish a commemorative work on the National 9 10 Mall to honor the extraordinary acts of valor, 11 selfless Service, and sacrifice displayed by Medal of Honor recipients. 12 13 So I understand that Representative 14 Moore is on, so we will jump to him directly. 15 Dan, can you bring him in? 16 MR. FOX: Yes. Give me one moment. 17 MS. STIDHAM: Okay. Thanks. 18 (Pause.) 19 He'll be coming in now. MR. FOX: 20 MS. STIDHAM: Here we go. 21 Representative Moore, thank you for 22 joining us. I've already introduced you, so we

are ready to hear your testimony as soon as you are.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Chairwoman

Stidham. I was able to hear the piece that

you've already shared, so it's a honor, yes. And

I agree without saying it the title is quite long

and a little bit of a tongue twister.

MS. STIDHAM: It is.

MR. MOORE: I ended up calling it the Woody Williams Bill basically just so I'd know what my staff was talking about.

Before I start, yesterday was a tough day. It's actually an honor to be presenting something where Congress does function the way that it should and properly and presents something that has been vetted and worked on. And so emotional tough day and ready to move on, but we'll leave all that aside and talk about something that matters to this group.

So I really do appreciate the opportunity to join you to testify on behalf -- for H.R. 2717, again the Hershel "Woody" Williams

National Medal of Honor Monument Location Act and I consider it a great honor to advocate for the establishment of this special monument on the National Mall, particularly in the Reserve.

The significance of the Medal of Honor cannot be overstated. It's the highest recognition for valor in combat. Of over 41 million Americans who have served in our Armed Forces fewer than 4,000 have received this medal. The monument we are here to discuss today would serve to honor those extraordinary individuals from all walks of life who demonstrated unparalleled courage, commitment, and sacrifice for our nation.

In the 117th Congress legislation authorizing the creation of this monument received unanimous support in the House. You mentioned the bill as it passed unanimously in committee. Last Congress it passed 416 to 0 on the House Floor. That type of bipartisan momentum carried through to H.R. 2717, which was approved unanimously as you mentioned in your

opening this past July. This demonstrates that the monument is not a partisan issue in any way, shape, or form. Rather, it is a unifying symbol of our nation's highest ideals.

The initial proposal stipulated that the monument be located within 1,000 feet of the Lincoln Memorial to pay tribute to President Lincoln who created the Medal of Honor over 160 years ago. In a spirit of compromise we agreed to remove the specific language, yet it is important to note that this proximity was intended to signify the interconnected legacies of Lincoln and the Medal of Honor, pillars of our American values of courage, sacrifice, and unity.

Our bill represents not just a tribute to military valor, but also a commitment to the values that keep our nation united and strong.

It would be a fitting and respectful extension of Lincoln's legacy and a constant reminder of what ordinary people can accomplish when united for the greater good. I urge this esteemed

Commission to strongly consider the merits of

1	situating this monument on the Reserve and I
2	thank you for your time and consideration.
3	MS. STIDHAM: Thank you,
4	Representative Moore.
5	Do the Commissioners have any
6	questions for Representative Moore?
7	(No audible response.)
8	MS. STIDHAM: Okay. It doesn't look
9	like we have any questions. Thank you so much
10	for joining us today. We really appreciate your
11	time with us.
12	MR. MOORE: Thanks for the chance to
13	share. Appreciate it.
14	MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. Also with us
15	today is Mr. Cory Crowley, Executive Vice
16	President of the National Medal of Honor Museum
17	Foundation, who will testify for the Memorial
18	Foundation on H.R. 2717. And I see Dan's already
19	got him moving up.
20	Hi there, Mr. Crowley. Can you hear
21	us all right?
22	MR. CROWLEY: I do, yes. Thanks so

much for having me.

MS. STIDHAM: Great. Thanks for joining us. We are ready whenever you are.

I'll echo the congressman's gratitude for allowing us to be here today and for your consideration of what we're trying to accomplish.

MR. CROWLEY: Yes. Well, thank you.

I think you all know that this monument is part of a long-overdue, in our view, project to recognize some of our country's greatest heroes, but it's more than that. What we're trying to do really is use the values of the Medal of Honor to inspire future generations with these legacies of courage and those shared values, unique human values which underpin the Medal of Honor.

The full project, just to give you an idea of the scale and scope, is actually comprised of a national museum which is currently under construction in Arlington, Texas just outside of Dallas, as well as a National Medal of Honor Institute which began programming last

spring for current leaders of industry and the public sector. And we also launched our first curriculum for young people this academic school year which is available thanks to broad partnership with the NFL's foundation in 29,000 schools across the country this year. The portion of the project though we're discussing is a modest monument to represent the ideals of the Medal of Honor in our Nation's Capital.

The Medal of Honor, as Congressman Moore noted, is the country's highest recognition for valor in combat, but what it really is is a reminder to us all of what ordinary people can accomplished when faced with challenge. We're all born with limitless courage and because of it we all have the potential to be extraordinary. It may not be on the battlefield like these Medal of Honor recipients, but our hope is for this monument to be a beacon pointing all of us to the heroes within us, not necessarily something we perform in uniform, but in our own walks of life, whether that's in our families, our schools, or

our communities.

We're often asked at our foundation how it's possible that in the 160 years that this medal has existed and since Lincoln created it it's possible that a monument or a museum or something to pay tribute to these individuals as a nation doesn't already exist. And the only answer we've come up with in these divided times, again as Congressman Moore noted, is that America really needs this now more than ever. It's a unifying national endeavor.

In the four years since we launched this project we've seen it bring together members from across the political divide with the House voting 416 to 0 last Congress and the Senate giving the project its unanimous consent, but we've also seen it bring together corporate competitors and generous Americans from across the country who to date have contributed more than \$240 million to the combined elements of this historic effort.

The 3,517 individuals who received the

Medal of Honor are humble. They're people who will tell you they were just doing their job on a difficult day. Most believe they don't deserve the Medal of Honor, but each of them will tell you that they do wear it proudly, but they wear it for those they served alongside, and particularly those who never came home.

Similar to their disposition we hope to construct a monument in Washington, D.C. which matches their humility, yet we want it still to provide a significant reminder to all who visit of the aspirational ideas represented by the medal which make our country better each day. The same values which strengthened Lincoln are the ones that motivated those who continue his work of protecting and defending the Republic today and they're the same values which exist in the hearts of each of us for whom they risk all to preserve the Union.

We look forward to continuing to work in close collaboration with you and this Commission in and the other committees of

1 jurisdiction to further tell America's story and to celebrate our common bonds on the National 2 3 Mall. 4 Before closing I just want to say 5 thank you so much. This has been a very collaborative process from the very beginning. 6 7 My recollection is we first testified before this 8 committee in 2019 prior to the introduction of 9 our initial bill and you've all been very 10 supportive in helpful helping us navigate this 11 process along the way. And I hope you've found good partners in us who are willing to work with 12 13 you, learn from you, and make the Mall that it 14 can be for the American public together. 15 thank you very much for your consideration. 16 MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Crowley. 17 We appreciate that. 18 Are there any questions from the 19 Commissioners for Mr. Crowley? 20 (No audible response.) 21 MS. STIDHAM: Okay. Seeing none, 22 thank you so much for being here today.

MS. STIDHAM: We appreciate your time.
MR. CROWLEY: We appreciate you all.
MS. STIDHAM: So we didn't have anyone
sign up in advance to testify on the bill, but we
are able to still take public comment from
members of the audience. If you are online and
wish to make a comment or testify, could you
please raise your hand and we will promote you to
a speaker to testify on H.R. 2717?
(Pause.)
MS. STIDHAM: I'm not seeing any
hands. One more minute.
hands. One more minute. (Pause.)
(Pause.)
(Pause.) MS. STIDHAM: Okay. So we'll move
(Pause.) MS. STIDHAM: Okay. So we'll move onto the next agenda item. I'd like to thank
(Pause.) MS. STIDHAM: Okay. So we'll move onto the next agenda item. I'd like to thank both Representative Moore and Mr. Crowley for
(Pause.) MS. STIDHAM: Okay. So we'll move onto the next agenda item. I'd like to thank both Representative Moore and Mr. Crowley for joining us today.
(Pause.) MS. STIDHAM: Okay. So we'll move onto the next agenda item. I'd like to thank both Representative Moore and Mr. Crowley for joining us today. Mr. Wilson, are there any comments

you can apply this to all future applications, from the Advisory Council's perspective we only consider Section 106 of the Historic Preservation Act. So once some monument is given legal permission to locate somewhere within D.C. or anywhere near any eligible sites, at that point we weigh in and work with our colleagues at the NPS, DC SHPO, and all the non-profits, CFA, NCPC, other agencies as well.

So during these proceedings before a decision is made where a monument will be located, we pretty much don't have a position, but we do weigh in heavily once the 106 process starts. And for example, if one of these applicants gets approved, what I'll do is provide updates at these meetings once something is in flux. Does that make sense?

MS. STIDHAM: Yes, absolutely. Thank you for that. That's helpful.

MR. WILSON: So you don't need to ask me after every application is what I'm saying.

MS. STIDHAM: Okay. I will not do

that.

MR. WILSON: Thanks.

MS. STIDHAM: All right. As I stated earlier, the bill before us today would authorize the location of the National Medal of Honor Memorial on the National Mall. As we discussed previously, the Commemorative Works Act allows for a memorial of exceptional importance to be located close to the National Mall within Area 1 as defined by the act.

The act further defines a process for making that determination requiring first that the Secretary of Interior or the Administrator of the GSA determine that the proposed subject of commemoration is of prominence and lasting historical significance to our country. Based on that determination Congress must pass legislation to authorize selection of a site within Area 1.

H.R. 2717 would bypass that process and would authorize the National Medal of Honor Memorial to be placed within the Reserve which the Commemorative Works Act defines as a great

cross-axis of the Mall which generally extends from the United States Capitol to the Lincoln Memorial and from the White House to the Jefferson Memorial.

The act further states that the Reserve is a completed work of civic art where the siting of new commemorative works is prohibited. H.R. 2717 also notes in its findings justification for placing the memorial in close proximity to the Lincoln Memorial. While this speaks to Congress' intent, it is not a requirement of the law. So I just wanted to point out that. To that point the Commemorative Works Act states it shall guide the location of commemorative works so that it does not interfere with or encroach on an existing commemorative work.

So with that and the testimony that we have already heard, I'll hope it up to

Commissioners for comments on this particular bill on what we've heard today. So who would like to start? And I will call on you if you

don't volunteer yourself.

All right. Mr. Sherman?

MR. SHERMAN: Yes, thanks, Madam Chair. First of all, I want to say thanks to Honorable Mr. Blake Moore and Mr. Crowley for their testimony.

I would be remiss if I didn't mention that I actually know two Medal of Honor recipients: Colonel Gordon Roberts who I served with in Iraq and Colonel William Swenson who I met here at NCPC during one of his testimonies. So I salute all the Medal of Honor recipients and their heroism.

I do have a question. It seems like a foregone conclusion that this memorial be sited within the Lincoln Memorial grounds, but I guess my question is were there other sites considered? One thought, and this is not necessarily a Commission position, but it is called the Congressional Medal of Honor. And so an argument could be made that other sites around Congress, the Capitol, or even the Grant Memorial could

have been considered. So that's just a general question if other sites had been considered, and if so, why were they rejected? I'm not sure if that's Mr. Crowley's the best position to answer that or maybe someone from the Park Service.

MS. STIDHAM: I think Mr. Crowley would be best as we have not been working with this memorial on site selection. So if we could bring -- if Mr. Crowley is still here and we could bring him back up, that would be great.

And while he's coming up I just want to note that the language regarding Lincoln was amended in the final bill and that it is in the findings section. So it speaks to intent, not to a requirement.

But, Mr. Crowley, I don't know if you heard the question or if you would like Mr. Sherman to repeat it.

MR. CROWLEY: I did hear it, and thank you for it. I'll say this: We've retained

AECOM, who I think many of you are familiar with,

to help us through the site selection process.

Very well respected in this regard on the National Mall and in D.C. So we did start looking at locations all around D.C. In fact, I'm not quite sure how far Area 1 stretches, but we looked over at the Freedom Plaza. We did, Commissioner, to your point, look at the grounds of Congress.

Interestingly, and you all know this better than I, but the grounds of Congress are treated differently, so it would actually require a fully different process than the Commemorative Works Act lays out to be up there. So we decided to stick with more of the traditional route here.

The point about Lincoln -- and we did look across the river, too, because it was suggested to us by some that Arlington Cemetery or somewhere near Iwo Jima might be good because of the military connection there, but the more members of Congress we met with the more they kept saying well, if Lincoln started it, you've got that historic connection there. Why not look at being closer to Lincoln?

So we started to narrow it closer and closer to about a dozen sites in and around Lincoln including one of the back side that faces the Potomac River where apparently they used to do concerts on barges and some other things as well as some over near where the proposed GWOT location is, some over by the volleyball courts near to Ohio Drive. And we just kind of kept zeroing in on this spot.

And part of it is some historic documents we found that showed initial plans for -- I believe that's called West Potomac Park over there where the Lincoln Memorial sits, and there were actually a couple of proposed memorial sites near to where the Tidal Basin meets -- or the Reflecting Pool, whichever one that is, meets the Lincoln Memorial where monuments were planned and never constructed. And so we thought kind of looking at some of those where there was clear historic intent by the planners of that area prior to the construction of the Lincoln Memorial was a good thing to look at. So we just kept

narrowing it.

And again, to Congressman Moore's point about compromise, there was that language in there about within 1,000 feet of Lincoln because of that thematic nexus. But there was some pushback about being that specific. A thousand feet actually stretches a lot further than many of us think. When we went out and walked it it seemed really close until you got there.

get to something like the Lincoln Memorial the more humble and non-encroaching and respectful you need to be of those other monuments and memorials. And so to be honest, we like the idea of something small. We're not looking for acreage to build something like World War II or Korea. As I mentioned in my comments, these men and women are humble people. We don't want a braggadocios monument. So we actually view it as an extension of Lincoln's legacy and something that the nearer we get to him the smaller we can

be as kind of guardians of what he started.

MR. SHERMAN: Thank you for that, Mr. Crowley. I think my second question was going to be do you have a conceptual idea of how -- the scale of what You're imagining? And I think you've covered that with your last comment. So thank you.

MR. CROWLEY: You're welcome.

MS. STIDHAM: And I'll take myself off mute. Before we go to Commissioner Fountain I wanted to remind folks that the Medal of Honor Memorial has not done site selection and if Congress authorizes them in the Reserve, then they will need to do site selection or they -- this site selection overall has not been done. So as to the questions for Mr. Crowley I just wanted to preface that.

Mr. Fountain?

MR. FOUNTAIN: Tammy, I think you functionally just answered by inquiry, which is do we have that amended bill, because the only 2717 I have has the language specifying that it

1 be within 1,000 yards or feet, whatever it is, of the Lincoln Memorial. Was the amended bill 2 3 circulated or do we not have that? MS. STIDHAM: Let's see. 4 We may have circulated the wrong bill. Let me sure we get 5 the amended bill out to you. But the correct 6 7 bill should have it in the findings and not --8 MR. FOUNTAIN: Okay. 9 MS. STIDHAM: -- in the text of the bill itself. 10 11 MR. FOUNTAIN: All right. Thank you. MS. STIDHAM: 12 If you take a look at 13 the legislative analysis, that might help. It's 14 clearly defined there where it is and to the intent. So in the meantime. 15 16 MR. FOUNTAIN: Got it. Thank you. 17 MS. STIDHAM: You're welcome. 18 Mr. McMahon, I see your mute is off. 19 MR. McMAHON: Just because I had to 20 push to talk. I've got not comments at this 21 Obviously it's (audio interference) prior 22 authorized by Congress. It's a very worthy

1 effort what they're doing. Having been stationed 2 at Charleston, South Carolina my last tour in the 3 Navy, I know there are multiple sites that are 4 dedicated to memorializing Medal of Honor winners 5 through different methods. What we here with Medal of Honor is much broader than what happens 6 7 down in Charleston. So I support the effort. 8 And I'm not sure I have a strong feeling one 9 direction or the other about the potential 10 location of this legislation. Thanks. 11 MS. STIDHAM: Okay. Thank you very 12 much. 13 Mr. Luebke? 14 Yes, I just have a MR. LUEBKE: 15 question for you, the Chair, and the Park This is a tricky authorization in that 16 Service. 17 it -- once again it goes to the Reserve as a 18 stipulation for location. This has happened now 19 with Global War on Terror, correct? 20 MS. STIDHAM: Correct. 21 MR. LUEBKE: And the other one, the 22 Women's Suffrage?

MS. STIDHAM: Correct.

MR. LUEBKE: So any others?

MS. STIDHAM: Not yet. Not as of yet.

MR. LUEBKE: So I just want to say for the record we're in this weird position. We've got the Commemorative Works Act, which is an act of Congress, which says nothing should be located in this bill. It was always functioned as a pretty hard stop for everybody. Regulation is sort of like that. Once you make an exception, everybody wants the exception. And look what's happened. Only a year later and we've got two new groups asking for that exception.

I'm not here to say that it doesn't deserve it. We have unanimous support out of Congress for it I don't think anybody's going to push back. It's crazy. This is obviously the will of Congress. But is Congress' own rule not to do this and all of a sudden now everything's that's coming in suddenly breaks that rule. It's time to change the rule. The Reserve suddenly means nothing is where I'm going, if that's what

is going to happen. It just means nothing.

So I think that you should have some consideration of looking again at the Commemorative Works Act and what -- the definition of the Reserve because it no longer -once you have an exception, everybody is getting it and it doesn't mean anything. And I think we nave to either have some other process to determine when can things go in the Reserve? Ιt just turns into a popularity contest or something. I don't know. I'm very conflicted about this because this was worked out carefully some decades ago and now who's going to say no? I think this is just a very difficult position to be in if that's what the rules were and now they don't mean anything.

So I think there's wonderful reasons why this memorial should happen, should happen in a very high area of prominence. It clearly has wide political support. So that's all good. So I just raise that as -- maybe there's something that we can do to advise Congress that we need to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

address this issue.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Luebke.

I can say that the National Park Service has been struggling with this as well. Obviously the National Medal of Honor Memorial deserves a place of prominence. We're not disputing that. We totally agree.

As our Deputy Director of External and Congressional Affairs Mike Reynolds stated during the hearing for this bill, Congress did state that the Reserve is a completed work of civic art. And we are there -- we are continuing to be there to protect that space and to ensure that it is serving the multiple functions that it needs to serve in addition to being a place of prominence where we go to commemorate.

It also is America's front yard and it is the space where the public is to participate in large scale events related to this nation: the inauguration, Fourth of July, those sort of spaces. And if the spaces continue to be used up by structures of any type or anything, that other

role that the National Mall is to take will be lost. And where will the public participate in government, that it's no longer there?

So we would agree that there needs to be alternatives that have that same prominence and it's going to take someone with a lot of conviction to take a vision and go somewhere else. But I think it does fall on us to suggest where that somewhere else might be and how we expand because there is a lot going on right now and a lot more to come, as we all know. And there's no space for it. And it has nothing to do with any particular thing. It's just a matter of space.

Oh, Mr. Fountain. I'm sorry. I didn't see your hand.

MR. FOUNTAIN: So Mr. Luebke touched on a number of thoughts that I've got in response to this proposal. And I'm happy to launch into my comments, but I don't want to foreclose other questions that other members of the Commission may have before we start discussion.

MS. STIDHAM: I think we're moving into discussion.

MR. FOUNTAIN: So my agency of course has very direct connections to the Medal of Honor. More than 100 Medal of Honor recipients are buried in our cemeteries or listed on our walls of the missing. They are distinguished by the fact that the inscriptions on their headstones and on their names are gilded with gold leaf to set them apart from their comrades buried or listed around them. One of our current commissioners, Former Army Captain Florent Groberg, is himself a Medal of Honor recipient.

That said, we're hesitant to endorse specification that the memorial be within the Reserve for a number of reasons, and again, many of which tie to Mr. Luebke's remarks. And looking at both of the matters before us today as I've been reviewing the materials, both with respect to this memorial and the Women's Suffrage Memorial, we've got the Reserve, we've got the

Mall, which is an undefined term, we've got Area 1, we've got the Monumental Core, which is an undefined term. And I agree with Mr. Luebke that maybe we need to go back and re-look at how those overlapping areas relate to each other, and even in my mind to what they're called.

The odd thing about the Reserve is it is not the Mall as people commonly think of the Mall. As far as I can tell it largely (audio interference) to National Park Service boundaries so it does not include the Smithsonian grounds for instance. It includes those little parcels around the Department of Agriculture that we're looking at in the Women's Suffrage Memorial proposal. The sponsors of the Desert Storm Memorial proudly proclaim that they are located on the National Mall, but they are not within the Reserve.

And so there's an arbitrariness to the Reserve for one thing. I take exception to legislation that says -- not just this specific bill, but any legislation that says a memorial

shall be in the Reserve as opposed to may be in the Reserve because there are plenty of other sites even just within the Mall, much less the Monumental Core, that are just as prominent, just as honorable, just as worthy as those within the Reserve.

I was struck in looking at the Women's Suffrage Memorial site selection analysis at how many of their sites are essentially not even across the street from the Reserve, but almost cheek by jowl with the Reserve, yet within what most people would think of as the Mall or would think of as the Monumental Core.

But we focus so much attention on the Reserve and then people want direction that they shall be in the Reserve that we short circuit and constrain the process unnecessarily. So I think it is worth thinking about revisiting our statute with the caveat that when you reopen a statute then you don't know what direction that reopening is going to go. And so you need to be cautious about that.

So there's that larger definitional issue and issues with respect to the structure of our -- of the Commemorative Works Act.

As Mr. Luebke indicates, I do think that this Commission ought to continue to be defenders of the Commemorative Works Act and the Reserve clause, and our starting point ought to be that a prior Congress made the determination that the Reserve is closed. And if a subsequent Congress wants to make the blanket determination that it should not be closed any longer, that's one thing, but I think we ought to continue to oppose piecemeal exceptions to the Reserve clause.

But we find ourselves in the position, as Mr. Luebke indicated, of being asked to weigh in on proposed exceptions without any rule of decision as to when an exception would be warranted. And so it seems in this context and in the Women's Suffrage context we're sort of being tasked implicitly to come up with our own rule of decision as to when we might say yes,

this exception is warranted or this is not.

And in thinking about this particular memorial I go back again to my agency's own rule of decision when it comes to erecting memorials. We were charged in 1923 with the mission of erecting monuments to honor the Service and accomplishments of American Armed Forces where they have served overseas. And our initial commission under the leadership of General Pershing made the determination that we would only build memorials to commemorate very large events essentially at the campaign level and we chose not to build memorials to individual units even at the division level much less down to the regiment or battalion or other levels. And the memorials that the American Battle Monuments Commission has built around the world are generally at the campaign level or higher.

What characterizes this memorial in my mind is that it is to a relatively small, notwithstanding tremendously heroic group of people, in contrast to the other military

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

memorials that have been built within the Reserve or within the Mall proper. And so it would stand in marked contrast to the Vietnam, Korea, or World War II Memorials, much less the World War I Memorial, which doesn't even sit within the Reserve or the Mall, although it is arguably in the Monumental Core.

And so I don't think of a rule of decision that would say a memorial to these 3,500 servicemen and women ought to be within the Reserve as opposed to elsewhere on the Mall or within the Monumental Core where it would still have the potential for pride of place.

I appreciate that the memorial is intended to represent not just those individuals but the ideals that they represent, but I submit that in that case they would be somewhat redundant of the existing war memorials because all the servicemen and women that served in those other conflicts reflected many of the same qualities and virtues that the Medal of Honor recipients did, if not to a particular degree on

a particular day. And so I would submit that those broader qualities superseding the individuals themselves are already represented within the Reserve.

So as we think of other sites I appreciate the connection to President Lincoln, but again I would suggest there may be a stronger connection at the other end of the Mall. As Mr. Sherman noted, it is commonly referred to as the Congressional Medal of Honor and so a location proximate to the Capitol may reinforce that connection. Even more so I think proximity to the Grant Memorial would be highly appropriate given that the Medal was first conferred in the Civil War and General Grant was the primary — the principal leader of Union Forces in the Civil War.

And so I think it's interesting that
Northwest Capitol ground site north of the Grant
Reflecting Pool opposite the Botanical Gardens
I've been looking at as a good a site for both
this memorial and the Women's Suffrage Memorial

as we'll talk about later. But that connection to General Grant and then particularly the two sculptural tableaus on either side of him I think would be very powerful.

Mr. Sherman, or I think Mr. Crowley mentioned looking across the river toward Arlington National Cemetery grounds. I believe I've advocated before that we ought to be looking on or adjacent to Arlington National Cemetery grounds as a proper location for military-themed memorials, both to reinforce the thematic connections between those sites and also to expand the memorial landscape across the river. And I think there would be appropriate sites over there worth considering before we dictate that this memorial be within the Reserve.

I'm just looking over my notes to see if I've missed anything else. I think that largely covers my thoughts. Again, we're being asked to -- as Mr. Luebke notes, we're increasingly being asked to advise Congress on whether to make an exception to its own

legislation and we have to look at individual pieces of legislation within the larger context of other legislation that has come before us or will come before us.

Mr. Luebke didn't note that there was a prior legislation to authorize the World War I Memorial to be located within the Reserve and that legislation did not advance. And I'd respectfully submit that if World War I did not warrant an exception to the Reserve clause, then I have a hard time articulating a reason why the Medal of Honor Memorial ought to warrant an exception in contrast.

So with all due appreciation for the objectives of Representative Moore, Mr. Crowley and their organization -- and again, no one is disputing the value of this memorial or even its location within the Monumental Core writ large -- I'm not sure an exception to the Reserve clause is warranted here. Thank you.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Fountain.

Mr. Maloney?

MR. MALONEY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I sensed that you were searching around for a

comment from other members, so I thought (audio

interference).

MS. STIDHAM: Yes. Thank you for that.

MR. MALONEY: My pleasure. I was interested in particular hearing what Mr.

Fountain had to say because he is someone -- and I think of all the members of the Commission -- the Defense Department of course is here, but he is most in the business of dealing with the issue of war memorials. And I have to say I found his entire statement very persuasive.

It is a problem that, as you have pointed out and Mr. Luebke pointed out, is increasingly put in front of this Commission in a way that we are not really set up to solve this problem. Everyone -- well, not everyone, but repeatedly we are having requests to put memorials on the Reserve, and as people have said, this is a problem that Congress needs to

solve and abide by their rule, if they're going to set one, otherwise set a different one. So I sense that frustration as well.

And I think that Mr. Fountain has done
I think an excellent analysis of prioritizing,
which we have to do, which types of memorials
deserve to be in the Reserve and which do not.
And I think he has very carefully thought through
all of the implications that this may have for
similar proposals that may come in the future
that are not of the scale of these national
memorials to major conflicts: World War I, so on,
so forth, go down the line, and that if we open
that up to a plethora of memorials on smaller
aspects of military valor, that is a very
difficult thing I think to sustain.

And you all I think may know the history of the Commemorative Works Act better than I, but I think one of the things that Congress was pointing a direction away from was the two memorials that are on the south grounds of the Executive Office Building, the individual

1 units within the military. And I think the 2 notion was that we would get away from that and 3 try to make sure that in the Reserve area there would not be these more individualized memorials. 4 5 So it isn't -- no disrespect to the recipients of the Medal of Honor certainly, and it just seems 6 7 to me it is more a question of practicality in terms of sustaining memorialization of the Mall 8 9 indefinitely. 10 I also parenthetically agree with the 11 notion that proximity to Lincoln is to my mind not convincing enough as an important rationale 12 13 for locating it in close proximity to Lincoln. Ι 14 mean, it seems to me there would be other 15 locations that would be equally prominent and 16 equally memorable to locate this monument. 17 MS. STIDHAM: Great. Thank you, Mr. 18 Maloney. 19 I think we still have to hear from Ms. 20 Williams? 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. I don't 22 have the luxury of having history sitting on the

Commission; this is my first time representing our agency, but I do know that Mina Wright, who usually sits on the Commission from GSA, has often remarked that it may be time for a re-look at the Commemorative Works Act to figure out what is working and what is not. And that does seem to be supported by the comments from Mr. Luebke and Mr. Maloney and Mr. Fountain. And so whatever the mechanism to begin that is, I think we would wholeheartedly support it.

As for the discussion of this
particular monument, again definitely see the
important for the Medal of Honor recipients, and
there is no doubt that they should be recognized
in a prominent location. I would agree with Mr.
Maloney that when it comes to my mind the sort of
adjacency to Lincoln is less prominent when
thinking about these individuals than maybe some
of the other sites that have already been
mentioned. Either closer to Congress or even
looking back towards the Arlington Cemetery and
some of those adjacent environs around there or

the Pentagon might be another prominent and suitable location for consideration.

And so again I don't have the depth of history that my fellow Commissioners do, so I do align myself with my comments. And again, we're supportive of the memorial and look forward to seeing where as the site selection develops and the monument design continues.

MS. STIDHAM: Great. Thank you, Ms. Williams.

Commissioner Imamura?

