



A PDF text file of the project's approved environmental compliance package containing the letter of compliance completion, categorical exclusion form, environmental screening form, and any other associated environmental clearance forms, as applicable (e.g., Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis, Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Analysis). The signed originals of the package are on file in the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office at Yosemite National Park.

Letter of Compliance Completion

To: Joe Meyer, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2022-193 Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus Water Wells - Phase II (PEPC: 106134)

The Superintendent and park interdisciplinary team have reviewed the proposed project and completed an impact analysis and documentation, and have determined the following:

- There will be no effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect to historic properties.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

Required Mitigations - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- Include standard Division 1 specs for equipment inspection, weed-free aggregate, trenching, and tree/root protection. Vegetation staff will identify invasive plant species, sensitive plant species [REDACTED], and high-value vegetation in areas to be disturbed. Adjust access routes and trench alignment to avoid plants, if possible, or relocate plants, if warranted. Consult with Vegetation staff to select access routes that will be least damaging to plants and the landscape. To restore drilling access routes that won't be used further, contractor will salvage, store, and replace duff and topsoil. If there are deep ruts or changes in grade, rake out ruts and restore natural contours before replacing topsoil and duff. Manage wastewater and sediments from well drilling to prevent sediment entering waterways or hillslopes from being eroded. Consult with vegetation staff before removing or pruning trees or other vegetation. Consult with vegetation staff to identify restoration specifications for temporary access roads and disturbed work areas.
- If possible, work outside of the March 1st- May 31st denning period to minimize disturbance to the Pacific fisher. Also minimize prolonged periods of noise disturbance (like more than 4 hours of drilling) if possible.
- If tree removal is proposed to occur between May 1st and July 31st, trees must be surveyed for nesting migratory birds before removal or trimming. Once surveyed the project has 1 week to remove trees. If work is not completed within 1 week, trees must be resurveyed.

- Project staff will store food and refuse in accordance with park regulations, in bear-proof containers. Staff will not leave vehicle windows open or store food or refuse in truck beds.
- Tribal monitoring required for ground disturbing activities
- Staff will obey posted speed limits while driving through the park.
- All holes will be capped at the end of each day to prevent entrapment of wildlife. All trenches will be backfilled at the end of the day; if this is not possible, wildlife escape ramps will be installed and trenches will be inspected twice a day for entrapped wildlife. If wildlife become entrapped or bears are seen at the worksite, call 209-379-0322 to report observations or request assistance.
- Water spray will be used to suppress dust produced by construction activities.
- Prior to ground disturbing actions, a YOSE archeologist will flag cultural resources that are nearby construction activities. In addition, work crews will be briefed about the nature of cultural resources within the project area. Spot archeological monitoring is recommended during work. Should unanticipated artifacts be uncovered during the project work when an archeologist is not present, the Yosemite Archeology Division will respond to the discoveries.

Superintendent Signature: Cicely Muldoon **Date:** June 9, 2022

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form (CE Form)

Project: Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus Water Wells - Phase II

PEPC Project Number: 106134

Description of Action (Project Description):

The existing Chinquapin water system currently uses one well as a water source to provide water service to the Chinquapin Restroom, the Chinquapin Residence, and the NatureBridge National Environmental Science Center (NESC) Campus and Henness Ridge. The existing well has seen a substantial decrease in productivity; the initial capacity test in 2006 indicated the well produced water at a rate of 27-29 gallons per minute (gpm) while the most recent capacity test performed in July of 2017 indicated that the well production has decreased to about 4.5 gpm. This current rate is insufficient for the system's water demand needs. In 2018, an effort to secure a replacement water source for the Chinquapin area water system was unsuccessful, and several test wells were drilled with limited results (See PEPC 67690). This project builds upon the 2018 drilling efforts and seeks to find another well water source in the general vicinity of the water system. If sufficient water is found, the project will formalize a well at the preferred well site, make improvements around the well, and connect to the existing water system with a priority to secure enough water to open the NatureBridge campus.

