



*A PDF text file of the project's approved environmental compliance package containing the letter of compliance completion, categorical exclusion form, environmental screening form, and any other associated environmental clearance forms, as applicable (e.g., Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis, Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Analysis). The signed originals of the package are on file in the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office at Yosemite National Park.*

## Letter of Compliance Completion

**To:** Jim Donovan, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

**From:** Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent, Yosemite Nation Park

**Subject:** NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2022-170 Install Three New Regulatory and/or Directional Signs for the Yosemite Valley Circulation System (PEPC: 98957)

The Superintendent and park interdisciplinary team have reviewed the proposed project and completed an impact analysis and documentation, and have determined the following:

- The project may affect but is not likely to adversely affect threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect to historic properties.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

**Required Mitigations** - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- This project approval is for a temporary installation from Spring to Fall 2022 only. All sign and road changes shall be reversed in Fall 2022. Further consultation with the Yosemite Environmental Compliance Office is required for any permanent changes.
- Project personnel will adhere to the following resource protection measures to avoid/minimize impacts to California Red Legged Frogs (CRLF):
  - 1.) Workers will be made aware that CRLF occupy Yosemite Valley (please see attached educational handout) and will be given an educational briefing on protection measures and identification.
  - 2.) Trenches or holes will be backfilled at the end of every day. Any trenches that cannot be backfilled at the end of the day must have wildlife escape ramps installed.
  - 3.) Project actions will preferably occur during dry months of the year (May to September), during times when precipitation is not forecast.
  - 4.) Project workers will obey park speed limits and exercise extra vigilance while driving during worm/wet conditions to avoid vehicle strikes of frogs and toads.

5.) If a CRLF is found in the project area, all work must cease within 500 feet of the observation and the Aquatic Ecologist must be immediately contacted (Rob\_Grasso@nps.gov; 209-379-1438). Work at the site can resume at the discretion of the Aquatic Ecologist. Nobody except the park Aquatic Ecologist or Wildlife Biologist may move or handle a CRLF.

- For tree or vegetation removal work, consult with Vegetation and Ecological Restoration Branch Chief (Athena Demetry, Athena\_Demetry@nps.gov) to avoid impacts to special status species and potentially salvage and replant vegetation before and after the project.
- Compliance with food-storage and garbage disposal requirements must be achieved at all times.
- Measures shall be taken to prevent the introduction of exotic species in the project area and staging areas. All earth moving equipment must enter the Park free of dirt, dust, mud, seeds, or other potential contaminant. Examples of equipment that require inspection are excavators, skid steers, or boring equipment. Passenger vehicles do not need inspection but should be clean prior to entry in the park. Equipment exhibiting any dirt or other material attached to frame, tires, wheels, or other parts shall be thoroughly cleaned by the Contractor before entering the Park. Areas inspected shall include, but not be limited to, tracks, track guard/housings, belly pans/under covers, buckets, rippers, and other attachments. Equipment that does not pass inspection will be turned around to the nearest cleaning facility outside the park. The Contractor shall notify the Construction manager at least two work days (not including weekends) prior to bringing any equipment into the Park. Equipment found to have entered the Park with potential contaminants will be removed from the Park at the direction of the Contracting Officer at Contractor's sole expense. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.
- Pre-construction shovel probes are recommended at the northwestern corner of the intersection for Yosemite Lodge, new sign-post on sheet 6 at CA-MRP-240/303/H.
- Tribal monitoring is required for post hole digging.

**Superintendent Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Cicely Muldoon** **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_ **April 29, 2022**

*The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.*



---

## Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form (CE Form)

**Project:** Install Three New Regulatory and/or Directional Signs for the Yosemite Valley Circulation System

**PEPC Project Number:** 98957

**Description of Action (Project Description):**

This is a proposal to install three new signs intended to regulate speed, to indicate direction of travel, and to provide basic navigational instructions to park visitors along Southside, Sentinel, and Northside Drives in east Yosemite Valley. Excavation will be required for five 4 x 4-inch sign posts, at 9.43 cubic feet (0.35 cubic yards) per post hole, for a total disturbance volume of 48 cubic feet (1.75 cubic yards). The sign installations will be accompanied by other changes including temporary replacement of pre-existing signs, pavement striping, rumble striping, and installation of barriers and other in-road dividers. These changes will be reversed when the pilot project is concluded in Fall 2022. Displaced soil will remain on site for the purpose of backfilling all post holes. Additional work performed under this project includes:

- Archeological shovel probes in areas proposed for new post holes or grading
- Replacement of broken post near the intersection of Southside Drive and Sentinel Drive

A similar test of the circulation system was conducted mid-May to mid-October, 2021 (PEPC No. 102430). The sign installations are recommended by a professional traffic engineer and transportation planners for a safer and more intuitive operation in 2022, when visitation is expected to exceed 2021 counts; potentially by doubling.

