# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

+ + + + +

# NATIONAL CAPITAL MEMORIAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

TUESDAY
OCTOBER 18, 2022

+ + + +

The National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission met via Videoconference, at 1:00 p.m. EST, Peter May, Chairman, presiding.

# COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

- PETER MAY, Chairman, representing the Director of the National Park Service
- MICHAEL SHERMAN, representing the Chairman of the National Capital Planning Commission
- THOMAS LUEBKE, representing the Chairman of the Commission of Fine Arts
- DAVID MALONEY, representing the Mayor of the District of Columbia
- MINA WRIGHT, representing the Administrator of the General Services Administration
- PAUL McMAHON, representing the Secretary of Defense
- EDWIN FOUNTAIN, representing the Chairman of the American Battle Monuments Commission
- JOSEPH IMAMURA, representing the Architect of the Capitol
- CHRIS WILSON, representing the Executive
  Director of the Advisory Council on
  Historic Preservation (Observer)

AGENDA 1 SPEAKERS - THE GLOBAL WAR ON TERRORISM
MEMORIAL SITE ASSESSMENT PLAN
JASON CROW, U.S. Representative
ALAN HARWOOD, AECOM
MICHAEL RODRIGUEZ, President & CEO, Global War
on Terrorism Memorial Foundations
MICHAEL WINSTANLEY, Winstanley Architects &
Planners

# **AGENDA**

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(1:01 p.m.)

CHAIR MAY: Good afternoon. Welcome to the National Capital Memorial Advisory

Commission.

I want to thank the Commission of Fine Arts for hosting this meeting on their Zoom platform. And I also want to say a special thank you to Daniel Fox, CFA, who is helping us manage the meeting today. And of course, Secretary Luke Keiger is the co-host in case we need any help.

My name is Peter May. I'm here representing the Director of National Park Service at this meeting.

Present for today's meeting are
Michael Sherman, representing the Chairman of the
National Capital Planning Commission, Thomas
Luebke, representing the Chairman of the
Commission of Fine Arts, David Maloney,
representing the Mayor of the District of
Columbia, Mina Wright, representing the
Administrator of the General Services

Administration, Paul McMahon, representing the Secretary of Defense, Edwin Fountain, representing the Chairman of the American Battle Monuments Commission, and Joseph Imamura, representing the Architect of the Capitol.

And representing the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation is Chris Wilson. Mr. Wilson participates with the Commission in a non-voting advisory capacity.

Finally, we are also joined by Sophie Kelly, the Memorial's Program Manager and Beth Porter, who is the Commission Secretary and Legislative Affairs Specialist for the National Park Service National Capital Legion.

So, a quick overview of today's business. We have a very short agenda today, just one item.

And as most of you know, the

Commission was established by the Commemorative

Works Act of 1986 and it is required by that Act

to advise the Secretary of the Interior, the

Administrator of General Services Administration,

and committees of Congress on the establishment of commemorative works in the District of Columbia and its environs, and to provide its views to the appropriate committees of Congress when the committees are considering legislation and to authorize legislation to authorize commemorative works within the District of Columbia and its environs.

The Act also requires sponsors of authorized memorials to consult with this Commission regarding site and design concept proposals.

Today, we have one major agenda item, the Global War on Terrorism Memorial site assessment. And we will provide an update on other memorials in progress.

All supporting materials for this meeting can be found on the Commission's website, that public facing meeting web page is parkplanning, one word, parkplanning.nps.gov/ncmac, N-C-M-A-C, October 2022. And if you have any questions, I think we

typically put that link into a chat or something
so that folks can access it.

If you are just joining us and seeing

If you are not scheduled to speak today but would like to make a comment on the site assessment, please contact Commission

Secretary Beth Porter immediately on her cell phone, 703-346-2948, that's 703-346-2948.

Thank you.

that information already.

So, we will move into agenda item number one. Let's see, on August 18, 2017, the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Act is enacted into law authorizing the establishment of a Global War on Terrorism Memorial in Washington, D.C.

On December 27, 2021, President Biden signed the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Location Act into law which authorized the establishment of the memorial within the reserve as defined by the Commemorative Works Act.

The -- furthermore, as an act of the

law requires that the memorial be located within the reserve. So, today's presentation will focus on the reserve sites.

Representatives of the memorial sponsor, the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Foundation are here to present their findings in the site selection process.

Before we begin, I will note that the Commission received letters of support for the memorial and the site assessments from the Four Country Caucus, a bipartisan group of Veteran members of Congress and from Senator Joni Ernst.

Both letters are posted on the NCMAC meeting page.

And we received the presentation and his findings from Michael Rodriguez, President and CEO of the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Foundation. So, if we can make sure everyone has it brought up and the Commission members can all turn off their cameras so that we can focus on the presentation.

And hopefully, Mr. Rodriguez will soon

appear. I'm not seeing anybody yet. There we go.

Okay, Mr. Rodriguez, I understand you will introduce yourself and each of your colleagues, including Representative Crow. So, we're happy to hear from you now. And once you're done, we may ask some questions when we move into some discussion of the proposal.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much.

Esteemed members of the National
Capital Memorial Advisory Commission, my fellow
Americans, my name is Michael Rodriguez. I'm the
President and CEO of the Global War on Terrorism
Memorial Foundation.

I am extremely proud to be here and looking forward to working with every single one of you.

Last December, the Foundation succeed in one of our most important missions to date,

Congress passed the bipartisan Global War

Terrorism War Memorial Placement Act which authorized the construction of our memorial

within the reserve.

We have overwhelming and bipartisan support from our legislative allies on The Hill both of whom and project say is here today to voice her support for this memorial and for your hard work and ensuring we adequately honor all those who have served our country during our nation's longest war.

Following my remarks, we will hear from our lead sponsor of the House of Representative, Congressman Jason Crow.

Never have we existed as a nation where parents who have served in combat are now watching their sons and daughters go off to fight the same enemies in the same war that they did.

I can't image what it would have been like for my father watching me deploy to Vietnam to fight the Vietcong, any more than I can imagine what it would have been like for my grandfather to watch my father go off to fight the Nazis or serve in the Pacific.

However, that's our reality today.

It's my family's reality as well as that of tens of thousands of others. The hardest point for me was not one of my ten deployments during my 21 years of service, but when I hugged my then 19-year-old son and watched him deploy to the Helmand Province of Afghanistan to perform a similar mission both his mother and I had done some years prior.

This memorial will honor all uniformed and non-uniformed personnel who have sacrificed and given so much to our nation over the past two decades and this incredibly complex multigenerational conflict that has profoundly changed our country.

We have a passionate Board of
Directors and sponsors deeply invested in this
process and ensuring this space is maintained
long after dedication.

As an artist myself, I understand the power of the arts to heal, connect, and inspire us all. Art is the purest form of communication.

This memorial will be a space for

people to honor, heal, empower, and unite behind those who serve and continue to serve in the global on terrorism.

This memorial will be a piece of public art for all Americans and its visitors. Our goal of this memorial is not only to honor the sacrifice and heroism of all that have served since 9/11, but also to enhance the beauty of the reserve and its most sacred space in our nation's capital.

Thank you for your time today. I'll now pass it over to a fellow GWOT Veteran and brother in arms, Representative Jason Crow from the state of Colorado.

Jason?

REPRESENTATIVE CROW: Thank you,
Michael, and thank you to members of the Advisory
Commission. Thank you, Chairman Luebke, for the
opportunity to speak to you all today.

It was really one of my honors as a Member of the House of Representatives to pass the bill last year authorizing the placement of

this memorial. This was the culmination of many years of work between the House and the Senate.

And, ultimately, there was an overwhelming bipartisan response in both bodies. So, we're 56 Senate co-sponsors, hundreds of Members of the House of Representatives as well from both sides of the aisle, overwhelmingly passed the bill authorizing the placement of this memorial.

Like many of you, listening and participating today, this is a personal issue to me. My life changed drastically over the last 20 years of the global war on terror.

I first served as a paratrooper with the 82nd Airborne Division in the invasion of Iraq. And then, later, became an Army Ranger and served two combat tours in Afghanistan in 20014 and again in 2005.

And like millions of Americans who either served directly or supported their loved one serving over the two decades of the global war on terror, our entire lives changed

drastically. And that's one of the real drivers behind this memorial, is recognizing this change in the course of American history and the lives of so many millions of our countrymen and countrywomen as well.

one of the things that we've learned as Veterans over the past few decades is the importance of the healing power of place, how having a place and a location to bring our family members, our sons, our daughters, our friends, and then, the family members of fallen comrades to a location where we can reflect, where we can recount memories, where we can say their name.

We've seen that with the Vietnam Wall.
We've seen that with the World War II Monument.
We've seen that with all of the incredible
monuments and locations on our National Mall.

It's that, ultimately, that we endeavor to achieve, is to have a location for this next generation of Veterans and those who have sacrificed and given so much, that we can reflect on the nature of sacrifice. We can

reflect on the nature of this conflict. But even more importantly, reflect on our brothers and sisters who gave so much in that to be -- to help them.

I believe that the Foundation has done a remarkable job to that end doing a thorough, thoughtful, and professional analysis of site location. And I would encourage the Advisory Commission to look very hard and consider the Foundation's assessments for potential sites as a fitting tribute to our GWOT Veterans. And an appropriate place to do that reflection and that remembrance.

And I'd also encourage the Commission to do so with all haste as well. You know, the World War II Monument is an incredible monument to the service and sacrifice of the greatest generation, but taking 59 years to build. So, that's so many of those who actually served in that great war were not around to see that monument.

It's not something that we should do

again. It is important that we do this when we still have the Veterans around to bring their family members, to bring their sons and daughters and do that reflection themselves. And to do that healing before it's too late for too many of them.

So, that's my ask here today, that you take under serious consideration and advisement the thoughtful and professional analysis of the Foundation, which is many years in the making.

And that you do so with great haste so we can get a shovel in the ground and get this memorial built so our Veterans can start bringing their loved ones and reflecting and remembering and healing.

So, thank you for the opportunity to address you all today and I appreciate your professionalism and your service to the country on this Commission.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Jason, for taking the time out of your incredibly busy schedule to share your thoughts. And, again,

1 thank you for your continued leadership. 2 At this time --CHAIR MAY: Mr. Rodriguez, if I can 3 4 interrupt here for just a second. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. CHAIR MAY: Understanding 6 Representative Crow's schedule, and the fact that 7 8 I don't know if you intend to stay for the 9 entirety of this meeting, but if you -- if our Commission has any questions for you and you need 10 11 to leave soon, I would want to give them that 12 opportunity now. 13 But again, it's up to you and your 14 schedule. 15 REPRESENTATIVE CROW: Yes, yes, yes, 16 Chairman, I would be happy to stay around for a 17 couple more minutes. I do have to go to another 18 obligation. 19 CHAIR MAY: Sure. 20 REPRESENTATIVE CROW: But if there are 21 any questions by the Commission, I'd be happy to 22 take those now.

CHAIR MAY: All right, chances are, they are not, but it's our normal thing to give the Commissioners an opportunity to ask questions if your time allows.

So, with that, I would ask if any of the Commissioners have questions, to just turn on your camera and then we can call on you.

(No audible response.)

CHAIR MAY: Okay, turns out I think we do not have any questions, but I really do appreciate your taking the time out of your busy day to spend a few moments with us to share your views.

REPRESENTATIVE CROW: Yes, and thank you, Chairman May. And I apologize earlier for saying Chairman Luebke. I meant to say Chairman May, but I appreciate your service and time and the opportunity to address you all.

CHAIR MAY: You know, if there was actually -- a little bit funny because just before the meeting started, we were joking about the possibility that Tom Luebke could actually

1	take over as Chairman because he actually has
2	controls over the Zoom platform that I don't.
3	REPRESENTATIVE CROW: I sensed that.
4	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: No, no, not
5	today.
6	REPRESENTATIVE CROW: Yes, thank you
7	very much.
8	CHAIR MAY: Thank you.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thanks again, Jason,
10	I appreciate it.
11	All right, at this time, I will now
12	turn it over to Mr. Michael Winstanley and Mr.
13	Alan Harwood who will provide more details about
14	how we plan to do this, about all the work that
15	has gone in the site selection process thus far.
16	Michael, you're up.
17	MR. WINSTANLEY: Thank you.
18	Is someone bringing up our
19	presentation? There we go. Okay.
20	Good afternoon, my name's Michael
21	Winstanley. I'm the principle and founder of
22	Winstanley Architects and Planners.

We joined the GWOT, the Global War on Terrorism Foundation about four years ago as the Executive Architect to help shepherd this through and we've been working with our partners, AECOM, and Alan Harwood and his team throughout all of this and we're pretty happy to be here to present our findings and what we've done.

# Next slide?

So, I'm going to start with a project overview, really show you what we've accomplished in the last four years.

Then I'm going to get into how we developed the program and what actually the program is in terms of defining the memorial.

And then, Alan will follow up with the site selection criteria and some evaluations and site analysis.

# Next slide?

So, we started four years ago working with Rod and his team, really trying to interview and solicit ideas from the participants of the global war on terrorism.

And we spent a good year and a half interviewing well over 200 people and really got a lot of feelings and a lot of information from them.

And from those meetings and those interviews, we developed a mission statement which you've seen in this package and it integrates all of the GWOT goals and aspirations for the project. And so, all of that has been done.

Following that, we developed a program, and in the program, developed these four key tenants to guide the design of the memorial, the location of the memorial. And those are to honor, to heal, to empower, and to unite. And those will be a common theme that you'll see as we go through the program. And we've completed all those tasks so far.

We are in the process and we've gotten pretty far along in terms of integrating the historical context of the global war on terror.

We've had four scholars who have

written pieces on it and I believe have been, in some of the information that has been sent to you, and we've created a contextual brief for the memorial so that we can explain it to people and people can understand it -- the memorial.

We've also developed a whole series of precedents. And the precedents were not only for our team but for the supporters of the memorial to understand what has been done in the past, how has it been done, where has it been done. Just so we had a real good sense of it.

And from these precedents we were able to develop the program and understand the topology of the memorial that we think should be located within the reserve.

The site selection process studies is what you're going to see today. And, as you know, they're in progress.

And then, last, we'll talk briefly about the designer selection process that the Foundation is intending to go through.

First, we believe that sites are

different enough that it warrants us waiting until the site is selected until we actually select the designer.

And a lot of work has been put in it prior to that in terms of methodologies and even a long list of names, the two group.

The Foundation has asked that we develop a five-person design advisory board which will help us do a review of potential candidates to be the designer. The selection will be a competitive selection, but it will base solely on qualifications with no drawings done prior to the selection of the designer.

And all of that is in process and the Board, at the end of the -- the GWOT Board will be the selection board entity that will actually select the designer when we're done.

Next slide?

Next slide?

So, the program as it relates in terms of like a functional program, as we said, was based on four tenants of honor, heal, empower,

and unite.

And in the honor tenant, obviously, we want to salute and celebrate all those who served in this conflict, which is a diverse group. And we've listed here the general categories, the people that we believe need to be honored here.

Healing is obviously an important component to this memorial. And what we heard over and over again in the interviews and the information that we got, interviewing Veterans and others, is that the connection to water or a water feature was hugely important to everybody in their belief that that's the best way for healing preferred.

education. And in this particular case, as we all know, that the global war on terrorism is a very complicated and complex war that involves lots of geopolitical and non-state advisories.

And all of that, we don't believe can be accomplished in terms of the interpretive explanation of all of this on the memorial

itself. And, therefore, one very important component to this is that there's going to be a very strong online access to a broad range of interpretative resources.

We're working with some technologists now to begin to block that out. We wanted to assure the Commission that all of the, say, heavy lifting of the interpretative discussion on the memorial will be done online and not at the site.

And last, is to unite. We want to create a series of small and large spaces in which gatherings can occur. And people can come together and unite.

#### Next slide?

So, the physical aspects of the program are listed here. And relative to area, we are proposing a point two-five quarter of an acre as the nucleus of the memorial. We are proposing an acre as a setting and we'll illustrate that a little bit further in the next slide.

In the height, we really want the

memorial to feel open and connective to the rest of The Mall and, therefore, manmade objects such as walls, we're proposing should not be higher than eye level. We'll illustrate that as well.

And yet, we believe that sculptural elements which are not monolithic in their form can be taller than human scale.

In terms of the context, obviously, we want to incorporate existing topography variations in sight lines and connect to other memorials on The Mall, making it a part of the story of The Mall.

And, again, preferences for sites that have physical relationships to the water and we'll show you how that transpires. Alan will walk you through all of that.

And then, last, on the environmental, obviously, there's a lot of issues to consider for us physically in terms of environmental aspects of the location. But I think most important from the Foundation's perspective is to contribute positively to the overall environment

of the context of the reserve, which they believe is critically important in terms of their participation -- their location within The Mall.

#### Next slide?

So, to just illustrate very quickly the scale issues. We talked about the nucleus of the memorial being point two-five acres, which is essentially a hundred feet across.

And then, the setting itself could be set within an acre. We're not proposing necessarily that the memorial is round, we're just using circles as a way to illustrate the areas.

#### Next slide?

And to show you how that relates to other memorials, while in your package that we sent you, we had more examples, I'm just including three here.

One which is very close to the side that we're proposing is the National Japanese American Memorial on D Street and New Jersey.

And you can see that the memorial nucleus itself

is approximately point two-five acres and that the island that it sits in is approximately innate.

#### Next slide?

Comparing it to the Martin Luther King Memorial, you can see that the point two-five acres really only encompasses the statute itself, and yet, all of the other components of the memorial are quite larger. And this proposal is to be much smaller than MLK.

#### Next slide?

And last, is to show its relationship to World War II where our proposal of the point two-five acres is no bigger than the fountain only in this particular case, the setting itself is, again, greatly smaller than the World War II Memorial.

# Next slide?

As it comes to heights of walls, as we discussed, you can see the graphic down below that we are proposing that walls would not be higher than five feet. And yet, still gives the

ability for interpretative displays and other devices to be used within the design of the memorial.

#### Next slide?

And then, objects, while they're not monolithic, you can see here examples of objects such as the Einstein Memorial or the Native

American Veterans Memorial and the Japanese

American Memorial where objects or obelisks are places within the memorial where we're proposing that these can be over five feet and larger than human scale to accomplish the goals of whatever it is that the designer decides to express.

#### Next slide?

We talked about context and site lines. You know, there are obviously clear site lines throughout The Mall. And three very clear examples of sight lines and connections from memorials to other memorials really establishing the relationships of these.

And the diagram down below, just the most obvious on The Mall is the axial locations

of the monument and the White House and the memorials, et cetera.

Next slide?

And in context, you know, we have the nucleus and point two-five and the setting as an acre, but we do believe that, you know, it needs to be buffered and landscaped.

And you can see here that our thinking is that trees, berms, and under story plantings similar to that that exists on the three examples here on the slides.

Next slide?

Alan?

MR. HARWOOD: Thank you, Michael.

So, the site selection process that we undertook was extensive and highly iterative.

In the interest of time, we'll try to simplify the evolution of the process, the evaluation criteria, the successive rounds of analysis and elimination, and the possibilities that offered by the favored sites.

Washington DC

Next slide, please?

So, just quickly, the stated -- as stated in the authorized legislation, the global war on terrorism shall be located in the reserve. The law is clear.

Next slide, please?

So, the most important criteria, I
won't go through all of them, but the most
important one is the location in the reserve, but
also, the availability of the sites, that there
isn't a competing use.

And then, the third one, the thematic nexus which is achieved primarily through relationships with other memorials.

Next slide, please?

So -- and next slide is fine.

We identified eight clusters of sites and inside and outside the reserve. These are the total of 35 locations.

And looking outside the reserve helped us reveal potential thematic connections and also clarify the relationship between prominence and scale. And that the most prominent sites are the

1 ones that require the most compact memorial and 2 vice versa, the most remote sites have -- have the grandest kind of memorial. 3 4 Next slide, please? Consistent with the congressional 5 mandate, we eliminated the 19 sites that are 6 7 located outside the reserve. 8 Next slide, please? 9 Which left us with 16 locations inside 10 the reserve. 11 Next slide, please? 12 So, for the second round -- go ahead 13 and next slide, please? 14 We applied the evaluation criteria to 15 the 16 remaining sites within the reserve. 16 the evaluation of the sites was -- a number of 17 these sites were eliminated primarily due to the 18 availability, the construction of the D.C. water 19 facilities, or because it was simply too far from 20 The Mall center of gravity. So, we -- next slide, please? 21 22 So, we --

1 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Alan, Alan, 2 this is Mina. Could you go back? This is like the Evelyn Wood School of Memorial Site Selection 3 4 and I can't -- I'm not as fast as you are. Can you go back two slides? 5 6 MR. HARWOOD: Sure. 7 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Just for a 8 No, yes, I just want a minute to look at second? 9 this. 10 MR. HARWOOD: Okay, sorry. And these 11 were in your materials in advance, but sure. 12 We're trying to get you the fun stuff. 13 Mina, you like the real design related things 14 that we're going to get to. COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, I know. 15 16 But I mean I'm still -- I'm sorry to interrupt 17 you, but I don't understand how it is that we get 18 these laws that come and say, it shall be in the 19 reserve which directly contradict Congress's own 20 declaration of the reserve being sacrosanct. 21 I -- can you just address this for a second so that -- for those of us who don't 22

1	understand it get some clarity.
2	CHAIR MAY: Well, actually, maybe I
3	should handle that one.
4	MR. HARWOOD: Yes, I was going to
5	suggest that.
6	CHAIR MAY: Yes.
7	So, you know, the Congress established
8	the reserve. The Congress can grant exceptions
9	to it. And they have done exceptions in the
LO	past.
L1	This is unusual because it is the
L2	first time the Congress decided that an entirely
L3	new memorial should be within the reserve.
L <b>4</b>	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: That's why I'm
L5	asking, yes.
L6	CHAIR MAY: In the past, they did
L7	grant an exception.
L8	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
L9	CHAIR MAY: For like the Bob Dole
20	plaque, the Korean War Veterans Memorial Wall of
21	Remembrance, the FDR plaque at World War II
22	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right.

1	CHAIR MAY: those are all
2	exceptions.
3	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Got it.
4	CHAIR MAY: So
5	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: But those aren't
6	yes, those are more that's why I'm asking
7	because I wanted to I know that we've done it
8	before as addenda, but not like this.
9	So
LO	CHAIR MAY: Right. Yes, this was an
L1	unusual circumstance and it was quite a
L2	contentious matter. And I won't mention any of
L3	that.
L <b>4</b>	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay.
L5	CHAIR MAY: But Congress has done what
L6	it is done and so, we follow their direction.
L7	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, okay. Just
L8	checking to make sure with the program here.
L9	Okay, Alan, could you just could,
20	you know, some of us are not as skilled with vim
21	and verve as you are. So, take it easy on us.
22	MR. HARWOOD: Okay, sure.

CHAIR MAY: It's all right, I'm going
to take the blame for that, too, because I told
them to keep it brisk.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, okay, but
we only have one thing. We do have some time.

Okay, sorry.

MR. HARWOOD: Right, well, so, I think it was important that we did look outside the reserve just for context, just for potential connections.

But these are the 16 locations within the reserve that we really kind of took a look at and that we applied the criteria, the evaluation criteria against.

And when we did that, we eliminated about ten of them right off the bat. And that's what kind of the matrix that we show a little bit later kind reflects.