The scope of this project includes drilling two 8.25" diameter hard rock wells up to 1,500 feet deep. An air-lift flow test followed by a 10-day capacity test will be performed for each well. If it becomes apparent that the first well has enough flow capacity to meet system demands the subsequent well drilling may be canceled. Access to each location shall be made possible by the contractor via tree falling, brushing, and grading. Approximately 12 trees may be removed to clear the drill sites. Equipment used will include a well drilling rig and air compressor, along with excavation equipment for clearing and preparing the drill site. Drilling is expected to last at least 7 hours for each site.

The approximate locations of the two proposed drilling sites are:

- South NESC Site (~ 37.64403,-119.7044138)- PREFERRED SITE
- Fire Motorway Site (~ 37.6443583,-119.710255)

Exact locations will be determined by the company doing the drilling, in consultation with park resource staff to avoid impacting sensitive resources.

If sufficient water is found at the preferred South NESC site, that well will be formalized and connections will be made to the existing NESC distribution system. Improvements to the preferred site will include new utilities include new well casing, well seal, well level transducer, well pad, well cover, water piping, secondary power lines, 4-20mA signal wiring, and an 8'x10' treatment building. The treatment building will house a chart recorder (charting date, flow, and well depth), flow meter, a sodium hypochlorite crock, backup chemical containment, chemical feed pump, and a programmable logical controller (PLC) with human interface display (HID). A gravel access road to the treatment building and well shall be designed to allow passage and turnaround of a 4x4 vehicle.

This compliance covers drilling at both well locations and improvements at the preferred South NESC site. If the Fire Motorway Site produces better flow capacity results, a follow-up project to design and implement well improvement and utility connections at that site will require further compliance.

Mitigation(s):

See Letter of Compliance Completion

CE Citation: 3.3.C.15 Installation of underground utilities in previously disturbed areas having stable soils, or in an existing utility right-of-way.

CE Justification:

Decision: I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No extraordinary circumstances apply.

Superintendent Signature: _____ Cicely Muldoon **Date:** _____ June 9, 2022

Extraordinary Circumstances:

If implemented, would the proposal...	Yes/No	Explanation
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	None
B. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	None
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	None
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	None
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	None
G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	None
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	None
I. Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	None
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	None
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007)?	No	None
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	None



ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus Water Wells - Phase II

PEPC Project Number: 106134

Project Type: Capital Improvement/New Construction (CI)

Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, California

Project Leader: Joe Meyer

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

See Categorical Exclusion Form

C. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Air Air Quality	Potential	Issue: Ground disturbance and construction activities may produce dust. Impact: Impacts are expected to be localized and temporary. Water spray will be used to suppress dust produced by construction activities.
Biological Nonnative or Exotic Species	Potential	Issue: Heavy equipment may bring exotic species into the park. Impact: Project manager will follow resource protection measures for having equipment cleaned and inspected prior to entering the park.
Biological Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat <i>Fisher</i>	Potential	Issue: Proposed well locations are within Pacific Fisher habitat. Impact: Project manager will follow resource protection measures for avoiding adverse effects to Fisher.
Biological Vegetation	Potential	Issue: Known populations of invasive plant species and sensitive plant species are present in the general project area. The project area is heavily forested and trees and brush will be removed to clear each drilling location. Impact: The Project manager will consult with Vegetation staff to identify areas for avoidance or, if avoidance is not possible, salvaging high-value vegetation for later replanting. Vegetation staff will be consulted prior to removing or pruning trees or other vegetation.