**Mitigation(s):**

See Letter of Compliance Completion Form

**CE Citation:** 3.3.C.5 Installation of signs, displays, kiosks, etc.

**CE Justification:**

**Decision:** I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No extraordinary circumstances apply.

**Superintendent Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_ Cicely Muldoon **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_ April 29, 2022

**Extraordinary Circumstances:**

If implemented, would the proposal...	Yes/No	Explanation
<b>A.</b> Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	None
<b>B.</b> Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	None
<b>C.</b> Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	None
<b>D.</b> Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	None
<b>E.</b> Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	None
<b>F.</b> [Repealed per DOI] Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	N/A	No longer applicable per the updated 2020 CEQ NEPA regulations and DOI direction.
<b>G.</b> Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	None
<b>H.</b> Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	None
<b>I.</b> Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	None
<b>J.</b> Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	None
<b>K.</b> Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?	No	None
<b>L.</b> Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	None



## ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Project Title:** Install Three New Regulatory and/or Directional Signs for the Yosemite Valley Circulation System

**PEPC Project Number:** 98957

**Project Type:** Transportation Plan (TRAN)

**Project Location: County, State:** Mariposa, CA

**Project Leader:** Jim Donovan

### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

See Categorical Exclusion form.

### C. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
<b>Air</b> Air Quality	Potential	Issue: Post hole digging may produce minor dust impacts.  Impact: Impacts are expected to be highly localized and temporary in duration.
<b>Biological</b> Nonnative or Exotic Species	Potential	Issue: Heavy equipment will be entering the park to perform work.  Impact: Equipment entering the park will follow protection measures for cleaning and inspecting equipment to prevent the spread of nonnative or exotic species.
<b>Biological</b> Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat <i>Amphibians</i>	Potential	Issue: Federally listed amphibian species are present in Yosemite Valley and may be on roads during warm, wet nights.  Impact: Workers will be informed to obey speed limits and drive slowly on warm, wet nights to avoid impacts to listed frogs that may be located on roadways.
<b>Biological</b> Vegetation	Potential	Issue: This project proposes to remove 3 trees south of the Southside and Sentinel Drive intersection.  Impact: Project manager will consult with park vegetation staff to ensure that trees proposed for removal are not rare, special status, or culturally significant.
<b>Biological</b> Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic species	None	None

<b>Resource</b>	<b>Potential for Impact</b>	<b>Potential Issues &amp; Impacts</b>
<b>Cultural</b> Archeological Resources <i>Yosemite Valley Archeological District</i>	Potential	Issue: The project area is located within the Yosemite Valley Archeological District. Specific new sign locations may overlap with known archeological sites.  Impact: Archeological monitoring or shovel probes of post hole excavation may be required for certain areas to document any exposed features.
<b>Cultural</b> Cultural Landscapes <i>Yosemite Valley and Yosemite Village Historic Districts</i>	Potential	Issue: The project area is located within the Yosemite Valley and Yosemite Village Historic Districts. New signs are proposed within each of these districts.  Impact: The project has been reviewed by a Historic Landscape Architect and has been assessed as having no adverse effect to these Historic Districts.
<b>Cultural</b> Ethnographic Resources	None	None
<b>Cultural</b> Museum Collections	None	None
<b>Cultural</b> Prehistoric/historic structures	None	None
<b>Geological</b> Geologic Features	Potential	Issue: A total of approximately 1.75 cubic yards of soil will be removed to facilitate sign installation.  Impact: Displaced soil will remain on site for the purpose of backfilling all post holes when the temporary project is reversed in Fall 2022.
<b>Geological</b> Geologic Features	None	None
<b>Geological</b> Geologic Processes	None	None
<b>Lightscares</b>	None	None
<b>Other</b> Human Health and Safety	Potential	Issue: The proposed temporary sign and striping changes are recommended by a professional traffic engineer and transportation planners for safer and more intuitive navigation of Yosemite Valley.  Impact: The project is expected to increase transportation safety in Yosemite Valley during the term of the project.
<b>Other</b> Operational	Potential	Issue: Implementation of the temporary sign and striping changes may impact multiple NPS and concessioner functions, including parking, hotel, and shuttle operations.  Impact: Different park operational groups were consulted in determining potential impacts to operations. Overall the project is expected to increase navigational fluidity to Yosemite Valley recreational resources.

<b>Resource</b>	<b>Potential for Impact</b>	<b>Potential Issues &amp; Impacts</b>
<b>Other</b>	None	None
<b>Paleontological</b> Paleontological Resources	None	None
<b>Socioeconomic</b> Land Use	None	None
<b>Socioeconomic</b> Minority and low-income populations, size, migration patterns, etc.	None	None
<b>Socioeconomic</b>	None	None
<b>Soundscapes</b>	None	None
<b>Viewsheds</b>	Potential	Issue: See Cultural Landscapes, above.
<b>Visitor Use and Experience</b> Recreation Resources	None	None
<b>Visitor Use and Experience</b> Visitor Use and Experience	Potential	Issue: See Human Health and Safety and Operational Impacts, above.
<b>Water</b> Floodplains	None	None
<b>Water</b> Marine or Estuarine Resources	None	None
<b>Water</b> Water Quality or Quantity	None	None
<b>Water</b> Wetlands	None	None
<b>Water</b> Wild and Scenic River <i>Merced Wild and Scenic River</i>	Potential	Issue: Improving vehicle circulation in Yosemite Valley was identified as a need in the Merced Wild and Scenic River EIS ROD.  Impact: This project will provide a second year of data to inform potential permanent circulation improvements in Yosemite Valley.
<b>Wilderness</b>	None	None



# ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

## A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. **Park:** Yosemite National Park

### 2. **Project Description:**

**Project Name:** Install Three New Regulatory and/or Directional Signs for the Yosemite Valley Circulation System

**Prepared by:** Daniel Sharon      **Date Prepared:** 01/06/2022      **Telephone:** (209) 379-1038

**PEPC Project Number:** 98957

#### **Locations:**

**County, State:** Mariposa, CA

#### **Describe project:**

See Categorical Exclusion Form

#### **Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])**

The vertical APE encompasses the area from which all proposed signs are visible. Signs will stand approximately 8-11 feet above the ground surface. Pavement will be restriped and rumble stripping applied. The subvertical APE is limited to the post holes needed for each new sign (approximately 0.35 CY per sign) for a total of approximately 1.75 CY.

The project is a temporary installation from mid-May 2022 to Mid-October 2022. All changes will be reversed when the pilot ends in Fall 2022. All signs will be removed and holes backfilled with the original displaced soil, pavement striping will be reverted to original, and pre-existing signs will be replaced.

3. **Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?** Yes

### 4. **Potentially Affected Resource(s):**

**Archeological Resources Present:** Yes

**Property Name:** Yosemite Valley Archeological District      **LCS:**

**Historical Structures/Resources Present:** Yes

**Cultural Landscapes Present:** Yes

**Property Name:** Yosemite Valley Archeological District      **LCS:**

**Property Name:** Yosemite Valley Historic District      **LCS:**

**Property Name:** Yosemite Village Historic District      **LCS:**

**Ethnographic Resources Present:** Yes



**Ethnographic Resources Notes:** Project details were included in the March 2022 Tribal Spreadsheet.

**5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)**

Yes/No	The proposed action will...
No	Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No	Replace historic features/elements in kind
No	Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
No	Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
Yes	Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape
No	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible
No	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible
Yes	Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources
No	Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources
No	Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)
No	Other (please specify):

**6. Supporting Study Data:**

**(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)**

Monitoring should occur for planned new signposts at:

- 1- northwestern corner of intersection at Yosemite Lodge (sheet 6) CA-MRP-0240/303/H;
- 2- meadow area (sheet 18),
- 3- southern side of Sentinel Bridge (sheets 22) CA-MRP-0079/H.

Subsurface probes prior to construction are recommended for the posted sign near Yosemite Lodge (sheet 6). The purpose is to capture anticipated archeological data and use the same footprint for the new sign-post.

**B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS**

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

**[ X ] 106 Advisor**

**Name:** Hope Schear

**Date:** 04/04/2022

**Comments:** Compliance complete. YOSE PA streamlined review, the undertaking fits under PA categories 9 and 3. No comments, concerns, or objections received from consulting tribes within 30-day review period.

**Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ]**

**Assessment of Effect:** \_\_\_No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_No Historic Properties Affected  X No Adverse Effect \_\_\_Adverse Effect \_\_\_Streamlined Review

**Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:** Please follow all specialist's recommendations.

**Doc Method:** Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement

**[ X ] Anthropologist**

**Name:** Hope Schear

**Date:** 04/04/2022

**Comments:** Tribes consulted through March 2022 project tracking spreadsheet. No comments, concerns, or objections were received by park staff within 30-day review period.

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* [ ☐ ]

**Assessment of Effect:** ☐ No Potential to Cause Effect ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

**Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:**

**Doc Method:** Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement

**[ X ] Archeologist**

**Name:** Edward Broughton

**Date:** 03/18/2022

**Comments:** The APE crosses segments of the Yosemite Valley Archeological District (YVAD). Potential effects upon their overall character are considered to be insignificant. Historic era resources are well documented through surface surveys. Ten archeological sites boundaries have been well delineated by subsurface probes and evaluated through prior testing. Seven of these are listed in the National or California Register, with many contributing to the YVAD. Their significance includes representative sequences for regional study and represent several ethnographic village sites.

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* [ ☐ ]

**Assessment of Effect:** ☐ No Potential to Cause Effect ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

**Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:** Monitoring new sign post construction is recommended for two posts at Chapel Meadow (Sheet 18), one post at (Sheet 22), and Sheet 6: double posted sign on northwest corner of the Yosemite Falls intersection. A subsurface probe was completed this last (sheet 6) location of proposed double-post sign. Non-significant findings now permit some deviation to the new sign location as needed.

**Doc Method:** Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement

**[ X ] Historical Landscape Architect**

**Name:** Vida Germano

**Date:** 01/18/2022

**Comments:** Project will install required traffic signs for safety and wayfinding. The signs will have no adverse effect on the cultural landscape.

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* [ ☐ ]

**Assessment of Effect:** ☐ No Potential to Cause Effect ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☒ Streamlined Review

**Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:**

**Doc Method:** Streamlined Review (PA)

**Streamlined Activity:**

3. Repair/Resurfacing/Removal of Existing, Roads, Trails and Parking Areas
10. Erection of Signs, Wayside Exhibits, and Memorial Plaques

**No Reviews From:** Curator, Historical Architect, Historian, Other Advisor

## C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 1. Assessment of Effect:

Select with X	Assessment of Effect
n/a	No Potential to Cause Effects
n/a	No Historic Properties Affected
X	No Adverse Effect
n/a	Adverse Effect

### 2. Documentation Method:

#### ☐ A. Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

#### ☐ B. Streamlined Review Under the 2008 Servicewide Programmatic Agreement (PA)

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

#### Applicable Streamlined Review Criteria

(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

#### ☐ C. Undertaking Related to Park Specific or Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a park, region or statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or 36 CFR 800.14.

#### ☐ D. Combined NEPA/NHPA Process

Process and documentation required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD to comply with Section 106 is in accord with 36 CFR 800.8.c.

#### ☐ E. Memo to Project File

### 3. Consultation Information

**SHPO Required:** No

**SHPO Sent:**

**SHPO Received:**

**THPO Required:** Yes

**THPO Sent:** 3/4/2022

**THPO Received:** No comments received after 30 days

**SHPO/THPO Notes:**

**Advisory Council Participating:** No

**Advisory Council Notes:**

**Additional Consulting Parties:** No

**4. Stipulations and Conditions:** Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

**5. Mitigations/Treatment Measures:** Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

**Required Mitigations** - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- Pre-construction shovel probes are recommended at the northwestern corner of the intersection for Yosemite Lodge, new sign-post on sheet 6 at CA-MRP-240/303/H.
- Tribal monitoring is required for post hole digging.
- This project approval is for a temporary installation from Spring to Fall 2022 only. All sign and road changes shall be reversed in Fall 2022. Further consultation with the Yosemite Environmental Compliance Office is required for any permanent changes.

**6. Assessment of Effect Notes:**

Project activities fit within the scope of the 2020 Yosemite Parkwide Programmatic Agreement Categories 9. Installation of Signs, Wayside Exhibits, and Plaques and 3. Maintenance/Repair/Resurfacing/Removal of Roads and Parking Areas.

**D. RECOMMENDED BY PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR:**

**Section 106 Coordinator** Hope Schear **Date:** April 27, 2022  
**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL**

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

**Superintendent Signature:** Cicely Muldoon **Date:** April 29, 2022  
\_\_\_\_\_



## Other Compliance/Consultations Form

**Park Name:** Yosemite National Park

**PEPC Project Number:** 98957

**Project Title:** Install Three New Regulatory and/or Directional Signs for the Yosemite Valley Circulation System

**Project Type:** Transportation Plan

**Project Location:**

**County, State:** Mariposa, CA

**Project Leader:** Jim Donovan

### ESA

**Any Federal Species in the project Area?** Yes

**If species in area:** Not Likely to Adversely Affect

**Was Biological Assessment prepared?**

**If Biological Assessment prepared, concurred?**

**Formal Consultation required?** No

**Formal Consultation Notes:**

The project area in some locations is in close proximity to aquatic habitat that may be occupied by California red-legged frogs ('CRLF'; listed as 'threatened'). The project activities are unlikely to impact aquatic habitat and are mostly focused on areas of existing development footprint. Due to the limited scope of proposed activities, as well as the protective measures that will be implemented, the project "may affect, but is unlikely to adversely affect" the California red-legged frog. The project is being placed under the 2018 Biological Opinion issued by the USFWS (attached). The following resource protections will be enacted: 1) Workers will be made aware that CRLF occupy Yosemite Valley (please see attached educational handout) and will be given an educational briefing on protection measures and identification. 2) Trenches or holes will be backfilled at the end of every day. Any trenches that cannot be backfilled at the end of the day must have wildlife escape ramps installed. 3) Project actions will preferably occur during dry months of the year (May to September), during times when precipitation is not forecast. 4) Project workers will obey park speed limits and exercise extra vigilance while driving during worm/wet conditions to avoid vehicle strikes of frogs and toads. 5) If a CRLF is found in the project area, all work must cease within 500 feet of the observation and the Aquatic Ecologist must be immediately contacted (Rob\_Grasso@nps.gov; 209-379-1438). Work at the site can resume at the discretion of the Aquatic Ecologist. Nobody except the park Aquatic Ecologist or Wildlife Biologist may move or handle a CRLF.

**Formal Consultation Concluded:**

**Any State listed Species in the Project Area?** No

**Consultation Information:**

**General Notes:**

**Data Entered by:** Ninette Daniele

**Date:** March 15, 2022

### ESA Mitigations

See Letter of Compliance Completion

## Floodplains/Wetlands/§404 Permits

Question	Yes/No	Details
A.1. Is project in 100- or 500-year floodplain or flash flood hazard area?	Yes	Determined to be exempt from compliance with Director's Order #77-2 and no Floodplain Statement of Findings required.
A.2. Is Project in wetlands as defined by NPS/DOI?	No	Not in wetland as defined by NPS/DOI.
B. COE Section 404 permit needed?	No	No placement of fill in waters of the United States.
C. State 401 certification?	No	None
D. State Section 401 Permit?	No	<b>Issue Date:</b> <b>Expiration Date:</b>
E. Tribal Water Quality Permit?	No	None
F. CZM Consistency determination needed?	N/A	N/A
G. Erosion & Sediment Control Plan Required?	No	None
H. Any other permits required?	No	<b>Permit Information:</b>
Other Information:	No	None

Data Entered by: Daniel Sharon

Date: January 19, 2022

## Floodplains & Wetlands Mitigations

*No Floodplains & Wetlands mitigations are associated with this project.*

## Wilderness

Question	Yes/No	Notes
A. Does this project occur in or adjacent to Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness?	No	None
B. Is the only place to conduct this project in wilderness?	No	None
C. Is the project necessary for the administration of the area as wilderness?	No	None
D. Would the project or any of its alternatives adversely affect (directly or indirectly) Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)	No	None
E. Does the project or any of its alternatives involve the use of any of the Wilderness Act Section 4(c) prohibited uses: commercial enterprise, permanent road, temporary road, motor vehicles, motorized equipment, motorboats, landing of aircraft, mechanical transport, structure, or installation? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)	No	None

Question	Yes/No	Notes
If the answer to D or E above is "Yes" then a Minimum Requirements Analysis is required. Describe the status of this analysis in the column to the right.	N/A	<b>Initiation Date:</b> <b>Completed Date:</b> <b>Approved Date:</b>
Other Information:	No	None

Data Entered by: Daniel Sharon

Date: January 19, 2022

Other Permits/Laws *Questions A & B are no longer used.*

Question	Yes/No
C. Wild and scenic river concerns exist?	No
D. National Trails concerns exist?	No
E. Air Quality consult with State needed?	No
F. Consistent with Architectural Barriers, Rehabilitation, and Americans with Disabilities Acts or not Applicable? (If N/A check Yes)	Yes
G. Other:	No

Other Information:

Data Entered by: Daniel Sharon

Date: January 19, 2022