We gave each one a score, a soccer score, by criteria. And you have three points if you were fully providing that criteria. And you got one point if you only partially. And you got

no points if you did not.

with -- if you go to the next slide, please?

We ended up eliminating a number of
the sites. And, in fact, also combined one of
the sites. B and C were seen as a little too
close and not quite right, either, so they became
a single -- about in the middle of those two.

So, by adding those up, we ended up

But these sites that are X'd out, they were eliminated mostly because they either weren't available or because there was a little too far for the one down on Ohio Drive, the farthest one, a little too far from the center of gravity from The Mall.

So, that's really kind of where we ended up with -- if we go to the next slide -- these are the five sites that kind of got the in depth analysis, the round two analysis. And that's what's included in your book, your read ahead book.

In the interest of time today, we've kind of mashed that in with the round three so

that we're not redundant about that.

But these five, we kind of took a

closer look at. And after that close look, we

actually -- if you go to the next slide, please?

We eliminated two of them, one up in the northwest there to avoid confusion with the future adjacent Desert Storm Memorial. The global war on terrorism is confused with Desert Storm quite a bit so we didn't want to do that with this site.

And then, the site in the upper right corner is part of the President's Park. And that has some operational concerns. When there's a presidential movement that parcel is not accessible. The entire President's Park is closed.

So, because of those operational constraints, that one was eliminated.

So, what we ended up with was -- if you go to the next slide, please?

This is where we get to the fun stuff and this is where I was intending to slow down

anyway. Sorry, Mina.

So, this round, this third round, we looked at these three locations. These are looking at context, and potential opportunities. Essentially, we looked at how a memorial might work at one of these sites.

And this based on our experience with memorials as well as scholarly input from the historians, and a number of work specialists with the Park Service staff.

And again, to avoid redundancy, some of the round two analysis and the round three analysis kind of mashed together just for kind of convenience here.

But these three sites, these three favored sites, Constitution Garden's in the tidal basin -- they're all terrific locations. And they're all situated on a body of water. That wasn't initially intentional, that's what kind of came out it. But it was mostly because water is so important.

And the reason the water is part of it

because it has so many great qualities. You've heard it can heal, but it also can be soothing and it can serve as a symbol of the vastness that's associated with global war on terrorism. And that's both in terms of the spatial and temporal aspects of this.

But it's a global effort and it has been called the Forever War. So, water becomes very important.

If we can go to the next slide, please?

So, just this third round of criteria really is graphically based. And if you look at the contextual analysis on the left column, we compared scales. We recognized existing memorials. We identified potential thematic and visual connections.

We looked at site specific existing conditions and potential approaches, pedestrian pathways and potential constraints.

But relative to the site studies as shown in the right hand column, we also looked at

ways that the memorial -- the sites can be 1 2 developed for a memorial, that's included in the nucleus about to make it 10,000 square feet, 3 4 about a quarter of an acre. And you'll see it changes, depending 5 on the sites. 6 7 A site setting that's in light purple 8 and then the surrounding kind of buffer area 9 that's not part of the site, but is an area where maybe there's some context sense of prepared and 10 11 re-vegetation may occur. 12 So, we will look at the these sites in order of scale from small to the largest. 13 14 Next slide, please? So, Constitution Gardens, that site is 15 16 situated in a quiet area next to the pond. got a mix of lawn and trees. That's a feel of an 17 18 urban oasis. 19 Next slide, please? 20 So, the sites area -- this site was 21 included in the memorial's museum's national

planner, it was Site Number 10.

22

This is one of

the prime sites because it's a wonderful, bucolic settings. And because it has views of the Washington Monument.

## Next, please?

So, in a broader context, this site is just south of Constitution Avenue near 20th Street and it's east of the Vietnam Veteran's Memorial. But it's outside of the important view corridor -- the usable corridor to the Washington Monument, that very strong, diagonal line.

That's a very intentional line from Vietnam to the Washington Monument. So, we're staying outside of that.

And just note that -- and you'll see on these some of the flood plain that -- we didn't try to cover the entire map with the flood plain map, but just the area -- the portion of the map that borders the site.

## Next slide, please?

So, we take a closer look at that same image, but with a little bit more detail. You can see the core and the nucleus and its setting

half kind of three primary directions of approach.

And what is really interesting also is that the global war terrorism has an historically high level of participation by female service members. And so, the site relates really well to the Vietnam Women's Memorial to the west.

And it's also in proximity to the Signer's Memorial which you can see in the island in the upper right corner. And that's important because there were constitutional questions raised by the initial response to 9/11 that became the global war on terrorism. And that's individual liberties versus collective security debates.

The memorial site here is also -- it's located away from the east/west levy as you can see there in the red dots. The centerline was just a little bit closer to the Reflecting Pool, but we wanted to stay away from that levy. So, our friends at the Park Service made sure we did.

But the Park Service is about to

undertake a master plan for the Constitution

Gardens. And so, this memorial could be

coordinated with that and be part of that effort.

Next slide, please?

So, looking at the -- so, the potential ways that the sites could be developed, we just kind of studied the possibilities. We wanted to -- really, these are not designs, these are studies. And we just wanted to provide a sense of scale and illustrate how it could work.

And for this location, we're suggesting the that nucleus can be off kind of the edge of the trees and surrounding by additional plantings.

And it relates to the water and it has views of the Signer's Memorial and the Vietnam Veteran's -- the Vietnam Women's Memorial, this statue.

And we can also suggest some new pathways to kind of strengthen the approach directions. And there's a food kiosk there at the very junction of those pathways to the north.

They'll be relocated further north to kind of enhance the foot entry to the memorial.

Next slide, please?

For each of these, there are two ways of doing this. And this is one -- the second one of each is the way that's kind of more directly engaged with the water.

And in this situation, the nucleus we've moved further north and kind of focused on the pond. And the location would have an even stronger location -- stronger connection to the Vietnam Women's Memorial and the Washington Monument. Because when you get a little bit further like this, you can actually see the Washington Monument beyond the trees.

This memorial would also contribute to the improvement of the Constitution Gardens' shoreline. It'll be right adjacent to that shoreline.

And the food kiosk and the restroom would be relocated to the west in this particular scheme.

Next slide, please?

So, the Tidal Basin site, that includes trees, lawn, and also a surfaced parking lot. And this is kind of the operational center for the Cherry Blossom Festive.

But it also feels a little bit incomplete. It seems like a remnant from another more car-centric era.

Next slide, please?

This site is really interesting. It's in the northeast portion of the Tidal Basin.

It's kind of triangulated from the Jefferson

Memorial to the south and the Martin Luther King

Memorial to the west.

It has distant views of the Air Force Memorial and filtered views of the Pentagon as well as some views through the trees of the Washington Monument and even the World War II Memorial. It's kind of -- it's not a very strong connection, but you can see some of the columns there.

Let's zoom in a little bit closer on

the next slide, please?

So, looking at this location, the memorial would be outside the protected view corridor that extends from the White House to the Jefferson Memorial.

And it may displace the surface parking which might be a good thing because surface parking is not the best use of waterfront land.

And the site currently has two primary points of approach and the Park Service is about to undertake some improvements of the Tidal Basin as well. And so, we'd have to coordinate with that effort as well.

Next slide, please?

So, this is kind of a really kind of interesting kind of take on this location. And we're suggesting that maybe the memorial gets a little bit closer to the edge of the water and kind of -- near a protruding portion of the Tidal Basin, but not quite at the point because that would be in the view corridor from Jefferson to

the White House.

But you can see that there could be a kind of a directionality to this site and really kind of guiding the site toward the Air Force Memorial and the Pentagon. And you can see the Air Force Memorial very, very readily and the Pentagon right in front of it and you can only see that in the winter.

But we're essentially saying that the memorial could be positioned on the current parking lot and that the parking could be relocated to a less prominent position and be among trees, maybe shaded by vegetation so it's not quite waterfront.

And this new parking would provide a third host direction. And then, we're suggesting some repairs to the parking lot and some other buffers. We kind of provide some visual separation to the paddle boat dock that's -- the concessions that's just to the east there.

And we're also kind of providing a view as you walk around the Tidal Basin. Must

like Martin Luther King, you can see it from a distance, but then when you're walking toward it, you really don't see it until you're on it and there's a nice reveal that happens.

We looked at another -- if you go to the next slide, please?

We looked at another option where maybe we could put the memorial to the west of that view corridor. And this is probably the smallest site in terms of scale. It has to be really tight to fit in here.

But we thought, well, the compact site, it could actually be right up -- the nucleus could be right up on the water's edge.

And it's a chance to really kind of take advantage of the prominent location, but also to really kind of fully engage with the water. And then, maybe even have a walkway that extends out over the water that gives it a little bit more of an institutional or, excuse me, international kind of feel.

But that's not necessarily part of the

1 memorial, but we thought it'd be a nice way to 2 kind of let people see the historic seawall as well as the memorial from a different vantage 3 4 point. 5 Go to the next slide, please? So, the third site is the largest in 6 7 terms of scale on the Potomac River. It's a very 8 open lawn with some trees. Very kind of real 9 great potential. It feels like it has the 10 opportunity to make a grand statement here. 11 It's got the real vastness of the 12 Potomac River. 13 And if you go to the next slide, 14 please? 15 It also is identified as a site in the 16 master plan and it is Site Number 70 because of its Mall proximate location and its expansive 17 18 waterfront views. 19 Next slide, please? 20 But in broad context, this is really 21 kind of -- this takes advantage of some of the

things that we saw when we were looking beyond

the reserve. And that's direct relationship to the Air Force Memorial and the Pentagon in front of it.

But also, to Arlington Cemetery and the Arlington House as well as nice views of Arlington Memorial Bridge. It's really got some interesting kind of visual connections.

And you can see the Lincoln Memorial from this location which is more of a visual connection than a thematic connection but still, very important kind of connections.

So, if we zoom in a little bit closer, what you can see is that the site really kind of is centered on these three roadways, West Basin Drive and Ohio Drive. It could really be kind of a nice location that gives it three major approach directions.

And it's also -- it's close to the water taxi stop. And so, it gives an even more accessibility.

The Park Service is also undertaking improvements to this part of the seawall and so

we would have to coordinate with that.

So, the next slide, please?

So, in this location, in this -- we're suggesting that maybe because of the narrow dimensions from the -- between the roadway and the water, that maybe the bending of Ohio Drive inward away from the river would kind of really give -- increase the promise of this site and give say a little bit more breathing room.

So, it could be really centered on those three locations to give it some visibility on a three view -- three visual axises that really kind of create a quadrangle to the location.

And so, you know, the direct views to the Arlington Cemetery and Air Force Memorial can be framed by the memorial components.

And so, we're just suggesting that maybe there's some practical elements that could help with this framing inside the memorial site and that those green blobs are really kind of vegetative buffers that really, you know, allow

views, but really don't show on the axises but shelter kind of noise and maximum roadway traffic or parking areas.

And the tan forms that kind of are on the outer edge, those are -- we're suggesting that those could be noise buffers, maybe acoustic screens or decorative canopies that could help define the site and help protect against sound intrusion and provide some intimate areas of solitude or also further guide views to these different connections across the river.

And the last thing that I think we're suggesting is the water taxi lane could be relocated to a nearby position and expanded because we think that's, you know, the -- one of the key features of The Mall.

So, we'll go to the next slide, please?

So, this is a slide that varies to that where maybe the -- where the memorial kind of extends out closer to the water and maybe, even out over the water and on the waterfront.

In this case, the, if you notice the pathway along the river actually goes out around the memorial. But very similar kind of situation but just a different kind of configuration.

And these rings, these could be elevated or, you know, kind of elevated pieces of noise restraint or something that helps define the site a little bit more and reinforce that form of the nucleus.

So, those are the -- go to the next slide, please?

So, those are the kind of studies of the three sites. You know, there's two, and you see The Mall across here that the A sites are across the top and the B sites are along the bottom.

You can see then they move from scale from particularly the A studies, 8,00- square feet for the Constitution Gardens, 9.00 square foot nucleus for the Tidal Basin, and about 10,000 square feet for along the Potomac River.

These are just estimates and just kind

of relative scales to what fits the best. These are round numbers intentionally because it's not specific.

But the B studies are all a little bit smaller than that. But except particularly the Tidal Basin B is much smaller because of its kind of tight location there.

And, like I said, these are all wonderful opportunities. You have the quiet and intimate Constitution Gardens, the picturesque and popular Tidal Basin. You have the prominent multi-modal Potomac River.

But none of the sites are perfect.

They all have some minor issues. They all have things that need to be relocated, whether that's restrooms or parking or a roadway.

And all of them are undergoing changes. They're all part of things that are happening, so they can be kind of part of that.

And the Foundation is committed to being a good neighbor and committed to contributing to these context sense of

1 improvements. 2 And so, these are -- I think we'll leave it at this kind of page. And on behalf of 3 4 Rod and Michael, we're ready to answer your 5 questions. Thank you. 6 7 CHAIR MAY: Thank you very much. 8 So, at this point, I would ask the 9 members of the Commission if they have any particular questions that they would like to ask 10 11 about the presentation before we get into a 12 discussion of the merits of these particular site 13 options. 14 Yes, so, I see Mr. Luebke has turned his camera on, so, questions from you. 15 16 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: I had a talk 17 and I think Mike Sherman had his hand up first. CHAIR MAY: Oh, I'm sorry, yes, okay. 18 19 Mr. Sherman? 20 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Good afternoon 21 and thank you, Mr. Winstanley and Mr. Harwood for

your presentation.

I just had a question about how much weight did the flood plain factor into your criteria given that two of the sites are in the 100-year flood plain? And if you could talk about that a bit?

## CHAIR MAY: Alan?

MR. WINSTANLEY: Actually, all of the sites are partially or fully within the flood plain. And that is a challenge, that is something that the sites will have to be designed to be resilient to any flood events.

They will not likely, you know, the scale of a memorial won't affect the flood plain itself, but the flood plain could have an effect on the memorial design. And so, that's something that has to be taken into account. And we're conscious of that.

It is part of the -- it's the other flip side of the coin to be on the water which is very, very important for the healing and soothing purposes. But also for the message of openness.

So, it was part of the criteria, but

it wasn't an eliminating factor. 1 2 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Okay, thank you for that. 3 4 CHAIR MAY: Is that it, Mr. Sherman? 5 Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yes, I have 7 some comments later, but I think that's it for my 8 initial questions. 9 CHAIR MAY: Mr. Luebke? 10 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes, this is a 11 really fascinating problem to try to solve. 12 where do you -- where does thing suitably go? And I wonder if anybody who's touching 13 14 this process from who hasn't been used to it, or people who've been on it for a long time or 15 16 anything in between, please understand, we are --17 we do this because we really care about it. 18 And we try to bring our best judgment 19 and it always raises really interesting issues 20 and it changes our generations. 21 We had the comment that the World War 22 II Memorial took something, I can't remember what

the number was given, 60 years or something, to 1 2 come about. But the other part of that story is 3 that there were no such things as national war 4 5 memorials in Washington, D.C. until the '80s. So, it's a kind of -- and we weren't there. 6 7 So, anyway, it's always a changing 8 lens. 9 But my question -- and this maybe just for Peter May, I recall when this thing came 10 11 through for authorization that there was some 12 question about its suitability within the 13 Commemorative Works Act and the idea that this is 14 some would criticize it as a metaphorical war, 15 i.e., not one that follows conventional 16 definitions of an identifiable enemy and a 17 military solution, et cetera, et cetera, 18 location. 19 And also, duration, which is And if you could --20 undefined. 21 So, my couple questions are, how is --

how will the resolution of that kind of

definition of a memorial within this frame work that we are working?

And number two, understanding -- what

I'm trying to understand is, this will get to my

comments later, does it broadly include other

military -- I say other, associated military

conflicts which occurred simultaneously or later

or during --

I'm not sure exactly how to conceptualize this, but I'm talking about Afghanistan. I'm talking about Iraq. I'm talking about the Horn of Africa. Other areas where there's -- there are actually military things.

Do we expect other memorials independent of this one to come forward? Can you just give us a little bit of context for that background so we know to evaluate this particular proposal?

CHAIR MAY: Right. So, this memorial has been an exceptional circumstance from the very start. And so, it's been a long time, but

when we considered whether or not there should be a memorial, the issue of it never really ending was something that we took into consideration at this Commission.

And our response, our advice to the congressional committees was that we recognize the importance of the conflict and we are of the -- or sorry, of the war on terror, and noted that it was -- it technically conflicted with the Commemorative Works Act, which required a tenyear separation from the end of a conflict to its commemoration.

But we, you know, we basically recognized that this is a different circumstance.

And so, maybe it should be considered differently.

And the Congress, when they did vote to approve it, accepted -- I think explicitly noted that it was an exception to the -- that provision of the Act.

So, that was done knowingly by the Congress this is a different thing.

As for other conflicts, I, you know,
I want to think that this is the memorial that
would commemorate and help folks remember all of
the conflicts that you explicitly mentioned.
And, God forbid, potentially anything that comes
new in the future.

But I, you know, realistically speaking, I don't know that that will be the case. I think that it's quite possible that there will be, at some future time after the end of the war in Afghanistan, that there will be folks who want to have something that's explicitly about Afghanistan.

And I mean, I think that is to some extent a consideration here because if there is going to be something more that it would make sense that there be, you know, space to do more than just one. Right? To tie them together, to create a -- or to allow for future nexus.

However, the reserve siting makes that really problematic. I'm very concerned that, you know, if we were to site in any one of these

locations, that there is really no opportunity 1 2 to, you know, add on information about or additional commemoration -- commemorative pieces 3 4 that focus on these other specific conflicts. 5 And I think that's one of the things 6 that we should talk about when we get to our deliberation because I think, you know, maybe one 7 out of the three sites might lend itself to some 8 9 -- to that sort of approach and the other two I 10 think really don't. But we can talk about that 11 more later. 12 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: And just to --13 I'm afraid my memory is not as sharp as it could 14 be, there have -- have we or have we not even seen any other proposals for -- Just of the 15 16 should it exist or not variety on anything since? Is this the latest of those Middle 17 18 Eastern current --19 CHAIR MAY: Yes, there --20 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: -- 21st century

conflicts? Is this the first one? Has there

been any --

21

1	CHAIR MAY: We've not seen any
2	legislative proposals for a memorial for the war
3	in Iraq, for example.
4	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yet.
5	CHAIR MAY: We haven't gotten not
6	yet.
7	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Thank you,
8	that's thank you.
9	CHAIR MAY: Okay.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Chairman May, if I may
11	offer a couple comments that may be helpful?
12	CHAIR MAY: Sure, please.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Tom, thanks for
14	bringing that forward.
15	You know, the question you just posed
16	to me is the question I get quite a lot. Right?
17	It's really it's always been the Foundation's
18	enduring mission to be as inclusive as possible.
19	So, as one of those Veteran's that
20	have served under in several different
21	theaters that you referenced, this is all

service sacrifices of all the men, women that
have served whether it be in Afghanistan or, you
know, we're no longer at, or the other various
locations where we do have men, women, you know,
following the Use of Military Force Act signed by
-- in September of 2001 which has governed all
use of force in this conflict, they still fall
under that and it's still used today.

So, this is all encompassing to those.

Now, I can't speak for any other efforts that may come. I know how challenging it has been for us. To my knowledge, since, you know, we began in 2015, we are the only effort to be as inclusive and to recognize all the conflicts that you report, all the places and locations where you find men and women in harm's way fighting terrorism across the globe.

CHAIR MAY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Thank you, I appreciate that.

CHAIR MAY: Any other questions from the Commission about the presentation?

1	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
2	From the sublime to the ridiculous.
3	How many parking spaces are at the site near the
4	paddle boat place that you that would be
5	displaced by the memorial, about, Alan?
6	MR. HARWOOD: Roughly a hundred, it's
7	a fair number, but they wouldn't be displaced,
8	they'd be relocated.
9	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, well,
10	displacement is not so bad. But I think that's a
11	I'm varying into comment territory, but that's
12	a plus for that site because I agree with you,
13	the parking is not a good use of waterfront
14	property.
15	And so, that's a big bonus to move
16	that road. I mean, yes, displaced, replaced,
17	moved, whatever. You know, that's a big feature.
18	So, a hundred-ish?
19	CHAIR MAY: About how many, Alan?
20	MR. HARWOOD: I'm sorry we would
21	CHAIR MAY: How many total?
22	MR. HARWOOD: It's about a hundred

1	total. There's kind of it's one long parking
2	lot, it just has a little bit of a it feels
3	it looks like two in plain view, but when you're
4	there, it feels like one long parking lot. And
5	everyone wants to be kind of down by the
6	Jefferson Memorial.
7	It's one way in and one way out kind
8	of
9	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right.
10	MR. HARWOOD: relation.
11	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay.
12	MR. HARWOOD: But it's really a
13	widened road with a head in parking on both
14	sides.
15	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, okay.
16	CHAIR MAY: To be clear about the
17	concepts that you showed us, all of those 100
18	spaces are intended to be relocated on site
19	within that same general vicinity?
20	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, well,
21	that's how I read it. I just wanted I was
22	trying to get some sense of how the number

that we're talking about. 1 2 Thank you. All right. 3 CHAIR MAY: I'm not very good at pulling up my participant list. 4 I don't 5 see any other hands raised. Oh, I do now. Commissioner Imamura? 6 7 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right, 8 thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 Just to build up Commissioner Wright's comment about the Tidal Basin, and I'd be showing 10 my hand here a little bit. 11 12 But just curious about the conversation or if there was a conversation about 13 14 that particular site. I think slide 29 was really should have -- the best with -- you had 15 16 three prominent figures in our nation's history 17 that kind of encompasses the Tidal Basin. 18 So, I'm sort of -- I'm curious sort of 19 the connection or if there's a dialogue about that at all in terms of what that relationship is 20 21 with this particular memorial and its location

there? What that dialogue looks like

architecturally, as well as that conversation that might happen?

MR. HARWOOD: Should we bring up slide
29 to make sure we're talking about the same
thing? Is that what you said, site 29?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I believe it's slide 29, yes. So, we see FDR, MLK, and Jefferson there kind of wrapped around the Tidal Basin location P.

MR. HARWOOD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: So, any dialogue or conversation about that at all and it's relationship in terms of that location?

MR. HARWOOD: Well, so, I guess one of the things that we did, we thought about thematic connections and those were the solid lines that made connections to other military memorials.

We also had some dash lines that we wanted to be for visual connections, visual considerations to make sure that we are outside of that view corridor from Jefferson to the White House.

But we did think that the visibility 1 2 to FDR and to MLK and to Jefferson was important but they weren't part of what was the thematic 3 4 connection. 5 So, we tried to suggest that maybe the 6 buffer or the vegetative screening that happens 7 adjacent or beyond the site as you repair the 8 parking areas, that maybe that those could kind 9 of help views to that so that you wouldn't create an impact on those memorials. 10 11 But there has not been conversation 12 within other than with the Park Service. 13 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure, okay. 14 I think that answers my question. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 16 CHAIR MAY: Thank you. 17 So, once again, losing track of my 18 participant list, I'm not seeing any raised hands 19 there. So, I think maybe we are done with the 20 questions. 21 So, if that's all right, we don't need 22 to excuse you from the -- from being a panelist

1 but if you could turn off your cameras and I'll 2 ask the members of the Commission to turn their 3 cameras on. And if anybody has technical 4 5 difficulties and needs to turn it off, that's 6 fine, too, but it would be good to have everybody see everyone's face. 7 8 So, let's see, I know Mr. Fountain, 9 you had some time constraints, so, I will go to you first to see what comments you might have. 10 11 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Thank you, Mr. 12 Chairman. I have several thoughts and this is 13 without the benefit of listening to the other 14 members of the Commission. And so, I reserve the 15 16 right to be influenced by them. 17 But I'll cut to my bottom line and 18 then I'll explain how I get there. 19 And, you know, and I freely acknowledge I may be a victim of being stuck on 20 21 my first thought when I started thinking about

where this was all going to go, but I still wind

up there.

And I think the best site for this memorial is between Vietnam and the Desert Storm Memorial. That site up on Constitution.

So, having given the spoil to the surprise, I'll explain my reasoning here.

Very broadly, you know, I feel like one of our responsibilities on this Commission is not to look at individual -- not simply to look at individual memorial proposals in a vacuum, but to think about the memorial program in Washington over time.

And understanding that other war memorials, unfortunately, other types of memorials, will be coming along. And that they all need to fit into a comprehensible memorial landscape.

And every time we consider a single memorial, we are redesigning that memorial landscape and need to conscious of that.

Having obtained, as Chairman May said, the first exemption from the Commemorative Works

Act for a brand new standalone memorial, you have breech that dam and we can expect more exemptions to follow.

So, we have to think about what other memorials of commensurate national importance, again, war memorials or others, might lay claim to some of these same places in the reserve that you've been talking about.

So, that's the very broad context I start with.

When you went through -- when Mr.

Harwood went through the criteria, I don't

remember how many there were, but and this is an

observation, you know, it kept occurring to me

that, gosh, they want a lot in their memorial.

They want a lot of features.

Obviously, you're looking for the ideal spot. And that's, you know, that's no fault to you.

But I was struck that in that one chart you put up with all the circles and the half-filled in circles and the -- there wasn't

one site that didn't give you at least part of every single thing you're looking for, which is to say, they seem to be all good sites.

Now, naturally, you're focused on the three that you think are the optimal but I guess I'm suggesting to my fellow Commissioners that we not let the conversation go directly to those three that you all selected, but exercise their own independent judgment.

I will say that I found a lot of the visual and thematic connections that were focused on to other locations to not always be convincing to me.

To start with, Mr. Harwood can certainly explain to you why I find the desire to be -- not to be away from the center of gravity of The Mall to be unpersuasive, having fought that thought once.

But you've won that, you're in the reserve. So, you are within the center of gravity.

But when you start talking about

connections to the Air Force Memorial or the
Lincoln Memorial or Arlington House, Constitution
Gardens, even the Vietnam Women's Memorial, I
understood the connections, but to be honest, I
didn't find them all that compelling. I often
found them to be a bit of a reach.

You know, Martin Luther King stands in a very direct conversation with Jefferson. And so, it makes an awful lot of sense for that memorial to be on the Tidal Basin.

There's no sense that I see for this memorial in particular to be on the Tidal Basin or to be on Constitution Gardens.

And in that sense, this memorial's encroaching on other spaces of The Mall that have been carefully designed and thought out. And I question whether some of those encroachments are proper.

Again, going back to the overall memorial landscape, many people have criticized the proliferation, in their terms I guess, of war memorials on The Mall saying that's not what it

was designed for. And, you know, that horse is long out of the barn so we're not re-litigating that.

But some of the sites you selected, particularly, the one down on the -- along Hains Point really spread the footprint of war memorials within the reserve as with the one on the Tidal Basin.

One in Constitution Gardens is certainly within the zone physically. But, again, I question its encroachment on Constitution Gardens with what, to me, is a discordant theme to that site.

There is now a de facto precinct of war memorials focused between World War II and Lincoln. And I would urge that this memorial and future memorials ought, if possible, be located within that precinct and not begin to proliferate throughout the reserve.

Because there likely will be and ought to be other proposals for that -- those sites, other nationally important for projects that lay

uniquely worthy claim to those ideal sites that 1 2 you have identified that are not war memorials that we ought to reserve those for. 3 So, I'm very wary of pushing war 4 5 memorials out from the precinct that has been established through a series of decisions over 6 the last, what, 40 years. 7 8 And you all object --9 CHAIR MAY: Oops, I think we lost Mr. Fountain. We'll give him a second to come back. 10 11 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: I was --12 CHAIR MAY: Commissioner Fountain, you 13 broke up for a second there. 14 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: I think I've got a bit of unstable internet connection. 15 16 CHAIR MAY: Yes, if you want to turn 17 off your camera, that might help. And you were 18 about to say something about you object. 19 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Well, yes, you 20 know, this memorial's sponsors did not like being 21 across the street from the Desert Storm Memorial

because they were concerned about overlap or

confusion between the two conflicts.

And I had the exact opposite reaction when I started thinking about where this memorial ought to be sited. Because I think those conflicts are inextricably intertwined historically, thematically.

You know, I think it is an open question and I'm sure that you all have historians that would explain this to me better than I know. I think it's an open question whether if we hadn't fought Iraq in 1991 whether we would have fought Iraq in 2003.

More than two-thirds of the fatalities in the war on terror occurred in Iraq. Desert Storm and the war on terror are essentially part of ongoing American entanglement in the Middle East for the last 75 years since the creation of Israel as a --

CHAIR MAY: We lost him again. Give him a second longer and then we might move on to somebody else and return to him.

COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: They'll be

1	separate site.
2	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: There he is.
3	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: They'll be
4	different memorials.
5	CHAIR MAY: I'm sorry, Edwin.
6	Commissioner Fountain, we lost you again after
7	your comment about entanglement in the Middle
8	East.
9	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: All right, I'm
10	hearing you fine. How am I now?
11	CHAIR MAY: You sound better now.
12	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: All right,
13	hold on, I've got hold on one second. I've
14	got two dogs making noises.
15	All right, I'm back. Can we please
16	get back to in person meetings?
17	In any event, I find both those
18	conflicts to be part of the longstanding American
19	entanglement in the Middle East that we've been
20	experiencing in one way or another for the last
21	75 years.
22	And so, historically, I consider them

very closely related and that, to me, speaks very strongly in favor of locating the two memorials near each other. They will be separate. They'll be divided by a road. They will have different memorial designs. They will have different memorial interpretation.

I don't think there's a risk of confusion. I think by linking them together, there are very strong historical lessons to be drawn.

I'm just looking over my notes. Well, I think that about says it. I would, again, I haven't considered a lot of these sites from the perspective that a lot of the other Commissioners will. You know, I know that the National Mall, as a whole, has been in the object of a lot of comprehensive planning and others can speak to how these sites fit within those plans far better than I.

CHAIR MAY: Okay, well, we certainly appreciate that comments you had and hopefully we will be able to go all the way through this

1 discussion without losing you because I think we 2 might have some further discussions. COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: 3 I recognize 4 our great sites for memorials, but I don't see a 5 compelling case for this memorial in particular at those sites. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 8 CHAIR MAY: Okay. So, I'm sorry, we 9 lost part of your concluding comments, but we did get your last -- your very last thought there. 10 Let me boil it 11 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: 12 I think there's a whole of reason to put 13 this at the site between Desert Storm and 14 Vietnam. 15 CHAIR MAY: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: And I don't 17 see any compelling reason to put this memorial in 18 particular as opposed to other future memorials 19 in those three ideal sites that they have focused 20 on. 21 CHAIR MAY: Okay. 22 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Thank you.

1	So, apologies for the
2	CHAIR MAY: That's
3	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: use.
4	CHAIR MAY: That's okay, hopefully,
5	we'll be able to you'll be able to stay on
6	long enough to participate further if we need
7	your further insights.
8	So, Mr. Luebke, you've raised your
9	hand?
10	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes, thank you.
11	And Edwin outlined a lot of my exact
12	observations as well.
13	It might have been more productive for
14	the proposal study to actually come with a wider
15	range up front instead of three. It's a little -
16	-
17	CHAIR MAY: Let me just point out that
18	in preparing for this, we made it very clear to
19	the applicants that what comes out of this is not
20	necessarily going to be a blessing of three sites
21	or some subset of that. But that there may be
22	other sites that are thrown into the mix.

And whether they, you know, how we -how it goes from here is to, you know, is still
to be determined.

But, you know, it was their interest in focusing on these three sites. We were okay with advancing that but knowing that they could wind up with four or five at the end of this meeting or, you know, two. We'll see.

COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: So, again, I pretty much associated myself with Ed Fountain's comments.

Basically, I'm finding that there's this sort or tension between, you know, this sort of unending, undefined conflict which could be an -- create an interesting sort of prototype for a memorial of sort of an endless war. Right?

It is big enough to encompass

Afghanistan, Iraq, and the 21st Century, et

cetera. It needs to be -- I think we want to be

able to accommodate that one way within this

memorial or, we don't know whether there will be

additional ones. So, it's for once probably that

space to expand.

Now, the obvious question is, is it -does it make so much space that it has to be -you have to find some new setting. Which, of
course, starts to push you out of the reserve
because it's that important, it may not be a site
that's big enough to take all of that stuff.

Now, I -- nobody knows the future.

The great kind of fulcrums of The Mall right now are these huge sort of I consider them like 80year cataclysmic cornerstones of our shared history.

We have the -- we have sort of a monument to the Revolution in the middle. The Lincoln Memorial is, in a way, a proxy to the Civil War.

We've got the World War II which is probably the other most all-encompassing thing that the country ever went through which is right there in the middle as well.

These are the great things that everything hangs on and works off of.

I would also agree that this -- that

Tidal Basin site sits in an area that is really

defined by aspirations, ideals, ideas of

independence, autonomy, equity, you name it.

It's a place that is actually about ideals and it

seems strange to start to put in a war memorial

down there.

And the other thing is, of course, and I don't, Peter, I don't know how this plays in, but it was actually legislated originally to be recreational. I mean, and that's where I -- when we talk about getting rid of parking lots people use to enjoy this landscape, I'm -- okay, well, maybe we're moving them, but they're -- it's not a bad thing to have good access to a lovely, you know, body of water in the middle of this landscape.

So, I just think that's truly a troubling thing.

For the other side on the Potomac, you know, if it were just a standalone one off thing, maybe so. But again, I'm with Edwin on this,

1 that it belongs near the Desert Shield Desert 2 Storm Memorial. That's -- it's all part of a kind of a change in our history that starts in 3 the very late '20s and we don't know where it's 4 5 going to go, but I believe --My first thought, also, was look at 6 7 the panel where the Vietnam -- that was 8 originally supposed to be for the Vietnam 9 Visitor's Center. I think that's an excellent idea. 10 11 So, there may be others that make But then the other question I have is, 12 does it have to be in the reserve even though it 13 14 may -- is it may be in the reserve or must be in 15 the reserve? 16 CHAIR MAY: Shall be. 17 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Shall be. 18 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Okay. So, that 19 answers that. 20 The other point is that, if there is 21 more to come on this story, which needs to be

told, that part of the city has a place to go.

It has that sort of -- there's all sorts of ideas 1 2 and NCPC is looking at this, what happens to that whole -- it was a part of the memorial frame of 3 4 things, let's look at expansion to that 5 northwestern area where the Potomac Parkways It doesn't -- it has --6 comes in. 7 If we're going to aggregate war 8 memorials in this spot, that's actually the one 9 that provides the best. You know, I could entertain the idea 10 11 of the Con Garden site as well, but it's not as 12 strong as up there on Constitution Avenue. 13 I think that's it, thank you very 14 much. 15 CHAIR MAY: Thank you. 16 Ms. Wright, your hand is up. 17 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, I -- you 18 know, we all, I think Mr. Fountain's observation 19 that we all respond to these things from 20 different places is really true because I 21 immediately cued to the design and planning end

22

of it.

And I, of course, my curiosity is peaked by the moving those parking spaces at least away from the water's edge because, come on.

But because they end up creating a boundary to the very thing that people are there to visit.

But I also kind of felt like some of the illusions and, you know, the, yes, the drawings are clear. The site lines, yes, yes, yes. But to what end?

I mean, they -- the story around the Tidal Basin is one of hope and it's laden with more optimism. And references to some of the best moments and not the grimaced.

And while we need to focus on those things, I also feel like it's important that they be clustered together because if you think about the visitor experience, sorry, I've got a barking dog downstairs.

If you think about the visitor experience, you're trying to create in that

person or allow -- enable that person to avail 1 2 themselves of thoughts and emotions that are more -- that we -- that the placement of memorials 3 4 should help facilitate. Right? 5 So, if you're going to a recreational area, I mean, the whole moved thing is important. 6 7 And while I know that there are lots of people 8 who will object to the idea of this site which I 9 think is a good idea, Mr. Fountain. 10 Because, you know, why are we glorifying war with all these memorials? 11 And 12 isn't it kind of -- doesn't it make for 13 relentlessly morbid experience? Well, no. 14 And I think, with all due respect, Alan, I think it's the idea that people would be 15 16 confused between two memorials is kind of odd. 17 First of all, as you're traversing 18 physical space, it's not like you're reading, you 19 know, two stories in a row and you could get the 20 facts mixed up. 21 And I think they're thematic

connections make more sense.

So, all this is to say, I hope that we don't have to expand it, but I don't know how we can prepare for that, Tom, I mean in a meaningful way inside the reserve as you noted.

so, I don't -- this is one of the reasons why I tried to slow down the preamble to getting to the, you know, it's like a beauty pageant and we're going, you know, directly to the semi-finalist and I want -- I think I -- we all might have benefitted from understanding more about the process, the thought process of how we got to the final three just for future reference. It would be helpful to understand a little bit more and take a little bit more time with that.

But all of this being said, I think I agree with Mr. Fountain. And if that's what you've got when you think that you're -- that you benefit from the comments of your colleagues, bravo. Because I think I certainly have benefitted from yours.

CHAIR MAY: Great, thank you.

Mr. Sherman has raised his hand.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yes, thank you, 1 2 Mr. Chair. I want to concur with Chairman 3 4 Luebke's, Chairman Wright, and Chairman 5 Fountain's comments so far. I think a little bit of a different 6 7 stand. I think our staff does recommend looking 8 beyond the sites that are now in the reserve for 9 all the reasons that have been previously stated. We'd like to put on the table the 10 11 Thematically, it's not that Belvedere. geographically far from the Desert Storm proposed 12 memorial and the Vietnam Memorial. It's near the 13 14 water, as has been stated several times, this was a big factor in some of the surveys. 15 16 And that area, as Mr. Luebke alluded 17 to, is an area that we've been looking at and 18 studying for quite some time. And it would be 19 part of the precinct. And the precinct concept 20 itself is something that we're starting as part 21 of the twin plan update.

So, I would say that for all the

reasons that I've stated earlier that we would encourage -- given the legislation, it's somewhat of a moot point, but I think we would not be doing our jobs if we didn't at least say look at some of these other sites that might make more sense from a thematic standpoint.

And my second comment is related to

Constitution Gardens. And this is more Just a

fact that the Constitution Gardens site was

actually taken off the table when CWA was updated
in 2006 I believe. So, technically, I was not in
the two in plan.

I think just a small point of clarification, again, it's a bit of a moot point because Congress has given the exception.

But also, I'd just like to point that the trust of the National Mall is doing some renovation work there and planning some work there. So, if this does become the site for the Global War on Terror, I would say that, please consider that very carefully in terms of siting this memorial.

So, and then, lastly, I just wanted to 1 2 thank Mr. Rodriguez for his service. As a fellow combat Vet, I did two tours as part of this 3 4 global war on terror. So, I do appreciate your 5 service and work on this Foundation. 6 So, thank you. CHAIR MAY: Okay, thank you very much, 7 8 Commissioner Sherman. 9 Mr. McMahon, oh Mr. Maloney raised his 10 hand. 11 COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Thank you, Mr. 12 Chairman. 13 My thoughts are very similar to those 14 already expressed and I'm going to be relatively 15 brief I think and just sort of adding a few 16 nuances. 17 First of all, I think the Tidal Basin 18 site is probably the least appropriate given the 19 character of the area both in terms of its 20 celebratory aspect and focusing on American 21 heroes Just seems to be a completely different

story of the Tidal Basin.

But so importantly, it's a prime recreational site. And this conflict, as already talked about, of, you know, people want to walk around and have a nice time and it's somewhat of a little bit of oddity to put a war memorial in the middle of that.

Also that the paddle boats and putting a memorial right next to that seems really sort of jarring. I hope that the paddle boats will stay for the future.

I think a little bit of that applies also to the Potomac River site. It's also heavily recreational. Also noisy. And I think the idea that you would have to install some kind of noise barrier is sort of a flag that that's maybe not the wisest thing to try to put there.

I'm a little more positive about the Constitution Gardens site. And probably because it does seem to be suitable in terms of the program that's proposed, the proximity to the water, the desire for a contemplative sort of protected site if you will.

And I think it, to me, it is not really impinging on Constitution Gardens. It's on the edge of Constitution Gardens and, as was talked about in the presentation, the lake in Constitution Gardens is sort of -- would sort of be borrowed landscape that would help form the setting of what is really a rather modest memorial in terms of its size.

That's the other thing that I think is -- makes it a good fit for that site because the size is about right for that site.

And compared to a larger memorial proposal that might come along in the future for some of the extensions of these conflicts that we're having, I think using the site that is quite fitting and also fitting in terms of its size now while it's -- while we have a proposal that I think would fit very well there, and reserving Site A for something that might come along in the future and is related, but is much larger I think would be a better strategy in the long run.

I think also the -- I'm struck by Mr. Rodriguez's discussion at the beginning of generations of families who have been in our military conflicts. That's another thing that I think is appropriate for Site B here and that it is halfway between World War II and Vietnam and is a little bit a part of that story, if you will, you know, that aren't lined up one, two, three chronologically. But there is that benefit of proximity and concentration of the memorials all in one location that I think other people have spoken about.

Those are my thoughts.

CHAIR MAY: Thank you very much.

Okay, Mr. Imamura, Mr. McMahon,

whoever -- Mr. McMahon's microphone is on, let's go.

COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: Okay, I want to thank you and appreciate listening to everybody.

My dog is downstairs, so I'll make some comments as long as my internet connection hangs in there.

The advantage of going later for

someone like me is I can listen to well-informed opinions and experience of the rest of my Commissioners and I appreciate what you're saying.

I shared some of the concerns; I have different perspectives. Certainly from Site B of the three that we're talking about that the global war on terrorism that Mr. Rodriguez and the applicant have brought and I appreciate their hard work of putting this together and the thoughtful analysis.

And also, Mr. Rodriguez, for your service. We talked generational. I was -- I'm a retired military, though I did not participate in the global war on terrorism not that long, but I do have a nephew who did. So, I understand that need.

But certainly, Site B, I was struck myself at least with really the recreational and broader area uses of that particular site, not, you know, not the least to be discarded as, you know, the events of every year in April how the

area is used.

Site J, is a very great viewpoint.

It's probably a pretty impressive site. I'm a

bit concerned about all the work that and Peter

will talk about our share and his comments about

the impacts of the road changes and all that

requires there. Not to mention the fact that it

is in a flood plain.

I suspect that sees a fair amount of water at the lower edge of this site.

So, I see a lot of merit. I'm going back, I'm looking not just at B and C, but also asking to take another look at Site E for that whole thought about the, you know, do we assign a new zone within the monumental area core for these kinds of important memorials?

But I was also, as I went through, and maybe those of you who worked these issues day to day, especially operational, I think Site E was, if I remember the report right, was discarded because of concerns about security issues for other events that happened on the ellipse. I'm

not sure how often that happens and how much of 1 2 an issue that really is. I think for all the reasons, I'm in 3 4 line with going back and look at more B and C and 5 maybe ask the applicant to reconsider Sites A and E as part of their analysis. 6 7 Thanks, Mr. Chair. 8 CHAIR MAY: Thank you. 9 Mr. Imamura? 10 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 As Commissioner McMahon stated, it's 13 much easier to go at the end, especially after listening to all of those who are a little more 14 15 seasoned on this Commission than I am. 16 But I do align myself with the 17 comments made by Commissioner Fountain and 18 others. His comments and remarks are always very 19 thoughtful and well-articulated and his delivery 20 is always entertaining. 21 But I would say that I'm glad to hear others -- Commissioner Wright, Commissioner 22

Luebke, and Commissioner Fountain were able to articulate the same thoughts that I had about the Tidal Basin but in much more sort of expressive way.

So, it seems to me, as you mentioned, Mr. Chairman, this is an exceptional circumstance and I think Commissioner Fountain had mentioned that, you know, we might see other exceptions from Congress in the future.

And not to suggest that at the Tidal Basin with three prominent historical figures that there would be a fourth there, but, as Commissioner Wright had pointed out, it's really a theme about hope and ideals.

So, that seems a little more appropriate that it's an individual that would go there, if, in fact, there would be one, you know, in the distant future that -- beyond our time -- our lifetime.

So, I think the comment about spreading the footprint of war memorials along Hains Point is certainly well taken.

I went back and read the record, and
I think it was Commissioner Wright that mentioned
this in previous meetings about thinking about
the experience of families who are here for just
a limited time and what that might look like for
them to be able to visit other memorials along
the National Mall.

Certainly, I think the Foundation understands that, you know, when something is in the reserve, it requires, you know, very sensitive intervention here.

So, I agree that maybe it might be more appropriate to locate something like this by the Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial. It juxtaposed to the Institute of Peace right there has something sort of dialogue there as well.

I think all of the sites, I guess what I recognize is that it's really it seems like the shoe horning in where it could go. But I think, again, it's really important to look at the thematic connections more than anything.

So, with that, I think I've got a

number of other notes here, but sort of spread 1 2 across my desk, but I will rely on comments of my fellow Commissioners here that have captured my 3 4 own thoughts. And so -- and also, I want to thank 5 Mr. Rodriquez for his service. And their effort 6 7 that I think is an exhaustive study and site analysis but I'm not sure that the three sites 8 9 that they presented here represent really the best possibilities that do still exist there. 10 11 So, I think that concludes my 12 comments, Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIR MAY: All right, thank you very 14 much. So, I have some thoughts as well. 15 16 I will want to try to synthesize things and maybe we'll have a little bit further discussion. 17 18 But I also -- before I went there, I 19 would like to just check in with Mr. Wilson to 20 see if he had any thoughts or advice that -- for 21 the Commission from the ACHP's perspective. 22 MR. WILSON: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

So, we always look at the National, sort of, Preservation Act in any impact an ultimate site selection would have on other sites.

So, I hate to be broken record, and I think I've said this in previous meetings, I'm still -- I'm a little flummoxed about the exemption for the reserve. But I realize that's an act of Congress.

So, really, the ACHP is only involved once the Park Service takes up a case, there is an undertaking -- a site has been selected and then we look at the impacts of other sites.

So, it's really sort of premature for me to weigh in. My job will be, once the 106 process starts, and working with the Park Service to that point.

CHAIR MAY: Okay. Thank you very much, I remember your saying similar comments in past considerations, but it's been a while since we've done a site selection and I just thought it would be worthwhile checking in with you.

MR. WILSON: I appreciate it.

CHAIR MAY: Yes, and I appreciate your being here.

Okay, so, let me hit on a few of the sort of the simpler questions or comments that have come up.

First of all, you know, I appreciate the desire to look beyond the reserve. And certainly, the Global War on Terror Team did look beyond and we did have extensive conversation about that.