MR. IMAMURA: Sure. Thank you, Madam Chair. I agree with the comments of my fellow Commissions. Like Commissioner Maloney, I enjoy comments that Commissioner Fountain makes.

Certainly I think this Commission, the thing that I enjoyed most is it's comprised of a tremendous amount of experience and wisdom by my fellow Commissioners. Appreciate Commissioner Sherman's questions to identify a little bit more about the design intent behind this. Certainly it's inarquable that this commemorative work is

important to honor and find an appropriate location.

I would say that in my position representing the agency for the Architect of the Capitol the comments -- the thematic nexus with Congress compared to the thematic nexus with Lincoln I certainly understand and appreciate the comments made by the Commission, my fellow Commissioners here. And it would be difficult to arque against that connection with Congress, with the Grant Memorial, however, as Mr. Crowley had commented that that process is an entirely different set of circumstances for them -- and I certainly want this to be a successful endeavor and think that maybe perhaps other sites across the river, Arlington, and perhaps elsewhere may be more appropriate.

Like Commissioner Luebke had mentioned, and I thought you might add this; and certainly you did, Madam Chair, your remarks that the great cross-axis is a complete work of art, the Reserve. So I think there's a general consensus

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 here that as this Commission we should protect 2 that unless otherwise directed by Congress. 3 MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. So I'm getting the impression that we're all of similar 4 mind, so I would offer -- if someone would like 5 to make a motion? Mr. Fountain? 6 I don't know that we've 7 MR. FOUNTAIN: 8 ever proceeded by motion before, to my 9 recollection. Mr. May tended to --10 MS. STIDHAM: It was often done by 11 motion to ensure that what we're carrying forward is the intent of this Commission. And because it 12 13 sounds as though there is -- we are all around 14 the same -- the theme of protecting the Reserve, 15 if I'm characterizing that appropriately -- I 16 want to make sure that we -- what goes forward to 17 the Committee is in line with what the Commission 18 believes is what we should be commenting on. 19 MR. FOUNTAIN: Well I can Yes. 20 certainly make a motion that the Commission 21 recommend to the Congress that it not pass the 22

bill with the specification that the memorial

1 shall be located within the Reserve. 2 really the end of the matter. But I would, as a 3 second motion, I suppose, pass on -- I mean 4 that's really the --5 MS. STIDHAM: Well, let's --MR. FOUNTAIN: It's a narrow statute. 6 7 There's other comment we can make, but it's a --8 the statute is very narrow (audio interference) -9 10 MS. STIDHAM: Let's see if there's a 11 second on your first motion before we move to a 12 potential second motion, otherwise we can revisit 13 a motion. 14 MR. IMAMURA: If I can add a friendly 15 amendment to that, Madam Chair, that we include 16 that other potential sites be considered. Ι 17 think that's important. Just not that it's not in the Reserve, but that the Commission is open 18 19 to and wants to support other sites that may be 20 more appropriate with a stronger thematic 21 connection.

MS. STIDHAM: So I would say that the

motion on the floor is to recommend to Congress

to not confine the selection to the Reserve, but

allow for site selection to take place that is

appropriate with the nexus of this potential

memorial in a place that is rightful to meeting

its thematic nexus, as Mr. Harvard would say.

Mr. Maloney?

MR. MALONEY: I think it would be also

-- may be appropriate to say in the context of

-- may be appropriate to say in the context of that motion also that the Commission fully supports this memorial, that we don't -- we're not taking issue with the memorial itself or its importance, but it's merely the issues that we've cited that -- this great conflict of cramming too many things on the Mall. That is -- or in the Reserve. That is the issue with us.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. That is important.

Mr. McMahon?

MR. McMAHON: Thanks, Madam Chair. I guess -- can you hear me, by the way?

MS. STIDHAM: Yes.

MR. MCMAHON: Okay. Great. I guess
just to make sure we're following Robert's Rules
of Order I guess will offer a second to the
original proposal so then we can discuss it. I
would like to point out as we discuss this
amendment and language that this memorial in the
District of Columbia is already approved as I
recall reading the draft language of 2717, an
analysis that was approved in 2021 to establish
the Museum Foundation's Memorial on federal land
in the District of Columbia. So I don't think
any of us at this point were discussing the merit
and need for such a memorial to Medal of Honor
awardees, but actually where it goes and what
language we follow. So I'm not sure that we need
to modify the original amendment as proposed
(audio interference).
MR. MALONEY: That's a fair point. I
agree.
MS. STIDHAM: That is correct. It was
authorized in 2021. And this bill that we're

discussing was in relation to location,

specifically that it would -- that it shall be located within the Reserve. So I think in our -- to Mr. Maloney's point, I think to our letter -- in our letter back we can state our support for the memorial as this Commission did support it when the bill was in front of us to authorize the memorial as a site. I think our follow-up here is related to location and not restricting it just to the Reserve, but having the Medal of Honor do a full site selection to look at the merits of different locations and its connections.

MR. McMAHON: Ma'am, thanks.

The other thing I would like to point out is I went while we were talking, I went to congress.gov and pulled up the, the bill on the website. And it doesn't include the actual bill, not in your -- you know, there's no findings behind it, a requirement that it be located within 1,000 feet from Lincoln Memorial.

So, I think, I think that the bill as written and as reported out by committee includes

that, that requirement.

MS. STIDHAM: I think there must have been a mistake on the website. I hate to say it. We do have the amended bill that we received after the markup, so, where it is in the findings. So, we will take a good look at that to make sure everyone has that.

MR. McMAHON: Thank you.

MS. STIDHAM: Okay. So, let's see if I can maybe restate.

Oh, wait a minute. We have a second from Mr. McMahon.

So, I guess I would say if everyone's in agreement with the motion that -- I'm missing words now -- that the Commission, not so much that the Commission supports it, but we do, that the location should be reconsidered. To reconsider the location within the Reserve and broaden it to allow for site selection throughout the District to better connect this particular memorial to other existing sites. Or something much more articulate than that came out.

1 So, I'll go ahead and take a vote and 2 see where we are. 3 Anyone in support, raise your hands. (Show of hands.) 4 5 MS. STIDHAM: Okay. That was 6 everybody. Okay, great. Our Park Service staff will draft that 7 8 correspondence and circulate it for everyone 9 before we report this up. 10 So, thank you. Thank you for that. 11 So, moving on to our next agenda item, 12 which is a site selection presentation for the 13 Women's Suffrage National Monument. 14 Congress approved Public Law 116-217 15 on January 24th, 2014, authorizing "Every Word We Utter" monument to establish a commemorative work 16 17 on federal land in the District of Columbia and 18 its environs to commemorate the women's suffrage 19 movement, and the passage of the 19th Amendment 20 to the Constitution which gave women the right to 21 vote. 22 I should add that the language, the

name memorial has changed from "Every Word We

Utter" to National Women's -- "Women's Suffrage

National Monument," as we move forward.

One of the sites that you're going to see in the presentation that, that is coming up

is the west parking lot of the USDA Whitten

Building. And I just wanted to provide a little

background related to that site.

NCMAC supported that site, along with several other possible sites, on a short list for the location of the National Liberty Memorial back in July of 2013. So, if that site seems familiar, that's where we saw it before.

The status was that that particular memorial sponsor, they presented their short list, but they didn't complete their subselection. And to date, they have no final site selected for that memorial.

So, the women's -- you'll see that as part of the upcoming presentation.

Today representatives from memorial sponsor, Women's Suffrage National Monument

1 Foundation, are here with us to speak about the 2 findings just far -- so far in their site 3 selection process. We will receive a 4 presentation on these findings from Anna Laymon, 5 Executive Director for Women's Suffrage National Monument Foundation, and Claire Sale, Associate 6 7 Principal at AECOM. If we could bring those two forward. 8 9 Ms. Laymon, I understand you 10 will be providing opening remarks and introducing 11 your team. 12 MS. LAYMON: Yes. Okay, so, hi, 13 everyone. 14 So, I wanted to mention whoever is 15 running the tech, for people who are just 16 attendees who are watching it suddenly switched 17 from being able to see everybody to only being 18 able to see the person that's speaking. 19 And so, when you all voted, the people 20 who are watching can't see all of you. So, Tammy, the only person we could 21

see was you.

1	MS. STIDHAM: Okay.
2	MS. LAYMON: So, just whoever's running
3	tech, just FYI.
4	MS. STIDHAM: Oh, I don't know if it
5	expects everyone.
6	MS. LAYMON: All right. All right,
7	ignore that.
8	MS. STIDHAM: Okay. And Claire I think
9	is here. Claire, you're good? Okay.
10	MS. LAYMON: She is here.
11	MS. SALE: I am here.
12	MS. LAYMON: Perfect. Okay, let's get
13	started.
14	Okay. So, thank you everyone. I'm
15	thrilled to be here. I have been the Executive
16	Director of the Women's Suffrage National
17	Monument Foundation since January of last year.
18	And this is a milestone that we have been working
19	towards since then, so close to two years.
20	So, this is great. This is, like,
21	thrilling, to finally be here with you all.
22	So, okay, so the first thing I wanted

to mention was just to address the elephant in the room. We are going something in this meeting that I'm, I'm not sure you all have ever done before. Maybe you have, but that to my knowledge you haven't. Which is we are asking you to consider your position, your stance both on designating us for Area 1, and then possible sites within Area 1.

And then also this legislation that's moving through Congress that you'll be discussing after this for this monument to possibly be placed within the Reserve.

So, I know that that's unusual. I know that's not typically how this is done. But I just thought I'd give a little bit of background so what -- how this has come to be.

So, we began our site selection process with the National Park Service and with AECOM really back in January of 2022, but in earnest in January of this year. And fast forward a couple of months later, Congress introduced this legislation to place this

monument within the Reserve, bicameral, bipartisan legislation.

The National Park Service asked us to continue moving forward with our Area 1, Area 2 site selection process in parallel with this Reserve legislation moving through Congress. We were absolutely happy to do that because for us it's, it's not about whether or not this monument is inside or outside of a red line that was drawn in 2003. For us it's about finding the best possible site for what will be, we hope, the first national monument dedicated to American women's history on the National Mall.

So, what you're going to see from today, so first we're going to present to you our justifications for an Area 1 designation. You're going to see our site selection process, how we went through that.

We're going to ask you to consider

Area 1. And then we're going to ask you to

consider a couple of sites specifically within

Area 1 that we think are really good sites, and

that we would be really honored to receive.

However, there is a however, there is an asterisk.

The bottom line for us is that the sites you're about to see in this Area 1 presentation, which are two great sites that we're excited to bring to you, they are not going -- how do I put it best? -- they are not going to weave women into the commemorative core of the National Mall. We're going to come close. We're going to come very, very close. But we will still be adjacent to the American story that we're telling in that monumental core. We won't be woven in.

And that is truly our North Star.

That is what we are here to, hopefully, have a discussion about.

For us, we believe -- and I hope you'll agree -- that we have erased women out of history by not memorializing their contributions to this country. There is no common civic knowledge of what women have given to American

democracy. The women and girls of this country deserve better.

But, the great news is this is a problem that can be fixed. Often when it comes to gendered inequity there is no clear path forward. Gendered inequity is typically a very hard problem to solve.

This isn't hard to solve. This is pretty easy to solve. We're hoping to present that case to you today for your consideration and right what we think is a pretty major historic wrong.

But, that's a bigger conversation for later in this meeting when we get to the part, when we get to the Reserve discussion. For now we are very grateful and excited to give you our Area 1 site selection process, our Area 2 site selection process, and see if you agree with us that this monument is certainly worthy of being in Area 1, and that these are two very possible and viable sites.

Could we go to the next slide.

1 So, Tammy already mentioned 2 this, but this monument was authorized through 3 public law back in 2020. It was December of 2020 4 when the law was passed to establish this 5 monument. In this process, in the site selection 6 7 study we're going to walk you through a few 8 things: 9 We're going to articulate our goals. 10 We're going to outline our memorial 11 program. 12 We're going to explain, you know, why 13 we think this absolutely should qualify for Area 14 1. And we're going to show you how we 15 went from dozens and dozens of sites to our final 16 17 two that we'd like to present to you today. I want to mention also, Tammy 18 19 mentioned that our name used to be "Every Word We 20 Utter." And since then we have legally changed 21 our name. We are now the Women's Suffrage

National Monument Foundation.

This has grown into a very different project than the last time you all saw us. I think that the last time this organization was in front of NCMAC was 2019. We are a very different organization since that last time you saw us.

This project originated with two women in Colorado who noticed the lack of women's representation in Washington, D.C., and that there was no memorial to the women's suffrage movement. They went to their congressmen, who introduced legislation, and together they figured it out and they made this pretty incredible thing happen by getting this law passed.

Since then the six living first ladies have signed on as honorary chairs of this project. It's the only organization in the country where they serve together voluntarily.

We have two dozen of the nation's leading experts serving together on our Advisory Council. We're talking about established historians, public art experts, and experts in community engagement.

And we've brought on leaders and luminaries like Ken Burns, presidential historian Doris Kearns Goodwin, former Poet Laureate Joy Harjo to serve together as the ambassadors of the project.

So, you're going to notice this is a very different project than the project that you saw a few years ago. So, this is also a very different project than the project that you're used to being presented with. This is not another war memorial. This is not a monument to the singular man. This is a monument to democracy building, to rights, and it's a celebration of what American women have given to this country.

Can we go to the next slide.

Okay. Our goals. Let's just walk through this slide really quickly.

So, the goals for our monument:

We hope to build a dynamic memorial in the monumental core of our nation's capital.

And, so, I think it was Mr. Fountain who

mentioned, you know, that's a kind of undefined term. What do we consider the "monumental core"?

For us the monumental core we consider to be basically, what is that, 14th Street, 15th Street. So, for us the monumental core is basically 15th Street, so the Washington Monument, down to Lincoln, up to Constitution Gardens, down around the Tidal Basin. That's when you hear "monumental core" throughout this, that's how we're defining it.

So, we are hoping to build a dynamic memorial in the monumental core of our nation's capital that, first, we, as the great women of American history, and amongst the giants of our national story, ensure that every girl who visits the National Mall sees herself represented in the American story; permanently enshrined women's contributions to building, securing, and expanding American democracy; and inspires a continued investment in America's constitutional promise of freedom, justice, and equality for all.

1 Can we go to the next slide. 2 Thank you. 3 Okay. So, our themes. We are looking for a site that 4 5 supports these three things: First, we are hoping to weave women 6 into the heart of the nation's most important 7 commemorative corridor, and inspire visitors to 8 9 revere the role women played in building, 10 shaping, and expanding our democracy; 11 Next, we are hoping to celebrate the 12 role of our foremothers in building a more just 13 and equal America, and; 14 Finally, we hope to tell a diverse and 15 broad story at the site for the 19th Amendment. 16 And we're looking for a site that would support 17 those three things. 18 The history piece. 19 So, I know you, we've presented in 20 front of you all before. We've talked about the 21 history of this monument. But just very briefly, 22 if you'll indulge me, you know, just common

understanding of what we're talking about.

So, of course, I'm sure you all are familiar with this, but the suffragettes began their organized fight for women's equality. And that's important. When we're talking about the women's suffrage movement we are not just talking about the fight for the right to vote. We are talking about women's historical fight for equality.

The right to vote was a piece of that.

It was a piece of that political representation,

it was a piece of that full quality.

But they were also fighting for the right to education, the right to divorce their husbands and still keep custody of their children. There were a lot of things they were fighting, the vote was one of them. But the women's suffrage movement was truly a fight for women's equality.

The organized fight for women's suffrage and women's equality began in 1848 when 300-plus women and a few men gathered together in

Seneca Falls, New York, and put on paper for the very first time that all men and women are created equal, which was a bold, radical statement to make at the time.

For the next 72 years these women lobbied, marched, picketed, protested for their right to the ballot and for full equality under the law. They were revolutionaries. They orchestrated one of the most sweeping and effective lobbying campaigns in U.S. history. They adopted the British motto "deeds, not words." Right? So, action, right, this is very, very action oriented.

And because generations of women raised their voices and persevered in the fight for full equality, the 19th Amendment became part of the U.S. Constitution in 1920.

That moment, August 26th, 1920, marked the single largest expansion of voting rights in American history, culminated in a massive extension of democracy, individual rights, and justice.

The suffragettes set protests -- or precedents, excuse me, they set precedents in protest, civil disobedience, civic organization that continued to inspire, that were absolutely conformative to all of the movements that came after them. And they absolutely moved this nation closer to a more perfect union.

They were the first to picket in front of the White House. And those who were arrested for peacefully protesting in front of the White House were the first in American history to orchestrate hunger strikes for being wrongfully imprisoned.

They were American heroes. Right?

So, who are we talking about? Of
course you know some of these people. We have
great leaders like Elizabeth Cady Stanton,
Sojourner Truth, Harriet Tubman, Jane Addams,
Mabel Ping-Hua Lee, Alice Paul, Ida B. Wells.

But we're also talking about women like Margaret Brent, who in 1648 was denied the vote in the colony of New Jersey because until

1922 in this country a woman's citizenship was tied to that of her husband or her father. Without either, Margaret Brent is 1648, in the eye of the law had no personhood.

We're also talking about women like
Abigail Adams, founding mother, who wrote to her
husband John Adams in 1776, urged him, begged him
to remember the ladies in this new republic.

We're talking about women like Sarah
Grimke who in South Carolina in 1838 wrote a
guiding statement that inspired the suffrage
movement first when she -- my favorite quote of
all time -- she said, "I ask no favors for my
sex. I surrender not our claim to equality. All
our ask of our brethren is, that they take their
feet from our necks, and permit us to stand
upright." That was 1838.

And we're talking about millions more women who worked for centuries for the betterment of our democracy in big and small ways, and who deserve to have their courage commemorated in the singular national park in this country dedicated

1 to celebrating the true power of American 2 democracy. 3 So, about to turn it over to you, Claire. 4 5 So, we are here to ask that you remember the ladies, just like Abigail Adams 6 7 asked nearly 250 years ago. The question before us as we consider where this monument belongs, 8 9 will the great women of American history finally 10 be allowed space in the monumental core of the 11 National Mall, to deepen our understanding of the 12 ideals of equality, freedom, and justice so 13 central to the founding of this republic? 14 Will our girls finally see themselves 15 in the American story we're telling in the most visited national park in the United States? 16 17 There's a lot to talk about. So, I'm 18 going to stop there, hand it over to Claire, 19 who's going to walk you through our site 20 selection process. 21 Thanks. 22 (National Alert test sounds.)

1	MS. LAYMON: That was the alarm,
2	Claire, to notify everyone that, that you're
3	talking now. I orchestrated that intentionally.
4	MS. STIDHAM: Perfect. Perfect timing,
5	Anna.
6	Everybody's phone the national alert
7	is about to happen. So, at least here. So,
8	everyone's phone might be going off. But,
9	Claire, if you're ready, the rest of us will be
10	on mute. And you can move forward.
11	MS. SALE: My phone just went off. So,
12	if you saw me jump, that was why.
13	MS. STIDHAM: All right. I'll turn it
14	to you.
15	MS. SALE: Okay. On the next slide
16	we'll talk about how we took all the information
17	that Anna provided us and how we're trying to
18	translate that into a physical, the physical
19	embodiment of all of that.
20	So, as part of the program that we're
21	looking at, we are looking at a highly-landscaped
22	design that can tell a series of stories rather

than just one, one feature, to have something that can have a series of commemorative features and that can tell the story from different perspectives and the multi-generational aspect of it.

We want something that accommodates true space-making and offers an opportunity to create a distinct memorial location within the monument core, so that's a special place.

We're looking to have a place that visitors can safely visit and participate in programming throughout the day and evening.

We want a place that can provide space for programming and events of different kinds, including concerts, discussions, school groups, et cetera.

And we're looking for a place that can provide a transfer -- excuse me -- a transformative experience for all visitors, and that can ignite curiosity about women's contributions to American history.

Next slide, please.

So, as part of this we looked at, we developed some site selection criteria.

So, the first thing we looked at was, okay, can we find a site that is suitable and matches the program we just went through?

We also looked at the thematic nexus. So, we want to have a site that physically, thematically, and conceptually connects the founding of the nation's democracy with the women's story, so that we can weave it in through our great leaders

We looked at the addition to the commemorative landscape. So, we want something that adds to the commemorative landscape in Washington as opposed to taking away or impinging on existing memorials.

We want to talk about having it integrated into the national -- excuse me -- integrated into the monumental core. And Anna just described the definition used in this site, site study, so that we can weave women's history and the story of women's leaders into that, into

1 our commemoration of America's leaders. We want to have coordination for 2 3 implementation, and to be sensitive of other 4 plans for monument sites, so that it's not 5 dependent upon other plans. We're looking for something that is 6 7 transformable, so we want to avoid constraints and limiting factors for a site. 8 9 We want it to offer safety and 10 security and enables as well as welcomes a 11 celebratory and safe experience for visitors. We want something that offers site 12 13 access so that it's not, for instance, behind a 14 secure perimeter of a location. 15 And we want something that is accessible both from Metrorail as well as for 16 17 pedestrians. 18 And on the next slide we, we're 19 switching to Area 1. 20 So, we kind of talked about our 21 criteria and the themes. So, now we're going to

talk about the criteria for Area 1.

On the next slide, so, part of Area 1's justification, the intent here is to have something that is of preeminent, historical, and lasting significance to the United States. And we posit that the 19th Amendment to the Constitution giving women the right to vote does qualify for that because the movement represents the role of our foremothers in building, securing, and expanding our democracy.

It represents the suffragettes'
patriotism and resilience, and the continued
investment in America's constitutional promise of
freedom, justice, and quality for all.

And on the next slide, just to underscore what Anna had said previously in terms of all six living first ladies participating as honorary chairs for this memorial just really indicates and underscores the importance of the monument and its broad appeal across a wide spectrum of perspectives.

On the next slide. We'll be introducing the potential sites. And we looked

1 at several of them. 2 So, the next slide will show us our 3 map. So, on our initial range of potential 4 5 sites in Area 1 and Area 2 we focused basically in, around the National Mall. But we looked in, 6 7 as I said, Area 1 and Area 2. So, they ranged from the Belvedere, which is along the western 8 9 edge of our site selection area that we focused 10 on on the Potomac River. 11 We went as far east as Maryland Avenue 12 and Constitution Avenue, N.E. 13 The northernmost site is Freedom 14 And the southernmost site is Banneker 15 Overlook in Southwest D.C. 16 On our next page. We'll go through 17 these slides pretty quickly. These are just sort 18 of snapshots of the range that we looked at. 19 So, these are two sites along the 20 western edge. We looked at the Belvedere and the 21 E Street corridor. You have been previously

shown this map for other projects.

On the next slide we show the Federal Triangle. So, we looked at two locations on Freedom Plaza: Freedom Plaza West, Freedom Plaza East. As well as locations along Pennsylvania Avenue at 12th Street, and at the Archives.

And then, finally, along the Madison Drive at 12th Street, which is adjacent to the American Museum, or National Museum of American History.

Next slide, please.

On this slide we show the sites that we looked at around the U.S. Capitol. And those include Pennsylvania Avenue at Constitution

Avenue, Bartholdi Park, U.S. Capitol grounds northwest, which we'll talk about in a little bit, the Supreme Court North site, as well as the Constitution Avenue at Maryland Avenue, N.E.

And on the next slide, we looked at areas that are sites that are within areas around Southwest. So, that includes the Maine Avenue, the USDA West Lot, Banneker Park, the 10th Street Promenade, and Maryland Avenue, S.W.

Next slide, please.

So, we gave each one of these a rating based on our criteria we had established previously. And here's another one where we're going to go through these slides pretty quickly.

So, on the next slide you'll see that we removed several locations from consideration based on their program suitability. That was the first criteria we looked at. And these sites would not provide that. So, we removed six of these from contention at this point.

The next stage we looked at on the next slide, we looked at thematic nexus, which eliminated even more sites from consideration.

And then, finally, we looked at the addition to the commemorative landscape. And as a result, based on those three initial passes that we looked at for our criteria, we ended up with six sites that we considered potentially viable and that merited further consideration.

Next slide, please.

And so, this is sort of our transition

that we're going to go from our broad selection of approximately 17 sites or so, and then going into more elaborate detail.

So, on the next slide.

This is our range of potential sites that were left. And that includes Freedom Plaza East and West, Madison Drive at 12th Street, the U.S. Capitol Grounds, Northwest, the USDA site, and the 10th Street Promenade.

Next slide, please.

So, the first one we'll talk about is USDA. And we refer it to the USDA West Lot. It is where the USDA headquarters location is at the Whitten Building.

This is the parking lot to the west of the building. It is at 14th Street and Madison, and between Madison Drive and Independence

Avenue. And as you can see here, it is adjacent to the Washington Monument and within view, and it's just south of the National Mall.

This site offers the opportunity to be within that adjacent to the Washington Monument,

so that it is connected to the founder of our country. And the site is currently a surfaced parking lot that has approximately 27 parking spaces.

The plan overall for this, that's been approved for the USDA perimeter security and site improvement plan, calls for this to be a garden landscape. We can talk about that more in a few minutes.

And it is clear, or, excuse me, it is near the Smithsonian Metro Station, so it's only a couple of blocks away. And it's fairly accessible for visitors.

Next slide, please.

We also looked at the 10th Street

Promenade. So, this would offer the opportunity

for a linear park. And this is southwest -- or,

excuse me, south of Independence Avenue and is on

axis with the Haupt Garden and the Smithsonian

Castle.

And so, it's south of the National Mall and doesn't provide direct access. But the

1 Castle does serve as a gateway to visitors to the 2 National Mall, so, and the museum complex. 3 we did look at it in that respect. This also would, the site also 4 reinforces plans developed through NCPC and CFA, 5 the monumental core framework plans and Southwest 6 7 Eco District Plans, and the legacy plan. also reinforces the idea as women as 8 trailblazers. 9 10 So, because it's adjacent to the Mall 11 and not really part of it quite yet, it would 12 extend that commemorative experience. 13 And it also, because it would be a 14 linear park would offer the opportunity for 15 multiple stories to be told or synced. 16 And then, yeah, so and then like I 17 said, it would extend the experience for a 18 cultural gateway. 19 Next slide, please. 20 So, we also looked at the national or, 21 excuse me, the U.S. Capitol Grounds Northwest. 22 And so, this is an existing area of land that is

primarily open turf. It does have some trees and landscaping associated with it. It's near the U.S. Capitol. And is connected through the 1913 Suffrage March as the historic site of the, of the march.

It is near the National Mall but not exactly near where a lot of the commemorative features are for our -- such as the Washington Monument. And there is some concern about jurisdiction, which is under the Architect of the Capitol.

And as we mentioned, or as was mentioned previously in the deliberation earlier, that that does have a different approvals process. So, that is a concern here.

And it also sits within the security perimeter that occurs for various events at the U.S. Capitol. And that is also a concern about having regular access to the site.

Next slide, please.

So, we looked at Madison Drive and 12th Street, NW So, this is on the southwest --

excuse me, southeast edge of the National Museum of American History. It is a grass panel. But there also is a lot of -- there is some infrastructure that's in the area, and so there is some concern about potential conflicts of that.

And it's adjacent to the National Mall but, again, is sort of within the more recreation area of the National Mall and not what we typically think of as the commemorative focus of the National Mall.

And there is some concern that the site size may not be able to accommodate the programs desired.

Next slide, please.

Freedom Plaza we looked at two sites. We looked at Freedom Plaza -- or we treated them as two sites. We looked at Freedom Plaza West. And this is an area that provides an open space that does have some large trees.

It provides the visual access along Pennsylvania Avenue that is clear from this

particular area.

It connects to the National Mall, again still a little further away, but it does have connections via 14th Street.

There is some concern about the Pennsylvania Avenue redevelopment plan and just how those, how that could potentially influence the development of this site as a -- for the Women's Suffrage National Monument.

Next slide, please.

And here we talk about Freedom Plaza
East which has a lot of the same qualities as
Freedom Plaza West but the view corridor is more
limited along Pennsylvania Avenue at this site.
And it would also require the relocation of the
Pulaski Memorial at the site, at this location.

Next slide, please.

So, we've kind of gone through the wide range of memorial locations. And so, now we're going to take a deeper dive into two sites. So, we did some concept studies to sort of figure out how they would work, and to see whether or

1 not that we could, yeah, make a, make a monument 2 work at this site, these sites. 3 So, on the next slide we took a look 4 at the USDA West Lot. 5 So, here outlined in blue is the potential site. And, again, it's within location 6 7 of the Washington Monument. It's also across from the National Museum of African American 8 9 History and Culture, and the National Museum of 10 American History. And so while those two museums 11 aren't necessarily part of the commemorative 12 focus, they are part of American history and our 13 collective experiences. So, putting those within 14 the view of the memorial does have, does 15 reinforce that aspect. 16 And, again, it's connected to the 17 Washington Monument visually. 18 There are also Metro stations. 19 Smithsonian, again, is nearby. On the next slide. Excuse me. 20 21 We looked at the analysis for this 22 site. So, this site is of, is a little curious

because the northern part, portion of this site is under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service. The southern two-thirds of the site is under the jurisdiction of GSA.