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Biological Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic species <i>Migratory Birds</i>	Potential	Issue: Project involves removal of trees, which may be used as nesting sites by migratory birds. Impact: Follow resource protection recommendations for project timing and nest survey in relation to tree removal work.
Cultural Archeological Resources	None	None
Cultural Cultural Landscapes	None	None
Cultural Ethnographic Resources	None	None
Cultural Museum Collections	None	None
Cultural Prehistoric/historic structures <i>Historic Wawona Road</i>	Potential	Issue: The South NESC location is just on the edge of the historic Wawona Road. Impact: Follow resource protection measures outlined for ensuring drilling takes place outside of the historic site boundary.
Geological Geologic Features <i>Soils</i>	Potential	Issue: Soils along access routes and in drilling locations will be impacted by the project. Impact: Follow resource protection measures for salvaging, storing, and replacing duff and topsoil along access routes and disturbed areas.
Geological Geologic Features	None	None
Geological Geologic Processes	None	None
Lightscares	None	None
Other Human Health and Safety	None	None

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Other Operational	Potential	Issue: The new NatureBridge Educational Campus at Chinquapin currently has no potable water source. Impact: If successful, this project will allow the Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus to open to students and staff.
Other	None	None
Paleontological Paleontological Resources	None	None
Socioeconomic Land Use	Potential	Issue: Other water sources considered for the campus include connecting to the Yosemite West water system. That water system is currently stressed as-is. Impact: If successful, this project will not require connection to the Yosemite West water system.
Socioeconomic Minority and low-income populations, size, migration patterns, etc.	None	None
Socioeconomic	Potential	Issue: If successful, this project will allow the Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus to open to students and staff. Impact: Opening the campus could provide new employment opportunities.
Soundscapes	Potential	Issue: Well installation/drilling may produce noise. Impact: Construction-related noise impacts are expected to be localized and last approximately 7 hours for each well site. Follow resource protection measures related to project timing to minimize disturbance to wildlife.
Viewsheds	None	None
Visitor Use and Experience Recreation Resources	Potential	Issue: If successful, this project will allow the Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus to open to students and staff. Impact: When open, the campus will provide lodging and educational opportunities for NatureBridge participants.
Visitor Use and Experience Visitor Use and Experience	None	None
Water Floodplains	None	None

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Water Marine or Estuarine Resources	None	None
Water Water Quality or Quantity	Potential	<p>Issue: The purpose of the project is to tap into local groundwater reserves. Drilling may produce wastewater or sediments.</p> <p>Impact: If successful, the project may draw down groundwater reserves in the area. Project managers should manage wastewater and sediments from well drilling to prevent sediment entering waterways or hillslopes from being eroded.</p>
Water Wetlands	None	None
Water Wild and Scenic River	None	None
Wilderness	None	None



ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. Park: Yosemite National Park

2. Project Description:

Project Name: Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus Water Wells - Phase II

Prepared by: Daniel Sharon **Date Prepared:** 12/14/2021 **Telephone:** (209) 379-1038

PEPC Project Number: 106134

Locations:

County, State: Mariposa, CA

Describe project:

See Categorical Exclusion Form

Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])

The APE is limited to the immediate vicinity of the proposed work and would not be visible from either the Chinquapin area or from the nearby Wawona or Henness Ridge roads. The APE includes the Nature Bridge campus as well as the proposed well location(s), a new well access road, Branchline #1 (CA-MRP-1485H), the Old Wawona Road (P-22-000296), the new water treatment building, and the existing water storage tanks. Although the new well will provide water to the Chinquapin area, existing water pipes would be used to convey that water and no excavation would be required other than required to connect to the existing water lines at the Henness Ridge campus.

The subsurface vertical APE for the well sites measure 8.25" in diameter and up to 1,500' deep.

The native vegetation in the area will screen the majority of work being completed under this project so visual effects will be minimal.

3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties? Yes

4. Potentially Affected Resource(s):

Archeological Resources Present: Yes

Historical Structures/Resources Present: Yes

Cultural Landscapes Present: Yes

Ethnographic Resources Present: No

5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

Yes/No	The proposed action will...
No	Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No	Replace historic features/elements in kind
No	Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
No	Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
No	Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape
No	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible
No	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible
Yes	Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources
No	Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources
No	Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)
No	Other (please specify):

6. Supporting Study Data:

(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

[X] 106 Advisor

Name: Hope Schear

Date: 06/06/2022

Comments: Compliance complete. SHPO concurrence received 6/6/2022.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: ___No Potential to Cause Effect ___No Historic Properties Affected X No Adverse Effect ___Adverse Effect ___Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] Anthropologist

Name: Liz Williams

Date: 12/27/2021

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: ___No Potential to Cause Effect ___No Historic Properties Affected X No Adverse Effect ___Adverse Effect ___Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: Tribal monitor required due to ground disturbance.

[X] Anthropologist

Name: Hope Schear

Date: 04/04/2022

Comments: Tribes consulted through March 2022 project spreadsheet. No comments, concerns, or objections received within 30-day review period.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [☐]

Assessment of Effect: ☐ No Potential to Cause Effect ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] Archeologist

Name: Matthew Flynn

Date: 01/31/2022

Comments: 1. South NESC Site (~ 37.64403,-119.7044138)

Site is just off the edge of the historic Wawona Road site. Location is just outside of recent survey, and pedestrian survey should be done to confirm lack of sensitivity. If this location is chosen, access route for drilling will have to be established to ensure Wawona road site is undisturbed and spot checks for monitoring should be scheduled.

2. Fire Motorway Site (~ 37.6443583,-119.710255)

Site is not in known archeologically sensitive locations. Location survey is up to date (1990/1998). Site access can be achieved through non historic roadways. New trenching for pipe would be required.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [☐]

Assessment of Effect: ☐ No Potential to Cause Effect ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: Locations 1 and 2 can be approached as no adverse effect as they are outside of known site locations and trenching along with surface disturbance from heavy equipment can be kept to predominantly predisturbed corridors or locations which have been listed as ineligible. These sites should include some minimal testing and survey to confirm map boundaries. Construction spot checks should occur, but consistent monitoring is unnecessary. Drilling staff should be given a brief tailboard on park archeological resources and given contact information should inadvertent discoveries arise.

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] Historical Architect

Name: Donald Faxon

Date: 03/02/2022

Comments: There appear to be no known standing historic building or structure resources within the greater site APE, and the viewshed of the historic Wawona Road is well shielded from the proposed new water well site (which is set back some 500'-plus from the road) by the prominent rise that exists along the curve in front of that site.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [☐]

Assessment of Effect: ☐ No Potential to Cause Effect ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

No Reviews From: Curator, Historian, Other Advisor, Historical Landscape Architect

C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Assessment of Effect:

Select with X	Assessment of Effect
Not selected	No Potential to Cause Effects
Not selected	No Historic Properties Affected
X	No Adverse Effect
No selected	Adverse Effect

2. Documentation Method:

☒ A. Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

☐ B. Streamlined Review Under the 2008 Servicewide Programmatic Agreement (PA)

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

Applicable Streamlined Review Criteria

(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

☐ C. Undertaking Related to Park Specific or Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a park, region or statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or 36 CFR 800.14.

☐ D. Combined NEPA/NHPA Process

Process and documentation required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD to comply with Section 106 is in accord with 36 CFR 800.8.c.

☐ E. Memo to Project File

3. Consultation Information

SHPO Required: Yes

SHPO Sent: May 9, 2022

SHPO Received: Jun 6, 2022

THPO Required: Yes

THPO Sent: Mar 4, 2022

THPO Received: No comments received

SHPO/THPO Notes:

Advisory Council Participating: No

Advisory Council Notes:

Additional Consulting Parties: No

4. Stipulations and Conditions: Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

5. Mitigations/Treatment Measures: Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

Required Mitigations - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- Tribal monitoring required for ground disturbing activities
- Prior to ground disturbing actions, a YOSE archeologist will flag cultural resources that are nearby construction activities. In addition, work crews will be briefed about the nature of cultural resources within the project area. Spot archeological monitoring is recommended during work. Should unanticipated artifacts be uncovered during the project work when an archeologist is not present, the Yosemite Archeology Division will respond to the discoveries.

6. Assessment of Effect Notes:

D. RECOMMENDED BY PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR:

Section 106 Coordinator Erin Davenport **Date:** June 9, 2022
Signature: _____ _____

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

Superintendent Signature: Cicely Muldoon **Date:** June 9, 2022



Other Compliance/Consultations Form

Park Name: Yosemite National Park

PEPC Project Number: 106134

Project Title: Chinquapin NatureBridge Campus Water Wells - Phase II

Project Type: Capital Improvement/New Construction

Project Location:

County, State: Mariposa, CA

Project Leader: Joe Meyer

ESA

Any Federal Species in the project Area? Yes

If species in area: No Effect

Was Biological Assessment prepared?

If Biological Assessment prepared, concurred?

Formal Consultation required? No

Formal Consultation Notes:

Formal Consultation Concluded:

Any State listed Species in the Project Area? No

Consultation Information: Project is outside of California red-legged frog, Yosemite toad, and Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog habitat within the park. No effect to these species is anticipated. The project occurs within Pacific fisher habitat, as long as mitigations are followed the project will be a no effect.

General Notes: Proposed well locations are within Pacific Fisher habitat

Data Entered By: Heather Mackey **Date:** Jan 4, 2022

ESA Mitigations

Mitigation ID	Text
129021	If possible work outside of the March 1st- May 31st denning period to minimize disturbance to the Pacific fisher. Also minimize prolonged periods of noise disturbance (like more than 4 hours of drilling) if possible.

Floodplains/Wetlands/§404 Permits

Question	Yes/No	Details
A.1. Is project in 100- or 500-year floodplain or flash flood hazard area?	No	Not in floodplain or flash flood hazard area.
A.2. Is Project in wetlands as defined by NPS/DOI?	No	Not in wetland as defined by NPS/DOI.
B. COE Section 404 permit needed?	No	No placement of fill in waters of the United States.
C. State 401 certification?	No	None

Question	Yes/No	Details
D. State Section 401 Permit?	No	Issue Date: Expiration Date:
E. Tribal Water Quality Permit?	No	None
F. CZM Consistency determination needed?	N/A	N/A
G. Erosion & Sediment Control Plan Required?	No	None
H. Any other permits required?	No	Permit Information:
Other Information:	No	None

Data Entered By: Daniel Sharon **Date:** Dec 14, 2021

Floodplains & Wetlands Mitigations

No Floodplains & Wetlands mitigations are associated with this project.

Wilderness

Question	Yes/No	Notes
A. Does this project occur in or adjacent to Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness?	No	None
B. Is the only place to conduct this project in wilderness?	No	None
C. Is the project necessary for the administration of the area as wilderness?	No	None
D. Would the project or any of its alternatives adversely affect (directly or indirectly) Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)	No	None
E. Does the project or any of its alternatives involve the use of any of the Wilderness Act Section 4(c) prohibited uses: commercial enterprise, permanent road, temporary road, motor vehicles, motorized equipment, motorboats, landing of aircraft, mechanical transport, structure, or installation? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)	No	None
If the answer to D or E above is "Yes" then a Minimum Requirements Analysis is required. Describe the status of this analysis in the column to the right.	N/A	Initiation Date: Completed Date: Approved Date:
Other Information:	No	None

Data Entered By: Daniel Sharon **Date:** Dec 14, 2021

Other Permits/Laws *Questions A & B are no longer used.*

Question	Yes/No
C. Wild and scenic river concerns exist?	No
D. National Trails concerns exist?	No
E. Air Quality consult with State needed?	No
F. Consistent with Architectural Barriers, Rehabilitation, and Americans with Disabilities Acts or not Applicable? (If N/A check Yes)	Yes
G. Other:	No

Other Information:

Data Entered By: Daniel Sharon

Date: Dec 14, 2021