But the bottom line is that the

Congress said it shall be in the reserve. And as

much as I tried to get the Congress to say, may

be in the reserve, they were not willing to take

the Park Service's advice on that particular

point. So, it shall be in the reserve. And so,

we are moving forward from there.

The -- as far as some of the other sites go, more technical disqualifications -- Site E, you would actually be amazed. This is the site that's in the southeast corner of the

ellipse square. You would be amazed at how frequently the entire ellipse has to be closed for security reasons.

And I do believe for a memorial like this where we would expect substantial visitation, that that would be a deal killer, that it just would cause too many complications for the future visitors.

And, you know, it's an unfortunate circumstance but I think that that is the case that it would be highly problematic on that site.

Some of the other more technical considerations, you know, when it comes to Site J, the river site that is still under consideration or among the short list of three, and also this applies to the Tidal Basin as well.

I mean, moving roads is something that we can do and, in certain circumstances, it can make a lot of sense. Right? There, you know, there are positives to moving cars away from the edge of the Tidal Basin and there are positives to creating a real node at the intersection of

West Basin Drive and Ohio Drive as was proposed in the site analysis.

So, those are complications as are -I mean, those are complicated actions. Just put
it that way, that it drives up the cost of the
memorial to do all of this extra work.

Certainly, we went through that in the creation of the site for the American Veteran's Disabled for Life Memorial where a section of road was closed. And we went through it again with the Eisenhower Memorial where a road had to be closed.

Those are complications, but it can be done. It's a matter of money and I don't see that there's any technical reasons why these things couldn't be done.

But it's -- it isn't -- I think it would be a consideration from the Foundation's perspective whether they're willing to undertake that extent of work. And sometimes, it involves extensive utility relocations and things like that.

1	I don't think that's the case under
2	Ohio Drive, but that certainly was the case with
3	some of the other memorials where we did build
4	over what were once roads.
5	The other point that I would make in
6	Sites F and G which Mr. FOUNTAIN referenced to as
7	being part of more of a war precinct between
8	World War II and the Lincoln Memorial.
9	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Can we get the
10	map up while you're talking?
11	CHAIR MAY: Oh yes, certainly,
12	certainly.
13	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Would that I
14	don't know, I'm a visual.
15	CHAIR MAY: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'm an alphabet
17	person.
18	CHAIR MAY: Yes, I know, that's the
19	I had the same struggle so I pulled up the map on
20	my computer.
21	So, if Ms. Kelly can bring up the
22	or Dr. Kelly, if you could bring up slide 22 or

Mr. Fox, somebody, slide 22 I think is the one 1 2 that shows everything. COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: 3 So, you just 4 mentioned J, okay, all right, I'm with you. 5 CHAIR MAY: Yes, Site J is the river site. 6 7 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay, okay, got 8 it. 9 CHAIR MAY: It is one of the short list of three. 10 11 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes. 12 CHAIR MAY: And Sites F and G which I know Commissioner Fountain did not explicitly 13 mention those sites, but he talked about 14 15 something in that precinct. 16 And the difficulty that we had with 17 those sites were that there aren't really any 18 sites there without simply taking up the -- those 19 open fields and we did not think that it was a 20 good idea to completely reconsider that area for 21 the sake of a single memorial. There wasn't

enough nexus driving it. There isn't enough

space to kind of tuck it in anywhere. So, we thought that one didn't make any sense.

I really do appreciate the notion that Sites B and C sort of melded into just Site C and I think that that is one of the more viable sites from our perspective.

I think, though, I mean, going back to the bigger picture, I agree very much with the sort of the opening theme introduced by Commissioner Fountain about the viability of Site A and how that could and should be given further consideration.

I had raised with the team. In fact, it was not even on their first pass. They had nixed it the first pass then I insisted that they keep in on the table for the second pass. And that's when they eliminated that. And I don't think it's a surprise that this has been put -- the Commission's effectively putting this back on their list.

The --

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Can we -- are we

1	able to hear some of the reasons why?
2	CHAIR MAY: Not until I'm done
3	talking.
4	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay.
5	CHAIR MAY: The and I think are
6	you asking for them to speak to that, right? Why
7	they were taken off?
8	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, I'd like
9	to hear yes, I think it would be helpful to
10	understand why it didn't pass muster.
11	CHAIR MAY: Okay, so
12	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: When you're
13	finished talking, of course.
14	CHAIR MAY: I didn't intend to hear
15	that, but I'm sure they would like to come back
16	and explain their decision to eliminate that.
17	But I'm not sure that it will be persuasive.
18	What I did hear in terms of trying to
19	summarize today is that I think there's a pretty
20	broad consensus that the Tidal Basin site is not
21	workable for this memorial for thematic reasons,
22	primarily. That it's it doesn't fit well

within the context of the Tidal Basin.

And I don't think that that can be resolved through clever planting of trees or things like that to kind of obscure it or keep it more inwardly focused.

I think it's -- I agree with the comments of the Commission, that one should be eliminated.

The river front site, I did -- the major objections that I was hearing were to do with more practical considerations like noise and, to some extent, it's the expansion, like moving away from the -- any sort of war themed memorials into that location.

And I can understand that. But I think what I -- what, in my mind, might be beneficial in that circumstance is that, if we do wind up with having to make additions to this memorial, if you will, that that is a site that's big enough that could actually could be expanded in some way.

I also agree with Commissioner Maloney

on Constitution Gardens. I didn't hear enough objection to Commission -- to the Constitution Gardens site, Site C specifically, I think, to want to take it off the table.

I do think it is very constrained in terms of size. But I think that's actually a good thing. It's going to be one of those things where in order to make it work, it's going to have to be modest in its overall scale.

And it is a very nice setting. And I think thematically, I do think it works. And I don't see the -- necessarily the conflict with Constitution Gardens and being on Constitution Gardens because of the other war memorials that are very close by. So, that makes a lot of sense.

I do think -- this one last comment that I would make which goes to the future in our process which has to do with the design of this memorial.

And what it has conjured for me when we considered the question of what constitutes

the global war on terror? What conflicts, specifically, should be called out as part of this memorial?

It seems to me that there are models already for memorials that have been expanded over time to recognize different conflicts and a First Division, Second Division, the Iwo Jima Memorial, all allow for that kind of expansion.

Not that anybody wants to see that expansion, but creating a memorial that is not so singular and constrained that there could not be some future attempt to tie other conflicts in with that.

And some of this we already know.

Right? We already know Iraq and Afghanistan and the Horn of Africa as places that Commissioner

Luebke mentioned where we know the global war on terror to have fought or being fought now.

And I think that that planning, you know, the design for this should encompass those conflicts and should allow for that potential that there might be something in the future.

Now, they may need additional

congressional authority to do that and that may be an exception to the reserve prohibition at that point.

But, you know, as we have seen with the Korean War Veteran's Memorial Wall of Remembrance, such additions that are consistent with the original intent of the memorial not only can be accomplished without creating grave damage to the reserve, they can be done in a way that is very successful.

So, anyway, I think that's something that should be considered.

what we recommend is that the Foundation advance Site C, Site J, and put Site A back on to the table. And I think we'll hear from them about why they took Site A off, but if we do, when we come to the conclusion of the meeting, I think we should make a decision about whether we want to see further analysis of any one of these sites or whether are satisfied with what we've seen today to allow a short list of sites to advance to the

Commissions who actually have to approve it. 1 2 We're certainly gatekeepers to that, but the Commission of Fine Arts and the NCPC are 3 4 the ones who are actually going to approve the site. 5 So, all that said, I've talked quite 6 a while, if we could hear from Mr. Rodriguez and 7 8 Mr. Harwood and Mr. Winstanley, whoever wants to 9 address the concerns that you had about Site A. And maybe if it's okay, we could take down the 10 11 graphic. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Great, I'll begin. 13 Thank you guys for your thoughtful assessment of 14 this and I really hope that you guys have 15 reviewed the materials that we sent you guys to 16 show this. 17 We're really excited to continue this 18 conversation with all of you. 19 There's three things I would like to 20 address real quick, and we anticipated this, I 21 This is my all day, every day, 24/7, 365. So, let's talk about the never ending 22

nature of this and how could you frame that? 1 2 could you really do that? What's the definition? You know --3 4 CHAIR MAY: Mr. Rodriguez, I'm sorry. 5 I would like you to really focus on the question that asked having to do with why Site A was not -6 7 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Understood, Mr. Chair. 9 CHAIR MAY: -- staying on the list. I mean, I don't think we need to revisit the 10 never ending nature of the global war on terror. 11 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Right, I was just 13 going to address three things that you all had 14 brought up, but okay, we'll talk about Site A. Site A was taken given the height 15 16 restrictions that would be -- that exist within 17 Site A, you know, which drove the VVMF to try and 18 build underground. 19 You know, and with the height 20 restrictions, we were very concerned with the 21 ability to effectively tell the story with that

because the last thing we want to do is obstruct

any view, any vista, of the Lincoln. 1 2 You know, in addition to the, you know, and I understand the thematic issues in 3 4 strength, but the confusion of the war on 5 terrorism. One can make assessments and opinions 6 7 and we've heard them all. But we're really 8 focusing on those men and women that served which 9 whether they were related to global war on terrorism and the Desert Storm, I would just 10 11 suggest that you speak with them. 12 Alan, do you have anything? MR. HARWOOD: I think I've actually 13 14 had this conversation with Edwin in the past. 15 So, I'm not sure if he's still on the phone -- on 16 the call. 17 But so, there is some shared geography 18 and there's some shared enemy involved with Desert Storm and the global war on terrorism. 19

They're the same kind of connection

It's removed in time

But it's very different.

and place.

20

21

between geography and enemy with World War I and 1 2 World War II. Yet, we have two separate memorials, they're very separate conflicts. 3 4 The Desert Storm, Desert Shield, Desert Storm conflict in the early '90s and then 5 the response to 9/11 in the global war on 6 7 terrorism are very, very different actions. And I think what Rod is saying is, 8 9 Veterans of those two conflicts are -- look at 10 them very, very differently and they have 11 different experiences. 12 And I think wanting to have their own kind of moment and their own kind of clarity 13 about those memorials and about those conflicts. 14 And I think it's really -- this 15 16 clustering is a little bit kind of funny. 17 think saying there's a precinct for war 18 memorials, if you could indulge the Commission, 19 if we can go to slide 54, if that's possible to 20 pull that up? 21 Because the clustering of memorials in 22 the -- on The Mall is actually much more

differentiated than just war memorials. 1 2 There's Revolutionary Memorials and those are clustered around the White House. 3 There's Civil War Memorials and those 4 are in different places. 5 And so, this is something that I think 6 7 we developed -- I think the Commission's see it 8 and it kind of seems foreign. It really is a 9 matter that ten-ish -- it's the 21st Century war memorials, that's what's clustered in and around 10 11 between Lincoln and World War II. And those are 12 Vietnam and Korea and now Desert Storm. 13 But Desert Storm was the last of the 14 20th Century. I'm sorry, I said 21st, I meant 15 20th Century. 16 This conflict is the beginning of the 17 21st Century war memorials and it's a very 18 different kind of conflict and it's a very 19 different kind of memorial. It either needs to be in this location 20 21 and kind of connected to them or in a new place

to kind of start new growth of memorials away

from the central axis of The Mall.

And so, we thought the Tidal Basin and the Potomac River sites did that.

But Constitution Gardens is a much more, as Chairman May pointed out, is a much more intimate site, much more compact, and that's why the scale of what we showed you is much smaller there at the smallest of the locations.

Those are all reasons to kind of think about those three locations. That's why we chose those three locations. But specific to the panel between Vietnam and Desert Storm, it is kind of jarring juxtaposition of time and from the '70s to the '90s and then, in between, there's something from the 21st Century. It seemed -- it really annoys Veterans that say, oh you were in Persian Gulf I or you were in Persian Gulf II.

That's like fingernails on a chalkboard and it's just --

So, we think this is an opportunity to kind of clarify that and be a little bit more separate from Desert Storm and provide its own

kind of setting and a distinct location. 1 2 help clarify some of the ideas and these kind of misconceptions that are out there that they are 3 4 so related, they are really kind of overlapping. 5 They're not. You know, you can say the same thing 6 7 about World War II, we wouldn't have been in 8 World War II if we hadn't punished Germany so 9 much after World War I. And, you know, and so, 10 anyway. 11 Those are some of the things that kind 12 of went into the thinking that that location was 13 not appropriate for this particular memorial. 14 CHAIR MAY: Okay. So, we have questions from Commissioner Wright and then 15 16 Commissioner Luebke. 17 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: No, I don't have 18 questions. I want to comment and disagree 19 vehemently. 20 CHAIR MAY: Okay. So, I don't want to 21 get into an extensive debate with the applicant

So, if you have questions about what they

just said, let's talk about that.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay. All right, then I'll frame it as a question Because I think it's an important point that I would ask that the memorial sponsors consider.

And, again, I can't help but think
about this as a mom who brought my kids to
Washington and, as a kid who got dragged through
Washington relentlessly, spring break after
spring break while everyone else was on the beach
in the Caribbean. But I'm not there.

You've got to -- I think about this as a -- through the -- as a visitor. And we all know how short the average attention span is.

So, I'm dragging my family though Washington and through The Mall.

And let's just say, for grins, the

Tidal Basin is back on -- is really on the table.

By the time I get from Desert Storm, Desert

Shield, Desert Storm, to back down to the Global

War on Terrorism, I may have even kind of

forgotten.

I would ask that you consider that a juxtaposition and an adjacency, what kinds of questions that would foster?

Because if you're explaining this as a parent, let's just say, or you're -- I mean, I understand all that you've explained. But I would ask you, here's the question, have you thought about an adjacency and a juxtaposition and what that might do to foster the questions and answers and the curiosity that you're seeking to accomplish? Right? That people are able to distinguish one from another? That they learn by contrast?

Lots of times a very common

pedagogical tool is to teach by contrast. And in

this case, physical contrast and -- accomplished

through adjacency or at least proximity, I think

that it does -- a precinct for this kind of

memorial, not because if you wanted everything

close, let's get it over with and get to the

happy stuff, Because this is making me sad.

It's not that, it's the relationship

of all these events through history, it may seem 1 2 linear to us. It's easier to teach it in a linear way and we all learn that way in school. 3 4 But it's also fosters more interesting thought 5 processes to suggest that things don't just happen neatly lined up in a row. 6 7 And think about that because you're 8 going from experience to the next. And I don't -9 - because you're physically experiencing it and not in a classroom where you're hopping from one 10 11 to another, here comes the question. 12 Doesn't it make sense to think about 13 it in a different way? Doesn't it make sense to 14 imagine the experience cumulatively of how that family spends their day versus how history is 15 16 taught? 17 There's my question. 18 CHAIR MAY: Okay. Hopefully the 19 answer is shorter than the question. 20 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, I'm not 21 answering the question. 22 CHAIR MAY: I know. Well, I mean, are

1	you actually looking for an answer from Mr.
2	Rodriguez and Mr. Harwood?
3	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, I am
4	actually asking
5	CHAIR MAY: Okay, so
6	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: has it been
7	thought of that way? I mean, have
8	CHAIR MAY: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Has that ever
LO	been considered?
L1	CHAIR MAY: Got it. Mr. Harwood, you
L <b>2</b>	want to try to field that one?
L3	MR. HARWOOD: Thank you, I was going
L <b>4</b>	to let Mr. Rodriguez that.
L5	But
L6	CHAIR MAY: No, you can both answer
L7	whatever.
L8	MR. HARWOOD: No, I think it is
L9	something that we considered. I just it was I
20	think you're looking at it, you said earlier in
21	this meeting that everyone comes to this from a
22	different perspective. And I think your

perspective is certainly always useful, always 1 2 entertaining, and the perspective of a mom is one thing with the family coming in. 3 4 But from the perspective of Veterans, 5 this is why I think Mr. Rodriguez might answer this best, from the perspective of Veterans, it's 6 7 really a point of honor to have your own location 8 that's kind of a separate identity for that 9 particular memorial, that particular conflict. And I think -- and as the son and 10 11 grandson of Veterans, I can appreciate that. I don't think I can really express it the way a 12 13 true Veteran can. 14 So, I would let Mr. Rodriguez kind of 15 speak to that. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I'll just build Thanks a lot, Alan. 17 on that. 18 Mina, I love your comments, by the 19 I've just got to throw that out there. way. Ι 20 really appreciate your thoughts. 21 So, we have, you know, and I hope 22 everyone understands, when I speak, it's based

off of the conversations we've had for the past 1 2 four years that brought us to this point. You know, I'll give you an example 3 even stated in our theme. 4 One group of Veterans that could -- or 5 actually, there were 65 individual represented 6 7 720 years of service and almost 200 years deployed. The goals of our families are a big 8 9 voice that are stakeholders in this. And every single one of them, almost 10 to a person, has said, look, we don't want to be 11 confused with that, because we brought this up, 12 13 you know. In those discussion groups, we had 14 these conversations with everybody and that's always been --15 16 I understand the mom. I understand 17

I understand the mom. I understand the visitor, teaching, I understand that. But we're looking at, you know, honoring the men and women that served. And, you know, we're just carrying their voice forward, ma'am.

CHAIR MAY: Okay. So, I don't know that we're necessarily going to resolve all this

18

19

20

21

today. So, what I would like to propose, at this point, is that we ask the Foundation to come back and to focus on three sites.

And if anything else, you know, they decide to reconsider, they can do that, too. But the three sites being Constitution Gardens, the Potomac River site, and Site A. And to look at them all as earnestly as possible and as positively as possible.

So, think about all of the positives, not just let your vision of what could happen here be guided by the negatives.

And, you know, bring up things like the potential height constraints on Site A.

That's worthy of considering, but I think that based on the experience that we've all had with the Vietnam Veteran's Memorial Center, I think that the guidance that was given in order to build something there is now kind of quaint and not applicable.

So, I think that it's quite possible to achieve something there that has, you know,

some vertical elements, not, you know, not huge 1 2 things, but something. And you know, just look at what's been 3 4 done with the design from the Desert Storm 5 Memorial where it is quite subdued, but it is very meaningful and it doesn't involve large 6 7 objects way up in the air. So, I think it's possible to design 8 9 something there and get around that. 10 So, I mean, that's my proposal that we 11 ask for a repeat and look at those three plus 12 anything else you want to consider. But to give 13 everything a very thorough look through. 14 know, start from about three-quarters of the way through the presentation and then actually 15 embellish on that a little bit more about the 16 17 positive educational benefits as Commissioner 18 Wright cited and some of the other considerations 19 mentioned here.

So, Mr. Sherman has his hand up.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yes, Mr.

Chairman, I just wanted to concur that I think

20

21

our Commission would appreciate having at least 1 2 those three sites on the table. 3 CHAIR MAY: Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: But when you come to our Commission as well. 5 And then, I just want to offer an 6 observation and it's pretty unique. 7 8 actually served in both conflicts. 9 And I would be very appreciative if I 10 was standing say at the Desert Storm Memorial and 11 had a discussion about the global war on terror. 12 I was a Lieutenant back in 1986 to 1991 and that 13 was my first duty assignment. 14 And so, I know you're listening to a 15 lot of different Veterans and different Veterans 16 groups. But there's probably another subset of 17 group of Veterans who are -- who served in both 18 conflicts. And I would just ask you to keep that 19 in mind from a personal standpoint. 20 CHAIR MAY: Thank you very much. 21 Mr. Luebke? 22 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: It's a very

interesting discussion. And I do -- I think it's obviously incredibly important that we understand the interest and issues that have been raised by the people who have served and to honor that and give them the focus.

As everybody is in this process, and this goes to the memorial sponsors to the designers to our sort of the group that have to regulate it, the important thing is to remember this is an undertaking which needs to last not just years, but decades and generations.

And it's important to think about that. Right now, the focus is on current people who served and their experiences. It sort of becomes a focus of grief and emotion.

Over time, that actually does go away and it becomes an historic thing. And over time, it either -- if it stops being useful that way, it either becomes a blob or it becomes a living memorial which actually has some kind of meaning for how did we get here?

And from that point of view, I would

strongly ask you all to think about that longer 1 2 term legacy that these -- this one series is not imaginable without the events of the '90s setting 3 4 the stage for it. It's an important --5 And Alan, I'm sort of surprised at 6 some of your comments. You've used the word thematic nexus practically every presentation 7 8 we've ever seen from you in the last 20 years. 9 So, I really think you should consider this carefully. Because I think you owe the 10 11 American people the story as well as the locus of 12 their grief of the Veterans. How important --13 but I don't personally see that the differentiation is such a --14 The differentiation is important, but 15 16 you're not differentiating them by putting them 17 nearby. 18 So, I hope you'll look at this with 19 the longest lens. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIR MAY: Okay, thank you. 22 So, unless I hear anyone with

significant concerns about that, we will ask the 1 2 Foundation to come back again. We will schedule another meeting as soon as practical for this to 3 4 continue. And we'll, again, as that Tidal Basin 5 site be taken off the table and then the other 6 two remain and then adding Site A back into the 7 8 mix and anything else that you might want to 9 reconsider, it's up to you. 10 Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Woop, woop. 12 CHAIR MAY: All right. 13 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I just--14 CHAIR MAY: Hearing an objection. 15 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Sorry, I'm just 16 -- it's a question. I didn't --17 CHAIR MAY: Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: -- hear a whole lot of support for the Site J on the Potomac 19 River site between --20 21 CHAIR MAY: Yes, I agree. It wasn't 22 a whole lot of support, but there wasn't a whole

1 lot of objection, either. And so, I thought that 2 that was something that would keep in, again, as perhaps as just something to contrast to other 3 4 sites. 5 It's very different -- it's a different setting and I thought it was a 6 7 worthwhile comparison. 8 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay, I'll take 9 that. CHAIR MAY: Okay, thank you. 10 All right, anything else? I think 11 12 that wraps it up for this topic. Thank you very 13 much, everyone involved, Mr. Rodriguez, Mr. 14 Winstanley, Mr. Harwood, and the whole team behind you, we appreciate all of your efforts. 15 16 You know what? I neglected to ask if 17 there were members of the public who wanted to 18 speak about this. 19 Ms. Porter, did we ever actually get 20 anybody else indicating an interest in speaking 21 on this? MS. PORTER: We did not. 22

CHAIR MAY: Okay, phew, I assumed you 1 2 would have interrupted me if I had moved on too quickly. 3 4 MS. PORTER: Well, you're right on 5 target. CHAIR MAY: All right, thank you very 6 7 much. I need a team behind me, very clearly. Thank you. 8 9 Okay, so, with that, we'll move on to other business. And I think, you know, we have, 10 11 as usual, prepared an update on memorials in 12 progress. And that was posted with the materials 13 for this meeting. 14 I would highlight just a few things from that, that recap. 15 16 There are now seven bills that are 17 pending in the Congress, either in the House or 18 in the Senate. Four of those, Service Animals 19 Liberty -- the National Liberty Memorial, Gold 20 Star Mothers, and the Slave Voyagers Water Front 21 and Voyages Act have all passed the House.

we don't know at this point, any sense of whether

any of them will pass the Senate.

But this is sort of the time of year when things start to happen with memorials. So, we could very well see some or all of those authorized, who knows. We'll see what happens.

On some of the other ones, I would mention what we refer to as every word we utter, the 19th Amendment Memorial, also Women's Suffrage is how it's sometimes referred to, that has gotten some recent press.