And under our site analysis, the 27 parking spaces and existing parking lot would be transformed into the memorial. And as mentioned before, this would enable a garden and more landscaped approach to the site.

So this, as we mentioned earlier, could be consistent with installation of a garden and the site improvement and perimeter security plan.

On the next -- And there is some existing trees on the site as well.

Excuse me, go ahead, switch to the next, next slide.

And here you can see how the landscape, how the current plan for the site, the 2014 concept site improvement and perimeter security plan, is consistent with having, replacing the parking lot with a more landscaped

garden.

Next slide, please.

We also looked at the 10th Street

Promenade. So, along this we looked at

essentially from Independence Avenue down to sort

of the I-395 area. So, this would be a linear

park along the 10th Street Promenade. And there

are some connections to the Smithsonian, as well

as the Washington Monument, but they're a little

further afield.

And there is connections to the Metro Station at L'Enfant Plaza and at the Smithsonian Metro Station is not too far as well.

Next slide, please.

So, when we looked at the site analysis for this and how this could be incorporated as a memorial site, this would offer the opportunity for a linear park and to tell the story as a series of elements.

So, we looked at having the, like I said, a linear park but with a series of nodes.

So, the northernmost node would be, excuse me,

the northernmost node would be along Independence Avenue. And that's an outstanding question.

But to go further to the south along Maryland Avenue and E Street, so overlaying the L'Enfant Plan and reinforcing it with this 10th Street Promenade access, and have a commemorative feature at that site. And then multiple sites further on.

We looked at expanding these potential commemorative nodes into the area where it would potentially take away from parking. So, we estimate there would be approximately 60 onstreet parking spaces that would be removed as part of this. But, this could also reinforce pedestrian safety by narrowing the roadway at these sites.

One other point that's worth noting is at Independence Avenue one of the concerns is that there's the Forrestal Building there which blocks the view connections between the Smithsonian Castle and the 10th Street Promenade. And the anticipation is that the Forrestal

1 Building would be changed and redeveloped at some 2 point, and remove that visual barrier. 3 But at Independence Avenue there could be the opportunity in the future for a impressive 4 5 sort of gateway feature there. However, the Forrestal Building is a challenge to that at the 6 7 moment. And we also looked at a transition 8 9 zone to the south between what we're propose --10 we would suggest is a potential opportunity for 11 the commemorative site and then to Banneker Park 12 over 395. That just adds an extra layer of 13 complexity to the site. 14 And at that, I think on our next slide 15 is sort of our wrap-up of our efforts here. 16 So, I will turn it over to Tammy and 17 the other, other folks if you have any questions 18 or to lead the way. 19 MS. STIDHAM: Thanks, Claire. 20 So, let's see, are there any questions 21 from the Commissioners to Ms. Laymon or to Ms. 22 Sale regarding the site selection study?

1 So, let's focus there first. 2 Commissioner Imamura. MR. IMAMURA: Thank you, Madam Chair. 3 Ms. Sale, Ms. Laymon, thank you for 4 your presentation this afternoon. 5 I'm excited about this. This is, you 6 7 know, long overdue. And I think a lot of people 8 probably feel the same way. And I'm excited 9 about it because I think this is a great thing to 10 celebrate and excited for my daughter to come see 11 it. 12 So, I do have a couple questions and 13 I know that you focused in on the USDA comments. 14 West Lot. Obviously, my particular interest is 15 in what we call Square 575, so that's the 16 Northwest Capitol Site. 17 I was glad to see that the Supreme 18 Court North didn't make the list, as well as 19 Bartholdi Park. That kind of gave me a little 20 bit of pause. I wasn't quite sure how that arrived on the list there. 21

I was struck by your comment, Ms.

Laymon, about your goal to find the best possible site, regardless of where it is, whether it's in the Reserve area or just an appropriate site. I thought that was really terrific.

So, I wanted to ask in terms of your focus if, you know, it's really clear that 575 or the Northwest Capitol site is a popular site for every sponsor. And I understand it's appeal. It looks like a nice unimproved site.

But as you all have discovered, and others, when you peel the layers back there are some issues. So, I'm curious if in your site analysis and sort of discovery if you've moved away from that? Or is that still part of your short list?

MS. LAYMON: No. That's a great question. And thank you for all of those comments.

The short answer is no. Our short list at this point is just the USDA West Lot, the parking lot. So, our short list is just the parking lot or the promenade down 10th Street.

I would agree with you, you know, it was worth looking at the Capitol grounds site, you know, for its potential. But it's just not the right site for this.

And so, and, you know, my understanding certainly is that the Senate has designs on that site for one, one day in the future. And I'm not about to take on the whole Senate for that plot of grass.

So, so, yeah, so no, it was worth considering. And, of course, we've put it on there just to, to demonstrate that we looked at it. But it's off.

And Bartholdi Park, if Alan is listening, that was an Alan Harwood special. So, I'm throwing him under the bus for that. So, that was, that was Alan. Don't look at me for that, yeah.

MR. IMAMURA: All right. Well, great.

You know, that's music to my ears.

And I'm certain that my fellow commissioners have already heard me talk about Square 575, and are

probably glad to hear that, too, so I don't have to rehash some of the things that I've shared in the past.

But to your great wisdom, infinite wisdom here about taking on the Senate, just to share it with you, there are five Senate and House committees that oversee that. So, you'd

9 member. That's ten people.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

There are 100 trees, 26 of which are memorial trees, on that northwest site. So, that means reaching out to 26 members of Congress and donors to let them know that the memorial tree may be relocated.

need to negotiate that with the chair and ranking

So, certainly a number of issues there.

And I think I was really struck by your comment, too, about a safe experience.

MS. LAYMON: Uh-huh.

MR. IMAMURA: Square 575, while it looks really nice, is a convergence of a number of major streets.

1	MS. LAYMON: Yes.
2	MR. IMAMURA: And so my biggest concern
3	would be pedestrian safety at that particular
4	location.
5	So, with that, I think the USDA West
6	Lot I had thought was kind of an interesting
7	selection.
8	And I guess my question here, since
9	we've eliminated many of them already based on
10	your comments, anywhere in sort of this study did
11	you all talk about the impending American Women's
12	History Museum
13	MS. LAYMON: Uh-huh.
14	MR. IMAMURA: and the connection, a
15	potential connection to that?
16	MS. LAYMON: Uh-huh. Yeah, we did.
17	We, we did a lot.
18	It's that to me so, I know
19	everybody on here knows more about this than I do
20	but, of course, that parking lot sits adjacent to
21	the site that the American Women's History
22	Smithsonian would like to build on.

Smithsonian would like to build on.

And that presents opportunities and that presents challenges. You know, there's, there's power in being near each other.

I also think, like, to me I think it is beautiful that the African American History
Smithsonian is where it is, and Martin Luther
King is where he is. And I know that when those two things were decided that there were a lot of people who wanted Martin Luther King situated on the same grounds as the African American History
Museum, or adjacent to.

I think the fact that we didn't have to put all of the African American history bundled together shows that that is a part of the American story just like anything else.

And so, to me there is power in being near each other. But, also, women's stories are a part of all of American history and should be woven into all of these spaces.

So, there's pros, there's cons, there's, of course, building challenges. You know, what if we start building on that lot and

then the Smithsonian starts building right next door, and that takes ten years?

But there is no site, you all know this better than anybody, there is no site without its challenges. There is no site that is uncomplicated. It doesn't exist. So, you have to sit down, Claire and Alan, and you have to think through all these pros and cons, and you have to lay them out because nothing is simple. Nothing is straightforward.

And, of course, it's not guaranteed that they will get that site. So, you just have to kind of move forward with the information that you have at the time, do the best that you can, leave the rest up to God and Congress, I don't know.

But that's what we're doing. So, that's sort of our, our relationship with that.

And, you know, you mentioned safety.

And for us, I don't know how many other

memorials, I truly don't know, have that as a

part of their criteria for what they're looking

for. But I have a 3 and a 5 year old, and my goal is to be able to bring them and my mother, their grandmother, to this site and have it be accessible. Right? Have it be something that my 3 year old can walk to. And have it be something that I can stand and experience without worrying that my 3 year old is going to get hit by a car in the process.

So, that for us is, is hugely important in a way that maybe it isn't for other monuments. But for monuments centered around women, I think that experience and taking that into account is very important.

The other component of safety that we looked at, again from the women's experience, we have a different relationship with safety in the day and night. There are lots of sites in D.C. that I would not feel comfortable going to after dark. And that's just the reality.

So, when we're talking about safety we're thinking about would it feel safe to bring my 3 and 5 year old there? But, also, as a woman

1 would I feel safe visiting that site equally in 2 the daytime and the nighttime? 3 So, that for us, again I don't know 4 what monuments take that into consideration, 5 maybe it looks a little different for other monuments, but for us that's what that 6 7 consideration was. 8 MR. IMAMURA: Great. Thank you, Ms. 9 I think it's well Laymon for your response. 10 articulated as well. Anything within the Reserve 11 or Area 1 is fraught with political and design 12 challenges. 13 But I want to thank you and Ms. Sale 14 for your leadership on this. It's important. 15 And I think in my mind the USDA West 16 Lot, as well as the 10th Street Promenade might 17 lend itself to a really great story to tell. 18 there's a lot of design opportunity there 19 potentially. 20 But I'm interested to hear what my

fellow commissioners have to say as well.

again, thank you both for your presentation and

21

your leadership on this. So, I feel confident 1 2 that you'll be able to see it through for 3 whatever that best possible site is. Thank you, Madam Chair. 4 MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Commissioner. 5 Mr. Luebke. 6 7 MR. LUEBKE: Well, you're probably 8 seeing a slightly puzzled look on my face only 9 because -- and I'm sorry, I just want to make sure I understand. We have a site selection 10 11 process for this memorial right now under I believe 20, what was it, 19 authorization? 12 13 MS. LAYMON: 2020. 14 MR. LUEBKE: 2020. In Area 1. 15 But then we also on today's agenda 16 have another consideration of same, exactly the 17 same thing but to consider the Reserve, to 18 stipulate that it must belong in the Reserve? 19 MS. STIDHAM: Right. So there's 20 actually three things. MR. LUEBKE: Okay. 21 22 MS. STIDHAM: There is does this

1	Commission support moving forward with seeking an
2	Area 1 designation.
3	MR. LUEBKE: Yes.
4	MS. STIDHAM: There is review of the
5	site selection to determine if this Commission is
6	in agreement with supporting the two sites, or
7	are there sites that we would like them to go
8	back and explore?
9	And then the third thing are the two
10	bills, the one for the House and one for the
11	Senate, which is seeking Reserve status.
12	MR. LUEBKE: Okay. Again, this is
13	MS. STIDHAM: We're going to handle
14	them separately just to
15	MR. LUEBKE: This is very
16	MS. STIDHAM: Yeah, it's, it's unusual.
17	MR. LUEBKE: It's very unusual because
18	if the other ones go forward then the discussion
19	we're having right now sort of becomes moot.
20	MS. STIDHAM: It can. Right.
21	MR. LUEBKE: For lack of nicer words.
22	So, I wish we'd done this a different

1	way.
2	MS. STIDHAM: Yes. You are right.
3	MR. LUEBKE: Because
4	MS. STIDHAM: I was wishing we could
5	have done this a different way also.
6	MR. LUEBKE: Okay. I mean, in other
7	words it's nice to weigh in on one, not knowing
8	where it's going.
9	MS. STIDHAM: Well, we're seeking all
10	roads to the end. That is sort of the objective.
11	So, the way I was thinking about it is
12	if we broke it down maybe in a discussion of
13	and we fooled with the agenda a hundred times
14	trying to figure out best how to have this
15	conversation. And it's awkward no matter how you
16	set it up.
17	MR. LUEBKE: Yeah.
18	MS. STIDHAM: So, I think if we could
19	first have a discussion around Area 1.
20	MR. LUEBKE: Okay.
21	MS. STIDHAM: Because I think that's
22	probably a simple discussion

1	And then have a discussion around the
2	site selection. And then we'll and I know
3	this feels out of order but and then have a
4	discussion about the bills and a Reserve
5	exception.
6	MR. LUEBKE: Okay. So, then I have a
7	second question which is related to the Area 1
8	options.
9	So, so, yes, Area 1. The, I've
10	generally been calling it the site.
11	MS. LAYMON: Parking lot.
12	MS. STIDHAM: The Whitten Building.
13	MR. LUEBKE: The Whitten, thank you,
14	Whitten Building site.
15	That had been, as I believe, approved
16	for the Revolutionary War Experience, et cetera.
17	MS. STIDHAM: It was on their, it was
18	on their short list. But they never completed
19	their site selection.
20	MR. LUEBKE: So it has not actually
21	been approved?
22	MS. STIDHAM: Correct.

1 MR. LUEBKE: So, is it a, is it -- so 2 it's, do you consider it to be active or not? 3 MS. STIDHAM: We do. And we did have a conversation with 4 5 Maurice Barboza --MR. LUEBKE: Yeah. 6 7 MS. STIDHAM: -- prior to this meeting and let him know that that site was in the mix 8 for the Women's National Monument. And he 9 10 understood and acknowledged that he did not --11 his memorial had not finalized site selection for 12 that site. 13 MR. LUEBKE: Well, the trick is whether 14 it's a first come/first served basis, obviously 15 it illustrates the bigger point which is that there aren't that -- I think this has been made a 16 17 lot -- there are not that many good locations. 18 And that actually is a very good one. 19 I'm going to just back out a little 20 bit to say, you know, I think what's underneath 21 it all, you know, we're telling a national story, 22 a narrative here in this whole monumental core.

And whether you call it the Mall, the National Mall, or whatever you call it, it's a, it's a public statement. And it evolves over time.

I think what has held it together over centuries or, you know, a century and a half, is a -- or two, is that the basic skeleton is incredibly strong with the Capitol and the White House as the seats of the political power, and then these incredibly significant pieces that anchor it.

I should have put these things in the category of, I'm going to use a word that might sound funny to modern ears, but I call them epic, in the sense that tell in the most symbolic way where we come from. You know, it's our historic origin.

So, we've got the Revolution with the Washington Monument. Sort of it's a memorial to Washington, sort of a proxy for the American Revolution. Likewise, the Jefferson memorial to some extent is also really about the ideals of the democracy that we live in.

The Lincoln Memorial started out, it's again, it's to Lincoln but it's also a proxy for the Civil War, which is the next huge cataclysmic event in American history.

These are the, these are these, again, epic phenomena that define our shared history.

And that's what the Reserve is all about. This is why it matters that everything, you know, that these -- does a memorial to a mental program count in that?

You know, this is why the

Commemorative Works Act was set up. Or, or any
other. You know, this is why we have this, we
are always planning, having to play this out.

In this case it's a really interesting question that you raise with the Women's Memorial because it is a fundamental question of human rights in this country. And it deserves some major statement.

Then, you know, where it's -- I find it to be a head scratcher because it's not exactly an event because it's actually, as you

describe, it's something that built over many, many generations, starting in, specifically in the mid-19th Century and continues to this day.

So, then it's a harder thing because it's open-ended. It's also harder because it's a story that's not just necessarily -- it's not the kind of station of so much meaning in one thing. What's so interesting about the Washington Monument is that it's many, many. It's Washington the man, it's Washington representing the U.S. Government, the Constitution, the country, the capital, serves as everything.

You've got a lot going on here but it's not easily just a statue of an idealized woman, you know, representing women's constitutional rights is probably not -- you don't probably -- it's hard to imagine an allegory of a woman as single thing conveying what you're trying to say.

So, where I'm going at is that it wants to be, and I think you said that you want it to turn into something that maybe has a little

bit more literal narrative that unfolds spatially, which is a very contemporary thing.

Most of the memorials that we've seen in the late 20th and early 20th Century are like they are, you know, periodic and unfold. You've got the Roosevelt Memorial, you've got -- there's just like a lot of stuff.

The corollary of that idea is that they take up a lot of space because they are telling kind of you're, like, almost like walking into a certain kind of a test or whatever, you know, however you want to call it, presentation.

A movie, anything, it's a very physical experience.

So, then you're sort of fighting a problem of space and concentration of symbolic meaning versus telling a longer story that can be incredibly enlightening to a lot of people.

Given that model, I think your best option is actually the 10th Street corridor because it allows that progression of meaning to be explored and unfolded.

1 If you can do something that's more 2 concentrated, which I think is maybe harder for 3 us in this day and age, perhaps the Whitten Building site is better. You know, we don't know 4 where the Smithsonian museums are going to go, so 5 that's a kind of a question. And, as you say, 6 7 proximity is a good thing. It's not -- but it's not decisional. 8 9 So, I, you know, of those two I'm 10 perfectly willing to consider them. I just think 11 that you have a bigger question in terms of where 12 you're going to try to go with what, how you're, 13 how you're going to say what you're going to say. 14 And I'm sorry it doesn't give a lot of 15 clarity, but it's just sort of a way to frame 16 this whole question about the Reserve, and Area 17 1, and how do we fit all this stuff under 18 constrained space. 19 MS. STIDHAM: Great. Thank you, Tom. 20 Mr. Fountain. 21 MR. FOUNTAIN: It might dismay Mr. 22 Luebke to hear again that he and I think very

much alike, but again, my thoughts track very closely to his. And again, this goes back to I don't like getting these proposed bills that say the memorial shall be in the reserve as opposed to bills that could say may be in the reserve, because the reserve may not be the best place for what the sponsor is trying to accomplish.

And, you know, I try to avoid bringing these discussions too often, back to my own experience with the World War I memorial, but I concluded at the end of that process that we had more opportunity with what we did with the memorial off the Mall than we would have had on the Mall, that we would have been very constrained if we were within that war memorial precinct between World War II and Washington.

And as I looked at your sites, I was very intrigued by the 10th Street corridor, because I think that gives you the opportunity to do something very innovative, multi-faceted, and distinctive on that site, and in the process, I was really struck by your comment that it

represented women as trailblazers.

As you push out, really extend the boundaries of the monumental core, expand the geography of, the monumental geography that you talk about weaving yourself into, You're not stepping off of that geography. You're expanding it, I think.

But I think the flexibility you've got on 10th Street is quite likely much greater than you would have in the reserve, and when we get to that discussion, I'll ask you where You're thinking about within the reserve, but that's something to have in mind. At the end of the day, you might find a site like that more suitable to your overall purposes, and so I was very, very intrigued by the 10th Street site.

I did like the, I do like the connections that you drew between the Whitten Building site and the American History Museum, the African American History Museum, because I think there's a lot of integration there in the stories that those three sites would tell

together, which ties to some thoughts I have about when we get to our discussion on the reserve and where You're thinking.

I thought just -- and those two, I think, I agree with you, are probably the most likely sites within area one. We haven't talked about area one, but I personally think that doesn't need much discussion for what that's worth.

I'll just note the Capitol grounds, the northwest Capitol grounds, I think Mr.

Imamura has probably put a stake in that one, but I did like it because it just reminded me of that famous photograph of the women on horseback leading off the parade in 1913. I thought that connection was interesting.

Similarly, I thought the Freedom Plaza
West site was interesting because there's a
temporal connection to World War I across the
street given that the 19-teens were the
culminating period in the women's suffrage
movement, and the story of women in the World War

I era and their contributions to the American war effort in that war were part and parcel of the ultimate success of the suffrage movement.

One other comment I want to make is I didn't like the Madison and 12th Avenue site because it made it look like the memorial was just part of the Smithsonian, but it also made me think that it would be interesting if we could sort of take the four corners of that site and do something with them to push out the museum content outside the building and into the landscape around it. And this really has nothing to do with this memorial anymore. It's really just a general comment.

And it sort of made me think about the recent Beyond Granite program. You know, maybe we could take the four corners of that American History Museum site and start doing rotating temporary memorials of some sort reflecting different aspects of American history, but that's a conversation for another day.

But, no, I could endorse either of the

two sites you all have advanced, and again, I think the 10th Avenue, the 10th Street site has lots of intriguing possibilities. Thank you.

MS. LAYMON: Tammy, would you mind if I said one quick thing? Sorry, I see lots of hands. I just thought it might be helpful if I clarified a couple of things that our last few speakers mentioned.

I just wanted to mention a couple of things. First off, Mr. Fountain, Mr. Sherman is probably going to speak to this. He might mention this, but we are hoping and very excited about what NCPC and the partnership organizations are planning, you know, thinking about and conceptualizing for Pennsylvania Avenue.

And while I don't think Pennsylvania

Avenue is the right place for this memorial to

be, I do think that there are incredible stories

to be told about the history that was made on

Pennsylvania Avenue by women throughout American
history.

And so, I think we're really excited

about just, it's like, you know, it's a seed of an idea right now, but, so not to put too much emphasis on it, but it's the seed of an idea right now that I think could be very exciting, and as they're redeveloping Pennsylvania Avenue, I hope that we'll be able to contribute to telling that story of the parade and what took place down Pennsylvania Avenue in 1913, and the history that was made there and what those women achieved, which is extraordinary.

And so, I think that there's a possibility of a really fruitful partnership there that we're really excited about. Mr. Sherman may mention that or he might not. Sorry if I wasn't supposed to mention it, but here we go.

And I also just wanted to mention in terms of the two sites, just so there's no mystery here, our preferred site is the parking lot, and there's several reasons for that. We like both. We wouldn't have presented both to you if we didn't, but our preferred site by a lot

is the parking lot because we want to be as close

If we have to be in area one, if we don't receive our reserve exemption, which again, we'll talk about in a little bit, but if we don't receive our reserve exemption, our north star is still our north star, which is to put this monument in conversation with the other monuments as best as we can.

There is power in proximity when it comes to monuments and the story that You're telling, and so our ideal would be to be right in the middle of that conversation, but as you all know, that requires Congress to agree. It requires a literal law, so we'll see how that goes, but that parking lot gets us as close as we can to being in the conversation, and that is our north star whether we get that reserve exemption or not.

The opportunity of the storytelling we could do down 10th Street is very exciting, and like, I love it. I love the idea of it. The

1 reality is I think the city planning that that 2 would take is beyond the capacity for this 3 monument. I think that's just the reality, but 4 that doesn't mean it's not a great idea, like I 5 think it's an awesome idea, but I don't know if it's realistic and within our capacity to do. 6 7 So, in that sense, for those reasons, 8 we love both, wouldn't have brought you both if 9 we didn't, but our preferred site is the parking 10 So, I just wanted to mention that so that 11 there was no mystery about what we were hoping for, so I'll pause there. 12 13 Thanks, Anna. MS. STIDHAM: So, let's 14 see, Mr. Fountain, did you have anything more? 15 Your hand was still up. I just wanted to make 16 sure. No, I'm done. 17 MR. FOUNTAIN: I'll --18 there we go. 19 Okay, thank you. MS. STIDHAM: Ι 20 think, Mr. Sherman, you were next. 21 MR. SHERMAN: Yes, thank you. 22 to begin? So, thank you for your presentation,

Anna, and your team. Yes, I would concur that we feel that you should not necessarily discount Pennsylvania Avenue too fast.

In your charts and your criteria, you sort of, in our view, don't give enough credit to the opportunity. You see it as a concern, but we obviously see this from a planning perspective as a great opportunity, not just from a planning perspective, but from a historical storytelling perspective.

I mean, as Mr. Fountain mentioned, what we're learning from Beyond Granite is, you know, place matters when it comes to telling a story, and so we see a lot of potential, and as we've had conversations with you all for Pennsylvania Avenue, and we strongly advocate that you consider that, not just the sites that you mentioned in your criteria selection, but all along the Avenue, there's opportunities.

And so, as you mentioned already, that there's a lot of stories within the women's suffrage movement that could be told, but we

would offer that every site has its constraints and every site that's in your report has its opportunities, but I would offer that no site offers the opportunity for storytelling as it relates to the suffrage movement as Pennsylvania Avenue.

I would challenge anyone on this call to imagine what photos that they have seen historically from the women's suffrage movement, and I think almost everyone would mention the Pennsylvania Avenue march is sort of their historical marker when it comes to Pennsylvania Avenue and the suffrage movement, and so, you know, I guess my message is don't discount that too soon.

I do have a point of clarification,

Madam Chair, on the USDA site, which we're

referring to as the parking lot site, as we

understand it, the National Mall Liberty Fund was

reauthorized in 2022. So, I guess the question

is, is that still on the table or not? But from

our research, they were reauthorized in '22

through 2027 for consideration for the National Liberty Fund Memorial, so just something we can clarify going forward.

Having said that we feel like

Pennsylvania Avenue is a strong candidate and

should be put on the table before you eliminate

others, we also advocate for 10th Street. That

has been mentioned.

That corridor has been a strong area of focus for us from a planning perspective going back to our Southwest Ecodistrict Plan, a Monumental Core Framework Plan, and we see a lot of potential for that area for all types of cultural activities, including commemorative works, and so we would offer that as a site that we would advocate for as well.

But we also see a lot of potential for Pennsylvania Avenue, and the timing of this memorial with our ongoing planning work we see as a great opportunity for you and not to discount that too soon. So, those are my initial comments. I'm trying to be respectful of time,

and I understand, and I won't be too duplicative of some of the comments that have already been stated, so thank you.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Sherman. And you are correct that the authorization for the Liberty Memorial is through 2027. However, in conversations with the memorial sponsor, it does not appear that they're moving forward with their work anytime soon. So, while it is on their shortlist, it is still available as a site to be considered by other memorials.

MS. LAYMON: And Tammy, just to clarify that, when you say they didn't complete the site selection process, is it true that, would it be a true statement to say they presented to NCMAC 2013, 2014, but never presented to CFA or NCPC? Is that what you --

MS. STIDHAM: This commission supported three sites for the shortlist, but the site selection did not move forward through NCPC or CFA for any final decision.

MR. SHERMAN: Madam Chair, I have one

additional comment. So, I understand Ms. Laymon mentioned that your definition of the monumental core really meets the definition of what the reserve boundaries are, but historically speaking, and this is not law, but NCPC, CFA, and I would say the Parks Service, has always considered the monumental core more analogous to the area one boundaries, and the federal facilities within that makes up the monumental core, and going further and extending that to Arlington and Arlington Cemetery by the way.

So, I mean, we would be happy to talk to you further about definitions and why we feel the monumental core boundaries exist in that way. I won't take up a lot of time here, but I would encourage you to look broader beyond the reserve in terms of the definition of the monumental core, and there are plenty of commemorative works within the monumental core that sort of help justify our boundaries in that regard.

MS. STIDHAM: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sherman. I believe, Mr. Maloney, you are next.

MR. MALONEY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

And first let me start by saying that all of the men have spoken and Ms. Williams is last, so I will say that we are saving the best for last.

But that said, I was fascinated by Mr. Luebke's remarks and I'm waiting for him to get around to his final point, which I completely agree with. And my view of the situation is that the 10th Street location is hands down the best location of any of the ones that you looked at.

The concern that I have about the site of the Whitten Building is that while it may be proximate to other memorials, I'm not sure that that is what is, number one, practical, given the program that's now being proposed for this memorial, which is really expansive. I think that site is too small for such an ambitious program.

It's wonderful to see that this memorial idea has really developed into something that is far beyond what it was originally. I think it, as Mr. Luebke said, it's a sort of

narrative kind of memorial idea, and the presentation of the memorial will be somewhat narrative and that requires a lot of space.

The other thing that I think is unfortunate about the Whitten location is that it's on the side of a building, and there's no escaping that symbolism. In contrast, the 10th Street is a -- and I'm looking at the diagram of the 10th Street memorial.

It's expansive. It has diagonal views across the entire city, and it is exactly what a trailblazing memorial is all about. The problem with 10th Street is it is a location that is looking for a reason for existence. This could be the reason for its existence.

We pushed the Smithsonian to try to be a trailblazer and recognize that 10th Street was the opportunity to expand the story of the Mall farther out into the city in a way that enabled new memorials to tell epic stories in a way that befit the symbolism that 10th Street could embody, and they didn't take us up on that

1 apparently, but I think the women's memorial is 2 exactly the kind of thing that 10th Street needs. 3 It's a story about half of the 4 population of the United States. It's a story 5 about equality. And this is something that I think would really make 10th Street something 6 7 that would be remarkable in the city. 8 So, I just, this is something I never 9 would have thought of, frankly, because without 10 this presentation of the new vision for this 11 memorial, I don't think it would have fit 10th 12 Street at all, but with this expansive idea that

Street at all, but with this expansive idea that you have, I just think it's a fantastic site.

There's so much opportunity, and it could spark the revitalization of 10th Street and lead the charge in terms of redeveloping 10th Street into something completely different. So, that, I think, is a great opportunity, and I think it's a fascinating idea. Thanks.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Maloney.

MS. LAYMON: Tammy, could I say something real quick? Sorry, I will be so fast.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I will be so fast. I couldn't agree with you more, Mr. Maloney. I think the vision is so exciting. I didn't quite say this before, so I just want to be sure I say it.

I don't think, as a private organization, we could privately raise the funds necessary to achieve this really awesome idea down 10th Street. So, I just wanted to like say this out loud so, because these are the right people to have this conversation with.

We're excited about it, and if it's something else other people are excited about, there would have to be a real conversation, I think, about the city of D.C., about the federal government, like there would have to be a real conversation about how would this be funded, of course with the expectation that we would still be required to raise some of those funds privately, but just in all honesty, I don't think -- you know, we've done a look at this. We've talked to some people to get a basic idea of what numbers we might be looking at.

It's not within our capacity to raise all of those funds privately. So, if people were open to the conversation to see if it's possible that this is a collaborative, you know, with all of these different interested parties who would be interested in seeing that come to be, we'd love to have that, at least have that conversation.

You know, it doesn't mean anybody has to commit to anything, but if that's a conversation people want to have, we'd be very open to it. But I do just want to say like that's a huge undertaking, so, and to have to raise all of those funds privately is unrealistic, but not a challenge we wouldn't be willing to take on in partnership with others if that was a conversation people wanted to have, so.

MR. MALONEY: Sure, absolutely, and understood completely, but as you said, partnership. It's all about partnerships. And yes, it would require the Smithsonian to be

1 involved, and it would require the city to be 2 involved. It would require Congress to be 3 involved. You've got six first ladies on your 4 board. 5 So, you know, this can be done, and this is the kind of thing that could be a game 6 7 changer for 10th Street and that entire part of 8 town that finally there's an idea that can lead 9 the way in terms of You're saying this is what 10 that part of southwest can become. So, it's a 11 great opportunity, and I think because it is such 12 a great opportunity, I think you will get lots of 13 people on board with it. 14 Yeah, yeah, it's MS. LAYMON: 15 exciting, but, you know, our north star isn't to 16 revitalize 10th Street. Our north star --17 MR. MALONEY: Understood. 18 MS. LAYMON: -- is to get women on the 19 National Mall. 20 MR. MALONEY: Understood. 21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 22 I'm going to have to MS. STIDHAM:

move us along.

MS. LAYMON: I'll stop talking, so sorry.

MS. STIDHAM: We're going to run out of time and we still have another agenda item, and I want to make sure that that gets equal conversation. So, Ms. Williams was next, and then Mr. Luebke.

MS. WILLIAMS: Sure, I will try to keep my comments brief. Thank you, David. I feel now I've got to finish strong. But Ms.

Laymon, I think, you know, the comments that you've heard from all of the commissioners are very consistent with the conversation that we had a couple weeks ago with you, myself, and Nina Wright about the real opportunity of the 10th Street site.

I do sense your overwhelm and I think that that is valid, but I think given -- if you look at everyone across the board, everyone kind of had the same response, and I think as you roll out to talk about raising funds and getting

people behind this, think about that and let that guide you as an opportunity, because there's clearly a lot of people that are thinking along those lines.

Other thoughts about the Whitten site,

I think, you know, Mr. Luebke said it perfectly
there. You know, the sites are very, very
different and they lend themselves to very
different narratives, right?

You know, there's kind of this like limited, finite constraint thing that can happen on the Whitten site. It's kind of small. While right now it is in dialogue with many of those other monuments, what happens if a museum goes on that adjacent site? It does begin to block that dialogue, so just something to think about.

As Mr. Maloney said, the site is at the end of a building, and then you'd be sandwiched between another one and a four-lane road. So, maybe it's not as prominent once that other site gets redeveloped, something to consider.

The 10th Street site, I think, has lots of opportunity and the fewest constraints from a design perspective because You're not dealing with the proximity to the Mall, not dealing with the proximity to a historic building. It also has constraints, as you said. It is a little bit isolated, so from the safety perspective, that is something to consider.

While I know many of my commissioners on this call are anxious to see the Forrestal Building come down, all indications from the Department of Energy is that they're very committed to that building and that site, so I don't see that in the near term. It doesn't mean here nor there, because there's lots of other adjacent properties that could, as they begin to be revitalized and reimagined, help to support the mission of this monument.

And then lastly, I hadn't really considered it, but Mr. Sherman's comments about the Pennsylvania Avenue site, maybe that's the hybrid site. It's not quite as constrained as

the Whitten site.

It gives you a little bit more space to tell a narrative, and then you already have the narrative of the suffrage parade and all of those things that happen on Pennsylvania Avenue that may draw -- you know, it's certainly very prominent and it draws connection to these other historical events that tie to your narrative.

So, I think the two sites that are in consideration are, of course, your preferences are both, are all viable, but I would encourage you also to continue to consider maybe the Pennsylvania Avenue site as well as a possible kind of in-between the sort of scope of the 10th Street and the limitations of the Whitten site, and I will pass it back to you, Tammy.

MS. STIDHAM: Great, thank you, Kristi. Mr. Luebke?

MR. LUEBKE: Sorry, I was muted, and I'm sorry to come back. I just -- this discussion has been very interesting and I just wanted to say, without repeating what everybody

else has said, in the spirit of pulling things that had been off the table or not in your final list, I would strongly recommend for all the reasons, particularly what Kristi just said and others, that Pennsylvania Avenue site has tremendous opportunities that the other -- for its own, in its own rights, not just because it's adjacent to something. It's not just because it has the space.

And by the way, I never, and Alan
Harwood can attest to this, I never say people
should look at Freedom Plaza, because I think
it's such a high bar to make it appropriate.

This is one where I think it is about the topic
of freedom in this country, and the rights of
women and the freedom for 50 percent of the
population is something that, in my book, hits
the mark, and so I actually --

In listening to this discussion today,

I actually would now rate that as probably my

number one choice as well, not just as a

compromise, but as the clear lead for all of its

1 access, safety, symbolism, everything that you, 2 in that space. It does everything that you could 3 do, so I would encourage you to bring that back. I'll quit there. Thank you very much. 4 5 Tammy, You're not going MS. LAYMON: to like this, but I have to talk about 6 7 Pennsylvania Avenue. 8 MS. STIDHAM: No, no. MS. LAYMON: 9 I have to. 10 (Laughter.) 11 MS. LAYMON: Can you give me 30 12 seconds? 13 MS. STIDHAM: No, no, no, no, no, no, 14 no 30 seconds, no, no, no, later discussion. 15 I think -- I know it's hard. From the Parks 16 Service' perspective, I think what I'm going to 17 say is not going to be a surprise to Ms. Laymon, 18 as we've had many conversations about sites for 19 her memorial, and while I think that the 10th 20 Street, I mean, the Whitten Building is an 21 interesting site, I do agree that it is

constrained, and that I hadn't thought about the

other things moving in and what that might do to the prominence of that site.

I think that -- you know, you indicated, Ms. Laymon, every site has its challenges, right, and 10th Street, I think, is a challenge that is worthy of your memorial and the challenges, and the symbolism of the challenges women have faced, and I believe that the symbolism there for trailblazing and innovation, and unconstrained thoughts, and boldness, and making a woman's place in the world come out through that site and provide you the opportunity, and I think that it is something a lot of people could get around. And I understand the challenges and it seems big, but I think that it has lots of opportunity, but both sites are good.

So, what I would suggest, let's do this. I'm going to make a motion first regarding area one, and does everyone -- do all of the commissioners -- would the commission -- all right, so the motion is support for pursuing area

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	one designation, so I would need a second.
2	MS. WILLIAMS: I will second that.
3	MS. STIDHAM: Great, thank you, Ms.
4	Williams. So, I'll take a vote, and making sure
5	that you can see all of us on the screen, I'm
6	looking for votes for those who support area one
7	designation by a raise of hands. Okay, that's
8	everybody. Great, thank you. And then is there
9	a motion for site selection based on what you've
10	heard today?
11	MR. SHERMAN: Madam Chair, I will make
12	a motion that the sponsors consider advancing
13	10th Street, Pennsylvania Avenue as part of the
14	site selection second round review.
15	MS. STIDHAM: So
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MR. SHERMAN: And I think the majority
18	also and USDA.
19	MS. STIDHAM: Okay.
20	MR. SHERMAN: Three sites, yes.
21	MS. STIDHAM: Okay, is there a second?
22	Mr. Luebke, got you. Okay, so the motion on the

table is to advance 10th Street, Pennsylvania

Avenue, and the Whitten Building through site

selection with NCPC and CFA. Those in favor,

please raise your hands. Okay, that's unanimous.

Thank you.

Okay, moving on because I'm very cognizant of time, it is 3:28, so moving onto our final agenda item -- sorry, I need to catch up on my notes. Okay, so as we discussed earlier, there are two bills before us today which would authorization the location of the women's suffrage national memorial on the National Mall to commemorate and honor the women's suffrage movement and the passage of the 19th Amendment to the Constitution.

As we already spoke, legislation to authorize the establishment of the memorial monument took place in 2020, and as many of you know, the Commemorative Works Act allows for a memorial of exceptional importance to be located close to the National Mall within area one as defined by the Act, and we are here to address

that portion.

So, Senate Bill 886 and H.R. 1318 would bypass the processes that we've talked about today and would authorize the memorial to be placed within the reserve, which the Commemorative Works Act defines as the great cross-axis of the Mall, which generally extends from the U.S. Capitol to the Lincoln Memorial, and from the White House to the Jefferson, and we've already discussed this area being a completed work of civil art.

So, I will turn to Ms. Laymon again for her testimony related to the two bills, H.R. 1318 and Senate Bill 886. Ms. Laymon, go ahead. And Laurel is bringing up your slides.

MS. LAYMON: Thank you, Laurel. Okay, all right, this is the good stuff. Okay, so here we go. So, we have already -- you know, you all have already talked a lot about the reserve today, the nuances of this decision, the nuances of what You're being asked to do.

And, you know, Tammy has said twice

now that, you know, the reserve was defined as a completed civil work of art. For me, the problem starts there.

You know, first off, the legislation actually says substantially completed civic work of art. That's what's actually codified into law, substantially completed civic work of art. I don't know how you can call the reserve a completed civil work of art when there are no women represented. Those two things stand in conflict to me.

When you take a look at the reserve and what You're being asked to do, how I interpret what You're being asked to do is maybe different than what I've heard today. What I interpret, right, is not that Congress is asking you to explain to them what the law is. They know what the law says.

They're asking your opinion about whether or not an exemption should be made for this, for the merits of this particular monument.

That's what I think they're asking of you, your

opinion, your advice.

You know, Tammy read what defines

NCMAC. That's what defines NCMAC, to advise

Congress on these questions, excuse me. So,

that's what I'm curious to hear, right, is do you

all find it acceptable that there are no women,

there are no monuments dedicated to American

women's history represented in the commemorative

core of the National Mall?

And if, like me, you find that unacceptable, embarrassing, something worthy of changing, then we have the opportunity to change it. Would you mind going to the next slide? I don't know if it's Laurel or -- there we go.

Okay, so I will keep this really quick so that we leave plenty of time for you all to discuss the merits of what we're asking of you, but we wanted to show you this. This was a Gallup poll that was completed in December of 1999 where the American public was asked what are the most important events of the century from the viewpoint of the American people?

And for as little attention as women get in our history books, which is to say almost none in our history books, in our public art, in our commemorative spaces, we're almost nowhere, we still ranked number two in this Gallup poll of what are the most important events of the 20th century?

And you'll notice, I hope, that in the top five events, everything else is commemorated in some way in the commemorative core in the reserve of the National Mall. The only thing missing is women. The only thing missing is our fight for equality. Could we go to the next slide, please?

So, we wanted to show you this. So, if you look at the reserve by the numbers, if you break down the commemorative works and historic sites within the reserve, which is a clearly defined area -- I know some of our other terms are less defined, but this one is clearly defined.

If you look at those sites within the

reserve, there are 40 commemorative works and historic sites, and the breakdown is staggering when you actually look at it. There are 22 commemorative works dedicated to singular men, 22. There are ten to war history and veterans.

So, if this committee is being asked the question what is missing and what is deserving of an exception, surely it is the fact that there are zero monuments and memorials dedicated to American women's history. Surely if there is anything worthy of an exception, it is this.

And if there is anything worthy of changing the policy, right — in my understanding of it, you all have basically always had the same policy. Anytime you've been asked about a reserve exemption, you know, I've heard it said here today several times, Tammy said it, we are the protectors of the reserve, but what are you protecting? Is that worthy of protecting? There are no women in this space.

And if you were going to design the

National Mall today, surely that's not the decision you would make. You wouldn't make the decision to have 22 memorials to singular men and zero to women. You wouldn't make that decision today, but we can fix it today.

It's not a mystery that there are no women on the National Mall. The Washington Monument was first -- construction started on the Washington Monument in July 1848. That is the same month that women first gathered in Seneca Falls, New York to write down on paper for the very first time that they actually are deserving of personhood.

In July 1848, when the Washington Monument was being constructed, women weren't people. We didn't have personhood. We were like the equivalent cattle, right, to be traded by our husbands and our fathers. That's what we were.

So, it's no mystery that we're not represented in this commemorative space, but we can fix it. We can fix it. These numbers don't have to stay this way.

And we're not even coming to you asking for parity, right? We're not coming to Congress asking for parity. We're not asking for equity. We're asking for one, one out of 40.

So, what I'm hoping, if you don't mind going to the next slide, what I'm hoping is that today you will consider making an exception, that you will consider the merits of what this represents, what this could mean to 51 percent of the population, and what it does mean to every little girl who visits the National Mall and sees herself nowhere in the American story. We can actually go to the next slide. I'll skip this one. Thank you.

Okay, so what we had on the last slide and what we have on this slide are statements from the House and the Senate. So, this bill, just for your awareness, has moved very quickly, much faster than we could have ever expected.

In the House, it has already received a hearing. It has already moved out of committee with a voice vote of 32 to zero. So, in the

House, it has moved very, very fast. It has in the Senate as well. It's already received a hearing in the Senate as well.

And so, we have letters from our memorial sponsors, which I'm sure are in your packets. This one is from Senator Baldwin, right, urging you all -- so the question of what is your role here, well, she's asking you all, please consider what it means to have a National Mall where women don't see themselves. What does that mean and then what do you recommend? Can we fix it? Can we go to the next slide, please?

And I will leave you with this. I wanted to show this here because I wanted to show you what I'm hoping you'll consider, a possible stance that I'm hoping you would consider taking. This is the National Parks Conservation Association. This was their official policy position on our legislation.

And basically, what they say here is that they conditionally support placing the women's suffrage national monument on the

National Mall, a monument that would commemorate women's fight for the vote. Despite the 2003 CWA that determined that the National Mall is, it should say a substantially completed civic work of art, they find the absence of any representation of women's history unfortunate and reversible.

Should the location and design for the proposed commemorative work not fundamentally compromise the historic integrity of the National Mall, NPCA supports an exemption that would allow for the placement of this memorial. You can close down the slides if you'd like.

And so, that's -- I'm hoping you'll consider that today. We are the people to work with on this. We don't have a monument designed, right? We've talked a lot about the program and the scale and scope of this.

We are so excited to design a memorial that fits within the space that we're given. We didn't present any designs to you today. This memorial isn't designed.

A place for this memorial is too historic to get wrong, it just is, and we have a duty, at least that's how we see it. We have a duty and a responsibility to make sure that our National Mall, that women and girls see themselves reflected in the American story that we're telling on the National Mall.

This isn't like anything else that you guys have had to consider. I know it. Mr.

Luebke, you outlined it perfectly in the very first thing that you said, right. This is complicated. This is nuanced.

And I know that this is difficult, but
I am hopeful that you all will consider a
different approach to this than you have to other
memorials in the past, and that you will consider
saying to Congress this needs to be fixed. Let's
fix it.

And this is -- the bar for this is so high. This is once in a generation. The bar for this is so high, but we believe this reaches the bar. So, I will leave it there, and Tammy, I

1 won't speak again. Thank you, and yeah, that's 2 where I'll leave it. 3 MR. LUEBKE: Tammy, You're muted. Thank you. I thought I 4 MS. STIDHAM: 5 was unmuted. So, thank you, Ms. Laymon. So, first, as Commissioners, questions only. 6 7 there any questions for Ms. Laymon before we move to discussion? 8 9 And Kristi, I see your hand up. Is it 10 a question for -- no, okay. Anyone have any 11 questions, just questions, no discussion? 12 Fountain? 13 Real quickly to Ms. MR. FOUNTAIN: 14 Laymon or Ms. Sale, within the reserve, do you 15 have sites tentatively identified that you would 16 want to pursue? 17 MS. LAYMON: Yes, thank you for asking 18 I should have mentioned that. Yes, so that. 19 ideally, and we're open to changing this through 20 the official site selection process with you all 21 should we receive the reserve exemption, but 22 ideally, right now, we would love for this

memorial to be placed in Constitution Gardens.

That is a space -- sorry, there's a lawnmower guy right outside my window if you can hear that.

That is a space on the National Mall, 60 acres designated specifically to commemorate the ideals enshrined in the Constitution, justice, freedom, and equality, and the only story being told in Constitution Gardens right now is the story of the 56 signers to the Declaration of Independence.

How beautiful would it be to mirror that, right? This is an extension of that story. In 1848, when those women sat down, they wrote the Declaration of Sentiments. It was a direct mirror of the Declaration of Independence, right, and they declared on paper for the first time all men and women are created equal.

So, what a beautiful place to place this moment in Constitution Gardens, in that space dedicated to preserving and upholding the ideals of the Constitution, and in conversation with the 56 signers of the Declaration of

Independence. I think that's where this memorial belongs.

MR. FOUNTAIN: Thank you.

MS. STIDHAM: Any other questions before we move to discussion? Okay, so Ms.

Laymon, we're going to say adieu and we'll discuss, so thank you very much. So, I'll start with you, Kristi.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay, I figured I'd raise my hand first to take the heat off the gentlemen on the panel. I think without a doubt women's history is deserving of a place within the reserve.

My question is, and it kind of goes back to what Mr. Fountain was saying earlier, is about being in a place that's so tied to other things, does that limit your story? And so, admittedly, I don't know the full extent of the reserve, so there may be other opportunities, but it feels to me in some ways within the reserve -- because I want to elevate the story of women's suffrage.

How do you not be in the shadow or kind of dwarfed by the other things that are already there, that are already very well-known? And so, you could take the, I guess, the other side of it and say well, women's suffrage is kind of interrupting and, you know, changed some arcs in history.

Maybe that is the right place for it, but I -- I support it in the reserve is there's a right location, but I think going back to your very, very first comments in your opening remarks is that you want the right site for this memorial, I don't know whether within the reserve is the right site given what you want to do, and the scale, and the scope of your memorial, to get something beyond just being a statute here as opposed to something that can tell the sort of arc of, you know, women's suffrage and the, you know, conversations we're still having about women's rights and bodily autonomy, and all of these things that could happen, and I worry that you might be constrained within some of these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 sites, particularly Constitution Gardens because 2 I believe there's already a plan to redo that 3 site that's well, you know, down the path with the Park Service. 4 5 So, I'm very supportive of the memorial. I believe that it is certainly worthy 6 7 of being within the reserve, but I think it 8 really does need the right site, and it needs a 9 site that can stand alone and give you room. 10 Because, you know, I guess, women's 11 history, we are often constrained by other forces 12 beyond us, and while that may be indicative of 13 trying to get something through on this Mall, I 14 think that it might be unnecessarily limiting. 15 So, those are my comments, and I yield to 16 everyone else. MS. STIDHAM: Great, thank you. 17 18 Maloney? 19 MR. MALONEY: I agree with that and I 20 think -- I'll be very brief. I think that 21 certainly women need to be represented within the 22 reserve, and I think this is one of the rare

instances where allowing this in the reserve would be certainly appropriate.

And I do think the Constitution

Avenue, the Constitution Gardens site in the old restaurant site elevated on the steps would be a good site for the memorial. So, that said though, you've heard what I've said about area one sites, and I would hope that either the area one site or the Gardens site would work out.

Thanks.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. Mr. Sherman?

MR. SHERMAN: Well, I would concur

with Ms. Tunstall's and David Maloney's comments.

You're going to be constrained by land regardless

if it's designated a complete civic work of art.

It is, from a planning perspective, constrained.

The reserve is constrained.

And going back to my original comments about Pennsylvania Avenue and 10th Street, I believe, we believe you have many more opportunities to really imagine what You're envisioning in terms of telling a full story, but

we also agree that the Mall -- new stories are created every day in our history and need to be told, and it's just the fact that the reserve is constrained, and so, you know, sticking with and trying to be consistent my earlier vote, I would just strongly urge you to consider 10th Street when it comes to sites, or Pennsylvania Avenue.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Sherman.
Mr. Fountain?

MR. FOUNTAIN: I'll try not to repeat myself or others too much. It's been a really interesting juxtaposition of the two memorials that we've had today.

In the context of the Medal of Honor monument, I talked about, you know, that we're trying to find a rule of decision for when we would say to Congress, yes, this memorial is worthy of an exemption to the reserve clause. I don't have a rule of decision.

I would say that any list in rank order of memorials that I would put into the reserve, this would probably be number one on the

list. I mean, this is, to paraphrase what someone else said, this is half our nation's history. And I'm wary that if we put a women's monument within the reserve, then a lot of other groups are going to want their monuments in the reserve, but we'll just have to take that as it comes.

I say for at least the third time, I would be much happier if the legislation said that it may be in the reserve rather than it shall be for reasons that those who have gone before me have said. The best site may not be within the reserve as narrowly defined, and I push back strongly on the notion that the reserve is the only appropriate memorial landscape.

And I'm not talking about pushing it out into all of the wards of the city, but I think the monumental core, I mean, I think Ms.

Laymon defined it even as west to 15th Street. I think the Smithsonian is part of the monumental core because the Smithsonian Museums collectively tell American history, not just the American

History Museum, but all museums collectively.

And so, I think anywhere within area one is really appropriate, and for the reasons that we've talked about and others have reiterated about constraints at various sites.

So, if we go back to Congress, I'd say make that one change to the legislation so that the sponsor can go back for more thought.

I often say that the design of a memorial is less important than the fact of the memorial. I wouldn't say quite so categorically that the location of a memorial is less important than the fact of the memorial, but I believe that is true to some extent, but we're also here --

You know, we talk about site without knowing design, and it would be good is the sponsor had the resources to do some preliminary conceptual work at more than one site to tease out some of these ideas and some of the possibilities at different sites before committing to a particular site.

And then the last thing I'd say, I do

appreciate what Ms. Laymon said about the conversation that would be available at the Constitution Gardens. I wonder if a similar conversation would be available -- the War on Terror Memorial folks had proposed a site or two along the Tidal Basin.

And I don't know if any of those are feasible for various reasons, but you can set up the same conversation between the Women's Monument and Jefferson that you had between the Martin Luther King Monument and Jefferson, and that strikes me as an interesting opportunity that might be worth exploring if they get authorization within the reserve, and I'll stop there.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Fountain. Let's see, Mr. Luebke?

MR. LUEBKE: Thank you. In the spirit of moving us along, I don't really have anything to add other than just to say I agree. If there was ever a topic that could be included, this is one where it makes sense to look at lifting that

rule, but I completely agree also with what Mr.

Fountain just said, is that it may not be the

best one and that we would really love to see

that language be may as opposed to must or shall,

but let's try to make this the best it can

possibly be in terms of its location and design.

MS. STIDHAM: Okay, thank you very much. Mr. Imamura?

MR. IMAMURA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I think there's consensus here that this story,
this monument is worthy of being in the reserve,
but maybe the best outcome is that there's
another site for that outside the reserve. And
is it deserving of being on the National Mall?
Yes, but the National Mall is much bigger than
just the reserve.

So, I think what's great here about this commission is that it contains some of the best minds in planning and design in the city here, and everybody wants the best design outcome for this memorial, so is it worthy? Yes. Is this the best location? Maybe something outside

the reserve might be even better and with more opportunities, and I think that's been stated a number of times, so thank you.

MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. Mr. McMahon?

MR. McMAHON: Okay, thank you. Also
in the interests of time and after listening to

my learned colleagues here, I support what I'm
hearing as the general consensus.

I think the language going back to the Congress with may not shall is the appropriate way to do it, and continue to, you know, evaluate a number of sites, although I suspect if the legislation is passed, we'll be continuing to have a discussion about Constitution Gardens, but that may be okay. But I think in comparison with other sites, and I think Mr. Sherman has for future discussion, a more detailed look at the Pennsylvania Avenue sites needs to be a part of that conversation. Thanks.

MS. STIDHAM: Okay, thank you. So,
I'm going to summarize what I'm hearing and then
I'll ask for a motion. So, I'm hearing worthy of

the reserve, but there may be sites outside of the reserve that end up being more appropriate, and that potentially some design could inform, some conceptual design could inform which site would really fit this memorial best.

So, I am wondering about if the motion that someone suggests should include may be within area one or the reserve, and the reserve, may be within area one or, yeah, and/or, that way we're expressing the importance, but that the site really is dependent on what the memorial sponsor really wants to see, and it doesn't limit the potential for the constraints that the reserve often provides. So, I leave that for a suggested motion.

MS. WILLIAMS: I would second that, recommending both area one and the reserve for further exploration.

MS. STIDHAM: Okay, so for a vote, the motion being sending back language that would request that Congress change within the reserve to shall be -- am I back? I think I went a

little wonky. Okay, shall be within area one or the reserve, may be in area one or the reserve, may be. So, those in support, please raise your hands. Okay, great, that's everybody. So, we will draft that up and send it around for review.

We're almost done, so if everyone could hold on just a few more minutes, the last thing on the agenda is the update on memorials that are currently in progress, and in your packet as well as on the website, there is a document that is referred to NCMAC memorial updates that provides an update for everything.

Just to sort of summarize, there are ten memorials currently working their way through the site selection process. There are seven memorials which are working their way through design.

To highlight, the First Division

Monument and the Desert Shield/Desert Storm

Memorial are in their final stages of design and preparing to begin construction within the next few months. And then lastly, the World War II

1 FDR prayer plague was dedicated in June of this 2 year, and for further details, you can take a 3 look at that sheet. So, I ask if there's any further 4 5 business from commissioners? The Park Service doesn't have any further business to bring before 6 the commission at this time. Mr. Wilson? 7 8 MR. WILSON: Just one thing. I'd like 9 to embarrass the Madam Chairman. I'm thrilled 10 that You're here. You know, every so often, the 11 Park Service gets it right, and in this case, 12 they did. I've known you for a few years. You 13 are honest, you are kind, You're thorough, and 14 I'm glad that You're here, so welcome. all. 15 16 MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. Thank you 17 very much. 18 Second. MR. IMAMURA: 19 MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. Good job, Madam Chair. 20 MR. SHERMAN: 21 MS. STIDHAM: Thank you. Thank you. 22 I appreciate everyone's time today, your

1	thoughtfulness in your comments, and your view of
2	this information. We really appreciate your
3	time. And with that, the meeting is now
4	adjourned. Thank you.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
6	went off the record at 3:57 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

I
Α
abide 43:1
Abigail 70:6 71:6
able 8:4 17:6 56:17,18
84:13 98:2 100:2
115:6
above-entitled 163:5
absence 146:5 absolutely 18:18 59:7
62:13 69:4,6 127:19
academic 13:3
acceptable 140:6
access 75:13 81:22
83:19 84:21 89:6
134:1
accessible 75:16 81:13
98:4 accommodate 84:13
accommodates 73:6
accomplish 10:20 12:7
110:7
accomplished 13:14
accomplishments 37:7
account 98:13
achieve 126:7
achieved 115:10 acknowledged 104:10
acreage 25:17
acres 149:5
act 4:17,18 5:5,14,19
6:19 9:1 18:4 19:7,10
19:11,22 20:5,14
23:12 29:6,6 30:4
36:3,6 43:18 45:5 106:12 137:19,22
138:6
acting 4:9,11
action 68:12,13
active 104:2
activities 120:14
acts 2:5 7:10
actual 52:17
Adams 70:6,7 71:6 add 17:20 47:19 49:14
54:22 157:20
Addams 69:18
addition 31:15 74:12
79:16
additional 122:1
address 31:1 58:1
137:22
adds 74:14 90:12 adieu 150:6
adjacency 45:17
adjacent 40:9 45:22
60:12 78:7 80:18,22
82:10 84:7 95:20
96:11 130:15 131:16

133:8 adjourned 163:4 Adjournment 2:15 Administration 1:16 4:1.20 Administrator 3:22 4:19 19:13 admittedly 150:18 adopted 68:11 advance 17:5 41:8 137:1 advanced 114:1 advancing 136:12 advice 140:1 advise 4:18 30:22 40:21 140:3 Advisor 1:19 advisory 1:3,7,16 3:5 4:4,7 17:21 18:2 63:19 advocate 9:2 118:16 120:7,16 advocated 40:8 **AECOM** 1:22 22:21 56:7 58:19 **Affairs** 4:12 31:9 afield 88:10 **African** 86:8 96:5,10,13 111:20 afternoon 91:5 age 109:3 agencies 18:9 agency 33:3 45:2 47:4 agency's 37:3 agenda 5:9 6:9,14,15 17:16 54:11 100:15 102:13 129:5 137:8 161:8 ago 10:9 30:13 64:8 71:7 129:15 agree 8:6 31:7 32:4 34:3 44:10 45:15 46:13 51:19 60:19 61:18 93:1 112:5 116:14 123:8 126:1 134:21 152:19 154:1 157:20 158:1 agreed 10:9 agreement 53:14 101:6 Agriculture 34:13 ahead 54:1 87:16 138:14 **Alan** 93:14,15,17 97:7 133:10 alarm 72:1 alert 71:22 72:6

Alice 69:19

align 46:5

alike 110:1 allegory 107:18 allow 50:3 53:19 146:11 allowed 71:10 allowing 6:12 12:6 153:1 allows 19:7 108:21 137:19 alongside 15:6 alternatives 32:5 ambassadors 64:4 ambitious 123:17 amended 7:5 22:13 26:21 27:2,6 53:4 amendment 2:11 49:15 51:6,16 54:19 66:15 68:16 76:5 137:14 **America** 14:9 66:13 **America's** 16:1 31:17 65:20 75:1 76:12 **American** 1:11 4:3 10:14 16:14 37:7,16 59:12 60:12,22 64:14 65:14,17,19 68:20 69:11,14 71:1,9,15 73:21 78:8.8 84:2 86:8,10,12 95:11,21 96:5,10,13,15,18 105:19 106:4 111:19 111:20 113:1,17,20 114:20 140:7.20.22 142:10 144:12 147:6 155:22.22 **Americans** 9:8 14:18 amount 46:18 analogous 122:7 **analysis** 27:13 35:8 43:5 51:9 86:21 87:5 88:16 92:13 anchor 105:10 and/or 160:9 **Anna** 1:20 56:4 72:5,17 74:19 76:15 117:13 118:1 answer 14:8 22:4 92:19 answered 26:20 anticipation 89:22 **anxious** 131:10 anybody 97:4 127:9 anybody's 29:16 **anymore** 113:13 anytime 121:9 142:16 apart 33:10 apparently 24:4 125:1 appeal 76:19 92:8 **appear** 121:8 applicants 18:15 application 18:21

applications 18:1 apply 18:1 **appreciate** 8:20 11:10 11:13 16:17 17:2,3 38:14 39:6 46:19 47:7 157:1 162:22 163:2 appreciation 41:14 approach 87:9 147:15 appropriate 5:2 39:13 40:14 47:1,17 49:20 50:4,9 92:3 133:13 153:2 155:15 156:3 159:10 160:2 appropriately 48:15 approvals 83:14 approved 9:22 18:15 51:7,9 54:14 81:6 103:15.21 approximately 80:2 81:3 89:12 April 6:22 arbitrariness 34:19 arc 151:18 **Architect 1:12 3:18** 47:4 83:10 Archives 78:5 arcs 151:6 area 19:9,18 23:4 24:20 30:19 34:1 44:3 58:7 58:8 59:4,4,16,20,22 60:5 61:17,17,20 62:13 75:19,22 76:1 77:5,5,7,7,9 82:22 84:4,9,19 85:1 88:6 89:10 92:3 99:11 100:14 101:2 102:19 103:7,9 109:16 112:6 112:7 116:3 120:9,13 122:8 135:20,22 136:6 137:21 138:10 141:19 153:7,8 156:2 160:8,9,17 161:1,2 areas 34:5 78:19,19 arguably 38:6 argue 47:10 argument 21:20 **Arlington** 12:20 23:16 40:7,9 45:21 47:16 122:11.11 **Armed** 9:8 37:7 **Army** 33:12 arrested 69:9 arrived 91:21 art 20:6 31:12 47:21 63:21 138:11 139:2,6 139:7,9 141:3 146:5 153:15 articulate 53:22 62:9

axis 81:19 160:5 articulated 99:10 **bringing** 110:8 138:15 articulating 41:11 better 15:13 23:9 43:18 **British** 68:11 В 53:20 61:2 97:4 109:4 **Arts** 1:12,20 3:6,19 **broad** 13:4 66:15 76:19 **B** 69:19 **aside** 8:18 80:1 asked 14:2 36:16 40:20 back 22:10 24:3 29:17 betterment 70:19 broaden 53:19 40:21 59:3 71:7 34:4 37:3 45:21 52:4 beyond 113:16 117:2 broader 28:6 39:2 138:21 139:13,14 55:12 58:19 62:3 118:12 122:16 123:21 122:16 151:16 152:12 140:20 142:6,16 92:11 101:8 104:19 **broke** 102:12 brought 64:1 117:8 bicameral 59:1 asking 29:13 58:5 110:2,9 120:11 139:16,19,22 140:17 132:16,20 134:3 big 70:20 135:15 **build** 25:17 37:11,13 144:2,3,3,4 145:8 150:15 151:10 153:18 bigger 61:13 104:15 64:20 65:11 95:22 148:17 155:14 156:6,8 159:9 109:11 158:15 building 43:22 55:7 aspect 73:4 86:15 64:13 65:18 66:9,12 160:20.22 biggest 95:2 aspects 43:15 113:20 **background** 55:8 58:16 bill 2:3,9 8:10 9:18 76:8 80:14,16 89:19 aspirational 15:12 Baldwin 145:6 10:15 16:9 17:5 19:4 90:1,6 96:21,22 97:1 20:21 22:13 26:21 103:12,14 109:4 assessment 6:6 **ballot** 68:7 Associate 56:6 Banneker 77:14 78:21 27:2,5,6,7,10 29:8 111:19 113:11 123:12 31:10 34:22 48:22 associated 83:2 90:11 124:6 130:18 131:6 Association 145:18 bar 133:13 147:19,20 51:21 52:6,16,17,21 131:11,13 134:20 asterisk 60:3 147:22 53:4 138:2,14 144:17 137:2 built 37:17 38:1 107:1 attendees 56:16 **Barboza** 104:5 **bills** 5:19 101:10 103:4 attention 35:14 141:1 110:3,5 137:10 **bundled** 96:14 barges 24:5 attest 133:11 barrier 90:2 138:13 **buried** 33:6,11 bipartisan 9:20 59:2 audible 11:7 16:20 Bartholdi 78:14 91:19 **Burns** 64:2 audience 17:7 **bit** 4:14 8:7 46:20 58:15 **bus** 93:16 93:14 audio 3:7 27:21 34:9 based 19:16 79:3.8.17 78:16 91:20 104:20 **business** 2:14 4:15 42:3 49:8 51:17 95:9 136:9 108:1 116:5 131:7 42:12 162:5.6 **August** 68:18 basic 105:6 126:21 132:2 **bypass** 19:19 138:3 authorization 28:16 Blake 1:21 2:6 6:20 **basically** 8:10 65:4,6 C 100:12 121:5 137:11 77:5 142:15 145:20 21:5 157:14 Basin 24:15 65:8 157:6 blanket 36:10 C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 2:1 authorize 2:3.9 5:4 19:4 basis 104:14 **block** 130:15 Cady 69:17 blocks 81:12 89:20 19:18,20 41:6 52:6 battalion 37:15 **call** 20:22 91:15 105:1,2 137:17 138:4 Battle 1:11 4:3 37:16 **blue** 86:5 105:13 108:12 119:7 authorized 2:12 5:6 battlefield 13:17 **board** 128:4.13 129:20 131:10 139:8 27:22 51:21 62:2 **beacon** 13:19 **bodily** 151:20 called 21:19 24:12 34:6 **bold** 68:3 **authorizes** 7:7 26:13 beautiful 96:5 149:11 calling 8:9 103:10 **authorizing** 9:16 54:15 149:18 **boldness** 135:10 **calls** 81:7 **autonomy** 151:20 befit 124:21 **bonds** 16:2 campaign 37:12,18 began 12:22 58:17 67:3 available 6:13,15 13:4 book 133:17 campaigns 68:10 121:10 157:2,4 **books** 141:2,3 candidate 120:5 67:21 **Avenue** 77:11,12 78:5 begged 70:7 **born** 13:15 capacity 4:7 117:2,6 78:13,14,17,17,20,22 beginning 16:6 **Botanical** 39:20 127:1 behalf 8:21 17:20 capital 1:3,7,14 3:4,16 bottom 60:4 80:18 81:18 84:22 85:6,14 88:5 89:2,4 believe 15:3 24:12 40:7 **boundaries** 34:10 3:18 4:13 13:9 64:21 89:18 90:3 113:5 60:18 100:12 103:15 111:3 122:4,8,14,20 65:13 107:12 114:2,15,17,20 115:5 122:22 135:8 147:21 braggadocios 25:20 Capitol 1:12 20:2 21:22 115:8 118:3,16,19 break 141:17 39:11,19 47:5 78:12 152:2,6 153:20,20 breakdown 142:2 119:6,11,13 120:5,18 156:13 78:14 80:8 82:21 83:3 **breaks** 29:20 131:21 132:5,13 believes 48:18 83:11,18 91:16 92:7 133:5 134:7 136:13 Brent 69:21 70:3 belong 100:18 93:2 105:7 112:10,11 137:2 153:4,19 154:7 belongs 71:8 150:2 brethren 70:15 138:8 159:18 brief 129:10 152:20 **Belvedere** 77:8,20 Captain 33:12 avoid 75:7 110:8 best 22:4,7 59:10 60:8 **briefly** 66:21 car 98:7 awardees 51:14 92:1 97:14 100:3 **bring** 6:16 7:15 14:13 carefully 30:12 43:8 awareness 144:18 102:14 108:19 110:6 14:17 22:9,10 56:8 **Carolina** 28:2 70:10 awesome 117:5 126:7 116:9 123:4,9 155:12 60:7 98:2,21 134:3 carried 9:21 **awkward** 102:15 158:3,5,12,19,20,22 162:6 carrying 48:11

case 38:17 61:10 106:15 162:11 Castle 81:20 82:1 89:21 cataclysmic 106:3 catch 137:8 categorically 156:11 category 105:12 cattle 143:17 cautious 35:21 caveat 35:19 celebrate 16:2 66:11 91:10 celebrating 71:1 celebration 64:14 celebratory 75:11 cemeteries 33:6 **Cemetery** 23:16 40:7,9 45:21 122:11 centered 98:11 central 71:13 **centuries** 70:19 105:5 **century** 105:5 107:3 108:4 140:21 141:7 certain 93:21 108:11 certainly 44:6 46:16,21 47:7,14,19 48:20 61:19 93:6 94:15 132:6 152:6,21 153:2 cetera 73:16 103:16 **CFA** 3:8 18:8 82:5 121:17,21 122:5 137:3 **chair** 21:4 28:15 42:1 46:13 47:20 49:15 50:20 91:3 94:8 100:4 119:17 121:22 123:1 136:11 158:9 162:20 **chairman** 3:16,19 4:3 162:9 Chairperson 1:8 **chairs** 63:15 76:17 Chairwoman 8:3 challenge 13:14 90:6 119:7 127:15 135:6 challenges 96:2,21 97:5 99:12 135:5,7,7 135:15 **chance** 11:12 **change** 29:21 140:12 156:7 160:21 changed 55:1 62:20 90:1 151:6 changer 128:7 changing 140:12 142:14 148:19 characterizes 37:19 characterizing 48:15 **charge** 125:16

charged 37:5 Charleston 28:2,7 **charts** 118:4 **chat** 6:2 cheek 35:11 children 67:16 **choice** 133:21 **chose** 37:13 Chris 1:16 4:5 circuit 35:16 circulate 54:8 circulated 27:3,5 circumstances 47:13 cited 50:14 citizenship 70:1 city 117:1 124:11,19 125:7 126:14 128:1 155:17 158:19 civic 20:6 31:11 60:21 69:3 139:5,7 146:4 153:15 civil 39:15,16 69:3 106:3 138:11 139:2,9 claim 70:14 Claire 1:22 56:6 57:8,9 71:4.18 72:2.9 90:19 97:7 clarification 119:16 clarified 114:7 **clarify** 120:3 121:13 **clarity** 109:15 clause 36:7,14 41:10,19 154:18 clear 24:19 61:5 81:10 84:22 92:6 133:22 **clearly** 27:14 30:19 130:3 141:18,20 **close** 15:21 19:9 20:9 25:9 44:13 57:19 60:10,11 116:1,16 137:21 146:13 **closed** 36:9,11 closely 110:2 **closer** 23:22 24:1,2 25:11 45:20 69:7 closing 16:4 codified 139:6 cognizant 137:7 collaboration 15:21 collaborative 16:6 127:4 **colleagues** 18:7 159:7 collective 86:13 collectively 155:21 156:1 **Colonel** 21:9,10

colony 69:22

Colorado 63:7

Columbia 1:13 3:21 4:22 5:5 51:7,11 54:17 combat 9:7 13:12 combined 14:20 come 14:8 32:11 36:21 41:3,4 43:10 58:16 60:10,11 91:10 105:15 127:6 131:11 132:20 135:11 come/first 104:14 **comes** 37:4 45:16 61:4 116:11 118:13 119:12 154:7 155:7 comfortable 98:18 coming 7:19 22:11 29:20 55:5 144:1,2 commemorate 2:10 31:16 37:11 54:18 137:13 146:1 149:5 commemorated 70:21 141:9 commemoration 19:15 75:1 commemorative 2:4 4:17.21 5:4 7:9 19:7 19:22 20:7,13,15,16 23:11 29:6 30:4 36:3 36:6 43:18 45:5 46:22 54:16 60:9 66:8 73:2 74:13,14 79:16 82:12 83:7 84:10 86:11 89:6 89:10 90:11 106:12 120:14 122:18 137:19 138:6 140:8 141:4.10 141:17 142:1,4 143:20 146:9 **comment** 6:5 17:6,8 26:6 42:3 49:7 91:22 94:18 110:22 113:4 113:14 122:1 commented 47:12 commenting 48:18 comments 17:19 20:20 25:18 27:20 32:20 45:7 46:5,13,15 47:5 47:8 91:13 92:18 95:10 120:22 121:2 129:10.12 131:20 151:11 152:15 153:13 153:18 163:1 **commission** 1:3,8,11 1:12,15,19 3:5,6,17 3:19 4:4,6,11,16 5:7 6:10 10:22 15:22 21:19 32:21 36:5 37:9 37:17 42:10,17 45:1,3 46:16 47:8 48:1,12,17

48:20 49:18 50:10 52:5 53:15,16 101:1,5 121:18 135:21 158:18 162:7 Commissioner 23:6 26:10 46:11,14,15,19 47:18 91:2 100:5 commissioners 11:5 16:19 20:20 33:12 46:4,19 47:9 90:21 93:21 99:21 129:13 131:9 135:21 148:6 162:5 Commissions 46:14 commit 127:10 commitment 9:13 10:16 committed 131:13 **committee** 9:19 16:8 48:17 52:22 142:6 144:21 **committees** 4:20 5:2,3 15:22 94:7 committing 156:21 common 16:2 60:21 66:22 **commonly** 34:8 39:9 communities 14:1 community 63:22 compared 47:6 comparison 159:15 competitors 14:18 **complete** 47:21 55:16 121:13 153:15 completed 20:6 31:11 103:18 138:11 139:2 139:5,7,9 140:19 146:4 completely 123:7 125:17 127:20 158:1 complex 82:2 complexity 90:13 complicated 147:12 component 98:14 comprised 12:19 46:17 **compromise** 10:9 25:3 133:22 146:10 comrades 33:10 concentrated 109:2 concentration 108:16 concept 5:8 85:21 87:20 conceptual 26:4 156:18 160:4 conceptualizing 114:15 conceptually 74:8 concern 83:9,15,18 84:5,12 85:5 95:2

118:6 123:11 concerns 89:18 **concerts** 24:5 73:15 concluded 110:11 conclusion 21:15 concur 118:1 153:12 conditionally 145:21 conference 1:8 conferred 39:14 confident 100:1 confine 50:2 conflict 50:14 139:11 conflicted 30:11 **conflicts** 38:20 43:12 84:5 conformative 69:5 Congress 4:21 5:2 6:11 8:14 9:15,19 14:15 19:17 21:21 23:7,9,19 26:13 27:22 29:7,16 29:18 30:22 31:10 36:8,10 40:21 42:22 43:20 45:20 47:6,10 48:2,21 50:1 54:14 58:10,21 59:6 94:12 97:15 116:14 128:2 139:16 140:4 144:3 147:17 154:17 156:6 159:10 160:21 **Congress'** 20:11 29:18 congress.gov 52:16 Congressional 21:20 31:9 39:10 Congressman 13:10 14:9 25:2 congressman's 12:5 congressmen 63:10 connect 53:20 **connected** 81:1 83:3 86:16 **connection** 23:18,21 39:6,8,12 40:1 47:10 49:21 95:14,15 112:16,19 132:7 connections 33:4 40:12 52:12 85:4 88:8,11 89:20 111:18 connects 74:8 85:2 cons 96:20 97:8 consensus 47:22 158:10 159:8 **consent** 14:16 Conservation 145:17 consider 9:2 10:22 18:3 58:6 59:19,21 65:2,3 71:8 100:17 104:2 109:10 118:17 130:22 131:8 132:12 136:12

144:7.8 145:9.15.16 146:15 147:9,14,16 154:6 consideration 11:2 12:7 16:15 30:3 46:2 61:10 79:7,14,20 99:4 99:7 100:16 120:1 132:10 considered 21:17 22:1 22:2 49:16 79:19 121:11 122:7 131:20 considering 5:3 40:15 93:11 **consistent** 87:11,21 129:14 154:5 constant 10:19 **Constitution** 2:11 54:20 65:7 68:17 76:6 77:12 78:13,17 107:11 137:15 149:1,6,8,19 149:21 152:1 153:3,4 157:3 159:14 constitutional 65:20 76:12 107:16 constrain 35:17 constrained 109:18 110:15 131:22 134:22 151:22 152:11 153:14 153:16,17 154:4 constraint 130:11 constraints 75:7 119:1 131:2,6 156:5 160:13 construct 15:9 constructed 24:18 143:15 construction 12:20 24:21 143:8 161:21 consult 5:7 **contains** 158:18 contemporary 108:2 **content** 113:11 contention 79:11 contest 30:10 context 36:19,20 41:2 50:9 154:14 continue 15:15 31:21 36:5,12 59:4 132:12 159:11 continued 65:20 69:4 76:11 **continues** 46:8 107:3 **continuing** 15:20 31:12 159:13 contrast 37:22 38:3 41:13 124:7 contribute 115:6 contributed 14:19 contributions 60:20

convergence 94:21 conversation 61:13 102:15 104:4 113:21 116:8,13,17 126:10 126:13,16 127:3,8,11 127:17 129:7,14 149:21 157:2,4,9 159:19 conversations 118:15 121:7 134:18 151:19 conveying 107:18 conviction 32:7 convincing 44:12 coordination 75:2 core 34:2 35:4,13 38:7 38:12 41:18 60:9,13 64:21 65:2,3,5,9,12 71:10 73:9 74:19 82:6 104:22 111:3 120:12 122:3,7,10,14,18,19 140:9 141:10 155:18 155:21 **corners** 113:9,17 corollary 108:8 corporate 14:17 correct 27:6 28:19.20 29:1 51:20 103:22 121:5 correspondence 54:8 corridor 66:8 77:21 85:13 108:20 110:18 120:9 **Cory** 1:18 2:7 11:15 **Council** 1:16 17:21 63:20 Council's 18:2 Counsel 4:4 count 106:10 **country** 13:6 14:19 15:13 19:16 60:21 61:1 63:17 64:15 70:1 70:22 81:2 106:18 107:12 133:15 country's 12:10 13:11 couple 24:14 58:21 59:21 81:12 91:12 114:7,9 129:15 courage 9:13 10:14 12:14 13:15 70:21 course 33:3 42:11 67:2 69:16 93:11 95:20 96:21 97:11 126:17 132:10 **Court** 78:16 91:18 courtesy 6:11 courts 24:7 covered 26:6

65:18 73:21 113:1

covers 40:19 cramming 50:14 crazy 29:17 create 73:8 created 10:8 14:4 68:3 149:17 154:2 creation 9:16 credit 118:5 criteria 74:2 75:21.22 79:3,9,18 97:22 118:4 118:18 cross-axis 20:1 47:21 138:7 **Crowley** 1:18 2:7 11:15 11:20,22 12:4 16:16 16:19 17:1,3,17 21:5 22:6,9,16,19 26:3,8 26:16 40:5 41:15 47:11 Crowley's 22:4 culminated 68:20 culminating 112:21 cultural 82:18 120:14 **Culture** 86:9 curiosity 73:20 **curious** 86:22 92:12 140:5 current 13:1 33:11 87:19 **currently** 12:19 81:2 161:9.14 curriculum 13:3 custody 67:15 **CWA** 146:2 D

D.C 15:9 18:5 23:2,3 63:8 77:15 98:17 126:14 **Dallas** 12:21 Dan 3:7 7:15 **Dan's** 11:18 **DANIEL** 1:19 dark 98:19 date 14:19 55:17 daughter 91:10 David 1:13 3:19 129:10 153:13 day 8:13,17 15:3,13 39:1 73:12 93:7 98:17 107:3 109:3 111:14 113:21 154:2 daytime 99:2 **DC** 18:8 dealing 42:12 131:4,5 decades 30:13 **December** 62:3 140:19 decided 23:12 96:8

п			100
	150.45	10444440044	
decision 18:11 36:18	153:15	134:14 148:8,11	Ecodistrict 120:11
36:22 37:4 38:9	designating 58:7	150:5 159:14,17	edge 77:9,20 84:1
121:21 138:20 143:2	designation 59:16	discussions 73:15	EDT 1:8
143:3,4 154:16,19	101:2 136:1,7	110:9	education 67:14
decisional 109:8	designed 146:16,22	dismay 109:21	Edwin 1:11 4:2
Declaration 149:10,14	designs 93:7 146:21	disobedience 69:3	effective 68:10
149:15,22	desired 84:14	displayed 2:5 7:11	effort 14:21 28:1,7
declared 149:16	Despite 146:2	disposition 15:8	113:2
dedicated 28:4 59:12	detail 80:3	disputing 31:6 41:17	efforts 90:15
70:22 140:7 142:4,10	detailed 159:17	disrespect 44:5	either 30:8 40:3 45:20
149:20 162:1	details 162:2	distinct 73:8	70:3 113:22 153:8
deeds 68:11	determination 19:12,17	distinctive 110:21	elaborate 80:3
deepen 71:11	36:8,10 37:10	distinguished 33:7	elements 14:20 88:19
deeper 85:20	determine 19:14 30:9	District 1:13 3:20 4:22	elephant 58:1
defenders 36:6	101:5	5:4 51:7,11 53:20	elevate 150:21
defending 15:16	determined 146:3	54:17 82:7	elevated 153:5
Defense 1:14 4:2 42:11	developed 74:2 82:5	dive 85:20	eligible 18:6
define 106:6	123:20	diverse 66:14	eliminate 120:6
defined 19:10 27:14	development 85:8	divide 14:14	eliminated 79:14 95:9
137:22 139:1 141:19	develops 46:7	divided 14:8	Elizabeth 69:17
141:20,21 155:13,19	deviation 6:14	division 37:14 161:18	embarrass 162:9
defines 19:11,22 138:6	diagonal 124:10	divorce 67:14	embarrassing 140:11
140:2,3	diagram 124:8	document 161:11	embodiment 72:19
defining 65:10	dialogue 130:13,16	documents 24:11	embody 124:22
definitely 45:12	dictate 40:15	doing 15:2 28:1 97:17	emotional 8:17
definition 30:5 74:20	different 23:11 28:5	113:18	emphasis 115:3
122:2,3,17	43:2 47:13 52:11 63:1	donors 94:13	enable 87:8
definitional 36:1	63:4 64:7,9 73:3,14	door 97:2	enabled 124:19
definitions 122:13	83:14 98:16 99:5	Doris 64:3	enables 75:10
degree 38:22	101:22 102:5 113:20	doubt 45:14 150:11	encourage 122:16
deliberation 83:13	125:17 127:5 130:8,9	dozen 24:2 63:18	132:11 134:3
democracy 61:1 64:13	139:15 147:15 156:20	dozens 62:16,16	encroach 20:16
65:19 66:10 68:21	differently 23:10	draft 51:8 54:7 161:5	endeavor 14:11 47:14
70:20 71:2 74:9 76:9	difficult 15:3 30:14	draw 132:6	ended 8:9 79:18
105:22	43:16 47:9 147:13	drawn 59:9	endorse 33:15 113:22
demonstrate 93:12	direct 33:4 81:22	draws 132:7	Energy 131:12
demonstrated 9:12	149:14	drew 111:18	engagement 63:22
demonstrates 10:1	directed 48:2	Drive 24:8 78:7 80:7,17	enjoy 46:14
denied 69:21	direction 28:9 35:15,20	83:21	enjoyed 46:17
Department 1:1 34:13	43:20	due 41:14	enlightening 108:18
42:11 131:12	directly 7:14	duplicative 121:1	enshrined 65:17 149:6
dependent 75:5 160:11	Director 1:20 3:13 31:8	duty 147:3,4	ensure 31:13 48:11
depth 46:3	56:5 57:16	dwarfed 151:2	65:15
Deputy 31:8	discount 118:2 119:14	dynamic 64:20 65:11	entire 42:14 124:11
describe 107:1	120:20	dynamic 04.20 00.11	128:7
described 74:20	discovered 92:10	E	entirely 47:12
Desert 34:15 161:19	discovery 92:13	E 77:21 89:4	environments 5:1,5
deserve 15:3 29:15	discuss 9:10 51:4,5	earlier 19:4 83:13 87:10	environs 45:22 54:18
43:7 61:2 70:21	140:17 150:7	137:9 150:15 154:5	envisioning 153:22
deserves 31:5 106:18	discussed 19:6 137:9		epic 105:13 106:6
deserving 142:8 143:12	138:10	early 108:4 earnest 58:20	124:20
150:12 158:14	discussing 13:7 51:12	ears 93:20 105:13	equal 66:13 68:3 129:6
design 5:8 46:8,21	51:22 58:10	easily 107:14	149:17
72:22 99:11,18 131:3	discussion 32:22 33:2	east 77:11 78:4 80:7	equality 65:21 67:4,9
142:22 146:8,19	45:11 60:17 61:15	85:12	67:19,21 68:7,16
156:9,16 158:6,19,20	101:18 102:12,19,22		70:14 71:12 125:5
160:3,4 161:17,20	103:1,4 111:11 112:2	easy 61:9 echo 12:5	141:13 149:7
designated 149:5	112:8 132:21 133:19	Eco 82:7	equally 44:15,16 99:1
designated 149.5	112.0 132.21 133.19	LCU 02.1	equally 44.15,10 99.1
II	ı	ı	ı

equity 144:4 families 13:22 first 5:11 6:17 13:2 16:7 148:21 154:18 exist 14:7 15:17 97:6 famous 112:14 19:12 21:4 39:14 45:1 equivalent 143:17 era 113:1 122:14 fantastic 125:13 49:11 57:22 59:12,15 far 23:4 34:9 56:2,2 63:14 65:13 66:6 68:2 **erased** 60:19 existed 14:4 erecting 37:4,6 **existence** 124:14,15 77:11 88:13 123:21 69:8,11 70:12 74:3 escaping 124:7 existing 20:16 38:18 farther 124:19 76:16 79:9 80:11 91:1 **essentially** 35:9 37:12 53:21 74:16 82:22 fascinated 123:5 102:19 104:14 114:10 88:5 87:6.15 fascinating 125:19 123:2 128:3 135:19 establish 2:4 7:9 51:9 expand 32:10 40:13 fast 58:20 118:3 125:22 139:4 143:8.10.12 54:16 62:4 111:3 124:18 126:1 145:1 147:11 148:6 149:16 established 4:16 63:20 **expanding** 65:19 66:10 faster 144:19 150:10 151:11 161:18 76:9 89:9 111:6 father 70:2 79:3 **fit** 109:17 125:11 160:5 establishment 4:21 9:3 expansion 68:19 **fathers** 143:18 fits 146:20 137:17 expansive 123:16 favor 137:3 **fitting** 10:18 esteemed 10:21 124:10 125:12 favorite 70:12 five 94:6 141:9 **favors** 70:13 fix 143:5,21,21 145:12 estimate 89:12 expectation 126:17 et 73:16 103:16 **expected** 144:19 **FDR** 162:1 147:18 feasible 157:8 evaluate 159:11 expects 57:5 fixed 61:4 147:17 **experience** 46:18 73:19 feature 73:1 89:7 90:5 flexibility 111:8 evening 73:12 75:11 82:12,17 94:18 **features** 73:2 83:8 event 106:4,22 floor 9:20 50:1 **events** 31:19 37:12 98:6,12,15 103:16 federal 7:2 51:10 54:17 Florent 33:12 73:14 83:17 132:8 108:14 110:10 78:1 122:8 126:14 flux 18:17 140:21 141:6,9 experiences 86:13 feel 91:8 98:18,21 99:1 focus 35:14 84:10 100:1 118:2 120:4 everybody 29:9,11 30:6 **experts** 63:19,21,21 86:12 91:1 92:6 54:6 56:17 95:19 explain 62:12 139:17 122:13 129:11 120:10 132:22 136:8 158:20 exploration 160:18 feeling 28:8 focused 77:5.9 91:13 161:4 **explore** 101:8 feels 103:3 150:20 **folks** 26:11 90:17 157:5 Everybody's 72:6 explored 108:22 feet 10:6 25:4,7 27:1 **follow** 51:15 everyone's 53:13 72:8 exploring 157:13 52:20 70:16 follow-up 52:7 162:22 expressing 160:10 **fellow** 46:4,13,18 47:8 followed 5:17 everything's 29:19 extend 82:12,17 111:2 93:21 99:21 following 51:2 **evolves** 105:3 extending 122:10 fewer 9:9 **fooled** 102:13 fewest 131:2 forces 9:9 37:7 39:16 **exactly** 83:7 100:16 extends 20:1 138:7 106:22 124:11 125:2 extension 10:18 25:21 **fight** 67:4,7,8,18,20 152:11 **example** 18:14 68:21 149:12 68:15 141:13 146:2 foreclose 32:20 excellent 43:5 extent 105:21 150:18 **fighting** 67:13,17 foregone 21:15 156:14 108:15 **exception** 29:10,11,13 foremothers 66:12 76:8 30:6 34:20 36:18 37:1 External 31:8 figure 45:5 85:21 **form** 10:3 40:22 41:10,13,19 extra 90:12 102:14 former 33:12 64:3 103:5 142:8,11 144:7 extraordinary 2:5 7:10 figured 63:11 150:9 Forrestal 89:19,22 90:6 exceptional 19:8 9:11 13:16 115:10 **final** 22:13 55:17 62:16 131:10 121:21 123:7 133:2 forth 43:13 137:20 **eye** 70:4 **exceptions** 36:13,17 137:8 161:20 **forward** 15:20 46:6 F excited 60:7 61:16 91:6 finalized 104:11 48:11,16 55:3 56:8 91:8,10 114:12,22 face 100:8 finally 57:21 66:14 71:9 58:21 59:4 61:6 72:10 71:14 78:6 79:15 97:13 101:1,18 120:3 115:13 126:11,12 faced 13:14 135:8 146:19 **faces** 24:3 128:8 121:8,20 exciting 115:4 116:21 facilities 122:9 find 36:15 47:1 74:4 found 5:21 16:11 24:11 92:1 106:20 111:14 126:3 128:15 fact 23:3 33:8 96:12 42:13 excuse 69:2 73:18 140:6,10 146:5 foundation 1:19,21 2:4 142:8 154:3 156:10 7:8 11:17,18 13:5 74:18 81:10,18 82:21 154:16 156:13 84:1 86:20 87:16 factors 75:8 finding 59:10 14:2 56:1,6 57:17 findings 20:8 22:14 62:22 88:22 140:4 fair 51:18 **Executive** 1:18,20 **fairly** 81:12 27:7 52:18 53:6 56:2 Foundation's 51:10 11:15 43:22 56:5 fall 32:8 56:4 founder 81:1 Fine 1:12,20 3:6,19 57:15 **Falls** 68:1 143:11 **founding** 70:6 71:13 familiar 22:21 55:13 finish 129:11 **exemption** 116:4,6,18 74:9 Fountain 1:11 4:2 26:10 139:20 142:17 146:11 finite 130:11 67:3

guiding 70:11 26:18,19 27:8,11,16 153:4,9 157:3 159:14 109:5.12.13.13 gateway 82:1,18 90:5 32:15,17 33:3 41:21 114:11 120:3,10 **guy** 149:2 42:9 43:4 45:8 46:15 gathered 67:22 143:10 122:10 128:22 129:4 **guys** 147:9 gendered 61:5,6 134:5,16,17 135:19 48:6,7,19 49:6 64:22 **GWOT** 24:6 general 1:15 3:22 4:19 109:20,21 114:10 140:13 142:22 144:6 117:14,17 118:11 22:1 37:9 39:15 40:2 150:6 151:10 153:14 47:22 113:14 159:8 148:12,13 150:3,15 153:18 155:5 159:9 **H.R** 2:3,9 5:12,17 6:19 154:9,10 157:16 generally 20:1 37:18 159:21 7:3 8:22 9:21 11:18 103:10 138:7 gold 33:10 158:2 17:10 19:19 20:8 four 5:9 14:12 113:9,17 generation 147:20 good 10:21 16:12 23:17 138:2.13 generations 12:13 **four-lane** 130:19 24:22 30:20 39:21 half 105:5 125:3 155:2 Fourth 31:20 68:14 107:2 53:6 57:9 59:22 Hamming 4:9 Fox 1:19 3:7 7:16,19 generous 14:18 104:17,18 109:7 hand 6:8 17:9 32:16 frame 109:15 gentlemen 150:11 135:17 138:17 153:6 71:18 117:15 148:9 156:16 162:20 framework 82:6 120:12 150:10 **geography** 111:4,4,6 getting 30:6 48:4 63:13 Goodwin 64:3 frankly 125:9 handle 101:13 fraught 99:11 110:3 129:22 Gordon 21:9 hands 17:13 54:3,4 giants 65:14 government 32:3 freedom 23:5 65:21 114:6 123:9 136:7 **gilded** 33:9 71:12 76:13 77:13 107:11 126:15 137:4 161:4 78:3,3,3 80:6 84:16 girl 65:15 144:11 grandmother 98:3 happen 30:1,18,18 84:17,18 85:11,13 girls 61:1 71:14 147:5 **Granite** 113:16 118:12 63:13 72:7 130:11 112:17 133:12,15,16 qive 7:16 12:17 58:15 **Grant** 21:22 39:13,15 132:5 151:21 149:7 61:16 109:14 118:5 39:19 40:2 47:11 happened 28:18 29:12 friendly 49:14 134:11 152:9 grass 84:2 93:9 happens 28:6 130:14 front 31:17 42:17 52:6 given 18:4 39:14 60:22 grateful 61:16 **happier** 155:9 63:4 66:20 69:8.10 64:14 108:19 112:20 gratitude 12:5 happy 32:19 59:7 fruitful 115:12 123:14 129:19 146:20 great 9:2 12:2 19:22 122:12 frustration 43:3 151:14 22:10 44:17 46:9 hard 29:9 41:11 61:7,8 full 12:17 52:10 67:12 gives 110:19 132:2 47:20 50:14 51:1 54:6 107:17 134:15 68:7,16 150:18 **giving** 14:16 76:6 57:20 60:6 61:3 65:13 harder 107:4.5 109:2 153:22 glad 91:17 94:1 162:14 69:17 71:9 74:11 91:9 **Harjo** 64:4 Harriet 69:18 **fully** 23:11 50:10 **Global** 28:19 92:16 93:19 94:4 99:8 99:17 109:19 117:4 function 8:14 **go** 7:20 26:10 30:9 Harvard 50:6 functionally 26:20 31:16 32:7 34:4 35:21 118:8 120:20 125:18 Harwood 93:15 133:11 functioned 29:8 37:3 43:13 54:1 61:22 128:11.12 132:17 hate 53:3 functions 31:14 64:16 66:1 77:16 79:5 136:3,8 138:6 152:17 **Haupt** 81:19 **Fund** 119:19 120:2 158:17 161:4 80:1 87:16 89:3 101:7 **He'll** 7:19 fundamental 106:17 101:18 109:5,12 greater 10:21 111:9 head 106:21 fundamentally 146:9 115:16 117:18 138:14 greatest 12:11 headquarters 80:13 **funded** 126:16 138:18 140:14 141:13 **Grimke** 70:10 headstones 33:9 hear 5:15 8:1,4 11:20 **funds** 126:6,18 127:2 144:13 145:12 156:6 Groberg 33:13 127:14 129:22 156:8 ground 39:19 22:19 44:19 50:21 funny 105:13 goal 92:1 98:2 grounds 21:16 23:6,9 65:9 94:1 99:20 further 16:1 19:11 20:5 34:11 40:7,10 43:21 goals 62:9 64:17,19 109:22 140:5 149:3 25:7 79:20 85:3 88:10 God 97:15 78:14 80:8 82:21 93:2 heard 20:19,21 22:17 goes 28:17 48:16 51:14 96:10 112:10,11 89:3,8 122:10,13 93:22 129:13 136:10 160:18 162:2,4,6 110:2 116:16 130:14 group 8:19 37:21 139:15 142:17 153:7 future 12:13 18:1 43:10 150:14 groups 29:13 73:15 hearing 7:2 31:10 42:8 90:4 93:8 159:17 going 26:3 29:16,22 155:5 144:21 145:3 159:8 **FYI** 57:3 grown 63:1 159:21,22 30:1,13 32:6,10 35:21 **GSA** 19:14 45:3 87:4 43:1 55:4 58:2 59:14 **heart** 66:7 G 59:15,17,19,20 60:7,8 guaranteed 97:11 hearts 15:18 Gallup 140:19 141:5 60:10,11 62:7,9,10,12 guardians 26:1 **heat** 150:10 guess 21:16 50:21 51:1 62:15 64:6 71:18,19 game 128:6 heavily 18:13 72:8 75:21 79:5 80:1 garden 81:7,19 87:8,11 51:3 53:13 95:8 held 7:2 105:4 88:1 80:2 85:20 98:7,18 119:14,20 151:4 help 22:22 27:13 **Gardens** 39:20 65:8 101:13 102:8 104:19 152:10 122:19 131:17 149:1,8,19 152:1 105:12 107:13,20 guide 20:14 130:2 helpful 16:10 18:19

97:13 163:2 114:6 **honorable** 21:5 35:5 46:11.12 49:14 91:2.3 93:19 94:20 95:2,14 helping 3:8 16:10 honorary 63:15 76:17 infrastructure 84:4 heroes 12:11 13:20 honored 60:1 99:8 112:12 158:8,9 initial 10:5 16:9 24:11 69:14 hope 13:18 15:8 16:11 162:18 37:8 77:4 79:17 **heroic** 37:21 20:19 59:11 60:18 impending 95:11 120:21 **heroism** 21:13 64:20 66:14 115:6 impinging 74:15 innovation 135:9 Hershel 5:12 6:18 8:22 141:8 153:8 implementation 75:3 innovative 110:20 hesitant 33:15 hopeful 147:14 implications 43:9 inquiry 26:20 **hi** 11:20 56:12 implicitly 36:21 inscriptions 33:8 hopefully 60:16 high 30:19 133:13 hoping 61:9 65:11 66:6 **importance** 19:8 50:13 **inside** 59:9 76:18 137:20 160:10 inspire 12:13 66:8 69:4 147:20,21 66:11 114:12 117:11 inspired 70:11 **higher** 37:18 144:5,6 145:15,16 **important** 10:11 44:12 highest 9:6 10:4 13:11 45:13 47:1 49:17 inspires 65:19 146:14 highlight 161:18 horseback 112:14 50:18 66:7 67:5 98:10 installation 87:11 98:13 99:14 140:21 instance 34:12 75:13 hosting 3:6 **highly** 39:13 House 7:1 9:17,20 141:6 156:10,12 instances 153:1 highly-landscaped 72:21 14:14 20:3 69:9,11 impression 48:4 Institute 12:22 historian 64:2 94:7 101:10 105:8 impressive 90:4 **integrated** 74:18,19 historians 63:21 138:9 144:17,20 imprisoned 69:13 integration 111:21 **historic** 1:17 4:5 14:21 145:1 improvement 81:7 integrity 146:10 18:3 23:21 24:10,20 huge 106:3 127:13 87:12,20 intended 10:12 38:15 61:11 83:4 105:15 hugely 98:9 in-between 132:14 intent 20:11 22:14 131:5 141:17 142:2 human 12:15 106:17 inarquable 46:22 24:20 27:15 46:21 146:10 147:2 humble 15:1 25:13,19 inauguration 31:20 48:12 76:2 historical 19:16 67:8 humility 15:10 include 34:11 49:15 intentionally 72:3 76:3 118:9 119:12 **hundred** 102:13 52:17 78:13 160:7 interconnected 10:12 132:8 hunger 69:12 included 157:21 interest 91:14 historically 119:9 122:4 husband 70:2,7 includes 34:12 52:22 interested 42:8 99:20 **history** 43:18 44:22 husbands 67:15 143:18 78:20 80:6 127:5,6 46:4 59:13 60:20 **hybrid** 131:22 including 24:3 73:15 **interesting** 39:18 95:6 65:14 66:18.21 68:10 120:14 106:15 107:8 112:16 incorporated 88:17 68:20 69:11 71:9 112:18 113:8 132:21 increasingly 40:21 134:21 154:12 157:12 73:21 74:21 78:9 84:2 **I-395** 88:6 86:9,10,12 95:12,21 **Ida** 69:19 42:17 Interestingly 23:8 96:5,10,13,18 106:4,6 idea 12:18 25:15 26:4 incredible 63:12 114:18 interests 159:6 111:19,20 113:18,20 82:8 108:8 115:2,3 incredibly 105:7,9 interfere 20:15 interference 3:7 27:21 114:19,21 115:9 116:22 117:4,5 108:18 140:8 141:2,3 142:5 123:20 124:1 125:12 indefinitely 44:9 34:10 42:4 49:8 51:17 142:10 146:6 150:12 125:19 126:7,21 Independence 80:17 Interior 1:1 4:19 19:13 151:7 152:11 154:2 128:8 81:18 88:5 89:1,18 interpret 139:14,16 155:3,22 156:1 ideal 116:12 90:3 149:10,15 150:1 interrupting 151:6 hit 98:7 indicated 36:16 135:4 idealized 107:14 intrigued 110:18 hits 133:17 ideally 148:19,22 indicates 36:4 76:18 111:16 hold 161:7 ideals 10:4 13:8 38:16 indications 131:11 intriguing 114:3 home 15:7 71:12 105:21 149:6 indicative 152:12 **introduced** 6:20 7:22 honest 25:15 162:13 149:21 individual 37:13 41:1 58:22 63:11 **honesty** 126:19 ideas 15:12 156:19 43:22 68:21 introducing 56:10 **honor** 1:19 2:4,5,6,10 identified 148:15 individualized 44:4 76:22 **individuals** 9:11 14:6 introduction 16:8 5:13 6:18 7:8,10,12 identify 46:20 8:5,13 9:1,2,5,11 10:8 14:22 38:15 39:3 investment 65:20 76:12 **ignite** 73:20 10:13 11:16 12:13,16 45:18 involved 128:1,2,3 ignore 57:7 **II** 25:17 38:4 110:16 12:22 13:9,10,18 15:1 indulge 66:22 Iraq 21:10 15:4 19:5,20 21:8,12 industry 13:1 isolated 131:7 161:22 **inequity** 61:5,6 21:20 26:11 28:4,6 illustrates 104:15 issue 10:2 31:1 36:2 imagine 107:17 119:8 infinite 94:4 42:12 50:12,16 31:5 33:5,5,13 37:6 issues 36:2 50:13 92:12 38:21 39:10 41:12 153:21 influence 85:7 44:6 45:13 47:1 51:13 imagining 26:5 inform 160:3.4 94:15 52:10 137:13 154:14 **Imamura** 1:12 3:17 information 72:16 item 5:11 6:9,17 17:16

54:11 129:5 137:8 **items** 5:9,10 **lwo** 23:17

J

Jane 69:18 **January** 54:15 57:17 58:19,20 **Jefferson** 20:4 105:20 138:9 157:10,11 Jersey 69:22 Jima 23:17 job 15:2 162:20 **John** 70:7 join 8:21 joining 7:22 11:10 12:3 17:18 Joseph 1:12 3:17 jowl 35:11 **Joy** 64:3 **July** 7:2,3 10:1 31:20 55:12 143:9,14 jump 7:14 72:12 **June** 162:1 **jurisdiction** 16:1 83:10 87:2.4 iustice 65:21 68:22 71:12 76:13 149:7 justification 20:9 76:2 iustifications 59:16 justify 122:20 juxtaposition 154:12

Κ

Kearns 64:3 **keep** 10:17 67:15 129:10 140:15 Ken 64:2 kept 23:20 24:8,22 kind 24:8,18 26:1 65:1 75:20 85:18 91:19 95:6 97:13 107:7 108:10,11 109:6 124:1 125:2 128:6 129:20 130:10,12 132:14 150:14 151:2 151:5 162:13 kinds 73:14 **King** 96:7,9 157:11 **know** 4:15 8:10 12:8 21:8 22:16 23:8 25:11 28:3 30:11 32:11 35:20 43:17 45:2 48:7 52:18 57:4 58:13,14 62:12 65:1 66:19,22 69:16 91:7.13 92:6 93:1,3,5,20 94:13 95:18 96:2,7,22 97:3

97:16,19,20,21 99:3 103:2 104:8,20,21 105:5,15 106:8,11,13 106:20 107:15 108:5 108:12 109:4,4,9 110:8 113:16 114:14 115:1 116:14 117:5 118:13 119:14 126:20 127:4,9 128:5,15 129:12 130:6,7,10 131:9 132:6 134:15 135:3 137:19 138:18 138:22 139:1,4,8,18 140:2,14 141:19 142:17 147:9,13 150:18 151:6,13,18 151:19 152:3,10 154:4,15 156:15 157:7 159:11 162:10 **knowing** 102:7 156:16 knowledge 58:4 60:22 known 162:12 knows 95:19 Korea 25:18 38:3 Kristi 1:15 3:21 132:18 133:4 148:9 150:8

_____L L'Enfant 88:12 89:5

lack 63:7 101:21 ladies 63:14 70:8 71:6 76:16 128:3 land 51:10 54:17 82:22 153:14 Lands 7:2 landscape 40:13 74:13 74:14 79:16 81:8 87:19 113:12 155:15 landscaped 87:9,22 landscaping 83:2 language 10:10 22:12 25:3 26:22 51:6,8,15 54:22 158:4 159:9 160:20 large 31:19 37:11 41:18 84:20 largely 34:9 40:19 larger 36:1 41:2 **largest** 68:19 lasting 19:15 76:4 lastly 131:19 161:22 late 108:3 Laughter 134:10 launch 32:19 launched 13:2 14:12 Laureate 64:3 Laurel 4:9 138:15,16 140:14

law 20:12 54:14 62:3,4 63:13 68:8 70:4 116:15 122:5 139:7 139:17,18 lawnmower 149:2 lay 97:9 layer 90:12 **layers** 92:11 **Laymon** 1:20 56:4,9,12 57:2,6,10,12 72:1 90:21 91:4 92:1,16 94:19 95:1,13,16 99:9 100:13 103:11 114:4 121:12 122:1 125:21 128:14,18 129:2,12 134:5,9,11,17 135:4 138:12,14,16 148:5,7 148:14,17 150:6 155:19 157:1 lays 23:12 lead 90:18 125:15 128:8 133:22 leader 39:16 leaders 13:1 64:1 69:17 74:11.22 75:1 **leadership** 37:9 99:14 100:1 leading 63:19 112:15 **leaf** 33:10 **learn** 16:13 **learned** 159:7 learning 118:12 leave 8:18 97:15 140:16 145:13 147:22 148:2 160:14 Lee 69:19 **left** 80:6 legacies 10:12 12:14 legacy 10:19 25:21 82:7 legal 18:4 legally 62:20 **legislation** 5:3,11 6:6 7:7 9:15 19:17 28:10 34:21,22 41:1,2,3,6,8 58:9,22 59:2,6 63:11 137:16 139:4 145:19 155:9 156:7 159:13 **legislative** 4:11 27:13 lend 99:17 130:8 **let's** 27:4 49:5,10 53:9 57:12 64:17 90:20 91:1 117:13 135:18 147:17 157:17 158:5 letter 52:3,4 **letters** 145:4 **level** 37:12,14,18

120:2 121:6 life 9:12 13:21 **lifting** 157:22 Likewise 105:20 limit 150:17 160:12 limitations 132:15 limited 85:14 130:11 limiting 75:8 152:14 limitless 13:15 Lincoln 10:7,8,13 14:4 15:14 20:2,10 21:16 22:12 23:14,20,22 24:3,13,17,21 25:4,12 27:2 39:6 44:11,13 45:17 47:7 52:20 65:7 106:1,2 138:8 Lincoln's 10:19 25:21 line 43:13 48:17 59:9 60:4 linear 81:17 82:14 88:6 88:18,21 lines 130:4 list 55:10,16 91:18,21 92:15,20,21 103:18 133:3 154:20 155:1 listed 33:6.11 listening 93:15 133:19 159:6 literal 108:1 116:15 little 4:14 8:7 34:12 46:20 55:7 58:15 78:15 85:3 86:22 88:9 91:19 99:5 104:19 107:22 116:5 131:7 132:2 141:1 144:11 161.1 live 105:22 living 63:14 76:16 lobbied 68:6 lobbying 68:10 **locate** 18:5 44:16 located 10:6 18:12 19:9 29:7 34:16 41:7 49:1 52:2,19 137:20 locating 44:13 location 2:10 5:14,19 6:19 9:1 19:5 20:14 24:7 28:10,18 39:10 40:10 41:18 45:15 46:2 47:2 51:22 52:8 53:17,18 55:11 73:8 75:14 80:13 85:16 86:6 95:4 123:9,10 124:5,13 137:11 146:8 151:10 156:12 158:6,22 locations 23:3 44:15 52:11 78:2,4 79:7

levels 37:15

Liberty 55:11 119:19

85:19 104:17 long 8:6 91:7 long-overdue 12:9 longer 30:5 32:3 36:11 108:17 look 11:8 15:20 23:6,15 23:21 24:22 27:12 29:11 41:1 46:6 52:10 53:6 82:3 86:3 93:17 100:8 113:6 122:16 126:20 129:20 133:12 139:12 141:16,22 142:3 157:22 159:17 162:3 looked 23:5 74:1,3,6,12 76:22 77:6,18,20 78:2 78:12,18 79:9,12,13 79:15,18 81:15 82:20 83:21 84:16,17,18 86:21 88:3,4,15,20 89:9 90:8 93:12 98:15 110:17 123:10 looking 23:3 24:19 25:16 30:3 33:19 34:14 35:7 39:21 40:6 40:8.17 45:21 66:4.16 72:21,21 73:10,17 75:6 93:2 97:22 124:8 124:14 126:22 136:6 looks 92:9 94:21 99:5 lost 32:2 lot 25:7 32:6,10,11 55:6 67:16 71:17 78:21 80:12,15 81:3 83:7 84:3 85:12 86:4 87:6 87:22 91:7,14 92:20 92:21,22 95:6,17,20 96:8,22 99:16,18 103:11 104:17 107:13 108:7,9,18 109:14 111:21 115:20,22 116:1,16 117:10 118:14,21 119:18 120:12,17 122:15 124:3 130:3 135:14 138:19 146:17 155:4 **lots** 98:17 114:3,5 128:12 131:2,15 135:16 loud 126:9 love 116:22,22 117:8 127:7 148:22 158:3 **Luebke** 1:12 3:18 28:13 28:14,21 29:2,4 31:2 32:17 34:3 36:4,16 40:20 41:5 42:16 45:7 47:18 100:6,7,14,21 101:3,12,15,17,21

102:3,6,17,20 103:6 103:13,20 104:1,6,13 109:22 123:22 129:8 130:6 132:18,19 136:22 147:10 148:3 157:17,18 Luebke's 33:18 123:6 luminaries 64:2 Luther 96:6,9 157:11

М

luxury 44:22

Ma'am 52:13 Mabel 69:19 Madam 21:3 42:1 46:12 47:20 49:15 50:20 91:3 100:4 119:17 121:22 123:1 136:11 158:9 162:9,20 **Madison** 78:6 80:7,16 80:17 83:21 113:5 Maine 78:20 major 43:12 61:11 94:22 106:19 majority 136:17 making 19:12 135:11 136:4 144:7 **Mall** 2:4,10 7:10 9:4 16:3,13 19:6,9 20:1 23:2 32:1 34:1.8.9.17 35:3,12 38:2,6,11 39:8 44:8 50:15 59:13 60:10 65:16 71:11 77:6 80:20 81:22 82:2 82:10 83:6 84:7.9.11 85:2 105:1,2 110:13 110:14 119:19 124:18 128:19 131:4 137:12 137:21 138:7 140:9 141:11 143:1,7 144:11 145:10 146:1 146:3,11 147:5,7 149:4 152:13 154:1 158:14.15 Maloney 1:13 3:20 41:22 42:1,7 44:18 45:8,16 46:14 50:7,8 51:18 122:22 123:1 125:20 126:2 127:19 128:17,20 130:17 152:18,19 Maloney's 52:3 153:13 man 64:12 107:10 manage 3:8 Manager 1:22 4:10 map 77:3,22 march 83:4,5 119:11

marched 68:6

Margaret 69:21 70:3 mark 133:18 marked 38:3 68:18 marker 119:12 markup 53:5 **Martin** 96:6,9 157:11 Maryland 77:11 78:17 78:22 89:4 **massive** 68:20 matches 15:10 74:5 materials 5:20 33:20 **matter** 32:13 49:2 102:15 163:5 matters 8:19 33:19 106:8 118:13 **Maurice** 104:5 Mayor 1:13 3:20 **McMAHON** 1:14 4:1,10 27:18,19 50:19,20 51:1 52:13 53:8,12 159:4,5 mean 30:7,16 44:14 49:3 102:6 117:4 118:11 122:12 127:9 131:14 134:20 144:9 144:10 145:11 155:1 155:18 meaning 107:7 108:17 108:21 means 29:22 30:1 94:12 145:9 mechanism 45:9 medal 1:19 2:4,6 5:13 6:18 7:8,12 9:1,5,9 10:8.13 11:16 12:13 12:16,21 13:9,10,17 14:4 15:1,4,13 19:5 19:20 21:8,12,20 26:11 28:4,6 31:5 33:4,5,13 38:21 39:10 39:14 41:12 44:6 45:13 51:13 52:9 154:14 meeting 3:3,6,8,14,15 5:21 50:5 58:2 61:14 104:7 163:3 meetings 18:16 meets 24:15,16 122:3 member 94:9 members 6:10,15 14:13 17:7 23:19 32:21 42:3 42:10 94:12 memorable 44:16 memorial 1:3,7 3:4 4:9 10:7 11:17 19:6,8,21 20:3,4,9,10 21:15,16 21:22 22:8 24:13,14 24:17,21 25:12 26:12

27:2 30:18 31:5 33:16 33:21,22 34:14,16,22 35:8 37:3,19 38:5,9 38:14 39:13,22,22 40:13,16 41:7,12,17 46:6 47:11 48:22 50:5 50:11,12 51:6,10,13 52:5,7,20 53:21 55:1 55:11,15,18,21 62:10 63:9 64:11,20 65:12 73:8 76:17 85:16,19 86:14 87:7 88:17 94:11,13 100:11 104:11 105:18,20 106:1,9,16 108:6 110:4,10,13,15 113:6 113:13 114:17 120:2 120:19 121:6,7 123:16,20 124:1,2,9 124:12 125:1,11 134:19 135:6 137:12 137:17,20 138:4,8 145:5 146:12,19,22 147:1 149:1 150:1 151:13,15 152:6 153:6 154:17 155:15 156:10,11,12,13 157:5 158:21 160:5 160:11 161:11,20 memorialization 44:8 memorializing 28:4 60:20 memorials 2:13 5:6 25:15 37:4,11,13,16 38:1,4,18 40:11 42:13 42:21 43:6,12,14,21 44:4 74:16 97:21 108:3 113:19 121:11 123:13 124:20 142:9 143:3 147:16 154:12 154:21 161:8,14,16 men 25:18 67:22 68:2 123:3 142:4 143:3 149:17 mental 106:9 mention 21:7 56:14 58:1 62:18 114:9,12 115:14,15,17 117:10 119:10 **mentioned** 9:18,22 25:18 40:6 45:20 47:18 62:1,19 65:1 83:12,13 87:7,10 97:19 114:8 118:11 118:18,20 120:8 122:2 148:18 **merely** 50:13 merit 51:12

П
merited 79:20
merits 10:22 52:11
139:21 140:17 144:8
message 119:14
met 1:8 21:11 23:19
methods 28:5
Metro 81:11 86:18
88:11,13
Metrorail 75:16 Michael 1:14 3:15 4:10
mid-19th 107:3
middle 116:13
Mike 31:9
milestone 57:18
military 10:16 23:18
37:22 43:15 44:1
military-themed 40:10
million 9:8 14:20
millions 70:18
Mina 45:2
mind 34:6 37:20 44:11 45:16 48:5 99:15
111:13 114:4 140:13
144:5
minds 158:19
minute 17:13 53:11
minutes 81:9 161:7
mirror 149:11,15
missed 40:18
missing 33:7 53:14
141:12,12 142:7
mission 37:5 131:18 mistake 53:3
mix 104:8
model 108:19
modern 105:13
modest 13:8
modify 51:16
moment 7:16 68:18
90:7 149:19
momentum 9:21
month 143:10 months 58:21 161:22
monument 1:21 2:8,10
5:13,16,18 6:19 9:1,3
9:10,16 10:2,6 11:1
12:9 13:8,19 14:5
15:9 18:4,11 25:20
44:16 45:12 46:8
54:13,16 55:3,22 56:6
57:17 58:11 59:1,8,12
61:19 62:2,5,22 64:11
64:12,19 65:7 66:21
71:8 73:9 75:4 76:19 80:19,22 83:9 85:9
86:1,7,17 88:9 104:9
105:18 107:9 116:8
117:3 131:18 137:18
II

139:21 143:8.9.15 145:22 146:1,16 154:15 155:4 157:10 157:11 158:11 161:19 monumental 34:2 35:4 35:13 38:7,12 41:18 60:13 64:21 65:2,3,5 65:9,12 71:10 74:19 82:6 104:22 111:3,4 120:12 122:2,7,9,14 122:17,19 155:18,20 **monuments** 1:11 4:3 24:17 25:14 37:6,16 98:11,11 99:4,6 116:8 116:11 130:14 140:7 142:9 155:5 Moore 1:21 2:6 6:20 7:14,21 8:3,9 11:4,6 11:12 13:11 14:9 17:17 21:5 41:15 Moore's 25:2 moot 101:19 mother 70:6 98:2 **motion** 48:6,8,11,20 49:3,11,12,13 50:1,10 53:14 135:19,22 136:9,12,22 159:22 160:6,15,20 motivated 15:15 **motto** 68:11 move 8:17 17:15 49:11 55:3 72:10 97:13 121:20 129:1 148:7 150:5 moved 69:6 92:13 144:18,21 145:1 movement 2:11 54:19 63:10 67:6,18 70:12 76:7 112:22 113:3 118:22 119:5,9,13 137:14 movements 69:5 movie 108:13 moving 11:19 33:1 54:11 58:10 59:4,6 101:1 121:8 135:1 137:6,7 157:19 multi-faceted 110:20 multi-generational 73:4 multiple 28:3 31:14 82:15 89:7 museum 1:19 2:4 7:8 11:16 12:19 14:5 51:10 78:8,8 82:2 84:1 86:8,9 95:12 96:11 111:19,20 113:10,18 130:14 156:1

museums 86:10 109:5 155:21 156:1 **music** 93:20 mute 26:10 27:18 72:10 muted 132:19 148:3 mystery 115:19 117:11 143:6,19 Ν N.E 77:12 78:17 **name** 3:12 55:1 62:19 62:21 **names** 33:9 narrative 104:22 108:1 124:1,3 132:3,4,8 narratives 130:9 narrow 24:1 49:6,8 **narrowing** 25:1 89:15 **narrowly** 155:13 **nation** 9:14 10:17 14:7 31:19 69:7 nation's 10:4 13:9 63:18 64:21 65:12 66:7 74:9 155:2 **national** 1:3,7,10,14,18 1:21 2:3,4,8,10 3:4,13 3:16 4:12,13 5:13,16 5:18,21 6:18 7:1,8,9 9:1,4 11:16 12:19,21 14:11 16:2 19:5.6.9 19:20 23:2 31:3,5 32:1 34:10,17 40:7,9 43:11 54:13 55:2,3,11 55:22 56:5 57:16 58:18 59:3.12.13 60:10 62:22 65:15,16 70:22 71:11,16,22 72:6 74:18 77:6 78:8 80:20 81:21 82:2,20 83:6 84:1,7,9,11 85:2 85:9 86:8,9 87:2 104:9,21 105:1 119:19 120:1 128:19 137:12,12,21 140:9 141:11 143:1,7 144:11 145:9,17,22 146:1,3,10 147:5,7 149:4 158:14,15 nature 7:4

122:5 137:3 near 18:6 23:17 24:6,8 24:15 81:11 83:2,6,7 96:3,17 131:14 nearby 86:19 nearer 25:22 nearly 71:7 necessarily 13:20 21:18 86:11 107:6 118:2 necessary 126:7 **necks** 70:16 need 18:20 25:14 26:14 30:22 34:4 35:21 51:13,15 94:8 112:8 136:1 137:8 152:8,21 154:2 needs 6:3 14:10 31:14 32:4 42:22 125:2 147:17 152:8 159:18 negotiate 94:8 never 15:7 24:18 103:18 121:16 125:8 133:10,11 new 20:7 29:13 68:1 69:22 70:8 124:20 125:10 143:11 154:1 **news** 61:3 **nexus** 25:5 47:5,6 50:4 50:6 74:6 79:13 **NFL's** 13:5 nice 92:9 94:21 102:7 nicer 101:21 night 98:17 niahttime 99:2 Nina 129:15 node 88:22 89:1 **nodes** 88:21 89:10 non-encroaching 25:13 non-profits 18:8 non-voting 4:7 **north** 39:19 60:15 78:16 91:18 116:6,7,18 128:15.16 northern 87:1 northernmost 77:13 88:22 89:1 northwest 39:19 78:15 80:8 82:21 91:16 92:7 94:11 112:11 note 10:11 22:12 41:5 112:10 **noted** 13:11 14:9 39:9 **notes** 20:8 40:17,20 137:9 notice 64:6 141:8 noticed 63:7 notify 72:2

nave 30:8

Navy 28:3

161:11

nays 7:5

navigate 16:10

NCMAC 55:9 63:4

121:16 140:3,3

NCPC 18:8 21:11 82:5

114:13 121:17,20

noting 89:17 notion 44:2,11 155:14 notwithstanding 37:21 NPCA 146:11 NPS 4:8 18:8 nuanced 147:12 nuances 138:20,20 number 32:18 33:17 94:15,21 123:14 133:21 141:5 154:22 159:3,12 numbers 126:22 141:16 143:21 NW 83:22

0 objective 102:10 objectives 41:15 Observer 1:17 **obviously** 27:21 29:17 31:4 91:14 104:14 118:7 occurs 83:17 **OCTOBER** 1:5 odd 34:7 offer 48:5 51:3 75:9 81:16 82:14 88:17 119:1,3 120:15 offers 6:10 73:7 75:12 80:21 119:4 Office 43:22 official 145:18 148:20 **Oh** 32:15 53:11 57:4 **Ohio** 24:8 okay 7:17 11:8 16:21 17:15 18:22 27:8 28:11 51:1 53:9 54:5 54:6 56:9,12 57:1,8,9 57:12,14,22 62:1 64:17 66:3 72:15 74:4 100:21 101:12 102:6 102:20 103:6 117:19 122:21 136:7,19,21 136:22 137:4,6,9 138:16,17 140:15 144:15 148:10 150:5 150:9 158:7 159:5,15 159:20 160:19 161:1 161:4 **old** 98:1,5,7,22 153:4 on-89:12 once 18:4,13,16 28:17 29:10 30:6 130:20 147:20 ones 15:15 101:18 123:10 ongoing 120:19

open 43:13 49:18 83:1 84:19 127:3,12 148:19 open-ended 107:5 opening 2:2 10:1 56:10 151:11 **opinion** 139:19 140:1 opportunities 96:1 118:19 119:3 133:6 150:19 153:21 159:2 opportunity 6:7 8:21 73:7 80:21 81:16 82:14 88:18 90:4,10 99:18 110:12,19 116:20 118:6,8 119:4 120:20 124:18 125:14 125:18 128:11,12 129:16 130:2 131:2 135:13,16 140:12 157:12 **oppose** 36:13 opposed 35:1 38:11 74:15 110:4 151:17 158:4 opposite 39:20 option 108:20 **options** 103:8 orchestrate 69:12 orchestrated 68:9 72:3 order 51:3 103:3 154:21 ordered 7:4 ordinary 10:20 13:13 organization 41:16 63:3,5,16 69:3 126:6 organizations 114:13 organized 67:4,20 oriented 68:13 origin 105:16 original 51:4,16 153:18 originally 123:21 originated 63:6 ought 36:5,7,12 38:10 40:8 41:12 outcome 158:12,20 outline 62:10 outlined 86:5 147:10 outside 12:21 59:9 113:11 149:3 158:13 158:22 160:1 outstanding 89:2 overall 26:15 81:5 111:15 overdue 91:7 overlapping 34:5 overlaying 89:4

Overlook 77:15

overseas 37:8

oversee 94:7

overstated 9:6 overview 4:14 overwhelm 129:18

Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S p.m 1:8 3:2 163:6 packet 161:10 packets 145:6 page 5:22 77:16 panel 84:2 150:11 paper 68:1 143:11 149:16 parade 112:15 115:7 132:4 parallel 59:5 paraphrase 155:1 **parcel** 113:2 parcels 34:12 parenthetically 44:10 parity 144:2.3 park 1:10 3:13 4:12 5:21 22:5 24:12 28:15 31:3 34:10 54:7 58:18 59:3 70:22 71:16 78:14,21 81:17 82:14 87:2 88:7,18,21 90:11 91:19 93:14 152:4 162:5.11 parking 55:6 80:15 81:3 81:3 87:6,6,22 89:11 89:13 92:21,22 95:20 103:11 115:19 116:1 116:16 117:9 119:18 parkplanning.nps.go... 6:1 **Parks** 122:6 134:15 145:17 part 12:9 24:10 55:20 61:14 68:16 72:20 74:1 76:1 82:11 86:11 86:12 87:1 89:14 92:14 96:14,18 97:22 113:2,7 128:7,10 136:13 155:20 159:18 **participate** 31:18 32:2 73:11

participates 4:6

participating 76:16

particular 20:20 32:13

45:12 53:20 55:14

85:1 91:14 95:3

139:21 156:21

parties 127:5

particularly 9:4 15:7

40:2 133:4 152:1

37:2 38:22 39:1 42:8

partisan 10:2 partners 16:12 partnership 13:5 114:13 115:12 127:16 127:21 partnerships 127:21 pass 19:17 48:21 49:3 132:16 passage 2:11 54:19 137:14 passed 9:18,19 62:4 63:13 159:13 passes 79:17 path 61:5 152:3 patriotism 76:11 Paul 1:14 4:1 69:19 pause 7:18 17:11,14 91:20 117:12 pay 10:7 14:6 peacefully 69:10 pedestrian 89:15 95:3 pedestrians 75:17 peel 92:11 Pennsylvania 78:4,13 84:22 85:6,14 114:15 114:16.20 115:5.8 118:3,16 119:5,11,12 120:5,18 131:21 132:5,13 133:5 134:7 136:13 137:1 153:19 154:7 159:18 Pentagon 46:1 **people** 10:20 13:3,13 15:1 25:19 34:8 35:12 35:15 37:22 42:21 56:15,19 69:16 91:7 94:9 96:9 108:18 126:10,12,21 127:2 127:11,17 128:13 130:1,3 133:11 135:14 140:22 143:16 146:15 percent 133:16 144:9 perfect 57:12 69:7 72:4 72:4 perfectly 109:10 130:6 147:10 perform 13:21 **perimeter** 75:14 81:6 83:17 87:12,20 period 112:21 periodic 108:5 permanently 65:17 permission 18:5 permit 70:16 persevered 68:15 Pershing 37:10

online 17:7

person 56:18,21

personally 112:7 personhood 70:4 143:13,16 **perspective** 18:2 118:7 118:9,10 120:10 131:3,8 134:16 153:16 perspectives 73:4 76:20 persuasive 42:14 phenomena 106:6 **phone** 72:6,8,11 photograph 112:14 **photos** 119:8 physical 72:18,18 108:13 physically 74:7 picket 69:8 picketed 68:6 piece 8:4 66:18 67:10 67:11,12 piecemeal 36:13 pieces 5:10 41:2 105:9 **pillars** 10:13 **Ping-Hua** 69:19 **place** 31:5,15 38:13 50:3,5 58:22 73:9,10 73:13,17 110:6 114:17 115:8 118:13 135:11 137:18 147:1 149:18,18 150:12,16 151:8 **placed** 19:21 58:12 138:5 149:1 placement 146:12 placing 20:9 145:21 plan 81:5,7 82:7 85:6 87:13,19,21 89:5 120:11,12 152:2 planned 24:17 planners 24:20 **planning** 1:15 3:16 106:14 114:14 117:1 118:7,8 120:10,19 153:16 158:19 plans 24:11 75:4,5 82:5 82:6,7 plaque 162:1 platform 3:7 play 106:14 played 66:9 **Plaza** 23:5 77:14 78:3,3 78:3 80:6 84:16,17,18 85:11,13 88:12 112:17 133:12 please 17:9 73:22 78:10 79:1,21 80:10 81:14 82:19 83:20 84:15

85:10,17 88:2,14 137:4 141:14 145:9 145:12 161:3 pleasure 42:7 plenty 35:2 122:18 140:16 plethora 43:14 **plot** 93:9 Poet 64:3 **point** 18:6 20:13,13 23:6,14 25:3 36:7 51:5,12,18 52:3,14 79:11 89:17 90:2 92:20 104:15 119:16 123:7 pointed 42:16,16 pointing 13:19 43:20 **policy** 142:14,16 145:18 political 14:14 30:20 67:11 99:11 105:8 poll 140:19 141:5 Pool 24:16 39:20 popular 92:7 popularity 30:10 population 125:4 133:17 144:10 portion 13:7 87:1 138:1 **posit** 76:5 **position** 18:12 21:19 22:4 29:5 30:14 36:15 47:3 58:6 145:19 possibilities 114:3 156:20 possibility 115:12 **possible** 14:3,5 55:10 58:7 59:11 61:20 92:1 100:3 127:3 132:13 145:15 possibly 58:11 158:6 potential 13:16 28:9 38:13 49:12,16 50:4 76:22 77:4 80:5 84:5 86:6 89:9 90:10 93:3 95:15 118:14 120:13 120:17 160:13 potentially 79:19 85:7 89:11 99:19 160:3 Potomac 24:4,12 77:10 power 71:1 96:3,16 105:8 116:10 powerful 40:4 practical 123:14 practicality 44:7 **prayer** 162:1 precedents 69:2,2

precinct 110:16

preeminent 76:3

preface 26:17 preferences 132:10 **preferred** 115:19,22 117:9 preliminary 156:17 preparing 161:21 present 1:10,18 3:14 59:15 61:9 62:17 146:21 presentation 5:15 54:12 55:5,20 56:4 60:6 91:5 99:22 108:12 117:22 124:2 125:10 **presented** 6:7 55:15 64:10 66:19 115:21 121:16,17 presenting 8:13 presents 8:15 96:1,2 Preservation 1:17 4:5 18:3 preserve 15:19 preserving 149:20 **President** 1:18 10:7 11:16 39:6 presidential 64:2 presiding 1:8 pretty 18:12 29:9 61:9 61:11 63:12 77:17 79:5 **previously** 19:7 76:15 77:21 79:4 83:13 pride 38:13 primarily 83:1 **primary** 39:15 principal 39:16 56:7 prior 16:8 24:21 27:21 36:8 41:6 104:7 prioritizing 43:5 **private** 126:5 **privately** 126:6,19 127:2,14 probably 91:8 94:1 100:7 102:22 107:16 107:17 112:5,12 114:11 133:20 154:22 problem 42:15,19,22 61:4,7 108:16 124:12 139:2 proceeded 48:8 proceedings 18:10 process 16:6,11 18:13 19:11,19 22:22 23:11 30:8 35:17 47:12 56:3 58:18 59:5,17 61:17 61:18 62:6 71:20 83:15 98:8 100:11 110:11,21 121:14

148:20 161:15 processes 138:3 proclaim 34:16 program 4:10 62:11 72:20 74:5 79:8 106:9 113:16 123:15,18 146:17 programming 12:22 73:12,14 programs 84:14 **progress** 2:13 161:9 progression 108:21 prohibited 20:8 project 1:22 12:10,17 13:7 14:13,16 63:2,6 63:16 64:5,7,7,9,9 projects 77:22 promenade 78:22 80:9 81:16 88:4,7 89:6,21 92:22 99:16 prominence 19:15 30:19 31:6,16 32:5 135.2 **prominent** 35:4 44:15 45:15.17 46:1 130:20 132:7 **promise** 65:21 76:12 promote 17:9 promoted 6:8 proper 38:2 40:10 properly 8:15 properties 131:16 **proposal** 5:15 10:5 32:19 34:15 51:4 **proposals** 5:8 43:10 propose 90:9 proposed 19:14 24:6 24:14 36:17 51:16 110:3 123:15 146:9 157:5 **pros** 96:20 97:8 protect 31:13 48:1 protecting 15:16 48:14 142:20.20 protectors 142:19 protest 69:3 protested 68:6 protesting 69:10 protests 69:1 proudly 15:5 34:16 **provide** 5:1 15:11 18:15 55:7 73:13,18 79:10 81:22 135:12 provided 72:17 provides 84:19,21 160:14 161:12 providing 56:10 proximate 39:11 123:13 proximity 10:11 20:10 reconsider 53:18 raised 68:15 **removed** 79:7,10 89:13 reconsidered 53:17 39:12 44:11,13 109:7 raising 129:22 **reopen** 35:19 116:10 131:4,5 range 77:4,18 80:5 record 29:5 163:6 reopening 35:20 **proxy** 105:19 106:2 85:19 recreation 84:8 repeat 22:18 154:10 **public** 13:2 16:14 17:6 ranged 77:7 red 59:9 repeatedly 42:20 31:18 32:2 54:14 62:3 rank 154:20 redeveloped 90:1 repeating 132:22 63:21 105:3 140:20 **ranked** 141:5 replacing 87:22 130:21 report 54:9 119:2 141:3 ranking 94:8 redeveloping 115:5 **Pulaski** 85:16 rare 152:22 125:16 reported 7:4 52:22 **pulled** 52:16 rate 133:20 redevelopment 85:6 represent 13:8 38:15 rating 79:2 pulling 133:1 redo 152:2 38:16 **purposes** 111:15 rationale 44:12 redundant 38:18 representation 63:8 re-look 34:4 45:4 refer 80:12 67:11 146:6 **pursue** 148:16 **pursuing** 135:22 reaches 147:21 referred 6:22 39:9 Representative 1:21 push 27:20 29:17 111:2 reaching 94:12 6:20 7:13,21 11:4,6 161:11 referring 119:18 17:17 41:15 113:10 155:14 read 140:2 pushback 25:6 reading 51:8 reflected 38:20 147:6 representatives 55:21 **pushed** 124:16 ready 8:1,17 12:3 72:9 reflecting 24:16 39:20 represented 15:12 39:3 65:16 111:1 139:10 **pushing** 155:16 real 125:22 126:13,15 113:19 put 42:17,20 60:8 68:1 129:16 148:13 regard 23:1 122:20 140:8 143:20 152:21 93:11 96:13 105:11 realistic 117:6 **regarding** 5:7 22:12 representing 3:13,15 112:12 115:2 116:7 reality 98:19 117:1,3 90:22 135:19 3:17,20,21 4:1,2,4 120:6 154:21 155:3 really 8:20 11:10 12:12 regardless 6:13 92:2 45:1 47:4 107:10,15 represents 10:15 76:7 **putting** 6:2 86:13 13:12 14:10 25:9 153:14 42:18 49:2.4 58:19 regiment 37:15 76:10 144:9 **puzzled** 100:8 59:22 60:1 64:18 Region 4:13 republic 15:16 70:8 Q 76:17 82:11 92:4,6 regular 83:19 71:13 qualify 62:13 76:7 94:17,21 99:17 Regulation 29:9 request 160:21 105:21 106:15 110:22 rehash 94:2 requests 42:20 qualities 38:21 39:2 111:2 113:12,13 reimagined 131:17 require 23:10 85:15 85:12 quality 67:12 76:13 114:22 115:12.13 reinforce 39:11 40:11 127:22 128:1.2 question 21:14,17 22:2 122:3 123:16.20 86:15 89:14 required 4:18 126:18 125:6 126:7 131:19 22:17 26:3 28:15 44:7 reinforces 82:5,8 requirement 20:12 71:7 89:2 92:17 95:8 140:15 152:8 153:21 reinforcing 89:5 22:15 52:19 53:1 103:7 106:16,17 154:11 156:3 157:19 reiterated 156:5 requires 5:6 116:14,15 109:6,11,16 119:20 158:3 160:5,11,12 rejected 22:3 124:3 142:7 145:7 148:10 163:2 relate 34:5 requiring 19:12 150:14 reason 41:11 124:14,15 related 31:19 52:8 55:8 research 119:22 questions 11:6,9 16:18 reasons 30:17 33:17 103:7 138:13 reserve 9:4 11:1 19:21 26:16 32:21 46:20 115:20 117:7 133:4 **relates** 119:5 20:6 26:13 28:17 90:17,20 91:12 140:4 155:11 156:3 157:8 relation 51:22 29:21 30:5,9 31:11 reauthorized 119:20,22 relationship 97:18 148:6,7,11,11 150:4 33:17,22 34:7,18,20 quick 114:5 125:22 recall 51:8 98:16 35:1,2,6,10,11,15,16 receive 56:3 60:1 116:4 relatively 37:20 140:15 36:7,9,13 38:1,6,11 relocated 94:14 quickly 64:18 77:17 116:6 148:21 39:4 40:16 41:7,10,19 received 9:9,17 14:22 relocation 85:15 42:21 43:7 44:3 47:22 79:5 144:18 148:13 quit 134:4 53:4 144:20 145:2 remarkable 125:7 48:14 49:1,18 50:2,16 quite 8:6 23:4 82:11 recipient 33:13 remarked 45:4 52:2,9 53:18 58:12 91:20 111:9 126:3 **recipients** 2:6 7:12 remarks 2:2 33:18 59:1,6 61:15 92:3 13:18 21:9,12 33:5 131:22 156:11 47:20 56:10 123:6 99:10 100:17,18 38:22 44:5 45:13 151:11 101:11 103:4 106:7 **quote** 70:12 recognition 9:7 13:11 remember 70:8 71:6 109:16 110:4,5,6 R recognize 12:10 124:17 **remind** 26:11 111:10,12 112:3 radical 68:3 recognized 45:14 **reminded** 112:13 116:4,6,18 122:4,16 recollection 16:7 48:9 reminder 10:19 13:13 raise 6:7 17:9 30:21 138:5,19 139:1,8,12 recommend 48:21 50:1 54:3 106:16 126:6.18 15:11 141:11,16,18 142:1 127:1.14 136:7 137:4 133:3 145:11 **remiss** 21:7 142:17,19 148:14,21

remove 10:10 90:2

recommending 160:17

150:10 161:3

150:13,19,20 151:9

II			
151:13 152:7,22	47:16 77:10	seats 105:8	sense 18:17 43:3
153:1,17 154:3,18,22	road 130:20	second 3:3 26:3 49:3	105:14 117:7 129:18
155:4,6,10,13,14	roads 102:10	49:11,12 51:3 53:11	157:22
157:14 158:11,13,16	roadway 89:15	103:7 136:1,2,14,21	sensed 42:2
159:1 160:1,2,8,8,14	Robert's 51:2	160:16 162:18	sensitive 75:3
160:17,21 161:2,2	Roberts 21:9	seconds 134:12,14	Sentiments 149:14
resilience 76:11	role 32:1 66:9,12 76:8	Secretary 1:14 4:2,11	separately 101:14
resources 7:1 156:17	145:8	4:18 19:13	series 72:22 73:2 88:19
respect 33:21 36:2 82:3	roll 129:21	section 18:3 22:14	88:21
respected 23:1	room 58:2 152:9	sector 13:2	seriously 33:14
respectful 10:18 25:13	Roosevelt 108:6	secure 75:14	serve 9:11 31:15 63:17
120:22	rotating 113:18	securing 65:18 76:9	64:4 82:1
respectfully 41:9	round 136:14	security 75:10 81:6	served 9:8 15:6 21:9
response 11:7 16:20	route 23:13	83:16 87:12,21	37:8 38:19 104:14
32:18 99:9 129:21	rule 29:18,20,21 36:17	see 11:18 27:4,18 32:16	serves 107:12
responsibility 147:4	36:22 37:3 38:8 43:1	40:17 45:12 49:10	Service 1:10 2:5 3:14
	154:16,19 158:1		
rest 72:9 97:15 restate 53:10	rules 30:15 51:2	53:9 54:2 55:5,19 56:17,18,20,22 59:14	4:12 5:22 7:11 22:5 28:16 31:3 34:10 37:6
restate 53.10 restaurant 153:5	run 129:4	59:17 60:5 61:18	
	run 129:4 running 56:15 57:2	71:14 79:6 80:18	54:7 58:18 59:3 87:3 122:6 152:4 162:5,11
restricting 52:8	running 56. 15 57.2	85:22 87:18 90:20	
result 79:17	S	91:10,17 100:2 114:5	Service' 134:16 servicemen 38:10,19
retained 22:20		116:15 117:14 118:6	*
revere 66:9 reversible 146:7	S.886 2:9 5:17		Services 1:16 3:22 4:20 serving 31:14 63:19
review 101:4 136:14	S.W 78:22 sacrifice 2:5 7:11 9:13	118:7,14 120:12,17 120:19 123:19 127:3	
			set 33:10 42:18 43:2,2
161:5	10:14	131:10,14 136:5	47:13 69:1,2 102:16
reviewing 33:20	safe 75:11 94:18 98:21	145:10 147:3,5 148:9	106:12 157:8
revisit 49:12	99:1	157:17 158:3 160:12	seven 161:15
revisiting 35:18	safely 73:11	seed 115:1,3	sex 70:14
revitalization 125:15	safety 75:9 89:15 95:3	seeing 16:21 17:12	shadow 151:1
revitalize 128:16	97:19 98:14,16,20	46:7 100:8 127:6	shape 10:3
revitalized 131:17	131:7 134:1	seeking 101:1,11 102:9	shaping 66:10
Revolution 105:17,20	Sale 1:22 56:6 57:11	seen 14:13,17 108:3	share 6:12 11:13 94:6
revolutionaries 68:8	72:11,15 90:22 91:4	119:8	shared 8:5 12:14 94:2
Revolutionary 103:16	99:13 148:14	sees 65:16 144:11	106:6
Reynolds 31:9	salute 21:12	selected 55:18	sheet 162:3 Sherman 1:14 3:15
right 11:21 19:3 21:2 27:11 32:10 54:20	sandwiched 130:19	selection 2:8 5:15,17 19:18 22:8,22 26:12	
	Sarah 70:9	*	21:2,3 22:18 26:2
57:6,6 61:11 67:7,10	sat 149:13	26:14,15 35:8 46:7	39:9 40:5 114:10
67:14,14 68:7,12,12	saving 123:4	50:2,3 52:10 53:19	115:14 117:20,21
69:14 72:13 76:6 93:4 93:19 97:1 98:4	saw 55:13 63:2,5 64:8 72:12	54:12 55:17 56:3 58:17 59:5,17 61:17	121:4,22 122:22 136:11,17,20 153:11
100:11,19 101:19,20	saying 8:6 18:21 23:20	61:18 62:6 71:20 74:2	153:12 154:8 159:16
100:11,19 101:19,20	123:2 128:9 147:17	77:9 80:1 90:22 95:7	162:20
116:12 126:9 130:9		100:10 101:5 103:2	Sherman's 46:19
130:13 135:5,22	150:15	103:19 104:11 118:18	131:20
138:17 139:16 140:5	says 29:7 34:21,22	121:14,20 136:9,14	Shield/Desert 161:19
142:14 143:17 144:2	139:5,18 scale 12:18 26:5 31:19	137:3 148:20 161:15	short 35:16 55:10,15
145:7 146:17 147:11		selfless 2:5 7:11	92:15,19,19,21
148:22 149:3,8,12,15	43:11 146:18 151:15	Senate 14:15 93:6,9	103:18
151:8,10,12,14 152:8	school 13:3 73:15	94:5,6 101:11 138:2	shortlist 121:10,19
162:11	schools 13:3 73:15	138:14 144:17 145:2	show 54:4 62:15 77:2
rightful 50:5	schools 13:6,22	145:3	78:1,11 140:18
rights 64:13 68:19,21	scope 12:18 132:14 146:18 151:15	Senator 145:6	141:15 145:14,14
106:18 107:16 133:7		send 161:5	showed 24:11
133:15 151:20	scratcher 106:21		
risk 15:18	screen 136:5	sending 160:20 Seneca 68:1 143:10	shown 77:22 shows 96:14
river 23:15 24:4 40:6,13	sculptural 40:3 searching 42:2	Senior 1:19	SHPO 18:8
11461 23.13 24.4 40.0,13	Searching 42.2	Jenior 1.18	SHF 0 10.0
II	1	•	•

п			1/3
	104.45		
side 24:3 40:3 124:6	161:15	solve 42:18 43:1 61:7,8	specifying 26:22
151:5	sited 21:15	61:9	spectrum 76:20
sign 17:5	sites 18:6 21:17,21 22:2	somewhat 38:17 124:2	spirit 10:9 133:1 157:18
signed 63:15	24:2,14 28:3 35:3,9	soon 8:1 119:15 120:21	spoke 137:16
signers 149:9,22	39:5 40:12,14 45:19	121:9	spoken 123:3
significance 9:5 19:16	47:15 49:16,19 53:21	sorry 32:15 100:9	sponsor 55:15,22 92:8
76:4	55:4,10 58:8 59:21,22	109:14 114:5 115:14	110:7 121:7 156:7,17
significant 15:11 105:9	60:5,6 61:21 62:16	125:22 129:3 132:19	160:12
signify 10:12	75:4 76:22 77:5,19	132:20 137:8 149:2	sponsors 5:6 34:15
similar 15:8 43:10 48:4	78:11,19 79:9,14,19	sort 29:10 31:20 36:20	136:12 145:5
157:3	80:2,5 84:16,18 85:20	45:16 77:17 79:22	spot 24:9
Similarly 112:17	86:2 89:7,16 98:17	84:8 85:21 88:5 90:5	spring 13:1
simple 97:9 102:22	101:6,7 110:17	90:15 92:13 95:10	Square 91:15 93:22
	*		_
Simultaneous 128:21	111:22 112:6 114:1	97:18 101:19 102:10	94:20
136:16	115:18 118:17 121:19	105:18,19 108:15	staff 4:8 6:2 8:11 54:7
single 68:19 107:18	130:7 132:9 134:18	109:15 113:9,15,19	stage 79:12
singular 64:12 70:22	135:16 136:20 141:18	118:5 119:11 122:19	stages 161:20
142:4 143:3	141:22 142:2 148:15	123:22 132:14 151:17	staggering 142:2
sit 38:5 97:7	152:1 153:8 154:7	161:13	stake 112:12
site 2:8 5:7,14,15,16 6:5	156:5,20 159:12,16	sound 105:13	stance 58:6 145:16
19:18 22:8,22 26:12	159:18 160:1	sounds 48:13 71:22	stand 38:2 70:16 98:6
26:14,15 35:8 39:19	siting 20:7	south 28:2 43:21 70:10	139:10 152:9
39:21 46:7 50:3 52:7	sits 24:13 45:3 83:16	80:20 81:18,21 89:3	Stanton 69:17
52:10 53:19 54:12	95:20	90:9	star 60:15 116:6,7,18
55:8,9,12,17 56:2	sitting 44:22	southeast 84:1	128:15,16
58:17 59:5,11,17	situated 96:9	southern 87:3	start 8:12 20:22 23:2
61:17,17 62:6 66:4,15	situating 11:1	southernmost 77:14	32:22 96:22 113:18
66:16 71:19 74:2,4,7	situation 123:8	southwest 77:15 78:20	123:2 150:7
74:20,21 75:8,12 77:9	six 63:14 76:16 79:10	81:17 82:6 83:22	started 23:20 24:1 26:1
77:13,14 78:16 80:8	79:19 128:3	120:11 128:10	57:13 106:1 143:8
80:21 81:2,6 82:4	size 84:13	space 31:13,18 32:12	starting 36:7 107:2
83:4,19 84:13 85:8,14	skeleton 105:6	32:14 71:10 73:13	starts 18:14 97:1 139:3
85:16 86:2,6,22,22	skip 144:13	84:19 108:9,16	state 31:10 52:4
87:1,3,5,9,12,15,19	slide 61:22 64:16,18	109:18 124:3 132:2	stated 19:3 31:9 121:3
87:20 88:15,17 89:7	66:1 72:15 73:22	133:9 134:2 142:21	159:2
90:11,13,22 91:16	75:18 76:1,14,21 77:2	143:20 146:20 149:2	statement 42:14 68:4
92:2,3,7,7,9,12 93:2,4	78:1,10,11,18 79:1,6	149:4,20	70:11 105:3 106:19
93:7 94:11 95:21 97:3	79:13,21 80:4,10	space-making 73:7	121:15
97:4,5,12 98:3 99:1	81:14 82:19 83:20	spaces 31:21,21 81:4	statements 144:16
100:3,10 101:5 103:2	84:15 85:10,17 86:3	87:6 89:13 96:19	states 1:1,21 20:2,5,14
103:10,14,19 104:8	86:20 87:17 88:2,14	141:4	71:16 76:4 125:4
104:11,12 109:4	90:14 140:13 141:14	spark 125:14	station 81:11 88:12,13
110:21 111:14,16,19	144:6,13,15,16	spatially 108:2	107:7
112:18 113:5,9,18	145:12	speak 6:4 56:1 114:11	stationed 28:1
114:2 115:19,22	slides 77:17 79:5	148:1	stations 86:18
117:9 119:1,2,3,17,18	138:15 146:13	speaker 6:8 17:10	statue 107:14
120:15 121:10,14,20	slightly 100:8	speakers 114:8	status 55:14 101:11
123:11,17 125:13	small 25:16 37:20 70:20	speaking 56:18 122:5	statute 35:18,19 49:6,8
129:17 130:5,12,15	123:17 130:12	128:21 136:16	151:16
	smaller 25:22 43:14	speaks 20:11 22:14	
130:17,21 131:1,13		-	stay 143:22
131:21,22 132:1,13	Smithsonian 34:11	special 9:3 73:9 93:15	stepping 111:6
132:15 133:5 134:21	81:11,19 86:19 88:8	Specialist 4:12	steps 153:5
135:2,4,12 136:9,14	88:12 89:21 95:22	specific 10:10 25:6	stick 23:13
137:2 148:20 151:12	96:6 97:1 109:5 113:7	34:21	sticking 154:4
151:14 152:3,8,9	124:16 127:22 155:20	specifically 52:1 59:21	Stidham 1:8,10 2:2 3:3
153:4,5,6,9,9 155:12	155:21	107:2 149:5	3:12 7:17,20 8:4,8
156:15,18,21 157:5	snapshots 77:18	specification 33:16	11:3,8,14 12:2 16:16
158:13 160:4,11	Sojourner 69:18	48:22	16:21 17:2,4,12,15
	I	I	I

		1	
18:18,22 19:3 22:6	streets 94:22	49:19 52:4,5 54:3	122:12 129:22 134:6
26:9 27:4,9,12,17	strengthened 15:14	66:16 101:1 131:17	156:15
28:11,20 29:1,3 31:2	stretches 23:4 25:7	135:22 136:6 145:21	talked 66:20 75:20
33:1 41:21 42:5 44:17	strikes 69:12 157:12	151:9 159:7 161:3	112:6 126:21 138:3
46:9 48:3,10 49:5,10	strong 10:17 28:8 105:7	supported 45:7 55:9	138:19 146:17 154:15
49:22 50:17,22 51:20	120:5,9 129:11	121:19	156:4
53:2,9 54:5 57:1,4,8	stronger 39:7 49:20	supporting 5:20 101:6	talking 8:11 52:15
72:4,13 90:19 100:5	strongly 10:22 118:16	supportive 16:10 46:6	63:20 67:1,5,6,8
100:19,22 101:4,13	133:3 154:6 155:14	152:5	69:15,20 70:5,9,18
101:16,20 102:2,4,9	struck 35:7 91:22 94:17	supports 50:11 53:16	72:3 98:20 129:2
102:18,21 103:12,17	110:22	66:5 146:11	155:16
103:22 104:3,7	structure 36:2	suppose 49:3	Tammy 1:8,10 2:2 3:12
109:19 117:13,19	structures 31:22	supposed 115:15	26:19 56:21 62:1,18
121:4,18 122:21	struggling 31:4	Supreme 78:16 91:17	90:16 114:4 121:12
125:20 128:22 129:4	studies 85:21	sure 22:3 23:4 27:5	125:21 132:16 134:5
132:17 134:8,13	study 62:7 74:21 90:22	28:8 41:19 44:3 46:12	138:22 140:2 142:18
136:3,15,19,21 148:4	95:10	48:16 51:2,15 53:7	147:22 148:3
150:4 152:17 153:11	stuff 108:7 109:17	58:3 67:2 91:20	tasked 36:21
154:8 157:16 158:7	138:17	100:10 117:16 123:13	team 56:11 118:1
159:4,20 160:19	sub- 55:16	126:4 127:19 129:6,9	tease 156:18
162:16,19,21	Subcommittee 7:1	136:4 145:5 147:4	tech 56:15 57:3
stipulate 100:18	subject 19:14	surely 142:8,10 143:1	tell 15:2,4 16:1 34:9
stipulated 10:5	submit 38:16 39:1 41:9	surfaced 81:2	66:14 72:22 73:3
stipulation 28:18	subsequent 36:9	surprise 134:17	88:18 99:17 105:14
stop 29:9 71:18 129:2	substantially 139:5,7	surrender 70:14	111:22 124:20 132:3
157:14	146:4	suspect 159:12	151:17 155:22
stories 72:22 82:15	substitute 7:5	sustain 43:16	telling 60:13 71:15
96:17 111:22 114:18	success 113:3	sustaining 44:8	104:21 108:10,17
118:21 124:20 154:1	successful 47:14	sweeping 68:9	115:7 116:12 118:13
Storm 34:15 161:19	sudden 29:19	Swenson 21:10	147:7 153:22
story 16:1 60:12 65:15	suddenly 29:20,21	switch 87:16	temporal 112:19
65:17 66:15 71:15	56:16	switched 56:16	temporary 113:19
73:3 74:10,22 88:19	suffrage 1:21 2:8,10	switching 75:19	ten 94:9 97:2 142:5
96:15 99:17 104:21	5:16,18 28:22 33:21	symbol 10:3	161:14
107:6 108:17 112:22	34:14 35:8 36:20	symbolic 105:14	tended 48:9
115:7 116:11 118:14	39:22 54:13,18 55:2	108:16	tentatively 148:15
124:18 125:3,4	55:22 56:5 57:16	symbolism 124:7,21	term 34:1,3 65:2 131:14
144:12 147:6 149:8,9	62:21 63:9 67:6,18,21	134:1 135:7,9	terms 44:8 76:15 92:5
149:12 150:17,21	70:11 83:4 85:9	synced 82:15	109:11 115:18 122:17
153:22 158:10	112:21 113:3 118:22	Т Т	125:16 128:9 141:19
storytelling 116:20	119:5,9,13 132:4		153:22 158:6
118:9 119:4	137:12,13 145:22	table 119:21 120:6 133:2 137:1	terrific 92:4 Terror 28:19 157:5
straightforward 97:10 street 35:10 65:4,5,6	150:22 151:5,18 suffragettes 67:3 69:1	tableaus 40:3	test 71:22 108:11
77:21 78:5,7,21 80:7	suffragettes' 76:10	take 17:6 26:9 27:12	testified 16:7
80:9,16 81:15 83:22	suggest 32:8 39:7	32:1,6,7 33:14 34:20	testify 6:11 8:21 11:17
85:4 88:3,7 89:4,6,13	90:10 135:18	50:3 53:6 54:1 70:15	17:5,8,10
89:21 92:22 99:16	suggested 23:16	85:20 89:11 93:8 99:4	testimonies 21:11
108:20 110:18 111:9	160:15	108:9 113:9,17 117:2	testimony 6:12 8:1
111:16 112:20 114:2	suggests 160:7	122:15 124:22 127:16	20:18 21:6 138:13
116:21 120:7 123:9	suitability 79:8	136:4 139:12 150:10	Texas 12:20
124:8,9,13,17,21	suitable 46:2 74:4	151:4 155:6 162:2	text 27:9
125:2,6,12,15,16	111:15	takes 97:2	thank 3:5,11 7:21 8:3
126:8 128:7,16	summarize 159:21	talk 8:18 27:20 40:1	11:2,3,9,14 12:4 16:5
129:17 131:1 132:15	161:13	71:17 72:16 74:17	16:15,16,22 17:1,16
134:20 135:5 136:13	superseding 39:2	75:22 78:15 80:11	18:18 22:19 26:2,7
137:1 153:19 154:6	support 9:17 28:7	81:8 85:11 93:22	27:11,16 28:11 31:2
155:19	29:15 30:20 45:10	95:11 111:5 116:5	41:20,21 42:1,5 44:17
	l	I	I

44:21 46:9,12 48:3
50:17 53:8 54:10,10
57:14 66:2 91:3,4
92:17 99:8,13,22
100:4,5 103:13
109:19 114:3 117:19
117:21,22 121:3,4
122:21 123:1 125:20
129:10 132:17 134:4
136:3,8 137:5 138:16
144:14 148:1,4,5,17
150:3,7 152:17
153:11 154:8 157:16
157:18 158:7,9 159:3
159:4,5,20 162:16,16
162:19,21,21 163:4
thanks 7:17 11:12,22
12:2 13:4 19:2 21:3,4 28:10 50:20 52:13
71:21 90:19 117:13
125:19 153:10 159:19
thematic 25:5 40:11
47:5,6 49:20 50:6
74:6 79:13
thematically 74:8
theme 48:14
themes 66:3 75:21
thing 24:22 32:13 34:7
34:20 36:12 43:16
46:16 52:14 57:22
63:12 74:3 91:9
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16 47:15,22 49:17 50:8
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16 47:15,22 49:17 50:8 51:11 52:2,3,7,21,21
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16 47:15,22 49:17 50:8 51:11 52:2,3,7,21,21 53:2 57:8 59:22 61:11
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16 47:15,22 49:17 50:8 51:11 52:2,3,7,21,21 53:2 57:8 59:22 61:11 62:13 63:3 64:22
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16 47:15,22 49:17 50:8 51:11 52:2,3,7,21,21 53:2 57:8 59:22 61:11 62:13 63:3 64:22 84:10 90:14 91:7,9
63:12 74:3 91:9 100:17 101:9 107:4,7 107:18 108:2 109:7 114:5 124:4 125:2 128:6 130:11 141:11 141:12 147:11 156:22 161:8 162:8 things 24:5 30:9 43:19 50:15 62:8 66:5,17 67:16 94:2 96:8 100:20 105:11 114:7 114:10 132:5 133:1 135:1 139:10 150:17 151:2,21 think 12:8 22:6,21 25:8 26:3,5,19 29:16 30:2 30:7,14,17 32:8 33:1 34:8 35:12,13,17 36:4 36:12 38:8 39:5,12,18 40:3,5,14,18 42:10 43:4,5,8,16,17,19 44:1,19 45:9 46:16 47:15,22 49:17 50:8 51:11 52:2,3,7,21,21 53:2 57:8 59:22 61:11 62:13 63:3 64:22

97:8 98:12 99:9.15 102:18,21 104:16,20 105:4 107:21 108:19 109:2,10,22 110:19 111:7,8,21 112:5,7,11 113:8,15 114:2,16,18 114:22 115:4,11 117:1,3,5,20 119:10 123:16,22 124:4 125:1,6,11,13,18,18 126:2,5,14,19 128:11 128:12 129:12,18,19 129:21 130:1,6,16 131:1 132:9 133:12 133:14 134:15,16,19 135:3,5,13,15 136:17 139:22 150:1,11 151:10 152:7,14,20 152:20,22 153:3 155:18,18,20 156:2 158:10,17 159:2,9,15 159:16 160:22 thinking 35:18 37:2 45:18 98:21 102:11 111:12 112:3 114:14 130:3 third 101:9 155:8 **Thomas** 1:12 3:18 thorough 162:13 thought 21:18 24:18 42:3 43:8 47:19 58:15 92:4 95:6 112:4,15,17 114:6 125:9 134:22 148:4 156:8 thoughtfulness 163:1 thoughts 32:18 40:19 110:1 112:1 130:5 135:10 thousand 25:7 three 5:10 66:5,17 79:17 100:20 111:22 121:19 136:20 thrilled 57:15 162:9 thrilling 57:21 throwing 93:16 **Tidal** 24:15 65:8 157:6 tie 33:18 132:8 tied 70:2 150:16 ties 112:1 time 11:2,11 17:2 27:21 29:21 41:11 45:1,4 63:2,3,5 68:2,4 70:13 97:14 105:3 120:22 122:15 129:5 137:7 140:16 143:12 149:16 155:8 159:6 162:7,22

today 3:9 4:8,16 5:9 6:4 6:21 9:10 11:10,15 12:6 15:17 16:22 17:18 19:4 20:21 33:19 55:21 59:15 61:10 62:17 133:19 136:10 137:10 138:4 138:20 139:15 142:18 143:1,5,5 144:7 146:15,21 154:13 162:22 today's 3:14 4:15 100:15 told 82:15 114:19 118:22 149:8 154:3 **Tom** 109:19 tongue 8:7 **top** 141:9 topic 5:11 133:14 157:21 **total** 5:10 totally 31:7 touched 32:17 tough 8:12,17 tour 28:2 town 128:8 track 110:1 traded 143:17 traditional 23:13 trailblazer 124:17 trailblazers 82:9 111:1 trailblazing 124:12 135:9 transfer 73:18 transformable 75:7 transformative 73:19 transformed 87:7 transition 79:22 90:8 translate 72:18 treated 23:10 84:17 tree 94:13 trees 83:1 84:20 87:15 94:10,11 tremendous 46:17 133:6 tremendously 37:21 Triangle 78:2 tribute 10:7,15 14:6 trick 104:13 tricky 28:16 true 71:1 73:7 121:14 121:15 156:14 truly 60:15 67:18 97:21 **Truth** 69:18 **try** 44:3 109:12 110:8

142:18 159:3

title 8:6

timing 72:4 120:18

124:16 129:9 154:10 158:5 trying 12:7,12 72:17 102:14 107:19 110:7 120:22 152:13 154:5 154:16 **Tubman** 69:18 **Tunstall** 1:15 3:21 **Tunstall's** 153:13 turf 83:1 turn 71:3 72:13 90:16 107:22 138:12 turns 30:10 twice 138:22 twister 8:7 two 21:8 29:12 40:2 43:21 56:8 57:19 60:6 61:20 62:17 63:6,18 77:19 78:2 84:16,18 85:20 86:10 96:8 101:6,9 105:6 109:9 112:4 114:1 115:18 132:9 137:10 138:13 139:10 141:5 154:12 157:5 two-thirds 87:3 type 9:20 31:22 types 43:6 120:13 typically 58:14 61:6 84:10

U **U.S** 68:10,17 78:12,14 80:8 82:21 83:3,18 107:11 138:8 **Uh-huh** 94:19 95:13,16 ultimate 113:3 unacceptable 140:11 unanimous 9:17 14:16 29:15 137:4 unanimously 9:18,22 uncomplicated 97:6 unconstrained 135:10 undefined 34:1,3 65:1 underneath 104:20 underpin 12:15 underscore 76:15 underscores 76:18 understand 7:13 47:7 56:9 92:8 100:10 119:19 121:1 122:1 135:14 understanding 67:1 71:11 93:6 142:14 understood 104:10 127:20 128:17,20 undertaking 127:13 unfold 108:5

163:3

times 14:8 102:13

unfolded 108:22 **unfolds** 108:1 unfortunate 124:5 146:6 **uniform** 13:21 unifying 10:3 14:11 unimproved 92:9 union 15:19 39:16 69:7 **unique** 12:15 united 1:1,21 10:17,20 20:2 71:16 76:4 125:4 **units** 37:13 44:1 **unity** 10:14 **unmuted** 148:5 unnecessarily 35:17 152:14 unparalleled 9:13 unrealistic 127:15 unusual 58:13 101:16 101:17 upcoming 55:20 update 161:8,12 updates 2:12 18:16 161:12 upholding 149:20 upright 70:17 urge 10:21 154:6 **urged** 70:7 **urging** 145:7 **USDA** 55:6 78:21 80:8 80:12.12.13 81:6 86:4 91:13 92:20 95:5 99:15 119:17 136:18 **use** 12:12 105:12 usually 45:3 **Utter** 54:16 55:2 62:20

valid 129:19 valor 2:5 7:10 9:7 10:16 13:12 43:15 value 41:17 values 10:14,17 12:12 12:15,15 15:14,17 various 83:17 156:5 157:8 versus 108:17 veterans 142:5 vetted 8:16 viable 61:21 79:20 132:11 Vice 1:18 11:15 **Video** 1:8 Vietnam 38:3 view 12:9 25:20 80:19 85:13 86:14 89:20 118:5 123:8 163:1 viewpoint 140:22

views 5:1 124:10 virtues 38:21 **vision** 32:7 125:10 126:2 visit 15:11 73:11 **visited** 71:16 visiting 99:1 visitors 66:8 73:11,19 75:11 81:13 82:1 visits 65:15 144:11 visual 84:21 90:2 visually 86:17 voice 144:22 voices 68:15 volleyball 24:7 voluntarily 63:17 volunteer 21:1 vote 7:5 54:1,21 67:7 67:10,17 69:22 76:6 136:4 144:22 146:2 154:5 160:19 voted 56:19 votes 136:6 voting 14:15 68:19

W

wait 53:11

waiting 123:6

walk 62:7 64:17 71:19 98:5 walked 25:9 walking 108:10 walks 9:12 13:21 walls 33:7 want 3:5 15:10 16:4 17:22 21:4 22:11 25:19 29:4 32:20 35:15 47:14 48:16 62:18 73:6,13 74:7,13 74:17 75:2,7,9,12,15 99:13 100:9 107:21 108:12 113:4 116:1 126:4 127:11,12 129:6 148:16 150:21 151:12,14 155:5 wanted 20:12 26:11,17 55:7 56:14 57:22 92:5 96:9 114:9 115:17 117:10,15 126:8 127:17 132:22 140:18 141:15 145:14,14 wants 29:11 36:10 49:19 107:21 158:20 160:12 war 25:17 28:19 38:4,4 38:18 39:15,17 41:6,9

42:13 43:12 64:11

103:16 106:3 110:10

113:1,2 142:5 157:4 161:22 wards 155:17 warrant 41:10,12 warranted 36:19 37:1 41:20 wary 155:3 **Washington** 15:9 63:8 65:6 74:15 80:19,22 83:8 86:7,17 88:9 105:18,19 107:8,10 107:10 110:16 143:7 143:9,14 wasn't 91:20 115:15 watching 56:16,20 way 8:14 10:2 16:11 42:18 50:21 90:18 91:8 98:10 102:1,5,11 105:14 109:15 122:11 122:14 124:19,20 128:9 133:10 141:10 143:22 159:11 160:9 161:14,16 ways 70:20 150:20 we'll 8:18 17:15 40:1 72:16 76:21 77:16 78:15 80:11 103:2 115:6 116:5,15 150:6 155:6 159:13 we're 12:7,12 13:7,14 14:2 25:16 29:5 31:6 33:1,15 34:13 36:20 40:19,20 46:5 48:4,11 50:11 51:2,21 59:15 59:19,20 60:7,10,10 60:13 61:9 62:7,9,10 62:12,15 63:20 65:10 66:16 67:1,5 69:20 70:5,9,18 71:15 72:17 72:20 73:10,17 75:6 75:18,21 79:4 80:1 85:20 90:9 97:17 98:20,21 101:13,19 102:9 104:21 114:22 115:13 118:12 119:17 126:11 129:4 140:17 141:4 143:19 144:1,2 144:3.4 146:20 147:7 148:19 150:6 151:19 154:15 156:14 160:10 161:6 we've 14:8,13,17 20:21 22:20 29:5,12 33:22 33:22 34:1,2 48:7 50:13 64:1 66:19,20 85:18 93:11 95:9 105:17 108:3 118:15

110:15,16 112:19,22

126:20,20 134:18 138:3,10 146:17 154:13 156:4 wear 15:5,5 weave 60:9 66:6 74:10 74:21 weaving 111:5 web 5:22 website 52:17 53:3 161:10 WEDNESDAY 1:5 weeks 129:15 weigh 18:7,13 36:16 102:7 weird 29:5 welcome 2:2 26:8 27:17 162:14 welcomes 75:10 well-known 151:3 Wells 69:19 went 25:8 52:15,15 59:18 62:16 63:10 72:11 74:5 77:11 160:22 163:6 weren't 143:15 west 24:12 55:6 78:3.21 80:7.12.15 84:18 85:13 86:4 91:14 92:20 95:5 99:15 112:18 155:19 western 77:8.20 whichever 24:16 White 20:3 69:9,10 105:7 138:9 Whitten 55:6 80:14 103:12,13,14 109:3 111:18 123:12 124:5 130:5,12 132:1,15 134:20 137:2 whoever's 57:2 wholeheartedly 45:10 wide 30:20 76:19 85:19 **William** 21:10 Williams 1:15 3:21 5:13 6:18 8:10,22 44:20,21 46:10 123:3 129:7,9 136:2,4 150:9 160:16 willing 16:12 109:10 127:16 Wilson 1:16 4:5,6 17:19 17:22 18:20 19:2 162:7,8 window 149:3 winners 28:4 wisdom 46:18 94:4,5 wish 6:11 17:8 101:22 wishing 102:4

woman 98:22 107:15

			183
	1	1	1
107:18	106:12 120:15 122:18	0	2
woman's 70:1 135:11	137:19 138:6 141:17	0 7:6 9:19 14:15	2 59:4 61:17 77:5,7
women 25:19 38:10,19	142:1,4	6 7.0 0.10 14.10	20 100:12
54:20 60:9,19,22 61:1	world 25:17 37:17 38:4	1	2003 59:10 146:2
63:6 64:14 65:13 66:6	38:4 41:6,9 43:12	4 10:0 10 00:4 04:0 50:7	
66:9 67:22 68:2,5,14	110:10,16 112:19,22	1 19:9,18 23:4 34:2 58:7	2013 55:12 121:16
69:20 70:5,9,19 71:9	135:11 161:22	58:8 59:4,16,20,22	2014 54:15 87:20
76:6 82:8 98:12 111:1	worry 151:21	60:5 61:17,20 62:14	121:16
112:14,22 114:20	worrying 98:6	75:19,22 77:5,7 99:11	2019 16:8 63:4
115:9 128:18 133:16	worth 35:18 40:15	100:14 101:2 102:19	2020 62:3,3 100:13,14
135:8 139:10 140:6		103:7,9 109:17	137:18
	89:17 93:2,10 112:9	1's 76:2	2021 51:9,21
141:1,12 142:21	157:13	1,000 10:6 25:4 27:1	2022 58:19 119:20
143:4,7,10,15 145:10	worthy 27:22 35:5	52:20	2023 1:5 6:22 7:3,3
147:5 149:13,17	61:19 135:6 140:11	1:00 1:8	2027 120:1 121:6
152:21	142:11,13,20 152:6	1:01 3:2	20th 108:4,4 141:6
women's 1:20 2:8,10	154:18 158:11,21	100 33:5 94:10	22 119:22 142:3,5 143:3
5:16,18 28:22 33:21	159:22	106 18:3,13	240 14:20
34:14 35:7 36:20	wouldn't 115:21 117:8	10th 78:21 80:9 81:15	24th 54:15
39:22 54:13,18 55:2,2	127:15 143:2,4	88:3,7 89:5,21 92:22	250 71:7
55:19,22 56:5 57:16	156:11	99:16 108:20 110:18	26 94:10,12
59:13 62:21 63:7,9	woven 60:14 96:19	111:9,16 114:2,2	26th 7:3 68:18
65:17 67:4,6,8,18,19	wrap-up 90:15	116:21 120:7 123:9	27 81:3 87:5
67:20,21 73:20 74:10	Wright 45:2 129:16	124:7,9,13,17,21	2717 2:3 5:12 6:19 7:3
74:21,22 85:9 95:11	writ 41:18	125:2,6,11,15,16	8:22 9:21 11:18 17:10
95:21 96:17 98:15	write 143:11	126:8 128:7,16	19:19 20:8 26:22 51:8
104:9 106:16 107:15	written 52:22	129:16 131:1 132:14	29 7:6
112:21 118:21 119:9	wrong 27:5 61:12 147:2		
125:1 137:11,13	wrongfully 69:12	134:19 135:5 136:13	29,000 13:5
140:8 142:10 145:22	wrote 70:6,10 149:13	137:1 153:19 154:6	3
146:2,6 150:12,21	WIGG 7 0.0, 10 140.10	11 2:7	
151:5,18,20 152:10	X	116-217 54:14	3 2:2 98:1,5,7,22
155:3 157:9		117th 9:15	3,500 38:9
wonder 157:3	Y	118 2:11	3,517 14:22
wonderful 30:17 123:19	yard 31:17	12th 78:5,7 80:7 83:22	3:28 137:7
wondering 160:6		113:5	3:57 163:6
	yards 27:1	1318 2:9 5:17 138:2,14	30 134:11,14
wonky 161:1	yeah 82:16 86:1 93:10	13th 7:2	300-plus 67:22
Woody 5:12 6:18 8:10	93:18 95:16 101:16	14th 65:4 80:16 85:4	32 144:22
8:22	102:17 104:6 128:14	15th 65:4,6 155:19	395 90:12
word 54:15 55:1 62:19	128:14 148:1 160:9	160 10:8 14:3	
105:12	year 3:4 13:4,6 29:12	161 2:13	4
words 53:15 68:12	57:17 58:20 98:1,5,7	162 2:14	4 1:5
101:21 102:7	98:22 162:2	1648 69:21 70:3	4,000 9:9
work 2:4 7:9 15:16,20	years 10:9 14:3,12	17 80:2	40 142:1 144:4
16:12 18:7 20:6,17	57:19 64:8 68:5 71:7	1776 70:7	41 9:7
31:11 46:22 47:21	97:2 162:12	1838 70:10,17	416 9:19 14:15
54:16 85:22 86:2	yeas 7:5	1848 67:21 143:9,14	
120:19 121:9 138:11	yesterday 8:12	149:13	5
139:2,5,7,9 146:4,9	yield 152:15	19 100:12	5 98:1,22
146:15 153:9,15	York 68:1 143:11	19-teens 112:20	50 133:16
156:18	young 13:3	1913 83:3 112:15 115:8	51 144:9
worked 8:16 30:12		1920 68:17,18	54 2:8
70:19	Z	1920 00:17,10	56 149:9,22
working 22:7 45:6	zero 142:9 143:4	1922 70.1 1923 37:5	*
57:18 161:14,16	144:22	1923 37.3 1986 4:17	575 91:15 92:6 93:22 94:20
works 4:17,22 5:4 19:7	zeroing 24:9		34.20
19:22 20:7,14,15	zone 90:9	1999 140:20	6
23:12 29:6 30:4 36:3	Zoom 3:7	19th 2:11 6:22 54:19	
36:6 43:18 45:5		66:15 68:16 76:5 137:14	60 89:12 149:5
		137.14	
Ш			

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: National Capital Memorial

Advisory Commission Meeting

Before: US DOI

Date: 10-04-23

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &