



A PDF text file of the project's approved environmental compliance package containing the letter of compliance completion, categorical exclusion form, environmental screening form, and any other associated environmental clearance forms, as applicable (e.g., Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis, Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Analysis). The signed originals of the package are on file in the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office at Yosemite National Park.

Letter of Compliance Completion

To: Joshua Keyes, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2021-102 Ahwahnee Hotel Boiler Conversion (YH 2021) (98959)

The Superintendent and park interdisciplinary team have reviewed the proposed project and completed an impact analysis and documentation, and have determined the following:

- There will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect to historic properties.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

Required Mitigations - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

Archeological Mitigations

- If a significant discovery occurs during monitoring or as part of an inadvertent finding, work at or adjacent to the discovery shall cease and the NPS shall be immediately notified by calling the YNP Branch of Anthropology (Dawn Bringelson, 209-379-1060). The area of the work stoppage should be adequate to provide for the security, protection, and integrity of the discovery. Protection measures include: 1. Halting work within 10 meters of the finding. 2. Notify the site or area crew lead and associated equipment operators.
- Archeological monitoring should be implemented during construction and focus on construction of the concrete pad and fence posts for the expanded tank farm between the hotel and employee dormitory. Use of contracted monitors that have performed work in the same area during multiple projects is recommended.

Vegetation Mitigations

- Measures shall be taken to prevent the introduction of exotic species in the project area and staging areas. All earth moving equipment must enter the Park free of dirt, dust, mud, seeds, or other potential contaminant. Examples of equipment that require inspection are excavators, skid steers, or boring equipment. Passenger vehicles do not need inspection but should be clean prior to entry in the park. Equipment exhibiting any dirt or other material attached to frame, tires, wheels, or other parts shall be thoroughly cleaned by the Contractor before entering the Park. Areas inspected shall include, but not be limited to, tracks, track guard/housings, belly pans/under covers, buckets, rippers, and other attachments. Equipment that does not pass inspection will be turned around to the nearest cleaning facility outside the

park. The Contractor shall notify the Construction manager at least two workdays (not including weekends) prior to bringing any equipment into the Park. Equipment found to have entered the Park with potential contaminants will be removed from the Park at the direction of the Contracting Officer at Contractor's sole expense. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

- Disturbance to existing native vegetation shall primarily be contained in previously disturbed areas or within narrow construction limits. Whenever practicable, soils and plants affected by construction shall be salvaged for reuse in site restoration.

Comment: If trenching is in natural area, this mitigation applies. After salvage, replace topsoil and plants over trench corridor to restore native vegetation.

- Construction sites will abide by best management practices regarding avoidance of tree damage. Trees will have fencing established to prevent vehicle damage to main stem, root pruning will be used to trim roots within below grade work zones, care will be given to avoid compaction of soils over root systems. *Comment:* If trenching within dripline (edge) of tree canopies, retain roots 2 inches in diameter or larger by hand-digging and laying pipe below roots. Consult with Vegetation staff (Athena Demetry, 209-379-3296) and arrange for Vegetation staff to be on-site during trenching operations.

Wildlife Mitigations

- If a California Red-Legged Frog is observed in the project area, stop work immediately and contact the aquatic biologist (Rob Grasso, 209-379-1438). Do not resume work until the aquatic biologist approves re-initiation.
- Follow all mitigations listed in the Reinitiation of Formal Consultation on the California Red-Legged Frog Reintroduction Project in Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park Biological Opinion.

Other Mitigations

- Only project actions described in PEPC 98959 are approved for implementation. Modification to plans or additional actions require additional review and approval from the Yosemite National Park Environmental Planning and Compliance Office.
- The contractor will follow the Yosemite Hospitality SPCC plan for the site location and spill prevention plans.

Superintendent Signature: _____ Cicely Muldoon **Date:** _____ August 17, 2021

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form (CE Form)

Project: Ahwahnee Hotel Boiler Conversion (YH 2021)

PEPC Project Number: 98959

Description of Action (Project Description):

JUSTIFICATION: The two boilers in the basement of the Ahwahnee Hotel need to be converted to propane per the Yosemite Hospitality contract and the park's priority to minimize use of diesel equipment. The diesel tank at the Ahwahnee had a measurable leak and has been decommissioned and will be removed in early 2021.

SCOPE: This project will convert the existing diesel boiler to propane. This requires the following actions:

- Isolate the electrical and mechanical hook ups to existing boiler.
- Remove and dispose of the existing burners.
- Install two new propane gas burners, a new gas train with gas vent, and gas sensing switch.
- Expand the tank farm with a 25-foot by 30-foot concrete pad with 2-inch vapor barrier.
- Add 4 x 1,000-gallon tanks with required 7-foot spacing.
- Construct a cyclone fence requiring approximately 13 posts with associated ground disturbance for each pole of 3-feet deep by 2-feet in diameter - Trenching from the tank farm will use existing utility trench but additional ground disturbance will include minimal new disturbance.

Construction time frame – Quarter 1 or 2 2021.

Staging areas - 10x20 area in the back dock area of the Ahwahnee.

Mitigation(s): See Letter of Compliance Completion form for mitigations.

CE Citation: C.15 Installation of underground utilities in previously disturbed areas having stable soils, or in an existing utility right-of-way.

CE Justification: The project location is in previously disturbed areas.

Decision: I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No extraordinary circumstances apply.

Superintendent Signature: _____ Cicely Muldoon **Date:** _____ August 17, 2021

Extraordinary Circumstances:

If implemented, would the proposal...	Yes/No	Notes
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	None
B. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	None
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	None
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	None
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	None
F. [Repealed per DOI] Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	N/A	No longer applicable per the updated 2020 CEQ NEPA regulations and DOI direction.
G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	None
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	None
I. Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	None
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	None
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007)?	No	None
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	None



ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: Ahwahnee Hotel Boiler Conversion (YH 2021)

PEPC Project Number: 98959

Project Type: Repair/Rehabilitation (REHAB)

Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, County

Project Leader: Joshua Keyes

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

See Categorical Exclusion Form

C. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Air Air Quality	None	None
Biological Nonnative or Exotic Species	None	None
Biological Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat	Potential	Issue: California Red-Legged Frogs may be present in the project area. Impact: Follow all mitigations listed in the Reinitiation of Formal Consultation on the California Red-legged Frog Reintroduction Project in Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park Biological Opinion if a California Red-Legged Frog is encountered.
Biological Vegetation	Potential	Issue: The tank farm is located in a forested area. Impact: Construction sites will abide by best management practices regarding avoidance of tree damage. Trees will have fencing established to prevent vehicle damage to main stem, root pruning will be used to trim roots within below grade work zones, care will be given to avoid compaction of soils over root systems.
Biological Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic species	None	None

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Cultural Archeological Resources	Potential	Issue: This project is within the boundaries of archeological site CA-MRP-0292/293/H, which is individually eligible for listing in the National Register and is a contributing element of the Yosemite Valley Archeological District. Impact: If previously unknown archeological resources are discovered during construction, all work in the immediate vicinity (600 feet) of the discovery shall be halted until the resources are identified and documented and an appropriate mitigation strategy developed, if necessary, in accordance with pertinent laws and regulations, including the stipulations of the 2008 Programmatic Agreement Among the National Park Service (U.S. Department of the Interior), the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers.
Cultural Cultural Landscapes	Potential	Issue: The project is within the boundary of the Yosemite Valley Historic District. Impact: The cultural landscape would not be affected. The cyclone fence is out of the primary area of significance for the hotel landscaping and Ahwahnee meadow.
Cultural Ethnographic Resources	Potential	Issue: There are potential ethnographic resource issues. Impact: This project was included on the April 15, 2021 tribal project spreadsheet. No comments were received.
Cultural Museum Collections	None	None
Cultural Prehistoric/historic structures	None	None
Geological Geologic Features	None	None
Geological Geologic Processes	None	None
Lightscapes	None	None
Other Human Health and Safety	Potential	Issue: This project will involve use of hand tools and heavy machinery, both of which can present safety issues. Impact: Workers will follow OSHA standards.
Other Operational	Potential	Issue: There may be up to four hours when guests will not have access to heated water during construction. Impact: Guests will be informed of this issue prior to project commencement. The new boilers will have more capacity as four propane boilers are being installed and the concessioner will be fulfilling contractual obligations.

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Other	Potential	Issue: The boiler was leaking diesel. Impact: The new boilers will replace the leaking boiler and will be run with propane.
Socioeconomic Land Use	None	None
Socioeconomic Minority and low-income populations, size, migration patterns, etc.	None	None
Socioeconomic	None	None
Soundscapes	Potential	Issue: There will be auditory impacts during the duration of the project. Impact: The auditory impacts will be temporary, and work will be conducted during typical work hours and will not be conducted during nighttime hours.
Viewsheds	Potential	Issue: The concrete pad for the boiler will be expanded. Impact: The cyclone fence will block the view of the boilers and the expanded concrete pad.
Visitor Use and Experience Recreation Resources	None	None
Visitor Use and Experience Visitor Use and Experience	None	None
Water Floodplains	None	None
Water Marine or Estuarine Resources	None	None
Water Water Quality or Quantity	None	None
Water Wetlands	None	None

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Water Wild and Scenic River	None	Issue: The Ahwahnee Meadow is a component of the historic outstandingly remarkable value (ORV) of the Merced Wild and Scenic River. Impact: This project will not impact the historic ORV.
Wilderness	None	None



ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. **Park:** Yosemite National Park

2. **Project Description:**

Project Name: Ahwahnee Hotel Boiler Conversion (YH 2021)

Prepared by: Erin Davenport **Date Prepared:** **Telephone:** (209) 379-1067

PEPC Project Number: 98959

Locations:

County, State: Mariposa, CA

Describe project:

See Categorical Exclusion form.

Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])

The area of potential effect includes both interior and exterior components. The interior component of this project includes the boiler room under the Ahwahnee Hotel main building. The exterior component includes the expansion of the propane farm which is bounded to the north by the trees that separate the site from the entrance driveway, to the east by the extent to which you can see the propane farm along the trench line (about 20 feet), to the south by the forested edge separating the propane farm from Ahwahnee Meadow, and to the west by the extent from which the site can be viewed in Ahwahnee Meadow (about 30 feet). The above grade APE is the height of the cyclone fence which is 8 feet. The subsurface APE is 2-feet for connecting to the existing propane line and 3-feet for the fence posts required for the cyclone fencing.

3. **Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?** Yes

4. **Potentially Affected Resource(s):**

Archeological Resources Present: Yes

Property Name: Yosemite Valley Archeological District **LCS:**

Archeological Resources Notes: This project is within the boundaries of archeological site CA-MRP-0292/293/H, which is individually eligible for listing in the National Register and is a contributing element of the Yosemite Valley Archeological District.

Historical Structures/Resources Present: Yes

Property Name: The Ahwahnee Hotel (NHL) **LCS:**

Property Name: The Ahwahnee Hotel (1977 NR) **LCS:**

Property Name: Yosemite Valley Historic District **LCS:**

Historical Structures/Resources Notes: Ahwahnee Developed Area

Cultural Landscapes Present: Yes

Property Name: Yosemite Valley Historic District LCS:

Ethnographic Resources Present: Yes

Property Name: Yosemite Valley Historic District LCS:

5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

Yes/No	The proposed action will...
Yes	Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No	Replace historic features/elements in kind
Yes	Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
No	Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
Yes	Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape
No	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible
No	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible>
Yes	Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources
No	Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources
No	Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)
No	Other (please specify):

6. Supporting Study Data:

(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

[X] 106 Advisor

Name: Hope Schear

Date: 07/23/2021

Comments: Compliance complete. SHPO concurrence received 7/15/2021, no comments or concerns received from tribal partners.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: ___No Potential to Cause Effect ___No Historic Properties Affected X No Adverse Effect ___Adverse Effect ___Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] Anthropologist

Name: Liz Williams

Date: 07/23/2021

Comments: Project submitted for tribal consultation in April 2021 Tribal Spreadsheet emailed to tribes for 30

day review on April 15, 2021.
No tribal comments received within 30 day review period.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:


Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] **Archeologist**


Name: Wesley Wills

Date: 02/24/2021

Comments: This project is within the boundaries of archeological site CA-MRP-0292/293/H, which is individually eligible for listing in the National Register and is a contributing element of the Yosemite Valley Archeological District.



All proposed excavation would occur in areas previously disturbed by various historical and modern developments, including construction of the hotel and subsequent maintenance upgrades in the modern era.



Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: Archeological monitoring should be implemented during construction and focus on construction of the concrete pad and fence posts for the expanded tank farm between the hotel and employee dormitory. Use of contracted monitors that have performed work in the same area during multiple projects is recommended.

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] **Historian**

Name: Elaine Jackson-Retondo

Date: 03/16/2021

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

[X] **Historical Landscape Architect**

Name: Vida Germano

Date: 03/01/2021

Comments: This project will have no adverse effect on the cultural landscape. The area is not visible from contributing views within the cultural landscape.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance []

Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties Affected No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process

No Reviews From: Curator, Historical Architect, Other Advisor

C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Assessment of Effect:

Select with X	Assessment of Effect
n/a	No Potential to Cause Effects
n/a	No Historic Properties Affected
X	No Adverse Effect
n/a	Adverse Effect

2. Documentation Method:

[X] A. Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

[] B. Streamlined Review Under the 2008 Servicewide Programmatic Agreement (PA)

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

Applicable Streamlined Review Criteria
(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

[] C. Undertaking Related to Park Specific or Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a park, region or statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or 36 CFR 800.14.

[] D. Combined NEPA/NHPA Process

Process and documentation required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD to comply with Section 106 is in accord with 36 CFR 800.8.c.

[] E. Memo to Project File

3. Consultation Information

SHPO Required: Yes

SHPO Sent: Jun 3, 2021

SHPO Received: Jul 15, 2021

THPO Required: Yes
THPO Sent: Apr 15, 2021
THPO Received: No comments have been received to date.

SHPO/THPO Notes:

Advisory Council Participating: No
Advisory Council Notes:
Additional Consulting Parties: No

4. Stipulations and Conditions: Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

5. Mitigations/Treatment Measures: Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

Required Mitigations - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- If a significant discovery occurs during monitoring or as part of an inadvertent finding, work at or adjacent to the discovery shall cease and the NPS shall be immediately notified by calling the YNP Branch of Anthropology (Dawn Bringelson, 209-379-1060). The area of the work stoppage should be adequate to provide for the security, protection, and integrity of the discovery. Protection measures include: 1. Halting work within 10 meters of the finding. 2. Notify the site or area crew lead and associated equipment operators.
- Archeological monitoring should be implemented during construction and focus on construction of the concrete pad and fence posts for the expanded tank farm between the hotel and employee dormitory. Use of contracted monitors that have performed work in the same area during multiple projects is recommended.

6. Assessment of Effect Notes:

D. RECOMMENDED BY PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR:

Section 106 Coordinator Signature: Erin Davenport for Hope Schear **Date:** August 3, 2021

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

Superintendent Signature: Cicely Muldoon **Date:** August 17, 2021



Other Compliance/Consultations Form

Park Name: Yosemite National Park
PEPC Project Number: 98959
Project Title: Ahwahnee Hotel Boiler Conversion (YH 2021)
Project Type: Repair/Rehabilitation
Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, CA
Project Leader: Joshua Keyes

ESA

<p>Any Federal Species in the project Area? Yes If species in area: No Effect Was Biological Assessment prepared? No If Biological Assessment prepared, concurred? Formal Consultation required? No Formal Consultation Notes: A Biological Opinion, Reinitiation of Formal Consultation on the California Red-Legged Frog Reintroduction Project in Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park, is in place</p> <p>Formal Consultation Concluded: Any State listed Species in the Project Area? Consultation Information: General Notes:</p>

Data Entered by: Brenna McGown **Date:** July 26, 2021

ESA Mitigations

Mitigation ID	Text
119758	If a California Red-Legged Frog is observed in the project area, stop work immediately and contact the aquatic biologist (Rob Grasso, 209-379-1438). Do not resume work until the aquatic biologist approves reinitiation.
119759	Follow all mitigations listed in the Reinitiation of Formal Consultation on the California Red-Legged Frog Reintroduction Project in Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park Biological Opinion.

Floodplains/Wetlands/§404 Permits

Question	Yes/No	Details
A.1. Is project in 100- or 500-year floodplain or flash flood hazard area?	No	Not in floodplain or flash flood hazard area.
A.2. Is Project in wetlands as defined by NPS/DOI?	No	Not in wetland as defined by NPS/DOI.
B. COE Section 404 permit needed?	No	No placement of fill in waters of the United States.

Question	Yes/No	Details
C. State 401 certification?	No	None
D. State Section 401 Permit?	No	Issue Date: Expiration Date:
E. Tribal Water Quality Permit?	No	None
F. CZM Consistency determination needed?	No	Date Review Requested: Date Reply Received: Date State Concurred:
G. Erosion & Sediment Control Plan Required?	No	None
H. Any other permits required?	No	Permit Information:
Other Information:	No	None

Data Entered by: Brenna McGown

Date: July 26, 2021

Floodplains & Wetlands Mitigations

No Floodplains & Wetlands mitigations are associated with this project.

Wilderness

Question	Yes/No	Notes
A. Does this project occur in or adjacent to Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness?	No	None
B. Is the only place to conduct this project in wilderness?	No	None
C. Is the project necessary for the administration of the area as wilderness?	No	None
D. Would the project or any of its alternatives adversely affect (directly or indirectly) Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)	No	None
E. Does the project or any of its alternatives involve the use of any of the Wilderness Act Section 4(c) prohibited uses: commercial enterprise, permanent road, temporary road, motor vehicles, motorized equipment, motorboats, landing of aircraft, mechanical transport, structure, or installation? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)	No	None
If the answer to D or E above is "Yes" then a Minimum Requirements Analysis is required. Describe the status of this analysis in the column to the right.	N/A	Initiation Date: Completed Date: Approved Date:
Other Information:	No	None

Data Entered by: Brenna McGown

Date: July 26, 2021

Other Permits/Laws *Questions A & B are no longer used.*

Question	Yes/No
C. Wild and scenic river concerns exist?	Yes
D. National Trails concerns exist?	No
E. Air Quality consult with State needed?	No
F. Consistent with Architectural Barriers, Rehabilitation, and Americans with Disabilities Acts or not Applicable? (If N/A check Yes)	Yes
G. Other:	No

Other Information:

Ahwahnee Meadow is a component of the historic outstandingly remarkable value (ORV) of the Merced Wild and Scenic River

Data Entered by: Brenna McGown **Date:** July 26, 2021