They had an announcement today about First Ladies, former First Ladies joined together to support that effort as members of their Board. So, we'll see what happens with that.

The -- and again, they are preparing for site selection. They may be trying to go a similar route to global war on terror, I don't know that for sure, but we'll see what happens.

Desert Storm, Desert Shield Memorial is making substantial progress. And will be reviewed on Thursday at the Commission of the Fine Arts as they inch closer to final approvals

that are necessary before they can be given a permit to start construction.

The World War II FDR Pray Plaque is under construction right now and is expected to be completed in December.

And World War I Memorial continues to make progress on the sculptural element that is the centerpiece of that memorial.

The Memorial, of course, is open to the public but that centerpiece sculpture is taking a long time to create Because it is such a complicated undertaking.

And I think I may have mentioned to some or all of you, that I got to see some of that happening at Sabin Howard Studio in New Jersey where he is sculpting the components of that and shipping it off piece by piece to England to be cast. And then it'll be returned and installed in the fall of 2024 is what they're looking at. So, still a couple years from completion, but making great progress. And it is an unbelievable sculpture.

That is everything that I have to report. Do any other members of the Commission have anything to bring up?

MS. PORTER: This is your Secretary,
Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to -- thought you
might want to mention the progress on Fallen
Journalists and the legislation that was
introduced for everyone.

CHAIR MAY: Oh, yes, of course. Thank you, I did not highlight that one and I don't know why.

Fallen Journalists, yes, they have introduced legislation to secure Area I authorization. And they are hopeful that that will get passed in the current Congress, that joint resolution. And if it does, then they will be back before us to get I think --

Well, I think from here, they may go straight to Commission of the Fine Arts and NCPC with their site selection study once they've gotten authorization to consider a site within Area I. So, we'll see how that goes.

1	Commissioner Sherman?
2	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thanks, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	Just one last question, do we have a
5	sense of the schedule for the global war on
6	terror? When they will be coming back to both
7	the NCMAC and the CFA and NCPC Commissions?
8	CHAIR MAY: No, I think we're going to
9	take it one step at time but we will have to
10	schedule another NCMAC meeting in a few months to
11	consider further, you know, what comes after
12	today's meeting.
13	I expect that it's it would take at
14	least three months. And of course, we have the
15	holidays as well that could slow things down.
16	So, you know, once we get through that in January
17	or February, something like that, then or
18	maybe even March, depending on how long it takes,
19	then it'll go to the NCPC and CFA after that.
20	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thank you.
21	CHAIR MAY: Okay.
22	All right, no other business? No

1	other questions?
2	(No audible response.)
3	CHAIR MAY: Thank you all very much.
4	It's been lovely seeing you and maybe next time,
5	we will be in person. I don't know. Does
6	anybody going to have an open meeting room that
7	we can use?
8	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: We can. We
9	still have Wi-Fi.
10	CHAIR MAY: All right. Well, we'll
11	figure those things out if we decide to go in
12	person.
13	Thank you very much. We'll see you
14	soon.
15	This meeting is adjourned.
16	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
17	went off the record at 3:18 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

l A
ability 29:1 116:21
able 22:12 80:22 82:5,5
83:20 100:1 101:6
110:1 123:11
above-entitled 140:16
accepted 61:18
access 7:2 25:3 85:15
accessibility 51:20
accessible 38:15
accommodate 83:20
accomplish 29:12
123:11
accomplished 20:10
24:21 114:8 123:16
account 57:16
achieve 14:19 128:22
achieved 31:12
<b>ACHP</b> 103:10
ACHP's 102:21
acknowledge 71:20
acoustic 53:6
acre 25:18,19 27:10
30:6 41:4
acres 27:7 28:1,7,14
act 5:20,20 6:9 7:13,19
7:21,22 9:21 59:13
61:10,20 65:5 73:1
103:2,9 135:21
actions 106:4 118:7
add 63:2
addenda 35:8
adding 37:2 93:15
133:7
addition 117:2
additional 44:14 63:3
83:22 113:22
additions 111:18 114:6
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18
additions 111:18 114:6
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:22
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:22 advance 33:11 114:14 114:22
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:22 advance 33:11 114:14 114:22 advancing 83:6
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:22 advance 33:11 114:14 114:22 advancing 83:6 advantage 49:16 50:21
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:22 advance 33:11 114:14 114:22 advancing 83:6 advantage 49:16 50:21 96:22
additions 111:18 114:6 address 16:17 18:18 33:21 115:9,20 116:13 adequately 10:6 adjacency 123:2,8,17 adjacent 38:7 45:18 70:7 Adjourn 3:21 adjourned 140:15 Administration 1:16 5:1,22 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:22 advance 33:11 114:14 114:22 advancing 83:6 advantage 49:16 50:21

I

advise 5:21 advisement 16:8 advisories 24:19 advisory 1:4,8,20 4:4 5:6,9 9:11 12:17 15:8 23:8 **AECOM** 2:2 20:4 Affairs 5:13 affect 57:13 **Afghanistan** 11:6 13:17 60:11 62:11,13 65:2 83:18 113:14 afraid 63:13 **Africa** 60:12 113:15 afternoon 4:3 19:20 56:20 agenda 2:1 3:3 5:16 6:13 7:11 aggregate 87:7 **ago** 20:2,19 agree 66:12 85:1 90:16 101:12 109:8 111:6 111:22 133:21 ahead 32:12 37:20 air 46:15 48:4.6 51:2 52:16 75:1 129:7 Airborne 13:15 aisle 13:7 **Alan** 2:2 19:13 20:5,15 26:15 30:13 33:1,1 35:19 57:6 66:5.19 89:15 117:12 126:17 132:5 align 99:16 all-encompassing 84:18 allies 10:3 allow 52:22 62:19 89:1 113:8,20 114:22 **allows** 18:4 alluded 91:16 **alphabet** 107:16 amazed 104:21 105:1 Amendment 136:8 American 1:18 5:3 14:3 27:21 29:8,9 78:16 79:18 93:20 106:8 132:11 **Americans** 9:12 12:5 13:19 amount 98:9 analysis 15:7 16:9 20:17 30:20 37:18,18 39:12,13 40:14 97:11 99:6 102:8 106:2 114:20 **Animals** 135:18

**annoys** 120:16 answer 56:4 124:19 125:1,16 126:5 answering 124:21 answers 70:14 86:19 123:10 anticipated 115:20 anybody 9:1 58:13 71:4 113:9 134:20 140:6 anyway 39:1 59:7 114:11 121:10 apologies 82:1 apologize 18:15 appear 9:1 applicable 128:20 **applicant** 97:9 99:5 121:21 applicants 82:19 applied 32:14 36:13 **applies** 94:11 105:16 appreciate 16:17 18:11 18:17 19:10 65:20 80:21 93:4 96:19 97:3 97:9 104:1,2,7 109:3 126:11,20 130:1 134:15 appreciative 130:9 approach 43:2 44:20 47:11 51:17 63:9 approaches 40:19 **appropriate** 6:4 15:12 93:18 96:5 100:16 101:13 121:13 approvals 136:22 approve 61:18 115:1,4 approximately 28:1,2 **April** 97:22 **Architect** 1:18 5:5 20:3 **Architects** 2:4 19:22 architecturally 69:1 area 25:16 41:8,9,16,20 42:17 85:2 87:5 89:6 91:16,17 93:19 97:20 98:1,15 108:20 138:13,22 areas 27:13 53:3,9 60:12 70:8 **Arlington** 51:4,5,6 52:16 75:2 arms 12:13 **Army** 13:16 art 11:21 12:5 articulate 100:2 artist 11:19 arts 1:14 4:7,19 11:20 115:3 136:22 138:19 asked 23:7 116:6

98:13 110:6 125:4 aspect 93:20 **aspects** 25:15 26:20 40:6 aspirations 21:8 85:3 assessment 2:1 3:8 6:15 7:7 115:13 assessments 8:10 15:10 117:6 assign 98:14 assignment 130:13 associated 40:4 60:6 83:10 **assumed** 135:1 assure 25:7 **attempt** 113:12 attention 122:14 audible 18:8 140:2 August 7:12 authority 114:1 authorization 59:11 138:14,21 authorize 6:6,6 **authorized** 6:10 7:19 9:22 31:2 136:5 **authorizing** 7:14 12:22 13:8 autonomy 85:4 avail 89:1 **availability** 31:9 32:18 available 37:11 **Avenue** 42:6 87:12 average 122:14 avoid 38:6 39:11 awful 75:9 axial 29:22 **axis** 120:1 axises 52:12 53:1

В **B** 37:6 54:15 55:4,6 96:5 97:6,18 98:12 99:4 109:4 back 33:2,5 75:19 77:10 79:15,16 98:12 99:4 101:1 109:7,19 110:15 114:15 122:18 122:20 128:2 130:12 133:2,7 138:17 139:6 background 60:18 bad 66:10 85:15 barking 88:19 barn 76:2 barrier 94:15 base 23:11 **based** 23:22 39:7 40:13 126:22 128:16 basically 61:13 83:12

asking 34:15 35:6

announcement 136:11

I			
<b>basin</b> 39:17 46:2,11	blessing 82:20	<b>C</b> 37:6 98:12 99:4 109:4	64:1,5,9,12 65:18,21
	blob 131:19	109:4 112:3 114:15	66:19,21 67:16 68:3
47:12,21 48:22 51:14			
54:20 55:6,11 68:10	blobs 52:21	call 18:7 117:16	70:15,16 77:9,12,16
68:17 69:9 75:10,12	block 25:6	called 40:8 113:2	78:19 79:5,11 80:20
76:8 85:2 88:13 93:17	Blossom 46:5	camera 18:7 56:15	81:8,15,21 82:2,4,17
93:22 100:3,11	<b>board</b> 11:15 23:8,15,15	77:17	86:16 87:15 90:21
105:16,21 106:1	23:16 136:13	cameras 8:20 71:1,3	91:2 93:7 96:14 99:7
110:20 111:1 120:2	<b>boat</b> 48:19 66:4	candidates 23:9	99:8 102:13 103:18
122:18 133:5	boats 94:7,9	canopies 53:7	104:2 107:11,15,18
<b>bat</b> 36:16	<b>Bob</b> 34:19	capacity 5:9	108:5,9,12 110:2,5,11
Battle 1:18 5:3	bodies 13:4	capital 1:3,8,13 4:4,17	110:14 116:4,8,9
beach 122:10	body 39:18 85:16	5:14 9:11 12:10	121:14,20 124:18,22
beauty 12:8 90:7	boil 81:11	Capitol 1:19 5:5	125:5,8,11,16 127:21
<b>began</b> 65:12	bonus 66:15	captured 102:3	130:3,20 132:21
<b>beginning</b> 96:2 119:16	book 37:19,20	car-centric 46:8	133:12,14,17,21
behalf 56:3	borders 42:18	care 58:17	134:10 135:1,6 138:9
<b>belief</b> 24:13	borrowed 95:6	carefully 75:16 92:21	139:8,21 140:3,10
believe 15:5 22:1,22	bottom 54:16 71:17	132:10	Chairman 1:9,11,12,13
24:6,20 26:5 27:1	104:12	Caribbean 122:11	1:17 4:16,18 5:3
30:6 69:6 86:5 92:11	boundary 88:6	carrying 127:20	12:18 17:16 18:15,16
105:4	brand 73:1	cars 105:20	18:16 19:1 64:10 68:8
belongs 86:1	bravo 90:19	case 4:11 24:16 28:15	71:12 72:21 81:7 91:3
	break 122:9,10	54:1 62:9 81:5 103:11	91:4,4 93:12 99:11
Belvedere 91:11	breathing 52:9		•
bending 52:6		105:10 107:1,2	100:6 102:12,22 120:5 129:22 138:5
beneficial 111:17	breech 73:2	123:16	
benefit 71:14 90:18	Bridge 51:6	cast 137:18	139:3
96:9	brief 22:3 93:15	cataclysmic 84:11	chalkboard 120:18
benefits 129:17	briefly 22:19	categories 24:5	challenge 57:9
benefitted 90:10,20	bring 14:9 16:2,3 58:18	Caucus 8:11	challenging 65:11
<b>berms</b> 30:9	69:3 107:21,22	cause 105:7	<b>chance</b> 49:15
L1 04 40 47 0 55 4	400 40 400 0	1-11-040	- L 40 4
best 24:13 47:8 55:1	128:13 138:3	celebrate 24:3	chances 18:1
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9	bringing 16:13 19:18	celebratory 93:20	<b>change</b> 14:2 86:3
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6	<b>bringing</b> 16:13 19:18 64:14	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 <b>Beth</b> 5:11 7:8	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3	<b>celebratory</b> 93:20 <b>cell</b> 7:8 <b>Cemetery</b> 51:4 52:16	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 <b>Beth</b> 5:11 7:8 <b>better</b> 78:9 79:11 80:18	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6  Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6  Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bill 12:22 13:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15 90:13,14 91:6 92:14	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13 business 3:19 5:16	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19 Chair 4:3 17:3,6,19 18:1	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22 Civil 84:16 119:4
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15 90:13,14 91:6 92:14 94:5,11 96:7 98:4	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13 business 3:19 5:16 135:10 139:22	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19 Chair 4:3 17:3,6,19 18:1 18:9,19 19:8 34:2,6	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22 Civil 84:16 119:4 claim 73:6 77:1
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15 90:13,14 91:6 92:14 94:5,11 96:7 98:4 102:17 118:16 120:21	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13 business 3:19 5:16	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19 Chair 4:3 17:3,6,19 18:1 18:9,19 19:8 34:2,6 34:16,19 35:1,4,10,15	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22 Civil 84:16 119:4 claim 73:6 77:1 clarification 92:14
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15 90:13,14 91:6 92:14 94:5,11 96:7 98:4 102:17 118:16 120:21 129:16	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13 business 3:19 5:16 135:10 139:22 busy 16:21 18:11	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19 Chair 4:3 17:3,6,19 18:1 18:9,19 19:8 34:2,6 34:16,19 35:1,4,10,15 36:1 56:7,18 57:6	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22 Civil 84:16 119:4 clarification 92:14 clarify 31:21 120:21
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15 90:13,14 91:6 92:14 94:5,11 96:7 98:4 102:17 118:16 120:21	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13 business 3:19 5:16 135:10 139:22	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19 Chair 4:3 17:3,6,19 18:1 18:9,19 19:8 34:2,6 34:16,19 35:1,4,10,15	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22 Civil 84:16 119:4 claim 73:6 77:1 clarification 92:14
58:18 68:15 72:2 87:9 88:15 102:10 126:6 Beth 5:11 7:8 better 78:9 79:11 80:18 95:21 beyond 45:15 50:22 70:7 91:8 100:18 104:8,10 Biden 7:17 big 66:15,17 83:17 84:7 91:15 111:20 127:8 bigger 28:14 109:8 bills 135:16 bipartisan 8:11 9:20 10:2 13:4 bit 18:20 25:20 36:17 38:9 42:21 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 47:19 49:20 51:12 52:9 54:8 55:4 57:5 60:17 67:2 68:11 75:6 77:15 90:13,14 91:6 92:14 94:5,11 96:7 98:4 102:17 118:16 120:21 129:16	bringing 16:13 19:18 64:14 brisk 36:3 broad 25:3 50:20 73:9 110:20 broader 42:5 97:20 broadly 60:5 72:7 broke 77:13 broken 103:5 brother 12:13 brothers 15:2 brought 8:19 97:9 116:14 122:7 127:2 127:12 bucolic 42:1 buffer 41:8 70:6 buffered 30:7 buffers 48:18 52:22 53:6 build 15:18 68:9 107:3 116:18 126:16 128:19 built 16:13 business 3:19 5:16 135:10 139:22 busy 16:21 18:11	celebratory 93:20 cell 7:8 Cemetery 51:4 52:16 center 32:20 37:13 46:4 74:16,20 86:9 128:17 centered 51:14 52:10 centerline 43:18 centerpiece 137:8,10 central 120:1 century 63:20 83:18 119:9,14,15,17 120:15 CEO 2:3 3:11 8:17 9:13 certain 105:18 certainly 74:15 76:10 80:20 90:19 97:6,18 100:22 101:8 104:9 106:7 107:2,11,12 115:2 126:1 cetera 30:2 59:17,17 83:19 CFA 4:9 139:7,19 Chair 4:3 17:3,6,19 18:1 18:9,19 19:8 34:2,6 34:16,19 35:1,4,10,15 36:1 56:7,18 57:6	change 14:2 86:3 changed 11:13 13:12 13:22 changes 41:5 55:18 58:20 98:6 changing 59:7 character 93:19 chart 73:21 chat 7:1 check 102:19 checking 35:18 103:22 Cherry 46:5 chose 120:10 Chris 1:19 5:7 chronologically 96:9 circles 27:12 73:21,22 circumstance 35:11 60:21 61:14 100:6 105:10 111:17 circumstances 105:18 cited 129:18 city 86:22 Civil 84:16 119:4 clarification 92:14 clarify 31:21 120:21

**clarity** 34:1 118:13 132:6 concessions 48:20 125:10.19 classroom 124:10 **Commission** 1:4,8,13 concludes 102:11 **considering** 6:5 128:15 **clear** 29:16,17 31:4 1:14,18 4:5,6,17,19 concluding 81:9 **consistent** 32:5 114:6 67:16 82:18 88:10 5:4,8,12,19 6:11 7:7 conclusion 114:18 constitutes 112:22 clearly 135:7 8:9,19 9:11 12:18 concur 91:3 129:22 Constitution 39:16 **clever** 111:3 15:9,14 16:19 17:10 conditions 40:19 41:15 42:6 44:1 45:17 17:21 25:7 56:9 61:4 close 27:19 37:7 38:3 configuration 54:4 54:19 55:10 72:4 75:2 51:18 112:15 123:20 65:22 71:2,15 72:8 conflict 11:13 15:1 24:4 75:13 76:9,12 87:12 99:15 102:21 111:7 **closed** 38:16 105:2 61:7,11 65:7 83:14 92:8,9 94:18 95:2,3,5 112:2 115:3 118:18 94:2 112:12 118:5 106:10,12 112:1,2,13,13 120:4 130:1,5 136:21 138:2 closely 80:1 119:16,18 126:9 128:6 closer 38:3 42:20 43:19 138:19 conflicted 61:9 constitutional 43:11 46:22 47:19 51:12 Commission's 6:18 conflicts 60:7 62:1,4 constrained 112:5 53:21 136:22 109:19 119:7 63:4,21 65:14 78:1,5 113:11 **clustered** 88:18 119:3 Commissioners 1:11 79:18 95:14 96:4 constraints 38:18 18:3,6 74:6 80:14 113:1,6,12,20 118:3,9 40:20 71:9 128:14 119:10 **clustering** 118:16,21 97:3 102:3 118:14 130:8,18 construction 9:22 Commissions 115:1 clusters 31:16 confused 38:8 89:16 32:18 137:2.4 co-host 4:11 139:7 127:12 consult 6:10 co-sponsors 13:5 confusion 38:6 78:1 **committed** 55:20,21 contact 7:7 coin 57:19 committees 6:1,4,5 80:8 117:4 contemplative 94:21 **colleagues** 9:5 90:18 61:6 Congress 6:1,4 8:12 contentious 35:12 collective 43:14 common 21:16 123:14 9:20 34:7,8,12 35:15 context 21:21 26:8 27:1 29:15 30:4 36:9 39:4 Colorado 12:14 communication 11:21 61:17,22 92:15 100:9 Columbia 1:15 4:21 6:3 **compact** 32:1 49:12 103:9 104:13,14 41:10 42:5 50:20 6:8 120:6 135:17 138:15 55:22 60:17 73:9 column 40:14.22 compared 40:15 95:12 Congress's 33:19 111:1 **columns** 46:20 Comparing 28:5 congressional 32:5 contextual 22:3 40:14 combat 10:13 13:17 comparison 134:7 61:6 114:1 continue 12:2 115:17 93:3 **compelling** 75:5 81:5 Congressman 10:11 133:4 combined 37:5 81:17 conjured 112:21 continued 17:1 continues 137:6 come 25:12 33:18 59:2 competing 31:10 connect 11:20 26:10 contradict 33:19 60:16 65:11 77:10 competitive 23:11 connected 119:21 82:14 86:21 88:3 completed 21:17 137:5 connection 24:11 45:11 contrast 123:13,15,16 completely 93:21 95:13,19 104:6 46:20 51:10.10 68:19 134:3 110:15 114:18 128:2 108:20 70:4 77:15 96:21 contribute 26:22 45:16 117:22 130:5 133:2 completion 137:21 contributing 55:22 complex 11:12 24:18 comes 28:19 62:5 connections 29:18 controls 19:2 82:19 87:6 105:13 complicated 24:18 31:20 36:10 40:17 convenience 39:14 124:11 125:21 139:11 106:4 137:12 51:7,11 53:11 69:16 conventional 59:15 conversation 68:13,13 coming 72:15 126:3 complications 105:7 69:17,19 74:11 75:1,4 139:6 106:3,13 89:22 101:21 69:1,12 70:11 74:7 commemorate 62:3 component 24:8 25:2 connective 26:1 75:8 104:10 115:18 commemoration 61:12 conscious 57:17 72:20 components 28:8 117:14 63:3 52:17 137:16 consensus 110:20 conversations 127:1 127:14 commemorative 5:19 comprehensible 72:16 consider 15:9 26:18 6:2,7 7:21 59:13 comprehensive 80:17 72:18 79:22 84:10 convincing 74:12 61:10 63:3 72:22 computer 107:20 92:21 122:5 123:1 coordinate 47:13 52:1 comrades 14:11 129:12 132:9 138:21 commensurate 73:5 coordinated 44:3 comment 7:6 58:21 Con 87:11 139:11 core 42:22 98:15 66:11 68:10 79:7 92:7 concentration 96:10 consideration 16:8 **corner** 38:12 43:10 100:20 112:17 121:18 **concept** 6:11 91:19 61:3 62:15 105:15 104:22 comments 58:7 60:5 106:18 109:12 cornerstones 84:11 concepts 67:17 64:11 71:10 80:21 conceptualize 60:10 considerations 69:20 **corridor** 42:9,9 47:4,22 49:9 69:21 concerned 62:21 77:22 103:20 105:13 111:11 81:9 83:11 90:18 91:5 96:20 98:5 99:17,18 98:4 116:20 129:18 cost 106:5 102:2,12 103:19 concerns 38:13 97:5 considered 61:1,15 **Council** 1:20 5:6 104:5 111:7 126:18 98:21 115:9 133:1 80:13 112:22 114:12 country 8:11 10:7 11:14

16:18 84:19 137:5 53:11 54:4 61:14.22 dragging 122:15 decide 128:5 140:11 countrymen 14:4 64:20 79:4 80:4,5 drastically 13:12 14:1 countrywomen 14:5 decided 34:12 87:20 91:6 93:21 97:6 drawings 23:12 88:10 couple 17:17 59:21 decides 29:13 113:6 117:20 118:7 drawn 80:10 64:11 137:20 decision 110:16 114:19 118:11 119:5,18,19 **Drive** 37:12 51:15,15 decisions 77:6 course 4:10 14:3 84:5 124:13 125:22 130:15 52:6 106:1,1 107:2 85:8 88:1 110:13 declaration 33:20 130:15 134:5,6 drivers 14:1 137:9 138:9 139:14 decorative 53:7 differentiated 119:1 drives 106:5 dedication 11:18 differentiating 132:16 driving 108:22 **cover** 42:16 create 25:11 52:13 deeply 11:16 differentiation 132:14 drove 116:17 **Defense** 1:17 5:2 due 32:17 89:14 62:19 70:9 83:15 132:15 differently 61:16 88:22 137:11 **define** 53:8 54:7 duration 59:19 created 22:3 defined 7:21 85:3 118:10 duty 130:13 creating 88:5 105:22 defining 20:14 difficulties 71:5 113:10 114:8 definition 60:1 116:2 difficulty 108:16 Ε creation 78:17 106:8 definitions 59:16 dimensions 52:5 **E** 98:13,19 99:6 104:21 criteria 20:16 30:19 deliberation 63:7 direct 51:1 52:15 75:8 earlier 18:15 92:1 31:6 32:14 36:13,14 delivery 99:19 direction 35:16 48:16 125:20 36:20,21 40:12 57:3 **DEPARTMENT** 1:1 directionality 48:3 early 118:5 depending 41:5 139:18 directions 43:1 44:21 57:22 73:12 earnestly 128:8 critically 27:2 deploy 10:17 11:5 51:17 easier 99:13 124:2 criticize 59:14 deployed 127:8 directly 13:20 33:19 east 42:7 48:20 78:17 criticized 75:20 deployments 11:3 45:6 74:7 90:8 79:8,19 Crow 2:2 3:14 9:5 10:11 depth 37:18 **Director** 1:11,20 4:13 **east/west** 43:17 12:13,16 17:15,20 **Desert** 38:7.8 72:3 **Directors** 11:16 **Eastern** 63:18 18:14 19:3.6 77:21 78:14 81:13 Disabled 106:9 easy 35:21 Crow's 17:7 86:1,1 91:12 101:14 disagree 121:18 Ed 83:10 cued 87:21 101:14 117:10,19 discarded 97:21 98:20 edge 44:13 47:19 49:14 discordant 76:13 culmination 13:1 118:4,4,5 119:12,13 53:5 88:3 95:3 98:10 cumulatively 124:14 120:12,22 122:19,19 discussed 28:20 105:21 curiosity 88:1 123:10 122:20 129:4 130:10 discussion 9:8 25:8 education 24:16 **curious** 68:12.18 136:19,19 56:12 81:1 96:2 educational 129:17 102:17 127:13 130:11 **current** 48:10 63:18 design 6:11 21:13 23:8 Edwin 1:17 5:2 79:5 131:13 138:15 29:2 33:13 57:15 131:1 82:11 85:22 117:14 currently 47:10 87:21 112:19 113:19 discussions 81:2 effect 57:14 cut 71:17 129:4,8 displace 47:6 effectively 109:19 **CWA** 92:10 designed 57:10 75:16 displaced 66:5,7,16 116:21 76:1 displacement 66:10 effort 40:7 44:3 47:14 D designer 22:20 23:3,10 displays 29:1 65:13 102:6 136:13 **D** 27:21 23:13,17 29:13 disqualifications efforts 65:10 134:15 **D.C** 7:16 32:18 59:5 designers 131:8 104:20 eight 31:16 distance 49:2 designs 44:8 80:5 Einstein 29:7 dam 73:2 damage 114:8 desire 74:15 94:21 distant 46:15 100:18 Eisenhower 106:11 Daniel 4:9 104:8 distinct 121:1 either 13:20 37:7,10 desk 102:2 119:20 131:18,19 dash 69:18 distinguish 123:12 detail 42:21 District 1:15 4:20 6:2,7 **date** 9:19 134:1 135:17 **details** 19:13 daughters 10:14 14:10 diverse 24:4 element 137:7 16:3 determined 83:3 divided 80:4 **elements** 26:6 52:19 **Division** 13:15 113:7.7 **David** 1:14 4:19 **develop** 22:13 23:8 129:1 day 18:12 98:18,19 developed 20:13 21:6 dock 48:19 elevated 54:6,6 21:11,12 22:6 41:2 115:21,21 124:15 dog 88:20 96:20 eliminate 110:16 44:6 119:7 de 76:14 dogs 79:14 eliminated 32:6,17 devices 29:2 deal 105:6 doing 15:6 45:5 92:4,17 36:15 37:10 38:5,18 debate 121:21 **Dole** 34:19 diagonal 42:10 109:17 111:8 diagram 29:21 **debates** 43:15 **dots** 43:18 eliminating 37:4 58:1 decades 11:12 13:21 dialogue 68:19,22 downstairs 88:20 96:20 elimination 30:20 69:12 101:16 Dr 107:22 **ellipse** 98:22 105:1,2 14:7 131:11 dragged 122:8 **December** 7:17 9:18 different 23:1 50:3 embellish 129:16

**emotion** 131:15 emotions 89:2 **empower** 12:1 21:15 23:22 **Empowerment** 24:15 **enable** 89:1 enacted 7:13 encompass 83:17 113:19 encompasses 28:7 68:17 encompassing 64:22 65:9 encourage 15:8,14 92:2 encroaching 75:15 encroachment 76:11 encroachments 75:17 endeavor 14:19 ended 37:2,4,16 38:19 **endless** 83:16 enduring 64:18 **enemies** 10:15 enemy 59:16 117:18 118:1 engage 49:17 engaged 45:7 **England** 137:18 **enhance** 12:8 45:2 enjoy 85:13 **ensuring** 10:6 11:17 entanglement 78:16 79:7.19 entertain 87:10 entertaining 99:20 126:2 entire 13:22 38:15 42:16 105:2 entirely 34:12 entirety 17:9 **entity** 23:16 entry 45:2 environment 26:22 environmental 26:17 26:19 environs 6:3,8 equity 85:4 era 46:8 **Ernst** 8:12 **especially** 98:19 99:13 **essentially** 27:8 39:5 48:9 78:15 **EST** 1:9 established 5:19 34:7 77:6 establishing 29:19 establishment 6:1 7:14 7:20 Esteemed 9:10

124:9

**explain** 22:4 71:18 72:6

74:15 78:9 110:16

explained 123:6

estimates 54:22 et 30:2 59:17,17 83:18 evaluate 60:18 evaluation 30:19 32:14 32:16 36:13 evaluations 20:16 Evelyn 33:3 event 79:17 events 57:11 97:22 98:22 124:1 132:3 everybody 24:12 71:6 96:19 127:14 131:6 everyone's 71:7 evolution 30:18 exact 78:2 82:11 exactly 60:9 **example** 64:3 127:3 **examples** 27:17 29:6 29:18 30:10 excellent 86:9 **exception** 34:17 61:19 92:15 114:2 exceptional 60:21 100:6 **exceptions** 34:8,9 35:2 100:8 excited 115:17 excuse 49:20 70:22 **Executive** 1:19 20:3 exemption 72:22 103:8 exemptions 73:2 exercise 74:8 exhaustive 102:7 exist 63:16 102:10 116:16 existed 10:12 existing 26:9 40:15,18 **exists** 30:10 expand 84:1 90:2 **expanded** 53:14 111:20 113:5 **expansion** 87:4 111:12 113:8,9 expansive 50:17 expect 60:15 73:2 105:5 139:13 expected 137:4 **experience** 39:7 88:19 88:22 89:13 97:2 101:4 124:8,14 128:16 experiences 118:11 131:14 experiencing 79:20

explaining 123:4 explanation 24:22 **explicitly** 61:18 62:4,13 108:13 **express** 29:13 126:12 expressed 93:14 expressive 100:3 extends 47:4 49:19 53:21 extensions 95:14 extensive 30:16 104:10 106:21 121:21 extent 62:15 106:20 111:12 extra 106:6 extremely 9:15 eye 26:4

F

**F** 107:6 108:12 face 71:7 facilitate 89:4 facilities 32:19 facing 6:19 fact 17:7 37:5 92:9 98:7 100:17 109:13 facto 76:14 factor 57:2 58:1 91:15 facts 89:20 fair 66:7 98:9 fall 65:7 137:19 fallen 14:11 138:6,12 families 96:3 101:4 127:8 family 14:9,11 16:3 122:15 124:15 126:3 family's 11:1 far 19:15 21:18,20 32:19 37:12,13 80:18 91:5,12 104:19 farthest 37:13 fascinating 58:11 fast 33:4 fatalities 78:13 father 10:17,20 fault 73:19 **favor** 80:2 favored 30:21 39:16 **FDR** 34:21 69:7 70:2 137:3 feature 24:12 66:17 features 53:16 73:16 **February** 139:17 feel 26:1 41:17 49:21 72:7 88:17 feelings 21:3 feels 46:6 50:9 67:2,4 feet 27:8 28:22 29:11

41:3 54:19.21 fellow 9:11 12:12 74:6 93:2 102:3 felt 88:8 female 43:5 Festive 46:5 field 125:12 **fields** 108:19 **fight** 10:14,17,20 fighting 65:16 figure 140:11 figures 68:16 100:11 filtered 46:16 final 90:12 136:22 **Finally** 5:10 find 65:16 74:15 75:5 79:17 84:4 **finding** 83:12 findings 8:6,16 20:7 fine 1:14 4:6,19 31:15 71:6 79:10 115:3 136:22 138:19 fingernails 120:18 **finished** 110:13 first 13:14 22:22 34:12 56:17 63:21 71:10.21 72:22 86:6 89:17 93:17 104:7 109:14 109:15 113:7 130:13 136:12.12 fit 49:11 72:16 80:18 95:10,18 110:22 fits 55:1 **fitting** 15:11 95:16,16 five 28:22 29:11 37:17 38:2 83:7 five-person 23:8 flag 94:15 flip 57:19 **flood** 42:15,16 57:2,4,8 57:11,13,14 98:8 flummoxed 103:7 focus 8:2,20 63:4 88:16 116:5 128:3 131:5,13 131:15 focused 45:9 74:4,11 76:15 81:19 111:5 focusing 83:5 93:20 117:8 folks 7:2 62:3,12 follow 20:15 35:16 73:3 following 10:9 21:11 65:5 **follows** 59:15 food 44:21 45:20 **foot** 45:2 54:20 footprint 76:6 100:21 **forbid** 62:5

Harwood 2:2 19:13 force 46:15 48:4.6 51:2 **future** 38:7 62:6,10,19 **gosh** 73:15 52:16 65:5,7 75:1 76:17 81:18 84:8 gotten 21:19 64:5 20:5 30:14 33:6,10 foreign 119:8 90:12 94:10 95:13,20 136:10 138:21 34:4 35:22 36:7 56:21 Forever 40:8 100:9,18 105:8 governed 65:6 66:6,20,22 67:10,12 forgotten 122:22 112:18 113:11,21 grand 50:10 69:3,10,14 73:12 form 11:21 26:6 54:9 grandest 32:3 74:14 115:8 117:13 G 95:6 grandfather 10:19 125:2,11,13,18 former 136:12 **G** 107:6 108:12 grandson 126:11 134:14 forms 53:4 haste 15:15 16:11 **Garden** 87:11 grant 34:8,17 forward 9:16 60:16 Garden's 39:16 graphic 28:20 115:11 hate 103:5 64:14 104:18 127:20 **Gardens** 41:15 44:2 graphically 40:13 head 67:13 heal 11:20 12:1 21:15 foster 123:3,9 54:19 55:10 75:3,13 **grave** 114:8 fosters 124:4 gravity 32:20 37:14 23:22 40:2 76:9,12 92:8,9 94:18 fought 74:17 78:11,12 95:2,3,5 112:1,3,13 74:16,21 healing 14:8 16:5,15 113:17,17 112:14 120:4 128:6 greatest 15:17 24:7,14 57:20 found 6:18 74:10 75:6 Gardens' 45:17 greatly 28:16 hear 9:6 10:9 99:21 gatekeepers 115:2 **Foundation** 3:12 8:6,18 green 52:21 110:1,9,14,18 112:1 9:14,18 15:5 16:10 gatherings 25:12 grief 131:15 132:12 114:16 115:7 132:22 20:2 22:21 23:7 55:20 general 1:16 4:22 5:22 grimaced 88:15 133:18 heard 24:8 40:2 117:7 93:5 101:8 114:14 24:5 67:19 grins 122:17 128:2 133:2 generation 14:20 15:18 **ground** 16:12 hearing 79:10 111:10 Foundation's 15:10 group 8:11 23:6 24:4 generational 11:13 133:14 26:21 64:17 106:18 127:5 130:17 131:8 **heavily** 94:13 97:13 Foundations 2:3 generations 58:20 96:3 groups 127:13 130:16 **heavy** 25:7 founder 19:21 height 25:22 116:15,19 131:11 growth 119:22 fountain 1:17 5:2 28:14 qeographically 91:12 quess 69:14 74:5 75:21 128:14 71:8,11 77:10,11,12 geography 117:17 101:17 heights 28:19 77:14,19 78:22 79:3,6 118:1 quidance 128:18 Helmand 11:6 79:9,12 81:3,11,16,22 guide 21:13 53:10 help 4:11 15:3 20:3 geopolitical 24:19 82:3 89:9 90:16 99:17 **Germany** 121:8 quided 128:12 23:9 52:20 53:7,8 62:3 70:9 77:17 89:4 100:1,7 107:6 108:13 getting 85:12 90:7 quiding 48:4 109:10 give 17:11 18:2 52:8,9 **Gulf** 120:17,17 95:6 121:2 122:6 Fountain's 83:10 87:18 52:11 60:17 74:1 **GWOT** 12:12 15:11 20:1 **helped** 31:19 91:5 77:10 78:19 127:3 21:8 23:15 helpful 64:11 90:13 four 8:10 20:2,11,19 129:12 131:5 110:9 21:12,22 23:22 83:7 given 11:11 14:21 57:3 helping 4:9 127:2 135:18 59:1 72:5 92:2,15 **Hains** 76:5 100:22 **helps** 54:7 **fourth** 100:12 93:18 109:11 116:15 half 21:1 43:1 heroes 93:21 Fox 4:9 108:1 128:18 137:1 half-filled 73:22 heroism 12:7 gives 28:22 49:19 51:16 frame 60:1 87:3 116:1 halfway 96:6 high 43:5 122:3 51:19 hand 40:22 56:17 68:11 higher 26:3 28:22 framed 52:17 glad 99:21 82:9 87:16 90:22 highlight 135:14 138:10 global 2:1,3 3:7,11 6:14 framing 52:20 93:10 129:20 highly 30:16 105:11 handle 34:3 Hill 10:3 **freely** 71:19 7:13,15,18 8:5,17 frequently 105:2 hands 68:5 70:18 historians 39:9 78:9 9:13,20 12:3 13:13,21 friends 14:10 43:21 **historic** 1:20 5:7 50:2 20:1,22 21:21 24:17 hangs 84:22 96:21 front 48:7 51:2 82:15 31:2 38:8 40:4,7 43:4 happen 69:2 124:6 131:17 111:9 135:20 43:13 92:20 93:4 97:8 128:11 136:3 historical 21:21 80:9 fulcrums 84:9 97:15 104:9 113:1,16 happened 98:22 100:11 fully 36:21 49:17 57:8 116:11 117:9,19 historically 43:4 78:6 happening 55:19 fun 33:12 38:21 118:6 122:20 130:11 79:22 137:15 functional 23:21 136:17 139:5 happens 49:4 70:6 87:2 **history** 14:3 68:16 funny 18:20 118:16 84:12 86:3 124:1,15 globe 65:17 99:1 136:5,14,18 hit 104:4 further 25:20 45:1,9,14 glorifying 89:11 happy 9:6 17:16,21 hold 79:13,13 53:10 81:2 82:6,7 20:6 123:21 **goal** 12:6 hard 10:6 15:9 97:10 **holidays** 139:15 102:17 109:11 114:20 qoals 21:8 29:12 127:8 139:11 hardest 11:2 honest 75:4 **God** 62:5 furthermore 7:22 Gold 135:19 harm's 65:16 honor 10:6 11:9 12:1,6

intend 17:8 110:14 21:15 23:22 24:2 **impact** 70:10 103:2 **January** 139:16 64:22 126:7 131:4 **impacts** 98:6 103:13 intended 67:18 **Japanese** 27:20 29:8 honored 24:6 impinging 95:2 intending 22:21 38:22 jarring 94:9 120:13 **honoring** 127:18 **Jason** 2:2 3:14 10:11 **importance** 14:8 61:7 intent 114:7 **honors** 12:20 73:5 intentional 39:19 42:11 12:13,15 16:20 19:9 hope 88:13 90:1 94:9 **important** 9:19 16:1 intentionally 55:2 **Jefferson** 46:12 47:5,22 interest 30:17 37:21 100:14 115:14 126:21 24:7,12 25:1 26:21 67:6 69:8,21 70:2 132:18 27:2 31:6,8 36:8 83:4 131:3 134:20 75:8 hopeful 138:14 39:21 40:9 42:8 43:10 **interesting** 43:3 46:10 **Jersey** 27:21 137:16 hopefully 8:22 80:21 51:11 57:20 70:2 47:17 51:7 58:19 Jima 113:7 76:22 84:6 88:17 89:6 83:15 124:4 131:1 82:4 124:18 **job** 15:6 103:15 **hopping** 124:10 98:16 101:20 122:4 **Interior** 1:1 5:21 **iobs** 92:4 Horn 60:12 113:15 131:2,9,12 132:4,12 international 49:21 joined 5:10 20:1 136:12 horning 101:19 132:15 internet 77:15 96:21 joining 7:3 **horse** 76:1 importantly 15:2 94:1 interpretation 80:6 joint 138:16 host 48:16 impressive 98:3 interpretative 25:4,8 joking 18:21 hosting 4:7 improvement 45:17 **Joni** 8:12 interpretive 24:21 Joseph 1:18 5:4 **House** 10:10 12:21 13:2 improvements 47:12 13:6 30:1 47:4 48:1 interrupt 17:4 33:16 Journalists 138:7,12 51:22 56:1 51:5 69:22 75:2 119:3 inch 136:22 interrupted 135:2 judgment 58:18 74:9 inclination 114:13 135:17,21 intersection 105:22 junction 44:22 **Howard** 137:15 **include** 60:5 intertwined 78:5 iust-- 133:13 huge 84:10 129:1 included 37:19 41:2,21 intervention 101:11 iuxtaposed 101:15 hugely 24:12 includes 46:3 interview 20:20 juxtaposition 120:13 including 9:5 27:18 interviewing 21:2 24:10 hugged 11:4 123:2.8 human 26:7 29:12 inclusive 64:18 65:13 interviews 21:6 24:9 Κ hundred 27:8 66:6.22 incomplete 46:7 intimate 53:9 55:10 hundred-ish 66:18 incorporate 26:9 120:6 **keep** 36:3 109:16 111:4 hundreds 13:5 increase 52:8 introduce 9:4 130:18 134:2 introduced 109:9 138:8 incredible 14:16 15:16 Keiger 4:11 **Kelly** 5:11 107:21,22 incredibly 11:12 16:21 138:13 kept 73:14 i.e 59:15 131:2 intrusion 53:9 idea 59:13 86:10 87:10 independence 85:4 invasion 13:15 key 21:13 53:16 89:8,9,15 94:14 independent 60:16 invested 11:16 kid 122:8 108:20 74:9 **involve** 129:6 kids 122:7 ideal 73:18 77:1 81:19 indicating 134:20 involved 103:10 117:18 killer 105:6 individual 43:14 72:9 ideals 85:3,5 100:14 134:13 kinds 98:16 123:2 72:10 100:16 127:6 involves 24:18 106:20 ideas 20:21 85:3 87:1 King 28:5 46:13 49:1 121:2 indulge 118:18 inward 52:7 75:7 identifiable 59:16 inextricably 78:5 inwardly 111:5 kiosk 44:21 45:20 identified 31:16 40:16 influenced 71:16 **Iraq** 13:16 60:11 64:3 knowing 83:6 78:11,12,14 83:18 information 7:4 21:3 knowingly 61:21 50:15 77:2 identity 126:8 22:2 24:10 63:2 113:14 knowledge 65:12 **II** 14:15 15:16 28:13,16 initial 43:12 58:8 island 28:2 43:9 knows 84:8 136:5 34:21 46:18 58:22 initially 39:19 **Israel** 78:18 Korea 119:12 innate 28:3 issue 13:11 61:2 99:2 Korean 34:20 114:5 76:15 84:17 96:6 107:8 118:2 119:11 **input** 39:8 issues 26:18 27:6 55:14 L 120:17 121:7,8 137:3 inside 31:17 32:9 52:20 58:19 98:18,21 117:3 illusions 88:9 90:4 131:3 laden 88:13 it'd 50:1 illustrate 25:20 26:4 insights 82:7 **Ladies** 136:12,12 it'll 45:18 137:18 139:19 27:5,12 44:10 **insisted** 109:15 lake 95:4 image 10:16 42:21 **inspire** 11:20 item 5:17 6:13 7:11 land 47:9 install 94:14 iterative 30:16 landscape 72:17,20 imaginable 132:3 installed 137:19 Iwo 113:7 imagine 10:18 124:14 75:20 85:13,17 95:6 **Institute** 101:15 **Imamura** 1:18 5:4 68:6 landscaped 30:7 J 68:7 69:6,11 70:13 institutional 49:20 lane 53:13 integrates 21:8 **J** 98:2 105:14 108:4,5 96:15 99:9,10 large 25:11 129:6

114:15 133:19

integrating 21:20

immediately 7:8 87:21

larger 28:9 29:11 95:12

95:21 37:6,11,13 42:21 67:2.4 73:15.16 74:10 Mayor 1:14 4:20 largest 41:13 50:6 43:19 45:13 46:6,22 75:9 80:13,14,16 McMAHON 1:16 5:1 93:9 96:15,18 99:12 lastly 93:1 47:19 49:19 51:12 82:11 98:11 105:19 **McMahon's** 96:16 late 16:5 86:4 52:9 54:8 55:4 60:17 112:15 126:17 130:15 latest 63:17 67:2 68:11 82:15 133:19,22 134:1 mean 33:16 62:14 law 7:14,19 8:1 31:4 90:13,14 91:6 94:5,11 lots 24:19 85:12 89:7 66:16 85:11 88:12 lawn 41:17 46:3 50:8 94:17 96:7 99:14 123:14 89:6 90:3 105:17 laws 33:18 100:15 102:17 103:7 love 126:18 106:4 109:7 116:10 lay 73:6 76:22 118:16 120:21 129:16 loved 13:20 16:14 123:5 124:22 125:7 lead 10:10 lives 13:22 14:3 lovely 85:15 140:4 129:10 leadership 17:1 **living** 131:19 lower 98:10 meaning 131:20 learn 123:12 124:3 locate 101:13 **Luebke** 1:13 4:18 12:18 meaningful 90:3 129:6 learned 14:6 located 8:1 22:15 31:3 18:16,22 19:4 56:14 meant 18:16 119:14 leave 17:11 56:3 32:7 43:17 76:17 56:16 58:9,10 63:12 meeting 4:7,10,14,15 left 32:9 40:14 locating 80:2 63:20 64:4,7 65:19 6:18,19 8:13 17:9 **legacy** 132:2 location 7:19 14:9,12 82:8,10 83:9 86:18 18:21 83:8 114:18 Legion 5:14 14:19 15:8 21:14 91:16 100:1 113:16 125:21 133:3 135:13 legislated 85:10 26:20 27:3 31:8 44:11 121:16 130:21,22 139:10,12 140:6,15 45:10,11 47:2,17 meetings 21:5 79:16 **legislation** 6:5,6 31:2 140:8 101:3 103:6 92:2 138:7,13 49:16 50:17 51:9,16 **Luebke's** 91:4 legislative 5:13 10:3 52:3,14 55:7 59:18 **Luke** 4:10 **melded** 109:4 64:2 68:21 69:9,13 96:11 Luther 28:5 46:13 49:1 **Member** 12:21 lend 63:8 111:14 119:20 121:1 members 8:12,19 9:10 75:7 lens 59:8 132:19 121:12 126:7 12:17 13:6 14:10,11 М locations 14:17 29:22 lessons 80:9 16:3 43:6 56:9 71:2 let's 7:12 46:22 71:8 31:18 32:9 36:11 39:3 ma'am 127:20 71:15 134:17 136:13 87:4 96:16 115:22 39:17 52:11 63:1 65:4 maintained 11:17 138:2 122:1,17 123:5,20 65:15 74:12 120:8,10 major 6:13 51:16 memorial's 5:11 41:21 **letters** 8:9,13 120:11 75:14 77:20 111:10 **level** 26:4 43:5 locus 132:11 making 16:10 26:11 **memorials** 6:10,16 79:14 123:21 136:20 **levy** 43:17,20 long 11:18 23:6 58:15 26:11 27:16 29:19.19 liberties 43:14 60:22 67:1,4 76:2 137:21 30:2 31:13 39:8 40:16 **Liberty** 135:19,19 82:6 95:22 96:21 **Mall** 14:17 26:2,11,12 59:5 60:15 69:17 Lieutenant 130:12 97:15 137:11 139:18 27:3 29:17,22 32:20 70:10 72:14,15 73:5,6 life 13:12 106:9 longer 65:3 78:20 132:1 37:14 50:17 53:16 75:22 76:7,15,17 77:2 **lifetime** 100:19 longest 10:8 132:19 54:14 74:17 75:15,22 77:5 79:4 80:2 81:4 lifting 25:8 longstanding 79:18 80:15 84:9 92:17 81:18 87:8 89:3,11,16 light 41:7 look 15:9 33:8 36:8,12 101:7 118:22 120:1 96:10 98:16 100:21 **limited** 101:5 38:3,3 40:13 41:12 122:16 101:6 107:3 111:14 Lincoln 51:8 75:2 76:16 42:20 72:9,9 86:6 Maloney 1:14 4:19 93:9 112:14 113:5 118:3 84:15 107:8 117:1 87:4 92:4 98:13 99:4 93:11 111:22 118:14,18,21 119:1,2 101:5,20 103:1,13 119:11 manage 4:9 119:4,10,17,22 line 42:10,11 71:17 99:4 104:8,9 118:9 127:11 Manager 5:11 135:11 136:3 104:12 128:7 129:3,11,13 mandate 32:6 memories 14:13 linear 124:2,3 memory 63:13 132:18 manmade 26:2 lined 96:8 124:6 looked 39:3,5 40:18,22 men 65:1,4,16 117:8 map 42:16,17,18 lines 26:10 29:16,17,18 49:5,7 107:10,19 127:18 69:16,18 88:10 looking 9:16 31:19 39:4 March 139:18 mention 35:12 98:7 link 7:1 44:5 47:2 50:22 73:17 Martin 28:5 46:13 49:1 108:14 136:7 138:6 mentioned 62:4 100:5.7 74:2 80:11 87:2 91:7 linking 80:8 75:7 mashed 37:22 39:13 list 23:6 68:4 70:18 91:17 98:12 125:1,20 101:2 108:4 113:16 105:15 108:10 109:20 127:18 137:20 master 44:1 50:16 129:19 137:13 114:22 116:9 looks 67:3 68:22 merit 98:11 materials 6:17 33:11 listed 24:5 25:16 merits 56:12 losing 70:17 81:1 115:15 135:12 lost 77:9 78:19 79:6 **listen** 97:1 message 57:21 **matrix** 36:17 matter 35:12 106:14 **listening** 13:10 71:14 81:9 **met** 1:9 96:19 99:14 130:14 lot 21:3,3 23:4 26:18 119:9 140:16 metaphorical 59:14 little 18:20 25:20 36:17 46:4 48:11,17 64:16 maximum 53:2 methodologies 23:5

Michael 1:12 2:3.4 3:10 4:16 8:16 9:12 12:17 19:12,16,20 30:14 56:4 microphone 96:16 middle 37:8 63:17 78:16 79:7,19 84:14 84:20 85:16 94:6 Mike 56:17 military 59:17 60:6,6,13 65:5 69:17 96:4 97:14 millions 13:19 14:4 Mina 1:15 4:21 33:2,13 39:1 126:18 mind 111:16 130:19 minor 55:14 minute 33:8 **minutes** 17:17 misconceptions 121:3 mission 11:7 21:6 64:18 missions 9:19 mix 41:17 82:22 133:8 mixed 89:20 **MLK** 28:10 69:7 70:2 **models** 113:4 modest 95:7 112:9 mom 122:7 126:2 127:16 moment 118:13 moments 18:12 88:15 money 106:14 **monolithic** 26:6 29:6 **months** 139:10,14 monument 14:15 15:16 15:16,21 30:1 42:3,10 42:12 45:13,15 46:18 84:14 monumental 98:15 monuments 1:18 5:4 14:17 moot 92:3,14 **morbid** 89:13 mother 11:7 **Mothers** 135:20 move 7:11 9:8 54:17 66:15 78:20 135:9 moved 45:9 66:17 89:6 135:2 movement 38:14 moving 85:14 88:2 104:18 105:17,20 111:13 multi- 11:12 multi-modal 55:12 museum's 41:21 muster 110:10

N-C-M-A-C 6:21 name 4:12 9:12 14:13 85:4 name's 19:20 **names** 23:6 narrow 52:4 nation 10:12 11:11 **nation's** 10:8 12:9 68:16 **national** 1:1,3,8,12,13 4:4,13,17 5:13,14 9:10 14:17 27:20 41:21 59:4 73:5 80:15 92:17 101:7 103:1 135:19 nationally 76:22 Native 29:7 naturally 74:4 nature 14:22 15:1 116:1 116:11 Nazis 10:20 **NCMAC** 8:13 139:7,10 **NCPC** 87:2 115:3 138:19 139:7,19 near 42:6 47:20 66:3 80:3 86:1 91:13 nearby 53:14 132:17 neatly 124:6 necessarily 27:11 49:22 82:20 112:12 127:22 necessary 137:1 need 4:11 17:10 24:6 55:15 70:21 72:16,20 82:6 88:16 97:17 113:22 116:10 135:7 needs 30:6 71:5 83:19 86:21 119:20 131:10 negatives 128:12 neglected 134:16 neighbor 55:21 **nephew** 97:16 never 10:12 61:2 115:22 116:11 new 27:21 34:13 44:19 48:15 62:6 73:1 84:4 98:15 119:21,22 137:15 nexus 31:12 62:19 108:22 132:7 nice 49:4 50:1 51:5,16 94:4 112:10 nixed 109:15 node 105:22 noise 53:2,6 54:7 94:15 111:11

Ν

**noisy** 94:13 **non-** 5:8 non-state 24:19 non-uniformed 11:10 normal 18:2 north 44:22 45:1,9 northeast 46:11 northwest 38:6 northwestern 87:5 note 8:8 42:14 noted 61:8,19 90:4 **notes** 80:11 102:1 notice 54:1 **notion** 109:3 **nuances** 93:16 nucleus 25:18 27:6,22 30:5 41:3 42:22 44:12 45:8 49:14 54:9,20 number 7:12 32:16 37:4 39:9 41:22 50:16 59:1 60:3 66:7 67:22 102:1 numbers 55:2 0

oasis 41:18 obelisks 29:9 **object** 77:8,18 80:16 89:8 objection 112:2 133:14 134:1 objections 111:10 **objects** 26:2 29:5,6,9 129:7 obligation 17:18 obscure 111:4 observation 73:14 87:18 130:7 observations 82:12 Observer 1:20 **obstruct** 116:22 obtained 72:21 **obvious** 29:22 84:2 **obviously** 24:2,7 26:8 26:18 29:16 73:17 131:2 occur 25:12 41:11 occurred 60:7 78:14 occurring 73:14 October 1:6 6:21 odd 89:16 oddity 94:5 offer 64:11 130:6 offered 30:21 Ohio 37:12 51:15 52:6 106:1 107:2 once 9:6 70:17 74:18 83:22 103:11,15 107:4 138:20 139:16

ones 16:14 32:1 83:22 115:4 136:6 ongoing 78:16 online 25:3,9 **Oops** 77:9 open 26:1 50:8 78:7,10 108:19 137:9 140:6 opening 3:5,10 109:9 openness 57:21 operational 38:13,17 46:4 98:19 opinions 97:2 117:6 opportunities 39:4 55:9 opportunity 12:19 16:16 17:12 18:3,18 50:10 63:1 120:20 **opposed** 81:18 opposite 78:2 optimal 74:5 **optimism** 88:14 option 49:7 **options** 56:13 order 41:13 112:8 128:18 original 114:7 originally 85:10 86:8 ought 76:17,20 77:3 78:4 outer 53:5 outlined 82:11 outside 31:17.19 32:7 36:8 42:8,13 47:3 69:20 overall 26:22 75:19 112:9 overlap 77:22 overlapping 121:4 **overview** 5:15 20:10 overwhelming 10:2 13:4 overwhelmingly 13:7 owe 132:10

Ρ P 69:9 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 **p.m** 1:9 4:2 140:17 Pacific 10:21 package 21:7 27:16 paddle 48:19 66:4 94:7 94:9 page 6:19 8:14 56:3 pageant 90:8 panel 86:7 120:11 panelist 70:22 paratrooper 13:14 parcel 38:14

**noises** 79:14

parent 123:5 parents 10:13 Park 1:1,12 4:13 5:14 38:12,15 39:10 43:21 43:22 47:11 51:21 70:12 103:11,16 104:16 parking 46:3 47:7,8 48:11,11,15,17 53:3 55:16 66:3,13 67:1,4 67:13 70:8 85:12 88:2 parkplanning 6:20 parkplanning.nps.go... 6:21 Parkways 87:5 part 26:11 38:12 39:22 41:9 44:3 49:22 51:22 55:18,19 57:18,22 59:3 70:3 74:1 78:15 79:18 81:9 86:2,22 87:3 91:19,20 93:3 96:7 99:6 107:7 113:2 partially 36:22 57:8 participant 68:4 70:18 participants 20:21 **participate** 82:6 97:14 participates 5:8 participating 13:11 participation 27:3 43:5 particular 24:16 28:15 45:21 56:10.12 60:18 68:14.21 75:12 81:5 81:18 97:20 104:16 121:13 126:9,9 particularly 54:18 55:5 76:5 partners 20:4 pass 12:12,21 109:14 109:15,16 110:10 136:1 passed 9:20 13:8 135:21 138:15 passionate 11:15 pathway 54:2 pathways 40:20 44:20 44:22 Paul 1:16 5:1 **Peace** 101:15 peaked 88:2 pedagogical 123:15 pedestrian 40:19 **pending** 135:17 **Pentagon** 46:16 48:5,7 51:2 people 12:1 21:2 22:4,5 24:6 25:12 50:2 58:15 75:20 85:12 88:6 89:7 89:15 94:3 96:11

123:11 131:4,13 132:11 perfect 55:13 perform 11:6 **permit** 137:2 **Persian** 120:17,17 person 79:16 89:1,1 107:17 127:11 140:5 140:12 personal 13:11 130:19 personally 132:13 personnel 11:10 perspective 26:21 80:14 102:21 106:19 109:6 125:22 126:1,2 126:4,6 perspectives 97:6 persuasive 110:17 Peter 1:9,11 4:12 59:10 85:9 98:4 **phew** 135:1 **phone** 7:9 117:15 physical 25:15 26:14 89:18 123:16 **physically** 26:19 76:10 124:9 picture 109:8 picturesque 55:10 **piece** 12:4 137:17,17 **pieces** 22:1 54:6 63:3 place 14:8,9 15:12 66:4 85:5 86:22 117:21 119:21 placement 9:21 12:22 13:8 89:3 places 29:10 65:15 73:7 87:20 113:15 119:5 **plain** 42:15,17 57:2,4,9 57:13,14 67:3 98:8 plan 2:1 3:8 19:14 44:1 50:16 91:21 92:12 planner 41:22 **Planners** 2:4 19:22 planning 1:13 4:17 80:17 87:21 92:18 113:18 **plans** 80:18 planting 111:3 **plantings** 30:9 44:14 **plaque** 34:20,21 137:3 **platform** 4:8 19:2 plays 85:9 please 7:7 30:22 31:5 31:14 32:4,8,11,13,21 37:3 38:4,20 40:11 41:14,19 42:4,19 44:4 45:3 46:1,9 47:1,15 49:6 50:5,14,19 52:2

53:18 54:11 58:16 64:12 79:15 92:20 **plus** 66:12 129:11 point 11:2 25:17 27:7 28:1,6,13 30:5 36:22 47:21 50:4 56:8 76:6 82:17 86:20 92:3,13 92:14,16 100:22 103:17 104:17 107:5 114:3 122:4 126:7 127:2 128:2 131:22 135:22 pointed 100:13 120:5 points 36:20 37:1 47:11 pond 41:16 45:10 **Pool** 43:19 popular 55:11 Porter 5:12 7:8 134:19 134:22 135:4 138:4 portion 42:17 46:11 47:20 posed 64:15 position 48:12 53:14 positioned 48:10 **positive** 94:17 129:17 positively 26:22 128:9 positives 105:20,21 128:10 possibilities 30:20 44:7 102:10 possibility 18:22 possible 62:9 64:18 76:17 118:19 128:8,9 128:21 129:8 posted 8:13 135:12 potential 15:10 23:9 31:20 36:9 39:4 40:16 40:19,20 44:6 50:9 113:20 128:14 potentially 62:5 Potomac 50:7,12 54:21 55:12 85:20 87:5 94:12 120:3 128:7 133:19 power 11:20 14:8 practical 52:19 111:11 133:3 practically 132:7 **Pray** 137:3 preamble 90:6 **precedents** 22:7,7,12 precinct 76:14,18 77:5 91:19,19 107:7 108:15 118:17 123:18 preferences 26:13 preferred 24:14 premature 103:14 prepare 90:3

prepared 41:10 135:11 preparing 82:18 136:15 present 1:11 4:15 8:6 presentation 3:16 8:2 8:15,21 19:19 56:11 56:22 65:22 95:4 129:15 132:7 presented 102:9 Preservation 1:20 5:7 103:2 President 2:3 3:11 7:17 8:16 9:13 **President's** 38:12,15 presidential 38:14 presiding 1:9 press 136:10 pretty 20:6 21:20 83:10 98:3 110:19 130:7 **previous** 101:3 103:6 previously 91:9 primarily 31:12 32:17 110:22 **primary** 43:1 47:10 prime 42:1 94:1 principle 19:21 prior 11:8 23:5,12 **probably** 49:9 83:22 84:18 93:18 94:18 98:3 130:16 **problem** 58:11 problematic 62:21 105:11 process 3:17 8:7 11:17 19:15 21:19 22:16.20 23:14 30:15,18 58:14 90:11,11 103:16 112:19 131:6 processes 124:5 productive 82:13 professional 15:7 16:9 professionalism 16:18 profoundly 11:13 program 5:11 20:13,14 21:12,12,17 22:13 23:20,21 25:16 35:18 72:11 94:20 **progress** 6:16 22:18 135:12 136:20 137:7 137:21 138:6 prohibition 114:2 project 3:16 10:4 20:9 21:9 projects 76:22 proliferate 76:18 proliferation 75:21 prominence 31:21 prominent 31:22 48:12

49:16 55:11 68:16 76:11 78:8.10 84:2 recount 14:13 report 65:15 98:20 100:11 86:12 112:22 116:5 recreational 85:11 89:5 138:2 represent 102:9 promise 52:8 122:3 123:7 124:11 94:2,13 97:19 **proper** 75:18 124:17,19,21 133:16 red 43:18 Representative 2:2 property 66:14 139:4 redesigning 72:19 3:14 9:5 10:11 12:13 proposal 9:8 28:9,13 **questions** 6:22 9:7 redundancy 39:11 12:16 17:7,15,20 60:19 82:14 95:13,17 17:10,21 18:3,6,10 redundant 38:1 18:14 19:3,6 129:10 43:11 56:5,10,15 58:8 refer 136:7 Representatives 8:4 proposals 6:12 63:15 59:21 65:21 70:20 reference 90:12 12:21 13:6 64:2 72:10 76:21 104:5 121:15,18,22 referenced 64:21 107:6 represented 127:6 **propose** 128:1 123:3,9 140:1 references 88:14 representing 1:11,12 proposed 91:12 94:20 quick 5:15 115:20 referred 136:9 1:13,14,15,16,17,18 quickly 27:5 31:1 135:3 reflect 14:12,22 15:1,2 1:19 4:13,16,18,20,21 106:1 **proposing** 25:17,19 quiet 41:16 55:9 reflecting 16:14 43:19 5:1,3,5,6 26:3 27:10,20 28:21 quite 28:9 35:11 37:7 reflection 15:12 16:4 require 32:1 38:9 47:21 48:14 62:9 29:10 reflects 36:18 required 5:20 61:10 protect 53:8 64:16 91:18 95:16 regarding 6:11 requires 6:9 8:1 98:7 protected 47:3 94:22 101:10 115:6 128:21 129:5 regulate 131:9 reinforce 54:8 reserve 7:20 8:2,3 10:1 prototype 83:15 R related 33:13 80:1 92:7 protruding 47:20 12:9 22:15 27:1 31:3 **proud** 9:15 raised 43:12 68:5 70:18 95:20 117:9 121:4 31:8,17,19 32:7,10,15 **provide** 6:3,15 19:13 relates 23:20 27:15 33:19,20 34:8.13 36:9 82:8 90:22 93:9 44:9 48:15,18 53:9 109:13 131:3 43:6 44:15 36:12 51:1 62:20 120:22 raises 58:19 relation 67:10 71:15 73:7 74:20 76:7 provides 87:9 relationship 28:12 76:19 77:3 84:5 86:13 range 25:3 82:15 providing 36:21 48:21 31:21 51:1 68:20 86:14.15 90:4 91:8 **Ranger** 13:16 Province 11:6 re-litigating 76:2 69:13 123:22 101:10 103:8 104:8 provision 61:20 re-vegetation 41:11 relationships 26:14 104:13,15,17 114:2,9 proximate 50:17 reach 75:6 29:20 31:13 reserving 95:19 proximity 43:8 94:20 reaction 78:2 relative 25:16 40:21 resilient 57:11 96:10 123:17 read 37:19 67:21 101:1 55:1 resolution 59:22 **proxy** 84:15 readily 48:6 relatively 93:14 138:16 resolve 127:22 **public** 6:19 12:5 134:17 reading 89:18 relentlessly 89:13 137:10 **ready** 56:4 122:9 resolved 111:3 **pull** 118:20 real 14:1 22:11 33:13 relocated 45:1.21 48:12 resources 25:4 **pulled** 107:19 50:8,11 105:22 53:14 55:15 66:8 respect 89:14 67:18 pulling 68:4 115:20 respond 87:19 punished 121:8 realistically 62:7 relocations 106:21 response 13:4 18:8 purest 11:21 reality 10:22 11:1 rely 102:2 43:12 61:5 118:6 purple 41:7 realize 103:8 remain 133:7 140:2 purposes 57:21 reason 39:22 81:12,17 remaining 32:15 responsibilities 72:8 rest 26:1 97:2 remarkable 15:6 **push** 84:5 reasoning 72:6 pushing 77:4 reasons 90:6 91:9 92:1 remarks 3:10,14 10:9 restraint 54:7 put 7:1 23:4 49:8 73:21 99:3 105:3 106:15 restrictions 116:16,20 99:18 81:12,17 85:6 91:10 110:1,21 120:9 remember 58:22 62:3 restroom 45:20 73:13 98:20 103:19 94:5,16 106:4 109:18 restrooms 55:16 recall 59:10 114:15 recap 135:15 131:9 retired 97:14 putting 94:7 97:10 **received** 8:9,15 remembering 16:14 return 78:21 109:19 132:16 remembrance 15:13 recognize 61:6 65:14 **returned** 137:18 reveal 31:20 49:4 34:21 114:6 81:3 101:18 113:6 Q recognized 40:15 61:14 remnant 46:7 review 23:9 quadrangle 52:13 recognizing 14:2 remote 32:2 reviewed 115:15 quaint 128:19 removed 117:20 recommend 91:7 136:21 qualifications 23:12 114:14 renovation 92:18 revisit 116:10 reconsider 99:5 108:20 Revolution 84:14 qualities 40:1 repair 70:7 quarter 25:17 41:4 128:5 133:9 **repairs** 48:17 Revolutionary 119:2 question 57:1 59:9,12 record 101:1 103:5 repeat 129:11 rid 85:12 64:15,16 70:14 75:17 140:17 replaced 66:16 ridiculous 66:2

rings 54:5 scholars 21:22 13:14,17,20 15:19 simplify 30:18 risk 80:7 **school** 33:3 124:3 24:3 64:20 65:2 117:8 simply 32:19 72:9 river 50:7,12 52:7 53:11 **score** 36:19,20 127:19 130:8,17 108:18 54:2,21 55:12 94:12 screening 70:6 131:4,14 simultaneously 60:7 105:14 108:5 111:9 screens 53:7 service 1:1,12 4:14 single 9:16 37:8 72:18 120:3 128:7 133:20 sculpting 137:16 5:14 11:4 15:17 16:18 74:2 108:21 127:10 road 66:16 67:13 80:4 **sculptural** 26:5 137:7 18:17 39:10 43:5,21 singular 113:10 98:6 106:10,11 **sculpture** 137:10,22 43:22 47:11 51:21 sisters 15:3 roads 105:17 107:4 65:1 70:12 93:2.5 **sited** 78:4 seasoned 99:15 roadway 52:5 53:2 seawall 50:2 51:22 97:13 102:6 103:11 sites 8:3 15:10 22:22 second 17:4 32:12 33:8 103:16 127:7 135:18 26:13 30:21 31:9,16 55:16 roadways 51:14 33:22 45:5 77:10,13 **Service's** 104:16 31:22 32:2,6,15,16,17 Rod 20:20 56:4 118:8 78:20 79:13 92:7 **Services** 1:16 4:22 5:22 37:5,6,9,17 39:6,15 **Rodriguez** 2:3 3:10 109:16 113:7 serving 13:21 39:16 41:1,6,12,20 **Secretary** 1:16 4:10 5:2 set 27:10 42:1 44:6 54:13,14,15 8:16,22 9:3,9,12 55:13 57:3,8,10 63:8 16:20 17:3,5 19:9 5:12,21 7:8 138:4 setting 25:19 27:9 64:10,13 93:2 97:8,12 section 106:9 28:15 30:5 41:7 42:22 74:3 76:4,21 77:1 102:6 115:7,12 116:4 **secure** 138:13 84:4 95:7 112:10 80:13,18 81:4,6,19 security 43:14 98:21 116:8,12 125:2,14 121:1 132:3 134:6 82:20,22 83:5 91:8 126:5,14,16 134:13 105:3 settings 42:2 92:5 99:5 101:17 Rodriguez's 96:2 seeing 7:3 9:1 70:18 seven 135:16 102:8 103:4,13 room 52:9 140:6 140:4 **shaded** 48:13 104:20 107:6 108:12 seeking 123:10 share 16:22 18:12 98:5 108:14,17,18 109:4,5 Roughly 66:6 round 27:11 32:12 seen 14:14,15,16 21:7 **shared** 84:11 97:5 114:20,22 120:3 37:18,22 39:2,2,12,12 37:6 63:15 64:1 114:4 117:17,18 128:3,6 130:2 134:4 40:12 55:2 114:21 132:8 **sharp** 63:13 siting 62:20 92:21 rounds 30:19 sees 98:9 shelter 53:2 sits 28:2 85:2 route 136:17 select 23:3,17 shepherd 20:3 situated 39:18 41:16 row 89:19 124:6 selected 23:2 74:8 76:4 **Sherman** 1:12 4:16 **situation** 45:8 54:3 run 95:22 103:12 56:17,19,20 58:2,4,6 **size** 95:8,11,17 112:6 selection 3:17 8:7 90:22 91:1 93:8 skilled 35:20 S 19:15 20:16 22:16,20 129:20,21 130:4 Slave 135:20 **Sabin** 137:15 23:10,11,13,16 30:15 139:1,2,20 slide 20:8,18 23:18,19 **Shield** 86:1 101:14 **sacred** 12:9 33:3 103:3,21 136:16 25:14,21 27:4,14 28:4 **sacrifice** 12:7 14:22 138:20 118:4 122:20 136:19 28:11,18 29:4,14 30:3 15:17 semi-finalist 90:9 **shipping** 137:17 30:12,22 31:5,14,15 **shoe** 101:19 **sacrificed** 11:10 14:21 **Senate** 13:2,5 135:18 32:4,8,11,13,21 37:3 sacrifices 65:1 136:1 **shoreline** 45:18,19 37:16 38:4,20 40:10 sacrosanct 33:20 Senator 8:12 short 5:16 105:15 108:9 41:14,19 42:19 44:4 sad 123:21 sense 22:11 41:10 114:22 122:14 45:3 46:1,9 47:1,15 sake 108:21 44:10 55:22 62:17 **shorter** 124:19 49:6 50:5,13,19 52:2 salute 24:3 67:22 75:9,11,14 **shovel** 16:12 53:17,19 54:11 68:14 satisfied 114:21 86:12 89:22 92:6 **show** 20:10 26:15 27:15 69:3,7 107:22 108:1 105:19 109:2 112:16 28:12 36:17 53:1 118:19 saw 50:22 124:12,13 135:22 115:16 slides 30:11 33:5 saying 18:16 48:9 **showed** 67:17 120:7 slow 38:22 90:6 139:15 75:22 97:4 103:19 139:5 showing 68:10 118:8,17 **sensed** 19:3 small 25:11 41:13 92:13 sensitive 101:11 **shown** 40:22 smaller 28:10,16 55:5,6 says 80:12 sent 22:2 27:17 115:15 scale 26:7 27:6 29:12 **shows** 108:2 120:7 side 27:19 57:19 85:20 smallest 49:10 120:8 31:22 41:13 44:10 separate 79:1 80:3 49:10 50:7 54:17 118:2,3 120:22 126:8 **sides** 13:7 67:14 **soccer** 36:19 57:13 112:9 120:7 separation 48:19 61:11 sight 26:10 29:18 solely 23:11 solicit 20:21 scales 40:15 55:1 September 65:6 **signed** 7:18 65:5 series 22:6 25:11 77:6 **Signer's** 43:9 44:16 solid 69:16 schedule 16:22 17:7,14 133:2 139:5,10 132:2 significant 133:1 solitude 53:10 similar 11:7 30:10 54:3 scheduled 7:5 serious 16:8 solution 59:17 **serve** 10:20 12:2,2 40:3 93:13 103:19 136:17 **scheme** 45:22 **solve** 58:11 scholarly 39:8 served 10:7,13 12:7 simpler 104:5 somebody 78:21 108:1

somewhat 92:2 94:4 **stage** 132:4 138:20 tan 53:4 son 11:5 126:10 stakeholders 127:9 studying 91:18 target 135:5 **sons** 10:14 14:10 16:3 **stand** 91:7 stuff 33:12 38:21 84:7 tasks 21:18 soon 8:22 17:11 133:3 **standalone** 73:1 85:21 123:21 taught 124:16 140:14 **standing** 130:10 **subdued** 129:5 taxi 51:19 53:13 soothing 40:2 57:20 standpoint 92:6 130:19 sublime 66:2 teach 123:15 124:2 **Sophie** 5:10 **stands** 75:7 subset 82:21 130:16 teaching 127:17 **sorry** 33:10,16 36:6 Star 135:20 substantial 105:5 team 20:5,20 22:8 start 16:13 20:9 60:22 104:9 109:13 134:14 39:1 56:18 61:8 66:20 136:20 79:5 81:8 88:19 116:4 73:10 74:14,22 85:6 succeed 9:18 135.7 119:14 133:15 119:22 129:14 136:3 successful 114:10 technical 71:4 104:20 sort 63:9 68:18,18 137:2 successive 30:19 105:12 106:15 83:13,13,15,16 84:10 started 18:21 20:19 technically 61:9 92:11 Suffrage 136:9 84:13 87:1 93:15 94:8 71:21 78:3 suggest 34:5 44:19 technologists 25:5 94:15,21 95:5,5 100:3 starting 91:20 70:5 100:10 117:11 tell 116:21 starts 84:5 86:3 103:16 124:5 101:16 102:1 103:2 temporal 40:6 103:14 104:5 109:4,9 **state** 12:14 suggesting 44:12 47:18 ten 11:3 36:16 48:16 52:4,18 53:5,13 111:13 131:8,14 stated 31:1,2 91:9,14 ten-61:10 ten-ish 119:9 132:5 136:2 92:1 99:12 127:4 **statement** 21:6 50:10 **sorts** 87:1 suitability 59:12 tenant 24:2 sound 53:8 79:11 STATES 1:1 suitable 94:19 tenants 21:13 23:22 **statue** 44:18 suitably 58:12 south 42:6 46:13 tens 11:1 statute 28:7 summarize 110:19 tension 83:13 southeast 104:22 **space** 11:17,22 12:9 stay 17:8,16 43:20 82:5 term 132:2 **support** 8:9 10:3,5 62:17 84:1,3 89:18 terms 20:14 21:20 23:5 94:10 133:19,22 136:13 staying 42:13 116:9 supported 13:20 23:20 24:21 26:8.19 109:1 **spaces** 25:11 66:3 **step** 139:9 supporters 22:8 27:2 40:5 49:10 50:7 67:18 75:15 88:2 **stop** 51:19 supporting 6:17 68:20 69:13 75:21 **stops** 131:18 supposed 86:8 92:21 93:19 94:19 **span** 122:14 spatial 40:5 **stories** 89:19 **surface** 47:6,8 95:8,16 110:18 112:6 **speak** 7:5 12:19 65:10 **Storm** 38:7,9 72:3 surfaced 46:3 terrific 39:17 80:17 110:6 117:11 77:21 78:15 81:13 **surprise** 72:6 109:18 territory 66:11 126:15,22 134:18 86:2 91:12 101:14 surprised 132:5 terror 13:13,22 21:21 SPEAKERS 2:1 117:10,19 118:4,5 **surrounding** 41:8 44:13 61:8 78:14,15 92:20 **surveys** 91:15 speaking 62:8 134:20 119:12,13 120:12,22 93:4 104:9 113:1.17 speaks 80:1 122:19,20 129:4 suspect 98:9 116:11 130:11 136:17 special 4:8 130:10 136:19 **symbol** 40:3 139:6 synthesize 102:16 Specialist 5:13 **story** 26:12 30:9 59:3 terrorism 2:1,3 3:7,12 specialists 39:9 86:21 88:12 93:22 6:14 7:13,15,18 8:5 Т **specific** 40:18 55:3 96:7 116:21 132:11 8:17 9:13,21 12:3 63:4 120:11 **straight** 138:19 table 91:10 92:10 20:2,22 24:17 31:3 specifically 112:3 strange 85:6 109:16 112:4 114:16 38:8 40:4 43:4,13 113:2 strategy 95:21 122:18 130:2 133:6 65:17 97:8,15 117:5 spend 18:12 street 27:21 42:7 77:21 taken 57:16 92:10 117:10,19 118:7 **spends** 124:15 strength 117:4 100:22 110:7 116:15 122:21 thank 4:6,8 7:10 9:9 **spent** 21:1 strengthen 44:20 133:6 strong 25:3 42:10 46:19 **spoil** 72:5 takes 50:21 103:11 12:11,16,17,18 16:16 80:9 87:12 139:18 16:20 17:1 18:14 19:6 **spoken** 96:12 **sponsor** 8:5 10:10 stronger 45:11,11 talk 22:19 56:16 57:4 19:8,17 30:14 56:6,7 **sponsors** 6:9 11:16 strongly 80:2 132:1 63:6,10 85:12 98:5 56:21 58:2 64:7,8 77:20 122:5 131:7 **struck** 73:20 96:1 97:18 115:22 116:14 122:1 65:18,19 68:2,8 70:15 spot 73:18 87:8 struggle 107:19 talked 27:6 29:15 94:3 70:16 71:11 81:7,22 stuck 71:20 **spread** 76:6 102:1 95:4 97:13 108:14 82:10 87:13,15 90:21 studied 44:7 spreading 100:21 115:6 91:1 93:2,6,7,11 studies 22:16 40:21 **spring** 122:9,10 talking 60:10,11,12 96:14,19 99:8,10 **square** 41:3 54:18,19 44:9 54:12,18 55:4 68:1 69:4 73:8 74:22 102:5,13 103:18 54:21 105:1 97:7 107:10 110:3,13 **Studio** 137:15 115:13 125:13 130:20 staff 39:10 91:7 study 82:14 102:7 taller 26:7 132:20,21 134:10,12

11
135:6,8 138:9 139:20
140:3,13
thanks 19:9 64:13 99:7
102:22 126:17 139:2
theaters 64:21
thematic 31:11,20
40:16 51:10 69:15 70:3 74:11 89:21 92:6
101:21 110:21 117:3
132:7
thematically 78:6 91:11
112:11
theme 21:16 76:13 100:14 109:9 127:4
themed 111:13
they'd 66:8
things 14:6 33:13 50:22
55:15,18 59:4 60:14
63:5 69:15 84:21 87:4 87:19 88:17 102:16
106:16,21 111:4
112:7 115:19 116:13
121:11 124:5 128:13
129:2 135:14 136:3
139:15 140:11
third 31:11 39:2 40:12 48:16 50:6
<b>Thomas</b> 1:13 4:17
thorough 15:6 129:13
thought 49:12 50:1
69:15 71:21 74:18
75:16 81:10 86:6 90:11 98:14 103:21
109:2 120:2 123:8
124:4 125:7 134:1,6
138:5
thoughtful 15:7 16:9
97:11 99:19 115:13 thoughts 16:22 71:13
89:2 93:13 96:13
100:2 102:4,15,20
126:20
thousands 11:2
three 27:18 29:17 30:10 36:20 37:22 39:3,12
39:15,15 43:1 51:14
51:16 52:11,12,12
54:13 63:8 68:16 74:5
74:8 81:19 82:15,20
83:5 90:12 96:9 97:7 100:11 102:8 105:15
100:11 102:8 105:15
120:10,11 128:3,6
129:11 130:2 139:14
three-quarters 129:14
throw 126:19
thrown 82:22 Thursday 136:21
a.saay 100.21

tidal 39:16 46:2,11
47:12,20 48:22 54:20
55:6,11 68:10,17 69:8
75:10,12 76:8 85:2 88:13 93:17,22 100:3
100:10 105:16,21
110:20 111:1 120:2
122:18 133:5
tie 62:18 113:12
tight 49:11 55:7
times 91:14 123:14 today 4:10 5:16 6:13
7:6 10:4,22 12:11,19
13:11 16:7,17 19:5
22:17 37:21 65:8
110:19 114:21 128:1
136:11
today's 4:15 5:15 8:2
139:12 told 36:2 86:22
Tom 18:22 64:13 90:3
tool 123:15
top 54:15
topic 134:12
topography 26:9
topology 22:14
total 31:18 66:21 67:1
touching 58:13 tours 13:17 93:3
track 70:17
traffic 53:2
transpires 26:15
traversing 89:17
trees 30:9 41:17 44:13
45:15 46:3,17 48:13 50:8 111:3
triangulated 46:12
tribute 15:11
tried 70:5 90:6 104:14
troubling 85:19
true 87:20 126:13
truly 85:18 trust 92:17
try 30:17 42:16 58:11
58:18 94:16 102:16
116:17 125:12
trying 20:20 33:12 60:4
67:22 88:22 110:18
136:16 tuck 109:1
TUESDAY 1:6
turn 8:20 18:6 19:12
71:1,2,5 77:16
turned 56:14
turns 18:9
twin 91:21
<b>two</b> 11:11 13:17,21 23:6

39:12 45:4 47:10 93:3 96:8 118:2,9 133:7 two-five 25:17 27:7 28:1,6,14 30:5 two-thirds 78:13 types 72:14 typically 7:1 **U.S** 2:2 ultimate 103:3 ultimately 13:3 14:18 unbelievable 137:22 undefined 59:20 83:14 undergoing 55:17 underground 116:18 understand 9:3 11:19 22:5.9.13 33:17 34:1 58:16 60:4 90:13 97:16 110:10 111:15 117:3 123:6 127:16 127:16,17 131:2 understanding 17:6 60:3 72:13 90:10 understands 101:9 126:22 understood 75:4 116:8 undertake 44:1 47:12 106:19 undertaking 51:21 103:12 131:10 137:12 undertook 30:16 unending 83:14 unfortunate 105:9 unfortunately 72:14 uniformed 11:9 **unique** 130:7 uniquely 77:1 unite 12:1 21:15 24:1 25:10.13 UNITED 1:1 unpersuasive 74:17 unstable 77:15 unusual 34:11 35:11 update 6:15 91:21 135:11 **updated** 92:10 **upper** 38:11 43:10 **urban** 41:18 **urge** 76:16 **usable** 42:9 use 31:10 47:8 65:5,7 66:13 82:3 85:13

54:13 57:3 60:3 63:9 67:3 78:1 79:14 80:2 83:8 89:16,19 92:12

useful 126:1 131:18 uses 97:20 **usual** 135:11 utility 106:21 utter 136:7 V

vacuum 72:10 vantage 50:3 variations 26:10 **varies** 53:19 variety 63:16 various 65:3 varying 66:11 vastness 40:3 50:11 vegetation 48:13 vegetative 52:22 70:6 vehemently 121:19 versa 32:2 versus 43:14 124:15 vertical 129:1 verve 35:21 Vet 93:3 Veteran 8:11 12:12 126:13 Veteran's 42:7 44:17 64:19 106:8 114:5 128:17 **Veterans** 14:7,20 15:11 16:2.13 24:10 29:8 34:20 118:9 120:16 126:4,6,11 127:5 130:15,15,17 132:12 viability 109:10 **viable** 109:5 vice 32:2 vicinity 67:19 victim 71:20 Videoconference 1:9 Vietcong 10:18 Vietnam 10:17 14:14 42:7,12 43:7 44:16,17 45:12 72:3 75:3 81:14 86:7,8 91:13 96:6 119:12 120:12 128:17 view 42:8 47:3,22 48:22 49:9 52:12 67:3 69:21 117:1 131:22 viewpoint 98:2 views 6:4 18:13 42:2 44:16 46:15,16,17 50:18 51:5 52:15 53:1 53:10 70:9 vim 35:20 visibility 52:11 70:1 vision 128:11 visit 88:7 101:6 visitation 105:6

140:7

33:5 37:8,18 38:5

ll .	1	ı	1
visitor 88:19,21 122:13	108:21 133:21,22	wonderful 42:1 55:9	<b>zone</b> 76:10 98:15
127:17	watch 10:19	Wood 33:3	<b>zoom</b> 4:7 19:2 46:22
Visitor's 86:9	watched 11:5	<b>woop</b> 133:11,11	51:12
visitors 12:5 105:8	watching 10:14,17	word 6:20 132:6 136:7	
vista 117:1	water 24:11,12 26:14	work 10:6 13:2 19:14	0
visual 40:17 48:18 51:7	32:18 39:18,20,22	23:4 39:6,9 44:10	
51:9 52:12 69:19,19	40:8 44:15 45:7 47:19	60:1 92:18,18 93:5	1
74:11 107:14	49:18,19 51:19 52:6	97:10 98:4 106:6,20	<b>1:00</b> 1:9
voice 10:5 127:9,20	53:13,21,22 57:19	112:8	1:01 4:2
vote 61:17	85:16 91:14 94:21	workable 110:21	<b>10</b> 41:22
voting 5:9	98:10 135:20	worked 98:18	<b>10,000</b> 41:3 54:21
Voyagers 135:20	water's 49:14 88:3	working 9:16 20:4,19	<b>100</b> 67:17
Voyages 135:21	waterfront 47:8 48:14	25:5 60:2 103:16	100 57:17 100-year 57:4
VVMF 116:17	50:18 53:22 66:13	works 5:20 6:2,7 7:21	<b>106</b> 103:15
•••••	way 24:13 27:12 45:6	59:13 61:10 72:22	<b>12</b> 3:14
	50:1 65:16 67:7,7	84:22 112:11	<b>135</b> 3:19
waiting 23:1	79:20 80:22 83:20	World 14:15 15:16	<b>16</b> 32:9,15 36:11
walk 26:16 48:22 94:3	84:15 90:4 100:4	28:13,16 34:21 46:18	<b>18</b> 1:6 7:12
walking 49:2	106:5 111:21 114:9	58:21 76:15 84:17	<b>19</b> 3:17 32:6
walkway 49:18	124:3,3,13 125:7	96:6 107:8 118:1,2	<b>19</b> 3.17 32.0 <b>19-</b> 11:4
Wall 14:14 34:20 114:5	124.3,3,13 123.7	119:11 121:7,8,9	<b>195</b> 11.4 <b>1986</b> 5:20 130:12
walls 26:3 28:19,21	131:18	137:3,6	<b>1991</b> 78:11 130:12
wanted 25:6 35:7 43:20	ways 41:1 44:6 45:4	worthwhile 103:22	19th 136:8
44:8,9 67:21 69:19	web 6:19	134:7	1901 130.0
93:1 123:19 129:22	website 6:18	worthy 77:1 128:15	2
134:17 138:5	weigh 103:15	wouldn't 66:7 70:9	<b>20</b> 13:12 132:8
wanting 118:12	weight 57:2	121:7	<b>200</b> 21:2 127:7
wants 67:5 113:9 115:8	Welcome 3:5 4:3	wrapped 69:8	<b>200</b> 21.2 127.7 <b>2001</b> 65:6
war 2:1,3 3:7,11 6:14	well-articulated 99:19	wraps 134:12	<b>2001</b> 03.0 <b>20014</b> 13:17
7:13,15,18 8:5,17	well-informed 97:1	Wright 1:15 4:21 33:1,7	<b>20014</b> 13.17 <b>2003</b> 78:12
9:13,20,21 10:8,15	went 73:11,12 84:19	33:15 34:14,18,22	<b>2005</b> 13:18
13:13,22 14:15 15:16	98:17 101:1 102:18	35:3,5,14,17 36:4	<b>2006</b> 92:11
15:20 20:1,22 21:21	106:7,10 121:12	66:1,9 67:9,11,15,20	<b>2015</b> 65:13
24:17,18 28:13,16	140:17	79:2 86:17 87:16,17	<b>2017</b> 7:12
31:3 34:20,21 38:8	weren't 37:11 59:6 70:3	91:4 99:22 100:13	<b>2017</b> 7:12 <b>2021</b> 7:17
40:4,8 43:4,13 46:18	west 43:7 45:21 46:14	101:2 107:9,13,16	<b>2021</b> 7:17 <b>2022</b> 1:6 6:22
58:21 59:4,14 61:8	49:8 51:14 106:1	108:3,7,11 109:22	<b>2024</b> 137:19
62:11 64:2 72:13 73:6	White 30:1 47:4 48:1	110:4,8,12 121:15,17	<b>20s</b> 86:4
75:21 76:6,15,15 77:2	69:21 119:3	122:2 124:20 125:3,6	<b>20th</b> 42:6 119:14,15
77:4 78:14,15 83:16	who've 58:15	125:9 129:18 133:11	<b>21</b> 11:3
84:16,17 85:6 87:7	<b>Wi-Fi</b> 140:9	133:13,15,18 134:8	<b>21st</b> 63:20 83:18 119:9
89:11 92:20 93:4 94:5	widened 67:13	Wright's 68:9	119:14,17 120:15
96:6 97:8,15 100:21	wider 82:14	written 22:1	<b>22</b> 107:22 108:1
104:9 107:7,8 111:13	willing 104:15 106:19		<b>24/7</b> 115:21
112:14 113:1,16	Wilson 1:19 5:7,8	x	<b>27</b> 7:17
114:5 116:11 117:4,9	102:19,22 104:1	<b>X'd</b> 37:9	<b>29</b> 68:14 69:4,5,7
117:19 118:1,2,6,17	wind 71:22 83:7 111:18	7. 4.07.0	20 00.14 00.4,0,1
119:1,4,9,11,17 121:7	Winstanley 2:4,4 19:12	Υ	3
121:8,9 122:21	19:17,21,22 56:21	year 12:22 21:1 61:11	<b>3:18</b> 140:17
130:11 136:17 137:3	57:7 115:8 134:14	84:11 97:22 136:2	<b>35</b> 31:18
137:6 139:5	winter 48:8	year-old 11:5	<b>365</b> 115:21
warrants 23:1	wisest 94:16	years 11:4,8 13:2,13	
wary 77:4	women 65:1,4,16 117:8	15:18 16:10 20:2,11	4
<b>Washington</b> 7:15 42:3	127:19	20:19 59:1 77:7 78:17	<b>4</b> 3:5
42:9,12 45:12,15	Women's 43:7 44:17	79:21 127:2,7,7	<b>40</b> 77:7
46:18 59:5 72:11	45:12 75:3 136:8	131:11 132:8 137:20	1.5
122:8,9,15	won 74:19		5
wasn't 39:19 58:1 73:22	wonder 58:13	Z	<b>54</b> 118:19
II .			

		13
<b>56</b> 13:5 <b>59</b> 15:18		
6	1	
<b>60</b> 59:1 <b>65</b> 127:6	ı	
7	l	
<b>70</b> 50:16 <b>703-346-2948</b> 7:9,9 <b>70s</b> 120:13		
<b>20</b> 127:7 <b>25</b> 78:17 79:21	ı	
8	1	
, <b>00-</b> 54:18 <b>0-</b> 84:10	ı	
<b>0s</b> 59:5 <b>2nd</b> 13:15	1	
<b>9</b> 3:12	1	
<b>.00</b> 54:19 <b>/11</b> 12:8 43:12 118:6	ı	
<b>0s</b> 118:5 120:14 132:3	1	
	1	
	1	
	1	
	1	
	ı	
	1	
	1	
	1	
	1	
	1	

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: National Capital Memorial

Advisory Commission Meeting

Before: US NPS

Date: 10-18-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &