UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL CAPITAL MEMORIAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
JULY 27, 2022

+ + + + +

The National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission met via Videoconference, at 1:00 p.m. EST, Peter May, Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

PETER MAY, Chairman, representing the Director of the National Park Service

MICHAEL SHERMAN, representing the Chairman of the National Capital Planning Commission

THOMAS LUEBKE, representing the Chairman of the Commission of Fine Arts

DAVID MALONEY, representing the Mayor of the District of Columbia

MINA WRIGHT, representing the Administrator of the General Services Administration

PAUL McMAHON, representing the Secretary of Defense

EDWIN FOUNTAIN, representing the Chairman of the American Battle Monuments Commission JOSEPH IMAMURA, representing the Architect of

the Capitol

AGENDA 1 SPEAKERS - SMITHSONIAN PRESENTATION RON CORTEZ, Under Secretary for Administration, Smithsonian

LUANNE GREENE, President, Ayers Saint Gross ANN TROWBRIDGE, Associate Director for Planning, Smithsonian

AGENDA 2 SPEAKERS - H.R.6720

JAMIE RASKIN, U.S. Representative

MARK DANN, Thomas Paine Memorial Association

MARGARET DOWNEY, President, Thomas Paine

Memorial Association

GENE JONES, U.S. Veteran Perspective

KAREN HINEMAN, Conservative Perspective

MANDISA THOMAS, African-American Perspective

CHARIS HOARD, Generation Z Perspective

AGENDA 3 SPEAKERS - H.R.6611 and S.3579

LEAH NODVIN, Chief of Staff, U.S. Representative

William Keating

AURELIE BONAL, Deputy Chief of Mission, French Embassy in the United States

AGENDA

Opening and Welcome 4
Testimony by Congressman Jamie Raskin in support of H.R. 6720 to Authorize the Thomas Payne Memorial Association Commemorative Work in the District of Columbia
Review of Part 2 of the Smithsonian Site Evaluation Study for Two New Congressionally Authorized Museums: The American Women's History Museum and The National Museum of the American Latino
H.R. 6720, a Bill to Authorize The Thomas Paine Memorial Association to Establish a Commemorative Work in the District of Columbia and its environs, and for other purposes
Break
S. 3579 and H.R. 6611, Bills to Authorize the Embassy of France in Washington, D.C. to Establish a Commemorative Work in the District of Columbia and its environs to Honor the Extraordinary Contributions of Jean Monnet to Restoring Peace Between European Nations and
Establishing the European Union, and for
other purposes
Other Business
Adjourn

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:03 p.m.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Good afternoon. Welcome to this meeting of the National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission.

I want to thank the Commission of Fine Arts for hosting this meeting on its Zoom platform.

Also, thank you to Daniel Fox with the -- with CFA who is helping us manage the meeting today.

My name is Peter May. I'm here representing the Director of the National Park Service in this meeting.

Present today for this meeting are
Michael Sherman representing the Chairman of the
National Capital Planning Commission, Thomas
Luebke representing the Chairman of the
Commission of Fine Arts, David Maloney
representing the Mayor of the District of
Columbia, Mina Wright representing the
Administrator of the General Services

Administration, Paul McMahon representing the Secretary of Defense, Edwin Fountain representing the Chairman of the American Battle Monuments Commission, Joseph Imamura representing the Architect of the Capitol, and representing the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation is Chris Wilson. Mr. Wilson participates with the Commission in an ongoing advisory capacity.

Finally, Sophie Kelly, the National
Park Service Memorials Program Manager is with us
today along with Beth Porter. Beth Porter, our
Commission Secretary and Legislative Affairs
Specialist for the National Parks Service
National Capital Region.

The National Capital Memorial Advisory
Commission was established by the Commemorative
Works Act of 1986 and is required by that Act to
advise the Secretary of the Interior, the
Administrator of the General Services
Administration, and committees of Congress on the
establishment of commemorative works in the
District of Columbia and its environs, and to

provide its views to the appropriate committees of Congress when committees are considering legislation to authorize commemorative works within the District of Columbia and its environs.

The Act also requires the sponsors of authorized memorials to consult with this Commission regarding any design concept proposals.

Today, we have three agenda items.

First, an update on the Smithsonian Institution's site evaluation Site Evaluation Study for the American Women's History Museum and the National Museum of the American Latino.

This site consultation is pursuant to the Congressional authorization for these two museums which require that the Smithsonian consult with the Chairman of this Commission on potential museum sites.

H.R. 6720 to authorize the Thomas

Paine Memorial Association to establish a

commemorative work in honor of Thomas Paine in

the District of Columbia and its environs and for

other purposes.

And H.R. 6611 and its companion bill,

S. 3579 to authorize the Embassy of France in

Washington, D.C. to establish a commemorative

work in the District of Columbia and its environs

to honor the extraordinary contributions of Jean

Monnet to restoring peace between the European

nations and establishing the European Union and

for other purposes.

Now, I will -- before I go into the regular order of business, we're going to jump ahead to receive testimony from Congressman Jamie Raskin who has requested to provide testimony in support of his bill, H.R. 6720 as the first item on our agenda.

And so, I assume to this point,

Congressman Raskin is in the meeting and will be

able to deliver his testimony at this point.

MS. PORTER: Chairman May, it looks like Congressman Raskin is having some technical difficulties so is not available right at this moment. They're still trying.

1 Okay, all right. CHAIRMAN MAY: Well, 2 please continue to work with them and I'm going to go ahead back to the agenda and then we will 3 4 interrupt later for Congressman Raskin. 5 Thank you. So, all supporting materials for this 6 meeting have been -- can be found at the National 7 8 Park Service webpage which is 9 https://parkplanning.nps.gov/ncmacjuly2022. And if you need that link, it should be posted in the 10 11 chat. 12 MS. PORTER: So sorry. Now Congressman Raskin is available if he could be 13 14 elevated to panelists. 15 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MAY: 16 Congressman Raskin, we have already 17 introduced you for your -- to provide testimony 18 so, please go ahead whenever you are ready. 19 I don't see you, there we go, thank 20 you very much. CONGRESSMAN RASKIN: 21 Thank you so much 22 for taking me and for your flexibility.

got my written testimony so I don't want to, you know, bore everybody to tears by reading it.

But I do want to say that America would not exist without Tom Paine and it certainly would not exist as the world's first great constitutional democracy.

You know, before Paine and the Revolution, everything was kings and queens and emirs and czars and emperors and you name it.

And it was Paine's writings that came to define the meaning of America. And his vision was so much more comprehensive and sweeping, even than the most radical founders like Jefferson and Ben Franklin that all of them attributed the success of the Revolution to Tom Paine.

Because even Franklin and Jefferson were, at the beginning before Paine got there, just talking about vindicating the rights of Englishmen under the Magna Carta. And Paine said, we have the opportunity to create a completely new country.

I mean, he got here in 1774, two years

before the Revolution, and he fell in love with the promise of America.

He said that America would become a haven of refuge, an asylum to mankind. And not, an insane asylum, mind you, but a place of refuge for people fleeing from political and religious and economic oppression from all over the world. And he said, and once we created government on the principle of democracy and self-government, then the cause of America would become the cause of Mankind.

So, we not only ourselves would incarnate and instantiate the principles of democratic self-government, but we would become a model and then an aid to people all over the world who, themselves, were trying to throw off the yoke of oppression.

So, Paine wrote in 1776 the Common Sense. It became America's first best seller. It swept the country. There were more than half a million copies that were in print. Then we tried to figure out what that would mean today,

but something like 60 million copies.

I mean, everybody was talking about

Common Sense because what he was talking about

was creating government on a completely different

principle, on the basis of freedom and rights,

and of course, and then the Declaration of

Independence, that becomes the inalienable rights

of the people on the principle of the equality of

everyone. And that becomes the statement in the

Declaration that all men are created equal.

And then, the idea of democracy itself for the consent of the governed, as Jefferson would come to put it. And Jefferson, of course, comes to credit Paine for a lot of his ideas.

But he wasn't just an Ivory Tower intellectual, Tom Paine. He was a rabble rouser and an organizer of Americans against the Crown and for the Revolution. He had many stirring statements, the times have found this.

And then, in 1776 when the Revolution began, he wrote this beautiful pamphlet called

The Crisis. This was the one that George

Washington read to the troops at Valley Forge and in other places and he had readings so that the Revolutionary troops, where ever they were.

But in it, he said, this, I'll update the language a teeny bit at the instruction of Speaker Pelosi just because she's now -- she claims incredibly that Paine was a feminist, an early feminist who argued for equal rights of women.

But he wrote in this passage, these are the times that try men and women, the summer soldier and the sunshine patriot will shrink at this moment from the surface of their cause and their country. But everyone that stands with us now will win the love and the favor and the affection of every man and every woman for all time. Tyranny, like hell, is not easily conquered but we have this state in consolation, the more difficult the struggle, the more glorious in the end will be our victory.

And those words were read to Revolutionary War troops in tattered uniforms,

sleeping in dirty blankets in the mud. And, you know, Washington talked about the electrifying affect that they had on people. And, you know, he had lots of other writings that were so beautiful.

My favorite is really his answer to Edmund Burke on the rights of man when Burke was, you know, attacking the French Revolution.

Paine was a controversial figure
because his passion for democracy was so
overwhelming. And for that reason, he has been
overlooked and he has been pushed to the side.
But I think that Americans across the political
spectrum can invoke him and cite to him and try
to live up this his model.

There were people on January 6th outside who were talking about Tom Paine. There were people on the inside talking about Tom Paine. And to the extent that all of us are trying to articulate the values of democratic self-government, he will always be the sterling example to us.

Napoleon said that there should be statues erected to Tom Paine all over the world because he was really the first great champion of democracy.

So, look, you guys know all of your standards better than I do. I looked at them quickly and it seemed to me that Tom Paine meets all of your standards with flying colors in terms of being a figure of impenetrable historical importance and significance. And it seemed to me you had kind of two different rankings.

I would, obviously, argue for the bull's eye center. I think that Paine is exactly the kind of person that we need to honor, that we should honor and, especially in this century where democracy's under siege all over the world. What better time could there be to honor him?

So, you know, thank you for checking out this petition and I've got a bunch of members of Congress on my side. Because I've been busy with other stuff, I haven't spent a lot of time organizing, but I'm sure I can get more if that's

something that you think you need. Because there are people who cite Tom Paine all the time on the floor who aren't even yet on my list.

And I'm happy to take any questions.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Well, thank you very much for providing those comments and for going off script, as it were.

I will say for myself that you make a very compelling case and not just for the passage of this legislation but also, and sort of, it's a good way to start a meeting like this because it's a reminder to all of us how important the work is of this Commission when we consider questions like this.

And I think we're, frankly, honored to have you here to talk to us about it.

I do not have any questions for you.

I appreciate having your written testimony.

I would look around the room, as it were, the virtual room, and see if any of the other Commissioners have questions. And those questions, Commissioners who don't have their

cameras on, if you are able to turn them on, please do so. I think we have a couple of people who are not showing.

And if you could just physically raise a hand if you had a question, that'll probably be the easiest.

COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Chairman May, this Edwin Fountain. My camera is on the fritz this morning and now it seems to have died. So, that's why I'm dark, otherwise, you'd be looking at the top of my head from a different camera.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Just two comments.

Congressman Raskin, this is the second time I've heard you in less than a week. I heard your comments on the panel on January 6 to the Virginia Bar Association on Saturday. And I tried to send you a note through your office website, but it wouldn't accept my ZIP Code because I live in Virginia, not Maryland.

CONGRESSMAN RASKIN: And it's a

ridiculous rule, we've got to change that. 1 2 happy to give you my email. COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: 3 But I heard you on those remarks and on this, on your 4 presentation today. 5 And also to say, while my history on 6 7 this Commission is not that long, I dare say this 8 is the first time that Napoleon has been invoked 9 as a supporter of legislation consideration. CONGRESSMAN RANKIN: Well, yes, that 10 11 was very much in the background. I didn't want 12 to necessarily say the European text, although, 13 Paine, of course, an Englishman who came over to 14 help us in the Revolution. Okay, I appreciate 15 your comments. 16 CHAIRMAN MAY: Does anyone else have 17 any -- okay, Commissioner McMahon? 18 COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: Thanks. 19 And thanks, Congressman Raskin, for 20 coming. No questions, just a comment, especially 21 since you just mentioned where Tom Paine started from. 22

But having lived for two years as a high school kid in Bedford, England, and everyday walking downtown and seeing his statue downtown,

I think this may be a great addition to

Washington, D.C.

CONGRESSMAN RASKIN: Well, that's awesome, I appreciate that. And I don't know exactly what role you guys play in terms of inscriptions, but there's so many beautiful ones including the times that found us but also I was thinking we have it in our power to begin the world over again, which comes out of Common Sense.

CHAIRMAN MAY: I'm sure that in this circumstance, should the Congress approve the memorial and we get to the point of having the memorial designed, we will be very glad to look over I think of worthwhile information that might be inscribed in that memorial.

Believe it or not, sometimes not a lot of good inscriptions that can automatically go on to a new memorial. But, I'm sure in this case --

1	CONGRESSMAN RASKIN: Well, Tom Paine
2	was the king of the 18th Century sound bite,
3	although he didn't want to be king of anything.
4	But, you know, he said that, in the monarchies,
5	the king is law. But in America, the law will be
6	king.
7	CHAIRMAN MAY: Well, thank you very
8	much.
9	I don't see anybody else indicating
10	that they have questions or comments. So, thank
11	you again. We really do appreciate your taking
12	the time to speak to us today.
13	CONGRESSMAN RASKIN: Thank you so much
14	for having me. I'm available for anything you
15	guys need.
16	CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay, thank you.
17	Okay, so, we'll go back to our regular
18	order.
19	So, let's see, where was I? I think
20	I mentioned that the supporting materials are
21	available on our website and there's a link in
22	the chat.

There will be an opportunity for members of the public to comment on the two pieces of legislation that we will have to review today once the initial presentation for each is complete.

If you have not already signed up to speak, but would like to comment on one of the bills, please contact Commission Secretary Beth Porter as soon as you can at this phone number, 703-346-2948. That's 703-346-2048. Thank you.

I will note that we will not be accepting comments from the public on the Smithsonian presentation.

Let's see, so now, we will move on to Agenda 1. On December 20, I'm sorry, December 27, 2020, Public Law 116-260 was enacted which authorized the establishment of the American Women's History Museum and the National Museum of the American Latino.

This law directed the Smithsonian

Institution and its Board of Regents to designate

a museum location within two years of its

enactment.

Smithsonian is working to conclude its evaluation of potential sites for the museums and we will receive an update presentation on the Smithsonian's findings from the following individuals, Ron Cortez, Undersecretary of Board Administration for the Smithsonian, Luanne Green, President, Ayers Saints Gross, Ayers Saint, Ayers Saint Grossa and Ann Trowbridge, Associate Director for Planning for the Smithsonian.

So, if we can elevate those folks to the screen? Commissioners, you can certainly turn off your video and mute and then we will bring up the presentation. There we go.

MR. CORTEZ: Can I begin?

CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes, please.

MR. CORTEZ: Oh, okay, perfect.

Chairman Peter May, thank you for that introduction and we'll go ahead and give a quick overview as to where we are with this status.

Next slide?

Thank you, Chairman May, and good

afternoon members of the Commission. We are here today for what will be the second briefing to your Commission as the evaluation of the site selection process moves forward.

We appreciate you including us on the agenda today.

Next slide?

Before we provide an update on our site evaluation process, we wanted to briefly provide you an update on some recent activities of the museum which directly affect the Smithsonian American Women's History Museum and the National Museum of the American Latino.

Next slide?

The purpose of the Smithsonian

American Women's Museum is to amplify the

accomplishments of American women, the history

they've made, and that made them and the

communities they represent.

The museum is committed to chronicling these stories in the hopes that they will educate and inspire future generations.

One of the most significant first steps that has happened has been the work with the Museums Advisory Council developing recommendations to the Smithsonian region surrounding the site -- the selection of the site.

Depicted on this slide is the

Smithsonian American Women's History Museum's

Council, March 30th potential sites, the National

Museum of American Latino Board, and the

Smithsonian regions also physically toured each

of the sites this spring.

Next slide?

Last month, the leaders of the Smithsonian and National Museum of the American Latino, the Molina family, and the First Lady of the United States, Dr. Jill Biden, celebrated the opening of the Molina Family Latino Gallery at the National Museum of American History.

The gallery is the first iteration of the National Museum of the American Latino and provides a preview of the Latino Museum's

potential, and helps visitors envision the future museum.

The Molina Family Latino Galley inaugural exhibition presented on Latino history of the United States, introduces critical concepts, moments, and biographies that shine light on historical and cultural legacy of U.S. Latinas and Latinos.

Next slide?

We would like to update you now on the progress in evaluating the sites for the two new museums.

Next slide?

At our March briefing to this

Commission, we shared that our initial due

diligence had categorized the 26 sties into a

list of Tier I sites, those with greater

potential for a new museum, and Tier II sites,

those with limited or no potential.

Following that meeting, one additional site was added for consideration and evaluation.

Site tours have been conducted with

the Smithsonian Board or Regents, the Smithsonian American Women's History Museum Council, and the National Museum of the American Latino Board.

In addition, we have also had a national survey, conducted online, generated input from representative sampling of the public's into the Smithsonian site evaluation process, and development of planning level programs.

Next slide?

We are currently working on the following tasks, reaching out to leadership of agencies with jurisdiction over sites to discuss acquisition potential for each, analyzing a small group of focus sites in greater depth and which I'll describe later in the presentation, we're focusing on four sites, refining the planning level programs for use and the massing studies to inform site evaluation, in other words, how big of a site can we place on each one of these, or museum can we place on each of the sites, and developing cost estimates for the various

scenarios.

We plan to share our massing studies and additional analysis and anticipation of formal comments from Chairman May in September. So those written comments on the site selection as well as the many others stated in enabling legislation will be incorporated into the final site evaluation report to the Regents for their use and making decisions for each museum.

Next slide?

This map illustrates where we started our evaluation process with the long list of sites drawn from those studied by two commissions that developed the Presidential and Congressional Commission Reports that preceding the enabling legislation.

Early in the process, we added two sites located beyond the map for consideration. Since we last met with the group, we've added an additional site, the tidal basin, which was brought into our attention by a member of the public which, again, demonstrates the

transparency and inclusion of our process.

So, at this point in my presentation,

I would like to now introduce you to Luanne

Greene, President of Ayers Saint Gross who will

present an overview of the evaluation criteria we

were using the evaluate the sites.

So, Luanne?

MS. GREEN: Great, thank you, Ron.

We can go to the next slide.

And, just go ahead to the next slide.

So, there are six high level criteria that we're using to help understand the sites, understand the capacity of the sites and their development opportunities and constraints.

Each of those high level criteria has multiple sub-criteria. And those sub-criteria are weighted to reflect critical, important, or desirable characteristics.

As an example, let's go to the next slide, yes, great. So, this is an example of the sub-criteria for location which has been one of the most discussed of the high level criteria.

They are proximity to the National 1 2 Mall. Is the site on, near or off The Mall? Prominence to site location, how visible is the 3 site? The significance of the site to 4 constituent groups, the visitation potential and 5 proximity to other Smithsonian Museums, and 6 preservation of key views and site lines. 7 8 So, we can go to the next slide. 9 So, we've gathered quite a bit of data over the months and quite a bit on many sites. 10 That analysis was instrumental in identifying the 11 12 Tier I sites, as Ron said. Which, in turn, led to the identification of the focus sites. 13 14 So, I'll turn it back to Ron to talk a little bit more about those focus sites. 15 16 MR. CORTEZ: Great, next slide? Thank you, Luanne, I appreciate your 17 18 overview. Next slide? 19 These slides include the three of the 20 four sites names in the enabling legislation, the 21 Smithsonian Arts and Industry Building, the

Northwest Capitol, and the South Monument site

opposite of the African-American History and Culture Museum, plus the additional site at the tidal basin.

And with this sort of emphasis as we move forward to all the stakeholders that we've heard from, whether it be through survey or the councils, all want to be, of course, on The Mall, for various reasons. And that really played into sort of our focusing on the sites that we'll be describing to you here.

And I think you also may have read there was and announced that we'd be focusing on these particular sites.

Next slide?

The first site that we are focusing on is the Arts and Industry Building. This is the only site under the Smithsonian's jurisdiction.

It is one we are looking very closely at its capacity to house a new museum.

It has enormous advantages and its central location of one of Washington's most distinctive historic buildings whose exterior

restoration was completed within the past two years.

That unique historic character also brings some challenges that will require us to be responsive to our architectural program to take advantage of its intrinsic qualities.

And so, of all of these, when I mentioned that we were looking into more information, it ties back to sort of the constraints analysis that we talked about earlier, the massing studies, and also costs.

Next slide?

The Northwest Capitol is in the enabling legislation for both museums as part of the U.S. Capitols ground under the jurisdiction of the Architect of the Capitol. We are looking more closely at this site's capacity to accommodate a museum.

Although at the edge of The Mall's public museum campus, the site's location along Pennsylvania Avenue as well as being on The Mall is prominent and the site could accommodate a

museum at its east end.

Like the other sides of The Mall, this site has challenges including its location in the flood plain and the Capitol's need for physical security measures.

Next slide?

As I describe these sites, I think one thing is clear is that each site has its challenges and complications and that there is no perfect site.

The southwest monument side enjoys a prominent location near the Washington Monument and across from The Mall from the National Museum of the African-American History and Culture.

It was identified as a site for consideration in the enabling legislation for both museums. The site is also in the reserve. The no-build zone that includes the central portion of The Mall formed by its major cross axis.

The site is roughly a third as big as the African-American Museum and would accommodate

a smaller program.

Next slide?

The tidal basin, the tidal basin site enjoys the similar prominent location and proximity to the Washington Monument and to the west of the Holocaust Museum. It is also in the reserve.

Our analysis at this point suggests building in the vicinity of the current rugby field to avoid the flood plain and the more sensitive cherry tree landscapes to the south.

Next slide?

And, as I mentioned earlier, we also did a national survey. We completed the national survey to help us refine our site selection criteria and its weighting.

Those surveyed including panels composed those to reflect national demographics, so those were sort of our statistically samples that were put in place.

As well as we had outreach groups who were tied to the particular museums to provide

focused results.

Next slide?

And these were sort of the findings
that we had. There was no real difference
between the Women's History Museum and the
National Latino as far as differences between the
two. Really there is a bit of a distinction
between the panel and outreach group.

The only real distinction was that the Latinos described more affinity for festival performances, bilingual signs and parks, and places for family friendly spaces and activities.

Next slide?

I think we talked about this. Next slide?

So, what are our next steps? So, we have a very busy summer ahead of us.

Next slide?

So, we will continue our discussion with site owners. We will address feedback from external stakeholders including outreach to solicit comments from agencies and individuals

identified in the legislation for consultation on 1 2 the site selection process. Prior to coming here, we were also at 3 4 the, as many of you know, we were at the NCPC 5 meeting and we were at the Commission for Fine And we continue to try to gather as much 6 7 consultation feedback as possible. 8 We'll continue to further develop our 9 architectural programming and site evaluation including the massing studies which I discussed 10 earlier and vistas and cost evaluation. 11 12 And, finally, we'll provide NCMAC 13 chair in September with the additional 14 information that he's been requesting as well. 15 Next slide? 16 That concludes our presentation and, 17 Ann Trowbridge and I and others, including 18 Luanne, are here and available to answer any

Ann Trowbridge and I and others, including

Luanne, are here and available to answer any

questions or comments, or respond to any comments

that you may have.

So, thank you very much for your time. We much appreciate it.

19

20

21

Thank you very much. 1 CHAIRMAN MAY: 2 I would ask at this moment if the Commissioners can turn on their cameras. 3 I was, at this point, I was thinking 4 5 that we could do questions and comments. I don't have a particular role for that, but I'm willing 6 7 to call on anybody who wants to speak first, ask questions, make comments. And we'll work through 8 9 this until we've all gathered enough information. So, who would like to go first among 10 the Commission? 11 12 Mr. Imamura? 13 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, 14 Chairman May. Thank you, Chairman May, I appreciate it very much. 15 16 I do have a couple concerns and a few 17 questions. 18 But first, I want to acknowledge 19 Undersecretary Cortez and Ms. Greene for 20 preparation that went into this presentation, for 21 the update that you provided us this afternoon.

And, as you've already experienced,

there are a lot of Commissions weighing in on your decision. For the most part, you know, they were established to protect the design integrity of the federal city. And so, they have sort of a long view and I think they think in terms of forever. So, it's really important that we don't rush this decision and we need to get this right and think critically about the decision.

You know, some would say it's probably the most important piece of land in the United States.

And as Congressman Raskin's testimony earlier reminded us how important our work here is.

You know, clearly the selection and any one of these sites invites controversy and criticism, as you already know. And it makes people uncomfortable.

And I understand the position you're in, especially when you're up against the clock to provide a final site selection in the next 609 days or so. And it sounds like you still need

full discussions with some of the site owners.

So, I do have a few questions and some concerns that I want to lay out.

I'm curious to learn whether or not the team considered the museum and memorials master plan, just for general guidance, as part of your analysis? Because I don't think any of the short listed sites are included in that document. I could be wrong.

We know that the Commemorative Works

Act also states that, you know, no museums be

located in the reserve. We know that the

National Museum of African-American History and

Culture is an exception, which might make the

south monument and the tidal basis sites also an

exception.

And we also know that there's the Commemorative Zone Policy that incurred just the placement of new museums and monuments in strategic locations.

And it cites in there, while Capitol grounds is not technically part of the reserve,

the Commemorative Zone Map recognizes that 1 2 Capitol grounds are an inappropriate location for construction of monuments or memorials. 3 4 And you could infer that that includes 5 museums, too. So, it seems to me as though maybe a 6 few of the sites, focus sites you identified a 7 8 little incongruent with both policy and public 9 law. 10 So, it seems to, you know, emphasize 11 that the site analysis has really been an 12 academic exercise without much consideration for 13 the political realities. And I think this is 14 something that Commissioner Wright had pointed out during you NCPC hearing. 15 16 So, I was wondering if you could speak 17 to any of those issues? 18 MR. CORTEZ: Yes, I'm going to have 19 Ann talk a little bit about sort of the, you 20 know, the different areas that we looked at. 21 But I think that we're continuing to, we started with the process, I think, as we 22

mentioned, you know, with the 26, then up to the 27 sites. And really have been looking at what are the more appropriate sites to accommodate both the museum and the input from the stakeholders.

And as we continue to do that, we continue to perform our due diligence as we are focusing on these other sites.

And so, obviously, as I mentioned earlier, and you described so clearly, it's a very challenging issue with every site having its sort of advantages and disadvantages.

And so, at this point, we believe these four, you know, are ones that we are putting the effort into to use the resources to do some of the evaluations that you have spoken about.

But so, we are cognizant. We are aware of these restrictions and we have, we've been from the very beginning. And they are part of our analysis as we go forward and make these recommendations.

So, maybe, Ann, can you respond to these?

MS. TROWBRIDGE: Yes, yes. In response to the first part of your question,

Commission Imamura, The Mall and Memorials Plan is one that we have referenced and referred our architectural consultants to. That was completed before my time at the Smithsonian, so predates 2010.

My sense of that plan is that it's mostly about siting of memorials rather than museums and was not one that I believe the museum community was particularly involved in as a consulted group. But, yes, we have looked at that.

There is a contradiction in the legislation in naming the south monument as one to look at, but also saying, no, don't build there. So, we recognize that. And, in due diligence, we have looked at it.

As you're no doubt aware, our constituents, including several members of

Congress, are very committed to a site on or near 1 2 the National Mall and more on The Mall than a block away. Hence, the emphasis given to that in 3 our evaluation. 4 5 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Ms. Trowbridge and Undersecretary Cortez. 6 7 appreciate your answers to that. 8 I'd like to dig a little deeper, I'm 9 curious about some of your analysis and retrospective look at the McMillan Commission. 10 11 So, as you described for the south 12 monument site, you know, I noted that the team 13 tried to respect the setback line set by the McMillan Commission. 14 And I was curious if you can describe 15 16 if the team discussed or thought about how to 17 respect what the McMillan Commission had in mind 18 for the Northwest Capitol site in terms of 19 height, mass, and scale? 20 MR. CORTEZ: Luanne or Ann, do you 21 want to talk about that? But I would mention, that is true. 22 In the south monument site, we actually -- we made sure that we were adhering to the setback line of the McMillan Plan. That's why it does significantly impact the amount of space that we can have available for the museum.

Luanne or Ann, do you want to talk about the Northwest Capitol?

MS. TROWBRIDGE: I would say that we did have discussions with staff of your office that you were part of, Commissioner Imamura. And we did look more to your current master plan which we understood includes preservation of the memorial trees and anticipates a smaller structure on the site for a facility related to the botanic garden for youth activities. We do recognize that you have a current plan.

The configuration of The Mall has changed a bit since the McMillan Plan. It actually showed buildings in the general location of the south monument and African-American Museum sites with the boundary of the Washington

Monument grounds being 15th Street rather than

14th Street. So, there have been some shifts there over time. We are aware of that.

MS. GREENE: If I could just bring up one more setback that you can't see on the top of the ground which is the 395 tunnel. It cuts the western end of the site.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: So, Ms. Greene, with that, I did note that in the slide deck it showed the Northwest Capitol site with first footbridge bridge between 177,000 to 360,000 which is a really big swing. Is that 177,000 just with those setbacks as illustrated there?

MS. GREENE: That's more to do with the breadth of height options that we've been studying.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. And then that speaks to what Ms. Trowbridge was describing there for the Architect of the Capitol Master Plan for the Capitol complex that does sort of reflect what was envisioned by the McMillan Plan as sort of a two-story structure that sort of mirrored or a sister building to the botanic

gardens, as you all have kind of identified.

I'm curious to learn, too, or to know, in addition to the security and probably inevitable street closures around the North Capitol site and the fact that it's in the flood plain, I'd like to hear a little more about what were other constraints the team wrestled with and considered for that site?

MR. CORTEZ: Well, technically, one of the things that they're taking up or wresting with and, now of course, the security of the site because of the security is obviously one that we're aware of.

We did look at, you know, some of the issues that were talked about earlier regarding potential height restraints.

We've look at 395. We looked at the flood plain. So, those were like the most significant, you know, areas.

And we adjusted where the physical location of The Mall -- of the museum would be based upon those trying to get the most kind of

information.

And that sort of like moves in, you know, to the next phase of where we're doing our study which is sort of doing real more detailed analysis on these massing and constraint studies to further define some of those broad ranges of square footages or not broad.

So, those sort of came about initially as we were looking at 26 sites and trying to figure out generally what could go on them. But now that we've had the more focus, as we continue to do that, we continue to refine those numbers.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: And that seems to make sense to me.

Layered on top of that, you know, I'd like to know how the team also reconciled the fact that the North Capitol site was already identified for the Congressional Working Park and what you envision that conversation to look like with Congress?

MR. CORTEZ: Well, I think I would say, generally, every conversation that we're

going to have with each one of these land owners is going to be complex. And so, that'll be part of the conversation, I guess is the way we look at it.

I don't think any of the conversations

-- I don't think word easy is right. They are
just complex and we need to -- part of the
meetings' discussion, and we're setting those up
to reach out, we did have the initial meetings
where we notified them of this moving forward.

But I think this next one is sort of this engagement back and forth to make sure that we're not missing anything as we look forward in this evaluation that we have at least covered those sort of either constraints, concerns, or opportunities.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: And do you anticipate those conversations taking place within the next 60 days or --

MR. CORTEZ: Oh yes. No, they're going to take place in the next probably few weeks, yes.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay.

And, you know, one of the things that I think is kind of interesting about the Northwest Capitol site is, you know, the fact that ACO is really the steward of that site. it's really the Chair and Ranking Minority Member for all the five Senate and House Committees that, you know, that's ten people, you know, to sort of test what their appetite is for what would really be the first non-congressional public facility within the normal security parameters you've described where the, you know, the proposed museum might be required to follow those security restrictions and possibly cease operations, you know, during heightened events and alerts.

So, that's something that I'm certain you all are considering. So --

MR. CORTEZ: Yes, and according to that, we understand that and there's, you know, we're also, you know, cognizant of the impact and security concerns could close either the museum

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

at particular points in time. And so, those are all, you know, issues that we are aware of and are looking at.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right.

And then, I just have a few more.

You know, we know that the most sustainable building is the one that's already built and I know sustainability is an important factor. Obviously, the Arts and Industries Building is among your list.

I'm curious to learn or know a little more why maybe the Liberty Loan or Bureau of Engraving and Printing fell out favor of your list? And was it the fact that it's just not physically on The Mall itself or was it a floor plate issue? Or what were those issues and why did they seem to drive that?

MR. CORTEZ: Yes, the main driver of where we located with these focus sites is that they're on The Mall? Right?

And then, also, another at least advantage of some of these sites that are on The

Mall is that they're vacant. Right? 1 These are 2 vacant parcels for the most part. So, as we move off The Mall, like for 3 4 those that are on Independence and such, they 5 also require, you know, moving in an additional agency to somewhere else which then, you know, 6 7 provides for further complexity. 8 But the definite driver, by far, 9 number one, is that they're on The Mall. 10 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. 11 And then, with that sort of line of 12 thinking, can you elaborate a little more about the opportunities that you all found for the two 13 sites? For the tidal basin and the south 14 15 monument site? 16 MR. CORTEZ: I'm sorry, I didn't hear 17 that. 18 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes, can you 19 elaborate a little more about the design 20 opportunities that you all sort of analyzed and 21 thought about for the south monument and the

tidal basis site and why those are sort of

compelling sites that rose to the top four?

MR. CORTEZ: Yes, maybe I can start
and maybe Luanne can talk a little bit more.

But I know that the size of the tidal basin site is, given the site at least to me, last two of the Holocaust, so it's fairly flat.

You know where the rugby field is, it's large enough to accommodate the size of the museum that we're looking for and location to The Mall.

Maybe consider that on The Mall.

And so, Luanne, do you have anything or Ann, do you have anything you would like to add to that one?

MS. GREENE: I'll just add super obvious is the relationship with the Washington Monument as well. You're literally in the shadow of it which is just sweeping, but it's sweeping beautiful sites that are, you know, really compelling, that's for sure.

But I will say one thing that we're also aware of, which of course, was to say and what's in the mock, is there, you know, that's an

important entry location into the city and of 1 2 traffic and components like that that, you know, we have to take seriously. 3 4 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I appreciate 5 that, Ms. Greene and Undersecretary Cortez. That leads to my next question about 6 7 sort of that contextual conversation between 8 buildings and how that's being evaluated? 9 example, the south monument site and it's relationship to the National Museum of African-10 11 American History and Culture as well as, you 12 know, what other museums may be coming down the 13 pike, where the tidal basin is and those sort of 14 conversations between them that's the collection of facilities? 15 Right. Yes, I don't know 16 MS. GREENE: 17 if you want to touch on that. 18 MR. CORTEZ: Yes, go ahead. 19 Yes, I think everything MS. GREENE: 20 you're asking, we're deeply immersed in and aware 21 of our current work is really just continuing to recognize and understand the breadth of these 22

issues that need to come together.

And as Ron has mentioned, it is complicated. Right? We want to be really respectful of these different components and test them in the context in which we're working.

MR. CORTEZ: Yes, and issues like, you know, the surrounding areas and height and those types of things are all being evaluated at this time.

MS. GREENE: And I would say we're, you know, we're at an urban design level. And just want to remind everyone, this is planning, this is not design of the building.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: And -- go ahead, Ms. Trowbridge.

MS. TROWBRIDGE: Our next analysis we would share with the Commission, some massing studies and specifically for south monument, we're looking in comparison at the height of the main cornice level of Yates Building and across The Mall to African-American in terms of being compatible with those.

1	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Well, Ms.
2	Trowbridge, that's great. And Undersecretary
3	Cortez, you all have made this very easy for me
4	because at least my last question, which is
5	really a concern, and I'm sure you share the same
6	concern that I have about your massing studies
7	and the level of detail included in that.
8	Because, you know, people might
9	gravitate to those as sort of a general design
10	solution and miss the intent to use them really
11	as a tool to evaluate height, mass, and scale.
12	So, I just urge you to give that some further
13	consideration as you work through your massing
14	studies.
15	MS. GREENE: Yes, we're keeping them
16	diagrammatic, not designs, but with a program in
17	mind.
18	MR. CORTEZ: Thank you, that is right.
19	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Well, thank you
20	all.
21	Mr. Chairman, I appreciate your
22	indulgence and the indulgence of the Commission

and yield back. And I am interested to hear what 1 2 my fellow Commissioners have to say. 3 CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you very much, 4 Commissioner Imamura. 5 Who would like to speak next? all raise your hand. I'll just pick somebody 6 7 So, there we go, Mr. Luebke? 8 COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes, I'm happy 9 to talk. 10 To some extent, I'm the -- so, this was -- this presentation was just reviewed by the 11 12 Commission of Fine Arts not even a week ago. 13 They haven't even released the letter, but I do 14 have a draft of it which I'm going to sort of 15 summarize. 16 So, but my -- what I'm going to say 17 now, I'm going to pretty much reiterate or 18 summarize what the Commission member said in that review and with a few extra comments. 19 20 So, I think we -- I do have one 21 question which isn't maybe so much for the 22 Smithsonian, but it's some clarification about

the restriction of the reserve to the location of museums.

There's been a, you know, we sort of talk about it like it's -- and I don't know who might -- whether this is something to ask or clarify right now. The question is, to what extent is it actually something that would have to be changed to allow the presence of a museum within the reserve? Is that a Peter May question?

CHAIRMAN MAY: I have an answer for it, but I'd like to hear what the Smithsonian has to say.

COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes.

MS. TROWBRIDGE: No, I have -speaking from the planning group, I have been
under the understanding from our general
counsel's office that the Commemorative Works Act
that establishes the reserve applies to memorials
for GSA and Parks Service.

So, I'm not sure how that would apply to this project. There might need to be

legislation for us to build a museum on the south monument site given the enabling legislation has a contradiction in it.

That's where we are. We'd like to hear more from those who are the custodians of the Commemorative Works Act which is this group.

CHAIRMAN MAY: So, if I could give you our assessment on this, and when I say ours, this is something that I've discussed with the Department, with the Department's lawyers, the Department of the Interior.

And there is a reference to giving consideration of the south monument site.

However, the more specific and prevailing provision in the law that authorized these two museums specifically states that the memorial -- that the museums cannot be constructed within the reserve.

It does provide an exemption to other provisions of the Commemorative Works Act. If you look at the Commemorative Works Act carefully, it actually prohibits new museums

within area wide, as defined by the Act.

But this is, you know, what the

Congress decided was that you could give

consideration to south monument, but it can't be
built in the reserve.

So, from our perspective, there's no way that it could be built within the reserve unless there is further direction from the Congress saying that it can be done.

And, yes, yes, it's true that the

Commemorative Works Act applies to Department of

Interior land and GSA land, but that's, you know,

that's -- that doesn't really have much

significance here because, you know, the land

that is the reserve under the Department of the

Interior, so, you know, it's -- and there is that

specific language that calls out the reserve.

And at the time the reserve was mapped this particular way, it's not mapped in any different way that would allow this.

So, it's pretty clear to us that it's going to take congressional action.

COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: So, that's helpful. I was just -- I guess the take away is that there's going to have to be some extremely high level advocacy and action to make a lot of these sites even remotely possible.

So, just to go into the summary of the Commission of Fine Arts review, that's pretty much what they said, and I'm going to end up kind of saying exactly what Mr. Cortez has just said, that there are no ideal, unconstrained sites for new museums that are on The Mall.

If you're going to try to get it there, there's literally none that actually, it, you know, there's nothing obvious here.

Most of the -- and then, most of the four focus sites which are being put forward have such serious constraints you -- it's a kind of a question why they're put in that premium category.

I'm just going to quickly say the
Northwest Capitol site, you know, it's just been
talked about by Mr. Imamura, you know, under

congressional jurisdiction, which is a major issue, the security questions, and interstate highway underneath it.

I would add to that, it is, you know, since the McMillan Plan, but shortly thereafter, the development of the big kind of wedge-shaped open space core that leads to the Union Station portal into the city is -- this would be in the middle of that.

So, there's a political, technical, security, open space, tremendous questions about why. And so, again, it's a bit of a head scratcher. Why would this be making the final four if that's, in fact, what you're doing?

The tidal basin sites, well, let me first talk about the south monument site. Yes, this is one we've -- this has been talked about. It's because of the geometry of The Mall, it is considerably smaller than the African-American site and what it could support and to what extent does that make sense?

It has much -- it has far inferior

accessibility, et cetera, you know, and it is, you know, we look forward to seeing any, you know, massing, you know, feasibility to see what that site could actually bear reasonably given that location.

It would compromise visibility of the entire monumental landscape as you enter the city from the bridge complex.

With the tidal basin site, is -- this is the real scratcher of the scalp here. This is a site that has been consistently since the 18th Century, you know, this is a 130 years of -- 230 years of planning for this city. There's never been buildings envisioned in the corridor between 17th and 15th all the way down from the White House except for very specific commemorative elements.

It's so out of the blue that I was shocked that it was actually seriously considered. I don't have, you know, obviously, somebody thought it was a good idea.

But every plan, McMillan, Zachary

version of it, every, you know, the -- sorry
L'Enfant, the late 18th Century plans, nothing
ever goes into that area.

So, it's a bit of, I mean, it's not a yield the way it is, but it's a real departure for, I don't know, what is that? You know, nine generations of planning or something like that.

Both of those sites play into this question of the reserve. I, you know, I don't -- again, you know, what you're left with is that it requires such a high level decision that you -- it's going to require some serious political leadership to figure this out.

Now, hold on to that thought.

The last one is, of course, the Arts and Industry's Building which is one site that is on The Mall. It is under the facility and its control, so it's obviously a good, you know, it's probably the low-hanging fruit and it is, you know, it is -- it hits all those.

But the problem with it, of course, is that it is a large, you know, 19th Century open

structure. And to accommodate modern museum use, you'd have to do significant things, mostly of it probably underground, tremendous impact on the building could be done. Let's leave it at that. It's reasonable.

But that leads us back to this question, and the political leadership that it's going to take to figure out anything.

There is one other very large site that is directly on The Mall that has excellent access. It's next to a subway station. It has all sorts of space around it. It's called the Whitten Building of the USDA.

It is directly opposite the National Museum of American History. It occupies that strip of land. It is the only office building that is in this highly contested, highly sought after landscape of government buildings.

The fact that it is still an office building is actually strange considering the fact that everybody wants to be in this location. The Commission specifically said, please consider

this building as part of your thing. You knew this.

But somebody has said they don't think it's very easy to retrofit it.

You know, something's going to have to give here. You've got a lot of -- you don't have very many options and this is one that, given everything else, you could probably make it work.

So, the Commission also suggested very seriously looking at what can be done with the Forrestal Building at the Department of Energy across the street which actually has a building that frames or currently blocks the access to the castle to the south connecting the Smithsonian complex to the waterfront and all the development that's down there.

So, I think the general take away from the Commission was, you have an obligation to look at existing building sites, probably you should be doing that first rather than trying to find these open sites that are extremely challenged.

And they talked -- there's a couple other things probably worth mentioning. BEP site, Engraving and Paving, that is the site that we understand is planned for being vacated. It is facing 15th Street, facing that open space. They are vacating, I think, within five or ten years. It is another option.

FBI is another one that could be -they -- generally, they also reiterate this point
that, you know, right now, there's also an
ecological advantage to using the existing
building.

I might add, and maybe Commissioner
Wright can speak to this, there's going to be a
reduction of federal office space. So, this is a
very easy or a very logical thing to do is to
convert what had been a certain kind of use into
one that's --

So, the final point that I just want to leave with is this decision making. It's very high level. It requires vision. They cited the recent site passage of legislation to study the

creation of a new Natural Museum of Asian-Pacific American History and Culture by the Smithsonian.

So, a third museum coming of this scale.

They strongly encouraged the Smithsonian to be proactive in anticipating locations for all of these museums in a coordinated way rather than case by case.

If you do not do it now, you will have -- you will compromise a sense of wholeness in the planning for this cultural district.

So, that was the take away, the two big things is don't limit yourself just to criteria that are so restrictive, other people own it, it's in the reserve, it's got to be on The Mall. There's sort of -- it's either the criteria seems so narrow and there are other options which are hanging -- that are presenting themselves right before us which really ought to be taken seriously.

I don't think I have any other comments. I'm just, Mr. Chairman, I don't -- this has been said before. It was sort of what

was said in the March review as well. So, we're disappointed that these sites were looked at.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Right, thank you very much, appreciate that.

Do we have comments from other

Commissioners with Mr. Sherman, I see your -you've unmuted.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to first say, thank you to
Undersecretary Cortez and the Smithsonian staff
for presenting the information presentation at
the July 7 NCPC Commission hearing. And our
Chair will be providing a letter summarizing some
of our thoughts and comments based on the
Commission testimony.

And I'll just highlight two or three of them here for the sake of discussion. And will first say that the Commission is very excited that these stories can now be told among the many other stories that comprise the tapestry of our nation. So, we're excited about these two

museums coming forward.

Having said that, based on the testimony from the July hearing, I would note that our Commission's received information on the 14 Tier I sites and now we're at the four sites.

And our Commission would like to -- is looking forward to the analysis and also the ranking on how you went from the 14 to the 4 and also the further analysis that you're going to provide on the 4 sites going forward.

We understand that the four focus sites are the Smithsonian's priority but we'd like to acknowledge that each site has its own challenges that we already have heard some of the comments from other Commissioners here today.

And so, therefore, we'd like to encourage that you keep an open mind and keep other sites on the table.

And to that regard, I think what you'll see in our Chair's letter is that we would strongly encourage you to look at the L'Enfant Promenade, the Banneker Overlook, Independence

Avenue, as mentioned already, the Department of 1 2 Energy site. And some of the Commission members 3 also recommended sites off The Mall including 4 areas around Union Station, Federal Triangle, and 5 Pennsylvania Avenue. 6 7 But I think the main thrust from our Commission would be to keep, as was already 8 9 mentioned about the new museums coming online, that you have to look at this holistically and 10 11 with the long view and consider sites that are 12 not on The Mall as a matter of more practical 13 aspirations from a planning perspective. 14 And that's all I have, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MAY: All right, thank you, 15 16 Mr. Sherman. 17 Yes, I think --18 MR. CORTEZ: Thank you for your 19 Those are very helpful. comments. 20 CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes. 21 Having participated in that discussion, I think that was a pretty fair 22

representation of the discussion at the NCPC.

And I don't think we need to dive too deeply and
to repeat ourselves on those, but I appreciate
that recap.

So, Commissioner Maloney, I see you have a hand up.

COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Yes, also would like to first express our thanks to the Smithsonian and Mr. Undersecretary, for your being here again to give this presentation.

We also have heard it before in previous meetings and I don't have much to add to the comments that I heard conveyed earlier.

I do think that we are very much in alignment with the comments that we've just heard from the two Commissions. And, in particular, with respect to the tidal basin site and the Forrestal Building site, one -- a site that I think, if that were to move to any further consideration very, very serious -- very, very attention being given to serious deficiencies on that site from the standpoint of president, many,

many points of view on that site. 1 2 And the Forrestal does seem to be a site that has significant opportunities. 3 And, as 4 was pointed out, also an opportunity to undo some 5 of the damage of the setting around the Smithsonian building itself. 6 So, I just wanted to reiterate those 7 8 points and appreciate your considering comments 9 of this Commission going forward. 10 MR. CORTEZ: Thank you very much for 11 those comments. 12 COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Sure. 13 CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you, Commissioner 14 Maloney. I assume Edwin Fountain is here as 15 well and I don't have his camera. If you want to 16 17 go next, Commissioner Fountain, or shall I --18 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: I'm happy to, 19 Mr. Chairman, but, candidly, you know, neither I 20 nor my agency have the competence to either add 21 to or contradict what already has been said.

I'll stand behind the comments of my fellow

Commissioners. 1 2 CHAIRMAN MAY: Great, thank you. Commissioner McMahon, how about you? 3 COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: No, thanks, 4 Chairman May. I have no additional comments to 5 add from the -- to what's already been said 6 7 today, thanks. CHAIRMAN MAY: And now, of course, 8 9 Commissioner Wright? 10 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay, well, I 11 did make some comments at NCPC, but I feel move 12 to add to them. 13 First of all, I mean, in terms of 14 planning history, the 3M Plan that I referenced at the NCPC presentation, in fact, does address 15 16 museum location, not just memorials, for the 17 record. 18 And I think -- I'm troubled a little 19 bit by this discussion about the Commemorative

Works Act because the spirit of the thing we seem

to be ignoring. And that is that The Mall, the

monumental core, you know, whether we're talking

20

21

about the reserve or Area 1, has been found to be a finished composition.

And I think that's important to remember because, especially in light of the point that Mr. Luebke made is, I think, really critical. He, to be fair, he and I have discussed this offline.

It is true, we don't know at GSA yet what we're looking at in terms of a contraction of the portfolio, but we're certain that there will be one. By how much is uncertain, but we are surely moving to a hybrid workplace with increased telework which means that there will be less of -- the real estate portfolio for the federal government will shrink. Again, by how much, we don't know.

And that's not very helpful if you've got a 60-day deadline. I recognize that.

But I think it is important to remember, and even not -- and even with the uncertainty of a post-COVID world, if we ever get to a post-COVID world in reality, the fact is

that there are locations that don't require new buildings.

And the other thing that I think is bothering me about this, and so far, with your list, GSA has no dog in this fight with the current four, so I probably should just shut up.

But I also feel an obligation to point out that, while the criteria focuses very much on the constituencies for these museums, I feel -- I can't help but come to the conclusion having heard this presentation many times now, that the constituency for this, for The Mall, for the City of Washington, for advocates for the L'Enfant Plan, for the planning history of the city, is under represented here.

Everybody wants to be on The Mall. We hear this in this Commission every time we meet that people feel -- memorial sponsors feel like they're kind of also rans if we suggest that they should be happy with, you know, a memorial site elsewhere.

But again, and coming full circle to

planning history, NCPC has done a lot of work imagining this moment that we -- that has now arrived. Or, and with the addition of the new museum that's just been authorized by Congress, we're going to revisit this problem again.

The next museum will also, the constituency for that museum will also tell you in your studies that they want to be on The Mall.

And yet, and somebody -- Mike, correct me if I'm wrong, I'm guessing here, the 10th

Street Task Force that NCPC convened to imagine this moment, the spot land was what --

(CAMERA FREEZES)

CHAIRMAN MAY: Uh-oh, Commissioner Wright?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Commissioner
Wright froze up, but I think what she was getting
at was the question of the history work that NCPC
did. And, I would concur, yes, it was about ten
years ago and we still support and advocate for
that corridor as a corridor to look at and study
for future museums and monuments in that regard.

1 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right, right. 2 So, and I was just disconnected. I think I've got the hook. 3 4 CHAIRMAN MAY: Not from me. I guess my point 5 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: is, we've seen this coming and we have actually 6 planned for it. And I wonder if consideration 7 8 was given to all of these planning documents that 9 imagined the moment when we would truly run out of room. 10 And so, taken together, I would simply 11 12 -- and I want to say, be very careful to say, I 13 understand the pressures that the Smithsonian is 14 under to make everyone happy. And in making 15 everyone happy, you make no one happy. 16 And, you know, I've worked in Washington a long time, I know the formula. 17 And 18 I'm empathetic. 19 But I also feel like you've heard a 20 lot of push back and I hope that you will listen 21 because I think the push back is not necessarily

just from people who have a vested interest in

1	this site or that.
2	CHAIRMAN MAY: For the record
3	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: whole
4	approach.
5	CHAIRMAN MAY: Hopefully, she'll be
6	back.
7	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I don't know
8	what happened.
9	CHAIRMAN MAY: Not me, wasn't me.
10	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: It might work
11	best if you actually turn off your camera.
12	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: It'll reduce the
14	bandwidth.
15	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, I don't
16	know when you when I lost you, but my closing
17	remark is simply that I hope that the Smithsonian
18	will take into consideration all that they've
19	heard because I don't hear people generally
20	objecting out of self-interest, but rather out of
21	shared interest in the future of The Mall and of
22	the city writ large.

Thank you, Commissioner 1 CHAIRMAN MAY: 2 Wright. 3 Undersecretary Cortez, I see you have 4 a hand up. 5 MR. CORTEZ: Yes, I wanted to -- do you want me to wait until all of them? 6 I do want 7 to respond just in general for these comments I'm 8 hearing just for like 30 seconds, but I can wait 9 until they're all done. Well, I think we've --10 CHAIRMAN MAY: 11 you've heard from everyone except me. Okay, I'll wait. 12 MR. CORTEZ: The -- and I don't have 13 CHAIRMAN MAY: 14 a whole lot more to add. I also spoke at NCPC 15 and we are scheduled to have a direct discussion 16 I think next week between the Smithsonian and the 17 Department of Interior and National Park Service 18 in accordance with what you told us earlier 19 today. 20 However, there are a couple of 21 comments that I would make. First of all, I want 22 to thank you for the presentation and I want to

thank all of the Commission members for their insightful comments. And I think that, you know, hearing it, some of these comments were repeats from what I had heard either at the Commission of Fine Arts meeting or the NCPC meeting or in conversation with some members of the Commission.

But hearing them again at this moment or hearing new insights, new questions about this has been very helpful to me.

And, of course, the Smithsonian is required to consult with the Chairman of this Commission and whatever I am able to report back to the Smithsonian will be based on a full understanding of the comments that we hear today. So, I really appreciate everybody, you know, giving me that direct feedback, giving all of us that direct feedback, both the Smithsonian and to me as Chairman. It's extremely helpful.

I do want to I think underscore the need to think broadly about the placement of new museums and to think carefully about the planning that has already done, that I think has laid the

groundwork for consideration of sites other than those that have become the sites of focus.

And I really do think that that has to be given greater consideration.

As Commissioner Luebke reminded us, you know, the decision to go or the ability to make -- to place one of these museums on some of these sites will require extraordinary consideration and a very high level within the government to make these decisions to allow these things to happen.

But that's not any different from the decisions that will be necessary to, you know, place museums within the Whitten Building or place a museum within or make a decision about the Forrestal Building's future, just as a way of understanding the importance of The Mall and this precinct to the city and to the country.

So, I think that that's -- it's very important to understand that what I think the feedback is that you are receiving is not necessarily advocating for a more difficult path.

Because we think that the path that you're on is extremely difficult.

And I will share just one other thing,
I mean, I was rather taken aback by the comment
that the reasons for focusing on these four sites
came down to two things, one was not surprising
which was that the constituent groups that you
asked the question of were interested in being on
The Mall.

And, of course, if you ask people, do you want to be on The Mall? Do you want to be somewhere off The Mall? The answer is going to be, well, we want to be on The Mall. So, that's completely understandable.

But the referenced sites within the reserve that you are considering and the reference to the Northwest Capitol site as vacant is really not appropriate. Park land is not vacant land and it should never be considered as such, should never be addressed as such.

It's there for a reason. It's there for symbolic reasons. It's there for recreation

reasons. It's there because parks are good things for people. So, I hope that I don't have to hear that particular word used again when referring to the park land that you are considering for a potential museum.

That's it for my comments and so,
Undersecretary Cortez, we're happy to hear any
response that you might have.

MR. CORTEZ: Yes, first of all, I would like to say thank you for all of the comments, you know, that were received. So, we really appreciate that and that's sort of the purpose of this process. And so, we appreciate that.

And, of course, we have captured those and hopefully they'll be incorporated.

You know, I think that there is a stakeholder, you know, who is not here at the meeting and these are these stakeholders of the people who are on the committees who are driving, you know, the -- where they would like to have their museum. Right? And these museums tell

their story. The museums tell their journey.

These museums tell their accomplishments.

And so, I think when we look at the importance of the Smithsonian American Women's History Museum and the National Museum of the American Latino, that these are stories that want to be told and that they felt that they're important.

And that they feel that their story should be told on The Mall. And what we're doing is, we know these are difficult conversations but that this is the kind of dialogue that needs to take place.

There is no easy answers. You know,

I heard questions about space, even in the GSA

buildings. We have had discussions with some

there, too. And, of course, their centralization

and space, but the space is being centralized

more towards downtown and D.C.

I don't think there's any real easy space to get. And I think that, as many of you mentioned, all of them have this sort of

complexity that we're discussing here.

Some may argue more, some may argue less, but I think it just varies. So, I hope that you appreciate the process that we're going through as well.

We are definitely considering each and every one of your comments. But believe me, before we came here, we were well-prepared and well-plan out these proposals and at this development stage and that we're definitely committed to doing more analysis based upon your comments and analysis that we already have put out.

But we appreciate your listening to us today. But I do think that I wanted to provide you this other perspective that sort of demands a sort of discussion and dialogue which is sometimes uncomfortable to take place.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you very much.

And we appreciate the Smithsonian making this
presentation to the full Commission. That was

1 not that was required by the law but we 2 appreciate your going to this effort and hearing the views of all the Commissioners. 3 4 So --Thank you, they were very 5 MR. CORTEZ: 6 helpful. 7 CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes, we will be 8 following up with Smithsonian in the coming 9 I know that you'll be presenting again to Commission of Fine Arts and NCPC in September. 10 11 At this point, I don't believe that we 12 will have a repeat session in front of this Commission for a whole variety of reasons. 13 think that the fact that we've had this 14 15 opportunity to review it today has been extremely 16 helpful in formulating the views of NCMAC and how 17 we will respond and provide feedback to the 18 Smithsonian. Thank you very much. 19 MR. CORTEZ: 20 CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you. 21 So, I think we are ready to move on

and go on to our second agenda item.

Let's see, our second agenda item is
H.R. 6720, commissioner respond, Representative

Jamie Raskin to authorize the Thomas Paine

Memorial Association to establish a commemorative

work in the District of Columbia and its environs
and for other purposes.

We have failed from -- we have heard from Representative Raskin already. We'd like to note that Representative Raskin submitted a letter of support legislation on behalf of himself and ten members of Congress. And I think he offered to get more members of Congress to -- behind that letter. But I don't know that that will be necessary.

Anyway, we will now receive testimony from the representatives of the Thomas Paine

Memorial Association. So, I would like to invite

Mark Dann of the Thomas Paine Memorial

Association to speak on behalf of H.R. 6720.

Mr. Dann, you have the floor and are invited to introduce each of your colleagues who will speak today.

MR. DANN: Great, thank you so much,
Chairman May and fellow members of the National
Capital Memorial Advisory Commission. And
special thanks to Beth Porter and her team who
have been so generous with their time.

I am Mark Dann. I'm the Governmental Affairs Representative for the Thomas Paine Memorial Association. We're honored to present H.R. 6720 which, as Representative Raskin explained, would authorize the Thomas Paine Memorial Association to establish a commemorative work here in D.C.

Again, we cannot thank Representative Raskin enough for the master class on why Thomas Paine is a figure of great historical significance to the United States and to the American people.

Also presenting today will be Margaret Downey, the president of the Thomas Paine

Memorial Association, Jean Smith who will speak

from a Veteran's perspective, Karen Heineman who will speak from a conservative perspective,

Mandisa Thomas who will talk from an African-American perspective, and Charis Hoard who will talk from a Generation Z perspective.

As a D.C. resident, I fully understand the importance of NCMAC's work. I live near Rhode Island Avenue on 8th Street between S and T. A few blocks away from my house is the Carter G Woodson Memorial Park.

During the worst days of the pandemic,

I would go there to sit, relax, and try not to

think too much of the state of the world. I

began to realize how the site offers a respite

from one of D.C.'s busiest thoroughfares and the

memorial park is filled with benches, trees,

plants, and of course, a memorial to a great

American.

We fully understand how important NCMAC's work is to tell a story with the memorial, the site, and the overlook feel and design of the area. And I hope with your expertise we can do something similar.

And now, Margaret Downey, the

president of the Thomas Paine Memorial Association.

MS. DOWNEY: Thank you, Mark.

The Thomas Paine Memorial Association was founded in late 2021 as a 501(c)(3) educational non-profit organization designed to uphold and promote the legacy of Thomas Paine.

Within a few months, we raised almost half a billion dollars and that came from donors as well as pledges to place a Thomas Paine memorial somewhere in Washington, D.C.

Now, based on our research of the design and construction cost of memorials similar in size to the one we anticipate, that half million dollars figure would give the memorial a secure financial footing.

Now a similar project was approved in joint resolution in 1994. It was signed into law by President Clinton. The project was abandoned due only to a lack of funding and this is why we are proud to announce that there will not be such a barrier with the current project.

No government money or taxpayer dollars will be needed for the current proposed Thomas Paine memorial.

Now some of Thomas Paine's key accomplishments include the writing of Common Sense which outlined the compelling reasons for independence from England.

He wrote the inspiring words found in the American Crisis that 16 pamphlets that motivated Revolutionary War soldiers to continue fighting against all odds.

Thomas Paine's Crisis papers were read to troops across the Colonies as the war raged on. His brilliant battlefield papers inspired the troops and his quill served George Washington much better than a musket.

His literary battlefield work made

Thomas Paine one of America's very first

Veterans. Thomas Paine's book, Rights of Man,

called for citizen representation over monarchy,

public education, relief for the poor and old age

pensions.

Thomas Paine was steadfastly in objection to slavery and he publically acknowledged his admiration of Native Americans.

For these accomplishments, high morals, outstanding ethics, and for so much more, a memorial to Thomas Paine is needed.

For me, working to build this memorial has been a decades long passion. The life story of Thomas Paine is filled with exciting accomplishments, life and death situations, and written messages of hope, freedom, and democracy.

When I moved to Pennsylvania in 1990,
I immediately began to visit the areas in which
Thomas Paine lived and worked. And I was
surprised to find no significant recognition of
him even in the City of Philadelphia, the place
where Benjamin Franklin introduce Thomas Paine to
his fellow Colonists as the fight for
independence began.

And I'm proud to have helped to place a historical marker at the location where Thomas Paine's pamphlet, Common Sense, was published.

I also began delivering educational programs to elementary schools about this often forgotten Founding Father. And it was such a joy to conduct the life and work of Thomas Paine assemblies in schools named after Thomas Paine. They are located in Cherry Hill, New Jersey, Garden Grove, California, and Champaign-Urbana, Illinois.

The Thomas Paine Memorial Association yearns for national recognition of this esteemed patriot in the form of a monument and we hope to finally and significantly honor the man who fermented the Revolutionary War and who is largely responsible for the very creation of the United States of America.

A memorial will inspire more study of his timeless writings and serve the public well as an educational tool.

The passage of House Bill 6720 will enable the telling of a very important story in our history. A national memorial to Thomas Paine is long overdue, so I'm hoping that you will

1 recommend moving forward with the proposal of a 2 Thomas Paine memorial in our nation's capital. And I'll close with the sincere hope 3 that a Thomas Paine memorial will build bridges 4 5 of understanding and help the inhabitants of this 6 great country heal, prevailing divides, as we push forward the promotion of democracy, 7 8 equality, and justice. 9 Thank you. 10 MR. DANN: Thank you so much, 11 Margaret. 12 And now, Gene Smith from a Veteran's 13 perspective. But I don't think Gene is on, so 14 why don't we just move down to Karen Heineman to 15 talk about Thomas Paine from a conservative 16 perspective? 17 MR. FOX: Yes, I will say, I only saw 18 Gene Jones, not a Gene Smith. 19 Oh, actually, that is our MR. DANN: 20 I apologize for messing that up. guy. 21 MR. FOX: Okay, one moment. 22 MR. DANN: Okay.

1 Hi, Gene? Gene you are in presenter 2 mode and you're on mute. All right, there we go. 3 So, Gene --4 MR. JONES: Can you see and hear me? 5 Yes, you can. 6 MR. DANN: We can, yes. So, thank you 7 so much and I apologize for confusing your name. 8 So, I need a little more coffee this morning, 9 apparently. 10 MR. JONES: That's not an uncommon 11 problem. 12 First off, I'd like to thank the Commission members for taking the time to hear 13 14 our testimony. And it was a pleasure to hear 15 Representative Raskin. He took a little bit our 16 thunder, you might say, from the Veteran's 17 perspective. 18 But my name is Gene Jones and I'm the 19 president of Florida Veterans for Common Sense. 20 I'm a Vietnam Veteran. I served four years in the Air Force and I was trained as a linguist and 21

was honorably discharged in 1968.

And as Veterans, we believe that

Thomas Paine should have a memorial. In our

opinion, he ranks among the greatest of the

Founding Fathers and many called him the Founding

Father. And we agree.

Paine, a fellow Veteran, he served in the Continental Army as an aid to General Nathaniel Greene, was a thought leader who gave us the idea of America as an independent Republic as Representative Raskin said this morning.

There are few Veterans in American history who have done so much to save an Army and win a war.

Many know, of course, that Paine wrote

Common Sense which turned our rebellion into a

revolution by the radical idea that America

didn't need an English king. He argued that we

could govern ourselves independent of England.

What many people don't know is that after the defeat at New York, the Continental Army was in full retreat and on the verge of collapse. Morale was so low, the troops were

deserting.

General Washington, a friend of
Paine's, asked him to write something to motivate
the troops. Paine sat in a drumhead and by
candlelight wrote the Crisis Papers Number 1.
And these words you've already hear this morning
from Representative Raskin, and I'm going to try
to recite them like he did so well.

But it starts out, these are the times that try men's souls. Paine's words were so stirring that General Washington ordered them read to the troops and morale soared. The Army crossed the Delaware, we're all familiar with that, and they won the battles of Trenton and Princeton. And the Army, and thus, the Revolution, was saved.

As Veterans, we say, Paine conceived of the American idea. And he kept it alive at its lowest ebb. Paine should have a memorial that will continue to inspire us all with the promise of America and that's what he believed in.

MR. DANN: Great, thank you so much, 1 2 Gene. And now, Karen, to talk about Thomas 3 4 Paine from a conservative perspective. MS. HEINEMAN: Thank you to the 5 Commission for this opportunity. 6 7 My name is Karen Heineman. I am a 8 Legal Fellow with the Freedom From Religion 9 Foundation and a registered Republican in Wisconsin. 10 11 Although many Americans likely 12 recognize the name Thomas Paine, and recognize it 13 as somebody from Revolutionary times, the details 14 of his great contributions to the cause of our 15 independence and the founding of our Republican 16 form of government, are not so readily 17 remembered. 18 And this lack of recognition for a man 19 who was a major figure in our fight to create our 20 United States could be corrected by placing a 21 commemorative work in our Capitol and it's but a small step toward that goal. 22

Thomas Paine, out of all of his writings, is likely best known for writing Common Sense. He recognized that a successful fight for our independence required the support of the people.

And, as an attorney, I can especially appreciate that Paine obtained that support by using the power of his pen to communicate and to persuade the Colonists with logic and reason of the need to fight to separate from the rule of the distant British Monarchy.

And his arguments are considered to have influenced and motivated the creation of our country's first foundational document, the Declaration of Independence.

As a conservative, I appreciate that Paine was a staunch champion of democracy and representative government. He consistently argued against oppression and for liberty.

He was unwavering and unapologetic about his views, even to his own detriment at times.

And as I speak in support of a bill 1 2 that is in front of our House of Representatives who get this commemorative work in the D.C. area, 3 4 the decision to allow a monument of a patriot who was instrumental in arguing for just this type of 5 government of the people and for the people, it's 6 7 not a revolutionary decision. It is a long overdue action and it is 8 9 time to remember and celebrate Thomas Paine for his contributions to our independence and the 10 11 foundations of our representative government. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. DANN: Thank you, Karen. 14 And now, we'll hear from Mandisa Thomas to talk a little bit about Thomas Paine 15 16 from an African-American perspective. 17 MS. THOMAS: Good afternoon, thank 18 you, Mark. And thank you to all the members of 19 the Commission for hearing us today. 20 Again, my name is Mandisa Thomas and 21 I am the founder and president of Black

Nonbelievers which is headquartered in the

Atlanta, Georgia area.

Pursuing justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion is integral to our work. And it is with this in mind that we support the memorial for Thomas Paine and his commitment to humanism and justice, including his anti-slavery advocacy as Margaret Downey mentioned earlier.

A little known fact is that on April 14, 1775, Paine and other Philadelphians formed the Society for Relief of Free Negroes Unlawfully Held in Bondage, which is America's first abolitionist group.

Paine, who never owned slaves, wrote a 22-page handwritten letter to Thomas Jefferson that Paine signed from "A Slave." This letter in which he admonished Jefferson for personally owning slaves also argued that slavery was contrary to those ideas that Jefferson himself posed in the Declaration of Independence.

My favorite Thomas Paine quote is, I believe in the equality of man and I believe that religious duties consist in doing justice, loving

mercy, and endeavoring to make our fellow creatures happy.

This quote mirrors Paine's activism and life appropriately given his advocacy for African-American education and his many writings, including an essay penned shortly after Common Sense in February of 1776 in which he stated, in part, that African slavery was against the laws of nature and reason.

It is important to acknowledge that many institutions and their founders and leaders perpetuated and benefitted from systems of oppression.

That history cannot be unlived, but facing these difficult truths allow us to do our best work going forward.

For many Black people, identifying with the Founding Fathers and the founding of the United States is complicated and complex. To know that freedom for all at that time only meant Anglo-Saxon landowners can be difficult to reconcile.

However, this complexity is exactly 1 2 why Thomas Paine should be memorialized, not only as a pioneering Founding Father, but also as a 3 pioneering anti-slavery activist who made an 4 5 indelible mark on America's social and political landscape. 6 7 Let us honor Paine's legacy through 8 this memorial in Washington, D.C. so that we can 9 remain on the right side of justice. 10 Thank you. Thank you, Mandisa. 11 MR. DANN: 12 And now, Charis Hoard who will talk 13 from a Generation Z youth perspective. 14 MS. HOARD: Hello and thank you to the Commission for your time. 15 16 My name is Charis Hoard and I am a 17 recent graduate of Bowling Green State 18 University's Master's of Public Administration 19 program as well as a proud member of Generation 20 z. 21 As Gen Z begins growing into adulthood 22 and we're preparing to make our mark on the

world, we're looking to those who came before us to guide us in the values that can lead America into a better future for all and Thomas Paine is one such figure.

Paine was a unique figure who saw the problems that his generation was facing such as chattel slavery, poverty, and the tyrannical rule of the British Empire.

But what truly sets Paine apart from others of his time is that he sought innovative ways that subsequent generations could do better for their fellow countrymen which he outlined in his multitude of four works.

Generations ahead are for rights and social security, Paine believed that the United States should provide pensions for working men.

Centuries before the Affordable Care

Act, Paine advocated to support pregnant women to

make sure that themselves and their families were

properly nourished.

Paine even promoted universal suffrage at a time when other Founding Fathers thought

that only landowners should be able to vote, setting him light years ahead of his peers at the time.

I see that same determined spirit of
Thomas Paine in Gen Z. At the end of his
lifetime, Paine was derided and seemingly
excluded from popular history. However, he never
let that fear stop him or override the duty to do
what is right, to continue working toward a
better future.

The same can be said for Gen Z. While older generations may not understand our passion and fight on certain societal issues, our determination to do what is right and what we believe is right for the future of our country overrides any need for validation from the generations of our parents and of those in power.

When generations have passed and most of us will be gone, what could those generations say about the legacy of Thomas Paine? And, especially, what could they say about ours?

I hope that they say that we, like

Paine, were steadfast in our mission to help all Americans live out their lives with security and to be in control of their own destiny. We know that many of Paine's hopes for America have still not come to fruition and we owe it to ourselves as a nation as well as for those in the nation who come after us to continue the fight that Paine started almost 250 years ago, which mean the dreams of free thinking, rationality, and a more equitable America.

Celebrating Paine's legacy with a memorial consecrates America's fight to continuously improve upon itself and bring about a brighter future for all.

Thank you so much for your time and I do hope you will support H.R. 6720.

MR. DANN: Thank you, Commissioners.

And we would be more than happy to answer your questions and hear your comments and feedback.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Well, thank you, thank you very much for that testimony. Thank you to the whole panel.

1	First, I would ask whether any of the
2	Commissioners have questions for members of this
3	panel before we go into a discussion or making
4	our own comments?
5	If anybody has a question, please
6	raise a hand, either visibly or with the Zoom
7	hand.
8	Okay, I'm not seeing yes,
9	Commissioner Imamura?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you,
11	Commissioner, Mr. Chairman.
12	I just want to thank the panel for
13	their testimony. I especially want to thank Mr.
14	Gene Jones for your service to our country.
15	MR. JONES: You're welcome. I
16	appreciate that.
17	CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you.
18	Ms. Wright?
19	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: What?
20	CHAIRMAN MAY: Oh, I'm sorry, I
21	thought you maybe had taken turned off your
22	mute button, I thought maybe you had something to

1 say or a question. 2 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: No, I'm paying attention, though. 3 4 CHAIRMAN MAY: Oh good. That's okay. All right, well, it looks like we do 5 not have -- actually, have any questions. 6 I would like to thank all the members 7 8 of the panel. I think this was an extremely well 9 put together. We often do not see an attempt to sort of give a sampling of views from many 10 11 different people in support of a memorial. 12 it certainly was enlightening and we appreciate 13 all of that. 14 And so, we will excuse you from the meeting and then we will go into our comments on 15 16 the proposed legislation. Or actually, we'll 17 stop and see if anybody else wants to testify. 18 But we will let you guys go right now and you can 19 just stay tuned in and watch and listen. 20 Ms. Porter, do we have anyone who has 21 contacted us to testify from members of the

22

public on this bill?

1	MS. PORTER: We do not.
2	CHAIRMAN MAY: We do not? Okay.
3	Well, thank you.
4	So, Mr. Fox, if you can take all the
5	members of this panel who just testified down and
6	then we will go into the Commission's discussion.
7	Okay, somehow we're there we go,
8	folks are starting to drop off.
9	Okay, so, I will turn it now to
LO	members of the Commission for any thoughts or
L1	comments you might have on this particular piece
L2	of legislation.
L3	Oh wait a minute, I'm sorry, I do have
L 4	some opening comments before we go there. I've
L5	forgotten the regular order. It's like this is
L6	my first time and not my 15th or whatever it is.
L7	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: You're fired.
L8	CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay. So, I would just
L9	begin by mentioning that the once again, that
20	there was a previously authorized memorial for
21	Thomas Paine authorized in 1993. However, they
,,	were unable to complete the memorial and the

authorization's expired in 2003.

This bill was introduced on February

1, 2022 and was referring to the House of Natural
Resources Committee. The Subcommittee on

National Parks, Forests, and Public Lands held a
hearing on it along with other bills on July

14th.

The Interior took no position and recommended that the committee defer action until this Commission had reviewed the bill and make recommendations to Congress.

The bill cites full compliance with the Commemorative Works Act and includes all necessary language regarding funding.

And as was the -- is the case with most new memorials, the bill prohibits federal funds from being used to pay for the establishment of this commemorative work.

So, I will now open the floor for any comments from members of the Commission, any thoughts on this particular piece of legislation?

I saw Mr. Fountain's name pop up. Mr.

Fountain, would you like to go first?

COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Well, I thank
you.

I did have anything to add to the previous conversation, I'll lead off here.

I would support this and one of Thomas

Paine's intellectual and rhetorical contributions

to American Independence and democracy were as

significant and profound as George Mason's.

George Mason is honored with a memorial here in the nation's capital. I would find it fitting to honor Thomas Paine as well. I think it's particularly timely given the 250th of American independence coming in four years.

I would also just note as a point of interest, the tangential connection to the second memorial proposal we're going to hear about in that Thomas Paine was active in France during the French Revolution. In fact, wrote The Age of Reason while he was imprisoned in France and was freed from prison through the efforts of a future American president, James Monroe, who was serving

as Ambassador to France at the time.

And so, I would support it. It would be great if this could be done in time for the Semiquincentennial, but I recall laying out a four-year time table for a memorial some time ago and Mr. Luebke told me that it was, quote, insane. And he was correct.

This memorial may not be as ambitious as that one, but still has to go through site selection which will slow down the process, of course. But even if it doesn't happen in 2026, we've still got seven years of commemoration of American independence following that.

So, no doubt it could be completed in that time. So, I would support the legislation.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you very much,
Mr. Fountain, always appreciate the added
historical context that you often bring to these
discussions, not just about the memorial works,
but your own personal experience in developing a
memorial.

All right, so now, I'm going to pick

on Commissioner Wright because she is not on 1 2 mute. COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Oh man, okay. 3 4 Well, I'll, of course, start off with the one-5 off. You can always count on me for that. I was kind of embarrassed that I 6 didn't know as much as I should about Thomas 7 8 Paine so I looked him up over the weekend and I 9 will leave his political philosophizing to others but add that he -- his was a big tent of 10 compassion, which we've heard about a little bit 11 12 already. But also towards animals and how can 13 14 we go wrong? Everything of persecution or revenge 15 16 between man and man and everything of cruelty to 17 animals is a violation of moral duty. He gets my 18 vote. CHAIRMAN MAY: Well, very good, also 19 20 another interesting perspective on this 21 particular memorial. Okay, so, who would like to go next? 22

1	I don't see anybody's unmuted, so looking for
2	hands, winks, nods.
3	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: I'll go next,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN MAY: Oh good.
6	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: It'll be very
7	brief.
8	First, I want to say, thanks to all
9	the speakers and folks who testified. And a
10	special thanks to Mr. Gene Jones for your
11	service. As a fellow Veteran, I always like to
12	try to recognize folks who take the time to
13	testify who are Veterans.
14	I think NCPC has no issues with the
15	Thomas Paine memorial. I would like to take a
16	moment and just mention that equity and
17	representation are important priorities for this
18	current administration.
19	NCPC would like to acknowledge that
20	there are many Americans who have made
21	significant contributions to our history and
22	culture and I'd like to continue to see greater

1	representation of folks from different
2	backgrounds and stories in a commemorative
3	landscape.
4	That's it from me.
5	CHAIRMAN MAY: Very good.
6	Mr. Luebke, how about you? And then,
7	Mr. McMahon is also unmuted.
8	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes, I just have
9	a quick question. Are we is the question Area
10	1 before us today as part of this discussion?
11	Okay, I
12	CHAIRMAN MAY: No, that will be a
13	second stamp
14	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN MAY: the authorization.
16	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: I don't really
17	have anything to add. I mean, demonstratively,
18	you know, the very interesting testimony speaks
19	into this.
20	But, you know, it's one of these
21	things, Thomas Paine is such a foundational
22	thinker for our political history, the American

experiment, et cetera. It's sort of hard to 1 2 imagine that there isn't already one. I'm just scratching my head like, is it possible that we 3 don't have one? 4 So, I'm quite on board and I think 5 it's also interesting to see so many who it seems 6 7 like everybody can get behind. 8 CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you. 9 Mr. McMahon? 10 COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: Yes, thank, I appreciate the testimony of all the speakers 11 12 today, especially Mr. Jones as a fellow Vet, a 13 retired Vet. Thank you for your service, too, 14 sir. And I'll just emphasize again what I 15 16 said after Congressman Raskin's comments, having 17 lived for two years in Thomas Paine's birthplace 18 in Bedford, England, I think it's about time we 19 duplicate that with something appropriate here in 20 Washington, D.C. I can support the measure. 21 CHAIRMAN MAY: And let's see, Mr. Maloney? Mr. Maloney? 22

1 COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Well, I 2 certainly agree with all the comments and it is -- I had the same reaction, it is surprising that 3 4 there is not already a Thomas Paine memorial So, certainly agree wholeheartedly. 5 I also agree with Mr. Sherman's 6 7 comments that we in the District are also 8 interested in increasing diversity and monumental 9 landscape of Washington. And not to take away from the importance of this memorial, but there 10 11 certainly are other people with similar sort of 12 impressive credentials who could be recognized and represent different facets of the American 13 14 story. 15 Thank you, thank you. CHAIRMAN MAY: 16 Mr. Imamura, I don't think we've heard 17 from you. 18 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. 19 Chairman. 20 I don't think I have anything further 21 to add. I align myself with all the comments of 22 the Commissioners that have spoken before me.

Certainly a compelling case and prepared to support the legislation.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you very much.

So, I don't think I have anything in particular to add. I think we heard some very interesting and compelling testimony today, both from this most recent panel and then, of course, from Congressman Raskin, as well.

And I am certainly prepared to support this legislation as well.

Piggybacking on our past history,
there aren't too many cases where we're all just
a 100 percent behind it and we have an
uncomplicated motion to consider which would be a
motion of support.

I will say before we get to that point that I'll make one disclaimer and this has nothing to do with my vote for support, but I will just mention the fact that I am a member of the Freedom From Religion Foundation but that has nothing to do with my support of this legislation.

1	So, I don't often have that
2	circumstance where I have some affiliation with
3	somebody who's supporting this. But anyway.
4	So, with that, I guess I would ask for
5	a motion in favor of supporting this legislation
6	and capturing some of the comments that we've
7	heard from the Commission today?
8	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: So moved.
9	CHAIRMAN MAY: Is there a second?
10	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay, I will now ask
12	I'll do a we'll go through a roll call vote, I
13	guess, for this.
14	So, Mr. Imamura?
15	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sorry, I had to
16	find the mute button.
17	Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN MAY: Mr. Fountain?
19	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN MAY: Mr. McMahon?
21	COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN MAY: Ms. Wright?

1	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN MAY: Mr. Maloney?
3	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN MAY: Mr. Luebke?
5	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN MAY: Mr. Sherman?
7	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN MAY: And the Chairman votes
9	yes as well. So, we have unanimous support for
10	that motion.
11	Thank you very much. And thank you,
12	again, to everyone who testified and even watched
13	today for that bill.
14	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Can I ask a
15	question?
16	CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: So, I know it
18	wasn't stipulated in Area 1 or the reserve, it's
19	just it's very general. It says in and around.
20	How do we define that? How do we define in or
21	around Washington, D.C.? How far afield can we
22	go?

1 CHAIRMAN MAY: So, it's Washington, 2 D.C. and environs. So, the Commemorative Works Act covers the original diamond of the District. 3 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN MAY: Less Virginia, except 5 it does include the land area of the George 6 Washington Memorial Parkway. That's the way we 7 have interpreted it. 8 9 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN MAY: So, yes, it's -- that 11 is the area that's authorized. And if, in the 12 course of doing site selection, they determine 13 that they would want to go for Area 1, that will 14 require a separate legislation. And of course, that assumes they get this initial authorization. 15 16 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right, okay. 17 CHAIRMAN MAY: They did -- the 18 previous effort did have an Area 1 authorization 19 as well. So, hopefully, well, we'll see what 20 happens with the legislation but we will voice 21 our support to the committees considering this

22

legislation.

So, that concludes our second item and we are now ready to move to the third item.

I have question for the Commissioner, does anyone need to take a break or should we just roll through with the next one? I see a nod in favor of a break.

So, let's take five minutes for bio break and everyone will be back.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:04 p.m. and resumed at 3:11 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN MAY: All right, so, we're going slightly out of order. Ms. Nodvin has some time issues, but she was scheduled to testify on our next item which is H.R. 6611 and S. 3579 to authorize the Embassy of France to establish a commemorative works in the District and its environs to honor the extraordinary contributions of Jean Monnet in restoring peace between European nations and establishing the European Union and other purposes.

So, we'll go straight to Ms. Nodvin and then I'll fill in the other details later.

So, Ms. Nodvin, please go ahead.

MS. NODVIN: Great, thank you. And I wanted to say, so my name is Leah and I'm the Staff Director for the House of Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Europe, Energy, and the Environment and Cyber under the chairmanship of Bill Keating.

Thank you very much for your attention to H.R. 6611, a bill to authorize the Embassy of France in Washington, D.C. to establish a commemorative work in the District of Columbia and its environs to honor the extraordinary contributions of Jean Monnet to restoring peace between European nations and establishing the European Union.

Mr. Keating was -- had intended to address you all but a last minute scheduling change that included classified brief of Ukraine has prevented him from testifying today. So, he asked that I speak before you all and read his

1	testimony, if that's all right.
2	CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes, please.
3	MS. NODVIN: Yes, unintended
4	consequences if the speaker asks you to go to a
5	meeting on Ukraine, you go.
6	CHAIRMAN MAY: Anytime we have
7	Congress or a staff member come and testify.
8	Thank you.
9	MS. NODVIN: Okay, great, thank you.
10	So, I will read Mr. Keating's
11	testimony now.
12	Chairman May and esteemed members of
13	the National Capital Memorial Advisory
14	Commission, thank you for holding this hearing
15	today and providing the opportunity for me to
16	share my testimony regarding H.R. 6611.
17	As Chair of the House of Foreign
18	Affairs Committee Subcommittee on Europe, Energy,
19	the Environment and Cyber, Chair of the French
20	Caucus in the U.S. House of Representative, as
21	well as the primary sponsor of H.R. 6611, I'm
22	expressing my full support for this bill which

would authorize the Embassy of France in
Washington, D.C. to establish a commemorative
work in the District of Columbia and its environs
to honor the extraordinary contributions of Jean
Monnet to restoring peace between European
nations and establishing the European Union.

As a French diplomat and businessman,

Jean Monnet was a dedicated citizen of Europe, a

patriot of his native France, and a devoted

friend of the United States.

He played a critical role in many of the key global events and international efforts of the 20th Century, including both World Wars, the creation of the League of Nations through building of Europe and a founding of the European Union.

I strongly believe in the importance of creating a commemorative work to honor Jean Monnet in the Capitol region. As his extraordinary role in promoting cooperation and peace on both sides of the Atlantic has furthered the national interest of the United States and is

also of lasting historical significance to the American people.

During World War II, Jean Monnet's contributions to the war effort were central to the U.S. and Allied victory over Nazi Germany.

After the occupation of his native France early in the war, Jean Monnet moved to Washington, D.C. as a member of the British Purchasing Commission.

He worked with senior U.S. officials to pool Allied resources, galvanized U.S. production, and unleashed the arsenal of democracy, a phrase coined by Monnet, and later made famous by President Roosevelt.

Along with his role in war production,

Jean Monnet served as an advisor to U.S.

president and a special envoy to the United

States through the Free French.

When asked by Jean Monnet's work
during World War II, U.S. Supreme Court Justice
and trusted presidential advisory, Felix
Frankfurter, states that, Monnet has been a
creative and energizing force in the development

of our defense program.

After the cessation of hostilities, influential economist, Jean May Archimedes concluded that Jean Monnet's contributions shortened the global conflict by an entire year. There is no doubt that his actions saved tens of thousands of European and American lives.

Additionally, Jean Monnet helped found the European Union, one of the United States most trusted Allies and our largest bilateral trade investment partner.

Having seen firsthand the benefits of working together in both World Wars, Jean Monnet continued to advocate for increased cooperation in Europe.

He proposed the creation of the European Coal and Steel Community which pooled the coal and steel resources of six countries and established economic bonds between nations that had only years before been at war.

Jean Monnet also organized the Action
Committee for the United States of Europe, a

platform for political parties from European countries to advocate for further European integration.

The creation of the ECSC and the Action Committee were both critical on the path to forming the European Union we know today.

Jean Monnet envisioned an enduring friendship between the United States and Europe, remarking in 1961 that the partnership of Europe and the United States should create a new force for peace.

With shared common interests and values, the United States and EU have worked collectively in the spirit of Jean Monnet to build a robust trade relationship, invest in humanitarian aid, and support the spread of free market and democratic reforms on the European continent and around the world.

For his contributions to U.S. national interests and the global community, President Lyndon B. Johnson awarded Jean Monnet in 1963 with the Presidential Medal of Freedom, the

highest honor granted by the United States to a civilian. The award was conferred with distinction and Monnet is one of only 26 individuals to receive this distinguished honor.

The award citation noted that Monnet helped coordinate Anglo American supply planning during the -- during World War II and that his practical vision, wisdom, and persuasive energies on behalf of the European unity and close cooperation between Europe and the United States have been a great resource for freedom.

From his service in World War II to helping found the European Union, one of the United States most reliable global partners, Jean Monnet's significant contributions are of undeniable benefit to the American people.

Jean Monnet's legacy of cooperation is more relevant now than ever as the United States and Europe are faced once more with a major conflict on the European continent.

However, just as in the wars of the previous century, the United States and Europe

will seek to overcome the current crisis and the 1 2 man of Jean Monnet is strongly encouraged by working together. 3 4 I give my wholehearted endorsement of 5 this worthy project, of the addition of a commemorative work in memory of Jean Monnet in 6 our nation's capital will not only honor his 7 8 incredible achievements, but also remind us of 9 the historic and continued importance of the 10 Transatlantic partnership between the United 11 States, France, and the greater European 12 community. 13 Signed, William R. Keating. 14 Thank you very much for CHAIRMAN MAY: 15 that testimony. 16 Do any members of the Commission have questions for Ms. Nodvin about that testimony? 17 18 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE) 19 CHAIRMAN MAY: Not seeing any

much for bearing with us and sticking around long

enough to testify. And maybe you will be able to

indication of any questions.

20

21

22

So, thank you very

stick around long enough to hear some more, but I 1 2 understand the demands on your time. So, thank 3 you very much. 4 MS. NODVIN: Thank you very much for 5 your consideration. I'm going to go staff Mr. Keating, but I will have someone monitoring and 6 I'm looking forward to hearing your all's 7 8 reaction. Thank you very much. 9 CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay, thank you. Okay, so, we will move now back to the 10 11 regular order. And, let's see, well, I think 12 some of this introductory information is not 13 necessary at this point. I will note we have received in 14 statements for the record from Senator 15 16 Christopher Coons on behalf of S. 3579 and from 17 Representative Jim Costa and Robert Latta on 18 behalf of H.R. 6611. And those statements that

We have heard the testimony from Representative Keating and now I believe we will

were previously provided to the members of the

Commission.

19

20

21

1 be receiving testimony from Aurelie Bonal, Deputy 2 Chief of Mission for the Embassy of France and the United States of America. 3 4 Welcome, Ms. Bonal, and I hope I 5 didn't get your name too far off. Thank you, please share your testimony with us. 6 Thank you, thank you, Mr. 7 MS. BONAL: 8 Chairman and thank you members of the Commission. 9 This is the first time I testify before a Commission, so I hope you will forgive 10 11 my strange English and my nervousness. 12 And since Congressman Raskin has 13 already mentioned Napoleon, I promise I will not do it. 14 Thank you for giving me the 15 16 opportunity to testify today in support of the 17 legislation that has been introduced by a 18 bipartisan, bicameral group of members of the 19 Congress to authorize the installation of a commemorative work in the District of Columbia 20 21 and its environ in honor of Jean Monnet.

And let me take the opportunity to

thank these members of Congress, and in particular, Senator Chris Coons of Delaware, Congress Bill Keating of Massachusetts, Congressman Bob Latta of Ohio, and Congressman Jim Costa of California for their enthusiasm for this project and for taking the time to address your Commission in support of this legislation as well.

Thank you also to Julia for reading Mr. Keating's statement.

I have submitted a written statement that I'm not going to read today. But I'll rather try to summarize it in less than ten minutes. I know the time is flying.

I first want to say the profound respect I have for your Commission's work and purpose and I understand that one of your guiding principles is to preserve the integrity of the comprehensive design of the L'Enfant McMillan Plan for the nation's capital. And at the French Embassy in the USA, I can assure that we are deeply attached to preserving the vision of our

fellow countryman, Pierre L'Enfant for this beautiful city.

And maybe more personally, after two years living in D.C., I am deeply attached to this city that my family and I call home and I really want to thank you for what you do to preserve it.

I am here to tell you more about Jean Monnet who is well-known on the other side of the Atlantic for being the Father of Europe. But who was also actually very important, a very important political player in Washington during and after the second World War.

Jean Monnet was, before anything else, an admirer of this country and he had impressive connections and close friendships on both sides of the aisle in Congress.

He first visited the USA very early in his life when he was only 17. And he was profoundly moved and influenced by these various visits to the USA and his interactions with American leaders.

Jean Monnet was a close friend to
President Roosevelt but also John Foster Dulles,
Harry Hopkins, Justice Frankfurter, and so many
others that actually it took a full book to
mention them all and this is a full book about
Monnet and the Americans, and a very interesting
book.

So, these interactions and friendship with American leaders allowed Monnet to play a very significant role in the U.S. victory program in the second World War.

Only a few weeks after the fall of

France, Jean Monnet was sent to the United States

by British Prime Minister Churchill to secure the

delivery of armaments for the British Army from

the British Military from North America.

And he settled in a house located at 2415 Foxhall Road. And today, this house sits very symbolically between the German and the French Embassy.

There is also a historical marker on the far side of the Willard Hotel where he

worked.

So, when Jean Monnet arrived in Washington, the United States remained officially neutral in the war. And Jean Monnet dedicated himself to a mission that really changed the course of the second World War and changed the destiny of the world. And this mission was to get the United States support to win against Nazi Germany.

So, though his friendship with key actors in the U.S. administration, Jean Monnet encourage President Roosevelt to take a stand.

And he made the argument that the United States needed to supply more weapons to the European countries.

And he was actually the one who coined the phrase, arsenal for democracy, that is, of course, the famous expression that President Roosevelt used in 1940 when he was arguing for a more, like a stronger involvement in the war.

So, this shifted policy resulted in the adoption by Congress of the Land Lease Act of

1941. And in the following months, Jean Monnet played a very active role advocating for a massive industrial endeavor and a building President Roosevelt's victory program that would eventually lead to the military victory on the battlefield and the liberation of Europe.

And in my written statement, you will find a quote from American Economist Keynes, and this quote shows how Monnet played a crucial and visionary role in the victory program that when nobody believed this program could work. And it's a program that Keynes believes to have shortened the duration of the war by a year.

Monnet's role has -- had and has a lasting effect on the U.S. and the Transatlantic relationship. And this role still resonates today in the context of the Russian aggression against Ukraine.

Two months after the beginning of the war, Congress adopted a new land lease program to support Ukraine's military needs. And this land lease act, somewhat equals the Land Lease Act of

1941 that Monnet tirelessly adopted for.

But Monnet was also a man of peace.

He promoted the European integration and strong

Transatlantic ties after the war. Indeed, he

dedicated his work after the second World War to

establishing a lasting peace in Europe. And his

idea was to pool together the steel and coal

industry and the industries of the former,

formerly warring powers, including France and

Germany, of course, to bring former enemies

closer.

He is very much the Father of Europe and he is celebrated as such in the EU. But the little known facts behind this is the important that Rock Creek Park played in Monnet's ambitious vision for Europe.

It is, indeed, in Rock Creek Park that Monnet conceived the idea. The park was, as he said, and I quote, the natural backdrop to my thinking.

So, when he and his team could not find big ideas or bold proposals anymore, Monnet

would just offer them to take a walk. And, I quote again, we have no more ideas to make the world a better place, well, let's take a walk.

On a very personal note, I must say,
I wish my walks in Rock Creek Park could be so
significant and creative. And, in my case, it's
more with my tour in on, it's more before you
destroy your house, let's go and walk in Rock
Creek Park.

But it's, however, very moving for me to think that it's in the same park that Jean Monnet conceived this European project. And this European project has allowed us, in this part of Europe, to live in peace and prosperity.

And when I see the situation in Ukraine, I can only be thankful for this planted legacy and this marvelous gift he gave us.

So, for all these reasons, because

Monnet was a significant importance to the

history of the USA, and because the USA and Rock

Creek Park were so important to the history of

Europe, for the strong links he had with this

country, for the significant role he had on the American stance in the second World War, for the vision he had for Europe, and for the fact that he shaped the Transatlantic relationship as we know it today, for his dedication to peace and democracy, the French government thinks a commemorative work should be installed in honor of Jean Monnet.

His message was valid 80 years ago, it is still completely relevant today. And I'm convinced it will still be of significance importance in the decades or even the centuries to come.

I also want to say that the French government, as the bill says, will be solely responsible for the fund raising and the expenses related to this project.

Thank you for your attention and I'm happy to take questions.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you very much for that testimony, the written version, and then, of course, what you delivered to us. I found us

1 really very enlightening and I really appreciate 2 the emphasis on the importance of parks, Rock Creek Park in particular is a place for 3 4 inspiration and a place for people to walk and 5 think and compose important world-changing thoughts. 6 7 So, I think was very, very moving. 8 I will ask the members of the 9 Commission if they have any questions of Ms. Bonal? 10 11 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE) 12 CHAIRMAN MAY: I am not seeing any 13 indication of questions. 14 So, I think that we can let you leave the screen if you will and then we will move on 15 16 to any other testimony and then our discussion. 17 But thank you, again, very much. 18 really appreciate it and you did a wonderful job 19 testifying before this Commission. Who knows if 20 you'll ever get to do that again, but you 21 certainly did a great job. Thank you.

MS. BONAL:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes.
3	So, that concludes the planned
4	testimony. Are there members of the public who
5	would like to comment on H.R. 6611 and S. 3579?
6	Madam Secretary, Beth Porter, have you heard from
7	anybody who wants to testify?
8	I think you're muted, you might be
9	muted.
10	MS. PORTER: Sorry, I'm having trouble
11	unmuting for some reason.
12	I have not.
13	CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay.
14	MS. PORTER: Chairman, there are no
15	further people to testify.
16	CHAIRMAN MAY: All right, so, we have
17	no one else here to testify.
18	Now, we are at 3:29. I know that
19	Commissioner Fountain, you had a time constraint.
20	Do you want to go first?
21	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Yes, if you
22	don't mind, Mr. Chairman. And I've sort of

managed to correct my -- to fix my video, but if 1 2 the image gets too wonky, let me know. CHAIRMAN MAY: You're vibrating a bit, 3 4 maybe you want to turn it off just to preserve 5 your bandwidth. COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: All right, so 6 7 as not to distract from the clarity of my 8 thoughts. 9 So, I was in graduate school in Europe studying international relations in the 1980s, 10 11 late 1980s when the EU was in the last stages of 12 its formation and Jean Monnet was, you know -- so 13 I'm very familiar with Jean Monnet and his 14 contributions to European unification. You know, that being said, when this 15 16 proposal first came on my radar screen, I was 17 initially skeptical thinking, why are we putting 18 a memorial on our Capitol to someone so important 19 to Europe? 20 That was without the benefit of the 21 subsequent education I've had thanks to the 22 sponsors and the members of Congress with respect

to his connections to the United States,
particularly in the context of World War II. And
that began to persuade me otherwise.

And then, I began to reflect on two themes that I and my colleagues here at the American Battle Monuments Commission touch on when we speak in Europe and particularly in France.

One of themes which is particular to France, and again, ties back, has a tangential connection to the Paine memorial, is the fact that, while the British -- we may have a special relationship with the British, but the French are our oldest Allies, which is a theme that we touch on over and over again in France.

And so, that makes me receptive to an opportunity to honor that relationship by endorsing a memorial to someone like Jean Monnet.

I'll note to the side that I don't if
we've had previous proposals like this sponsored
by foreign embassies, and I don't know if it
would be advisable to informally at least seek

the views of the Department of State on these things as there are diplomatic considerations that may come into play here, not necessarily this one in particular, but in others.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes, on some level,
State has already been engaged on this prior to
the introduction of the legislation. But we
certainly will be coordinating with them.

COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Yes, all right.

And then, the second theme is, and of course, you know I can't go through one of these comments without touching on the World War I Memorial. But inscribed at the World War I Memorial, as some of you may remember, are the lines from Archibald MacLeish, whether our lives and our deaths were for peace and a new hope or for nothing, we cannot say. It is you who must say this.

So, a call to the reader to redeem the sacrifice of those honored at the memorial by achieving a lasting peace.

And we talk about how the 1 2 accomplishments and the sacrifice of American forces in World War II led to an unprecedented 3 4 75, almost 80 years of peace on the European 5 continent, unfortunately, we've had to temper those remarks in the last six months, but 6 nevertheless, the connection between American 7 8 sacrifice and European peace is a profound one. 9 And Jean Monnet, more than anyone, you can say did redeem the sacrifice of American forces in 10 11 World War II by striving for European peace and 12 unification. 13 And so, in a way, by honoring Monnet 14 with a memorial here in Washington, we turn around and find another opportunity to honor our 15 16 country's own service and sacrifice. 17 And so, having reflected on all of 18 that, I came down in support of this proposal. 19 CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you very much, Commissioner Fountain. 20 21 COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: I've got about 22 ten minutes before I absolutely have to go.

1	will lodge a provisional vote in favor. I'll
2	stick around long enough to see if I'm persuaded
3	otherwise by subsequent comments.
4	CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay, thank you very
5	much.
6	I don't know about others, but I will
7	say that my experience with this was very
8	similar. I was skeptical at the beginning but
9	reading the testimony and the materials that have
10	been submitted, I am inclined in favor as well.
11	But I'm very interested in hearing the
12	views of the other Commissioners.
13	So, who would like to go next?
14	Everybody's nobody's reaching for their unmute
15	button. Oh, there we go.
16	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: I'll unmute.
17	This is actually a really very
18	interesting pair of applications that we've dealt
19	with and some real striking similarities.
20	I also didn't know that much about
21	Jean Monnet and I am enlightened as well by the
22	presentations and reading the materials.

1	But they are both so timely. They
2	both serve to remind us what memorials are for.
3	And in our current state of affairs, both of
4	these memorials could be so meaningful to the
5	city and to the nation. And for that reason, I
6	think they just sort of cry out for support.
7	So, I would be very much in support of
8	this as well. And also, I didn't know at all the
9	connection with Rock Creek Park and, Mr.
10	Chairman, I'm sure you know the Jules Jusserand
11	Memorial in Rock Creek Park and who knew that the
12	French were such great users of the park and
13	found meaning there. So, interesting story.
14	CHAIRMAN MAY: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Thanks.
16	CHAIRMAN MAY: Who's next? I'm just
17	going to pick somebody.
18	Commissioner Imamura, you would like
19	to go next?
20	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure, thank
21	you, Mr. Chairman.
22	Awfully difficult to follow

1	Commissioner Maloney and Commissioner Fountain.
2	But I don't really have anything more
3	to add. I'm grateful for the deeper education
4	and our shared history with France and Rock Creek
5	Park.
6	I, too, was slightly skeptical, but I
7	think that as Commissioner Maloney stated, it is
8	sort of timely for both of these applications.
9	And I'm prepared to vote for both in favor.
10	CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you.
11	Commissioner Sherman?
12	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thanks, Mr.
13	Chairman.
14	I don't have any additional comments
15	other than to say that NCPC acknowledges the
16	significance of this commemorative work.
17	And to respond to, I guess, Mr.
18	Fountain's question, maybe if this legislation
19	does proceed to look at the Gandhi Memorial as a
20	possible precedence in terms of approach and
21	siting and from the work of the federal
22	government to a foreign government to the

1 U.S. government.
2 And

And that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Luebke?

COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes, I was going to raise the same topic. You know, this is a little bit of a tricky question in that I think Monnet clearly emerges as a terribly significant actor in world history and the creation of post-World War II peace.

He is an international figure that, you know, the aggression is how of it is direct versus kind of indirect role in our history. You know, I think we have a precedent for being large and open about what that means.

And, as Mike Sherman just, you know, referenced, there's the Gandhi statue, there's also Churchill in a nearby location. There's all of our, you know, there's the Shevchenko. You know, we do have these things.

So, it's not without precedent. So,

I think it's a reasonably supportable idea. This

1	is somebody who had such a huge humanitarian					
2	impact, you know, regardless of how immediately					
3	direct it is on our history, it's clearly the					
4	influence that he exerted was tremendous.					
5	So, I'm in favor. I think, you know,					
6	the bigger issue will probably play out in					
7	location discussions. But for the moment, I					
8	think this is I'm supportive.					
9	CHAIRMAN MAY: Very good.					
10	Mr. McMahon?					
11	COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: Thanks,					
12	Chairman May.					
13	Yes, I've got nothing else to add from					
14	all the other more in-depth and thoughtful					
15	comments. I support them all and I can support					
16	this initiative.					
17	Thanks.					
18	CHAIRMAN MAY: All right, thank you.					
19	Ms. Wright?					
20	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, I would					
21	concur with Mr. Maloney's comments particularly.					
22	At a time when nationalism in its worst					

incarnation is all the rage, it's just a real relief to see something like this.

And let's just hurry up with it.

CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay.

Well, thank you very much. I think as
I've already said, I was skeptical at the
beginning but persuaded by the testimony that we
have received. Once I learned more about Jean
Monnet, it seems appropriate.

And I won't -- I don't want to go too far into the issues of what this memorial would be or where it would be, but this did begin with questions from the Embassy about the possibility of placing a memorial bench within Rock Creek Park as a commemorative work. And that is similar to the Jules Jusserand Memorial which is a fairly sizable stone bench, but small by modern memorial standards.

So, I think in terms of where this would be and the extent of that, I think it will be a relatively modest thing, but I think it will be very meaningful and it would be, you know,

hopefully in a place that is meaningful and has that nexus that we always look for.

Again, all assuming that it is authorized by the Congress.

I do want to note that there's -there are some small complications with this
legislation and we may want to provide feedback
to the committees because it is unusual for an
Embassy to be authorized to establish a
commemorative work.

We usually point to the foreign government itself, so, the government of France instead of the Embassy of France might be the more appropriate or at least more consistent with what we have done in the past.

The other thing is that the language regarding the cost, it makes clear that the cost of establishing the memorial would not be borne by the United States, that it would be paid for by the French Embassy or the French government.

But in this circumstance, the -- well, what typically happens when a memorial is

established by a foreign government, is that we look to that foreign government to commit to not just establishing the memorial, but also to maintain it. And so, I think we may want to make that suggestion as well, again, to be consistent with past practice.

I don't believe that will be a very costly operation given the relatively modest physical structure that's being proposed or that was previously proposed.

Now, again, I got a little ahead of myself in terms of assuming that it's going to be that, we don't know, but the first step in this process is just when the Congress thinks that this is something that should be established or not.

So, I think that I just want to go over my quick notes here. I skipped a lot of the sort of the preamble so that we could get to Commissioner Fountain's comments.

But I think I've pretty much hit everything that I needed to mention. And so, at

this point, it sounds like all are in favor of 1 2 supporting this, and that's, wow, we're two for two on legislation today if there's a fairly 3 4 immediate consensus. And I think we can send a 5 letter of support and note those couple of technical issues that I mentioned before. 6 7 And if the Commission is in support of 8 that, then I would look for a motion to that 9 effect. COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: So moved. 10 11 CHAIRMAN MAY: Okay, we have the 12 motion from Mr. Fountain. Is there a second? 13 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Second. 14 COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN MAY: I think Commissioner Sherman beat you out, Commissioner Maloney, so we 16 17 have the motion was made and properly seconded. 18 And I will go through the Commission to record 19 the vote. 20 And let's see, Commissioner Sherman? 21 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN MAY: Commissioner Luebke? 22

1	COMMISSIONER LUEBKE: Yes.			
2	CHAIRMAN MAY: Commissioner Maloney?			
3	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Yes.			
4	CHAIRMAN MAY: Commissioner Wright?			
5	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.			
6	CHAIRMAN MAY: Commissioner McMahon?			
7	COMMISSIONER MCMAHON: Yes.			
8	CHAIRMAN MAY: Commissioner Fountain?			
9	COMMISSIONER FOUNTAIN: Yes.			
10	CHAIRMAN MAY: And Commissioner			
11	Imamura?			
12	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.			
13	CHAIRMAN MAY: And the Chairman votes			
14	yes. And so, that motion passes. We will put			
15	that into a letter to the committees having			
16	jurisdiction and to the memorial sponsors of the			
17	memorial bills.			
18	Okay, we are now up to Agenda Item 4,			
19	updates on memorials currently in progress.			
20	If you'll bear with me just a second,			
21	I need to pull that up.			
22	The we actually posted an update on			

the NCMAC website. And so, you'll get a pretty complete recap of the -- let's see, we have seven pieces of legislation that are pending. We have several memorials that are in site selection.

The most recent developments, let's see, the Memorial on Terrorism, I think we had previously reported they were working on site selection within the reserve.

National Medal of Honor Memorial is preparing for site selection, they're just moving on that kind of slowly.

And then, the Women's Suffrage

Memorial also known as Every Word We Utter, is

also preparing for site selection.

Fallen Journalists Memorial which we already supported Area I approval on, the package to -- that needs to be sent to the Congress indicating support for Area I has been moving very slowly, unfortunately, through the Department, but we are hopeful that we will get that package sent up to the Congress very shortly.

Other memorials of note that I will mention, Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial is moving toward hopefully final approvals maybe this fall, hopefully this fall. And they did hold a ceremonial groundbreaking a couple of weeks ago and they are fully funded, we understand. We haven't done all the accounting on that yet, but we understand they're fully funded. So, we expect that to move quickly once they get their final approvals.

The World War II FDR Prayer Plaque,
the Park Service issued a construction permit on
July 11th to the Friends of the World War II
Memorial to construct that project.

And the, as I mentioned to some folks earlier today, the Wall of Remembrance at the Korean War Veterans Memorial was dedicated this morning. And so, it is -- it had actually been open for a bit but the official opening and dedication was this morning. And it was a very interesting ceremony. The finished product looks really wonderful and so, I hope everyone will get

a chance to go and see it if they have not 1 2 already seen it. So, I think that's it for my updates 3 4 and we have no other business to bring before the 5 Commission. Do any members of the Commission have 6 7 business that they would like to raise? Issues 8 they would like to raise? Questions you'd like 9 to ask? Comments about memorials or parks, how inspiring parks can be? 10 11 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE) 12 CHAIRMAN MAY: No, nothing else. COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Just don't call 13 14 them vacant. 15 That's right. CHAIRMAN MAY: 16 I appreciate everyone's comments 17 today. This was a very meaningful meeting and 18 not very contentious. Right? There was --19 sometimes we get -- we wind up in these contentious meetings or complicated discussions 20 21 but I think this was a very productive, very

important meeting.

1	And I appreciate everyone's attendance
2	and look forward to seeing you again sometime in
3	the fall. I don't know exactly when, but we will
4	be back in the fall because we have a number of
5	site selections and things that will be ready for
6	will be up.
7	So, Ms. Porter, is there anything else
8	we need to cover before we close the meeting?
9	MS. PORTER: No, you've done
LO	everything. Thank you, everybody.
L1	CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you, Ms. Porter.
L 2	Thank you, Ms. Kelly. And thanks, Stan Fox, for
L3	helping us through this meeting. And thank you,
L 4	all of you, Commissioners, for your preparation,
L5	your testimony, and your comments. Well, not
L6	your testimony, your comments, and look forward
L7	to seeing you all again somewhere, somehow.
L8	COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thank you, Mr.
L9	Chair.
20	CHAIRMAN MAY: Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
22	went off the record at 3:48 p.m.)

A					
aback 80:4					
abandoned 88:19					
ability 79:6					
able 7:18 16:1 78:12					
103:1 128:22					
abolitionist 99:12					
above-entitled 120:10					
158:21					
absolutely 144:22 academic 38:12					
accept 16:20					
accepting 20:12					
access 62:11 63:13					
accessibility 60:1					
accommodate 30:18,22					
31:22 39:3 50:8 62:1					
accomplishments					
22:17 82:2 89:5 90:4					
90:10 144:2					
accounting 156:7					
achievements 128:8					
achieving 143:22					
acknowledge 35:18					
67:13 100:10 112:19					
acknowledged 90:3 acknowledges 147:15					
ACO 47:5					
acquisition 25:14					
act 5:17,17 6:5 37:11					
55:18 56:6,20,21 57:1					
57:11 71:20 102:18					
108:13 119:3 134:22					
135:22,22					
action 57:22 58:4 98:8					
108:9 125:21 126:5					
actions 125:6					
active 109:18 135:2					
activism 100:3					
activist 101:4					
activities 22:10 33:12 42:15					
42:15 actor 148:9					
actor 146.9 actors 134:11					
add 50:13,14 59:4					
64:13 69:12 70:20					
71:6,12 77:14 109:4					
111:10 113:17 115:21					
116:5 147:3 149:13					
added 24:21 26:17,19					
110:17					
addition 18:4 25:4 44:3					
74:3 128:5 additional 24:20 26:3					
26:20 29:2 34:13 49:5					
71:5 147:14					
Additionally 125:8					
address 33:20 71:15					
4441000 00.20 7 1.10					

121:19 131:6 addressed 80:20 adhering 42:2 Adjourn 3:21 adjusted 44:20 administration 1:16 2:1 5:1,20 21:7 101:18 112:18 134:11 Administrator 1:15 4:22 5:19 admiration 90:3 admirer 132:15 admonished 99:16 adopted 135:20 136:1 adoption 134:22 adulthood 101:21 advantage 30:6 48:22 64:11 advantages 29:20 39:12 advisable 142:22 advise 5:18 **advisor** 124:15 advisory 1:4,8 4:5 5:6,8 5:15 23:3 86:3 122:13 124:20 advocacy 58:4 99:6 100:4 advocate 74:20 125:14 126:2 advocated 102:18 advocates 73:13 advocating 79:22 135:2 **affairs** 5:12 86:7 121:6 122:18 146:3 affect 13:3 22:11 affection 12:16 affiliation 117:2 affinity 33:10 Affordable 102:17 afield 118:21 African 100:8 **African-** 51:10 87:1 African-American 2:8 29:1 31:14,22 37:13 42:20 52:21 59:19 98:16 100:5 afternoon 4:3 22:1 35:21 98:17 age 89:21 109:19 agencies 25:13 33:22 agency 49:6 70:20 agenda 2:1,4,10 3:1 6:9 7:15 8:3 20:15 22:6 84:22 85:1 154:18 aggression 135:17 148:12

ago 54:12 74:20 104:8

110:5 138:9 156:6 agree 94:5 115:2,5,6 ahead 7:12 8:3,18 21:19 27:10 33:17 51:18 52:15 102:14 103:2 121:3 152:11 aid 10:15 94:7 126:16 Air 93:21 aisle 132:17 alerts 47:16 align 115:21 alignment 69:15 alive 95:18 all's 129:7 **Allied** 124:5,10 Allies 125:10 142:14 allow 55:8 57:20 79:10 98:4 100:15 allowed 133:9 137:13 Ambassador 110:1 ambitious 110:8 136:15 **America** 9:3,11 10:2,3 10:10 19:5 91:15 94:9 94:16 95:21 102:2 104:4.10 130:3 133:16 America's 10:19 89:18 99:11 101:5 104:12 American 1:18 3:6,7 5:3 6:12,13 20:17,19 22:12,13,16,17 23:8 23:10,15,19,21 25:2,3 51:11 62:15 65:2 82:4 82:6 86:17 87:2.16 89:9 94:11 95:18 109:8,14,22 110:13 113:22 115:13 124:2 125:7 127:6,16 132:22 133:9 135:8 138:2 142:6 144:2,7 144:10 **Americans** 11:17 13:13 90:3 96:11 104:2 112:20 133:6 amount 42:4 amplify 22:16 analysis 26:3 28:11 30:10 32:8 37:7 38:11 39:21 41:9 45:5 52:16 67:7,9 83:11,12 analyzed 49:20 analyzing 25:14 **Anglo** 127:6 Anglo-Saxon 100:21 **animals** 111:13,17 **Ann** 2:3 21:9 34:17 38:19 40:1 41:20 42:6

announce 88:21 announced 29:12 answer 13:6 34:18 55:11 80:12 104:18 answers 41:7 82:14 anti-slavery 99:6 101:4 anticipate 46:18 88:14 anticipates 42:13 anticipating 65:5 anticipation 26:3 anybody 19:9 35:7 105:5 106:17 140:7 anybody's 112:1 anymore 136:22 Anytime 122:6 anyway 85:15 117:3 apart 102:9 apologize 92:20 93:7 apparently 93:9 appetite 47:9 applications 145:18 147:8 applies 55:19 57:11 apply 55:21 appreciate 15:18 17:14 18:7 19:11 22:5 28:17 34:22 35:15 41:7 51:4 53:21 66:4 69:3 70:8 78:15 81:12,13 83:4 83:14,21 84:2 97:7,16 105:16 106:12 110:17 114:11 139:1,18 157:16 158:1 **approach** 76:4 147:20 appropriate 6:1 39:3 80:18 114:19 150:9 151:14 appropriately 100:4 approval 155:16 **approvals** 156:3,10 approve 18:15 approved 88:17 **April** 99:8 Archibald 143:16 Archimedes 125:3 **Architect** 1:18 5:5 30:16 43:18 architectural 30:5 34:9 40:7 area 57:1 61:3 72:1 87:20 98:3 99:1 113:9 118:18 119:6,11,13 119:18 155:16,18 areas 38:20 44:19 52:7 68:5 90:13 arque 14:12 83:2,2 argued 12:8 94:17 97:19 99:17

50:12

bench 150:14.17 arguing 98:5 134:19 87:6 bothering 73:4 argument 134:13 avoid 32:10 benches 87:14 boundary 42:21 arguments 97:12 award 127:2,5 **benefit** 127:16 141:20 **Bowling** 101:17 breadth 43:14 51:22 armaments 133:15 **awarded** 126:21 **benefits** 125:12 aware 39:19 40:21 43:2 **Army** 94:7,12,21 95:12 benefitted 100:12 break 3:11 120:4,6,8 95:15 133:15 44:13 48:2 50:21 Benjamin 90:17 bridge 43:10 60:8 bridges 92:4 arrived 74:3 134:2 51:20 **BEP** 64:2 arsenal 124:11 134:17 awesome 18:7 best 10:19 76:11 97:2 brief 112:7 121:20 **Awfully 146:22** articulate 13:20 100:16 briefing 22:2 24:14 **Arts** 1:14 4:7,19 28:21 axis 31:20 Beth 5:11,11 20:8 86:4 briefly 22:9 140:6 brighter 104:14 29:16 34:6 48:9 54:12 Aye 117:19 58:7 61:15 78:5 84:10 **Ayers** 2:2 21:8,8,8 27:4 better 14:6,17 89:16 brilliant 89:14 bring 21:14 43:3 104:13 Asian-Pacific 65:1 102:3,11 103:10 В asked 80:8 95:3 121:22 137:3 110:18 136:10 157:4 **B** 126:21 124:18 **beyond** 26:18 **brings** 30:4 asking 51:20 back 8:3 19:17 28:14 bicameral 130:18 **British** 97:11 102:8 asks 122:4 30:9 46:12 54:1 62:6 **Biden** 23:17 124:8 133:14,15,16 aspirations 68:13 75:20,21 76:6 78:12 **big** 25:19 31:21 43:11 142:12,13 assemblies 91:5 120:8 129:10 142:10 59:6 65:12 111:10 broad 45:6,7 136:22 assessment 56:8 **broadly** 78:20 158:4 **Associate** 2:3 21:9 **backdrop** 136:19 **bigger** 149:6 brought 26:21 **Association** 2:5,6 3:4,9 bilateral 125:10 build 40:18 56:1 90:7 background 17:11 6:20 16:18 85:4,17,19 backgrounds 113:2 bilingual 33:11 92:4 126:15 86:8,11,20 88:2,4 bandwidth 76:14 141:5 **bill** 3:8 7:2,14 91:19 **building** 28:21 29:16 98:1 106:22 108:2,10 32:9 43:22 48:7,10 91:9 Banneker 67:22 **assume** 7:16 70:15 Bar 16:18 108:12.16 118:13 52:13.20 61:16 62:4 **assumes** 119:15 barrier 88:22 121:9,11 122:22 62:13,16,20 63:1,11 assuming 151:3 152:12 based 44:22 66:15 67:2 131:3 138:15 63:12,19 64:12 69:18 assure 131:21 billion 88:9 70:6 79:14 123:15 78:13 83:11 88:12 asylum 10:4,5 basin 26:20 29:3 32:3.3 **bills** 3:12 20:8 108:6 135:3 Atlanta 99:1 49:14 50:5 51:13 154:17 Building's 79:16 **Atlantic** 123:21 132:10 59:15 60:9 69:17 bio 120:7 **buildings** 29:22 42:19 attached 131:22 132:4 basis 11:5 37:15 49:22 biographies 24:6 51:8 60:14 62:18 73:2 attacking 13:8 **Battle** 1:18 5:3 142:6 bipartisan 130:18 82:16 **attempt** 106:9 battlefield 89:14,17 birthplace 114:17 built 48:8 57:5.7 attendance 158:1 135:6 bit 12:5 28:9,10,15 33:7 bull's 14:13 attention 26:21 69:21 **battles** 95:14 38:19 42:18 50:3 **bunch** 14:19 106:3 121:10 138:18 **Bureau** 48:12 bear 60:4 154:20 59:12 61:4 71:19 attorney 97:6 bearing 128:21 93:15 98:15 111:11 **Burke** 13:7,7 attributed 9:14 beat 153:16 141:3 148:7 156:19 **busiest** 87:13 **AUDIBLE** 128:18 beautiful 11:21 13:5 bite 19:2 **business** 3:19 7:11 139:11 157:11 Black 98:21 100:17 18:9 50:18 132:2 157:4,7 Aurelie 2:11 130:1 **Bedford** 18:2 114:18 blankets 13:1 businessman 123:7 authorization 6:15 block 41:3 began 11:21 87:12 **busy** 14:20 33:17 113:15 119:15,18 blocks 63:13 87:7 button 105:22 117:16 90:13,19 91:1 142:3,4 145:15 authorization's 108:1 **blue** 60:18 beginning 9:17 39:20 authorize 3:3,8,12 6:3 135:19 145:8 150:7 board 20:21 21:6 23:10 C 6:19 7:3 85:3 86:10 25:1,3 114:5 begins 101:21 120:17 121:11 123:1 behalf 85:10,19 127:9 **Bob** 131:4 **California** 91:7 131:5 130:19 **bold** 136:22 129:16,18 call 35:7 117:12 132:5 **Bonal** 2:11 130:1,4,7 authorized 3:6 6:6 **believe** 18:20 39:13 143:20 157:13 20:17 56:15 74:4 40:12 83:7 84:11 94:1 139:10,22 called 11:21 62:12 Bondage 99:11 107:20,21 119:11 99:21,21 103:15 89:20 94:4 151:4,9 123:17 129:22 152:7 **bonds** 125:19 calls 57:17 automatically 18:21 **believed** 95:21 102:15 **book** 89:19 133:4,5,7 camera 16:8,11 70:16 **available** 7:21 8:13 135:11 **bore** 9:2 74:13 76:11 19:14,21 34:18 42:5 **believes** 135:12 **borne** 151:18 cameras 16:1 35:3 **Avenue** 30:21 68:1,6 **Ben** 9:13 **botanic** 42:15 43:22 campus 30:20

candidly 70:19 candlelight 95:5 capacity 5:8 27:13 29:19 30:17 capital 1:3,8,13 4:4,17 5:14,15 86:3 92:2 109:11 122:13 128:7 131:20 **Capitol** 1:19 5:5 28:22 30:13,16 37:21 38:2 41:18 42:7 43:9,18,19 44:5 45:17 47:4 58:21 80:17 96:21 123:19 141:18 Capitol's 31:4 Capitols 30:15 captured 81:15 capturing 117:6 **Care** 102:17 careful 75:12 carefully 56:22 78:21 **Carta** 9:19 Carter 87:7 case 15:9 18:22 65:7,7 108:15 116:1 137:6 cases 116:12 **castle** 63:14 categorized 24:16 category 58:19 Caucus 122:20 cause 10:10,10 12:13 96:14 cease 47:14 celebrate 98:9 celebrated 23:17 136:13 Celebrating 104:11 **center** 14:13 central 29:21 31:18 124:4 centralization 82:17 centralized 82:18 centuries 102:17 138:12 century 14:15 19:2 60:12 61:2,22 123:13 127:22 ceremonial 156:5 ceremony 156:21 certain 47:17 64:17 72:10 103:13 **certainly** 9:5 21:12 106:12 115:2,5,11 116:1,9 139:21 143:8 cessation 125:2 cetera 60:1 114:1 **CFA** 4:10 chair 34:13 47:6 66:14

122:17,19 158:19 Chair's 67:20 chairmanship 121:8 challenged 63:22 challenges 30:4 31:3,9 67:14 challenging 39:11 Champaign-Urbana 91:7 **champion** 14:3 97:17 **chance** 157:1 **change** 17:1 121:20 changed 42:18 55:8 134:5,6 character 30:3 characteristics 27:18 **Charis** 2:8 87:2 101:12 101:16 chat 8:11 19:22 chattel 102:7 checking 14:18 **cherry** 32:11 91:6 Chief 2:10,11 130:2 Chris 5:7 131:2 Christopher 129:16 chronicling 22:20 Churchill 133:14 148:18 **circle** 73:22 circumstance 18:15 117:2 151:21 citation 127:5 cite 13:14 15:2 cited 64:21 cites 37:21 108:12 citizen 89:20 123:8 city 36:4 51:1 59:8 60:7 60:13 73:12,14 76:22 79:18 90:16 132:2,5 146:5 civilian 127:2 claims 12:7 clarification 54:22 clarify 55:6 clarity 141:7 class 86:14 classified 121:20 clear 31:8 57:21 151:17 clearly 36:15 39:10 148:8 149:3 **Clinton** 88:19 clock 36:20 close 47:22 92:3 127:9 132:16 133:1 158:8 closely 29:18 30:17 **closer** 136:11

closing 76:16

closures 44:4

coal 125:17,18 136:7 **Code** 16:20 coffee 93:8 cognizant 39:18 47:21 coined 124:12 134:16 collapse 94:22 colleagues 85:21 142:5 collection 51:14 collectively 126:14 Colonies 89:13 Colonists 90:18 97:9 **colors** 14:8 Columbia 1:15 3:4,10 3:14 4:21 5:22 6:4,22 7:5 85:5 121:13 123:3 130:20 come 11:13 52:1 73:10 104:5,7 122:7 138:13 143:3 comes 11:14 18:12 coming 17:20 34:3 51:12 65:3 67:1 68:9 73:22 75:6 84:8 109:14 commemoration 110:12 commemorative 3:4.9 3:13 5:16,21 6:3,21 7:4 37:10,18 38:1 55:18 56:6,20,21 57:11 60:16 71:19 85:4 86:11 96:21 98:3 108:13,18 113:2 119:2 120:18 121:13 123:2,18 128:6 130:20 138:7 147:16 150:15 151:10 comment 17:20 20:2,7 80:4 140:5 comments 15:6 16:14 16:17 17:15 19:10 20:12 26:4,5 33:22 34:19,19 35:5,8 54:19 65:21 66:5,15 67:15 68:19 69:13,15 70:8 70:11,22 71:5,11 77:7 77:21 78:2,3,14 81:6 81:11 83:7,12 104:19 105:4 106:15 107:11 107:14 108:20 114:16 115:2,7,21 117:6 143:13 145:3 147:14 149:15,21 152:20 157:9,16 158:15,16 **Commission** 1:4,9,13 1:14,18 4:5,6,17,19 5:4,8,12,16 6:7,17 15:13 17:7 20:8 22:1

22:3 24:15 26:15 34:5 35:11 40:5 41:10,14 41:17 52:17 53:22 54:12,18 58:7 62:22 63:9,18 66:13,16,19 67:6 68:3,8 70:9 73:17 78:1,4,6,12 83:22 84:10,13 86:3 93:13 96:6 98:19 101:15 107:10 108:10 108:20 117:7 122:14 124:8 128:16 129:20 130:8,10 131:7 139:9 139:19 142:6 153:7 153:18 157:5,6 Commission's 67:4 107:6 131:16 Commissioners 1:11 15:21,22 21:12 35:3 54:2 66:6 67:15 71:1 84:3 104:17 105:2 115:22 145:12 158:14 commissions 26:13 36:1 69:16 commit 152:2 commitment 99:5 committed 22:20 41:1 83:11 **committee** 108:4,9 122:18 125:22 126:5 **committees** 5:20 6:1.2 47:7 81:20 119:21 151:8 154:15 **common** 10:18 11:3 18:12 89:5 90:22 93:19 94:15 97:2 100:6 126:12 communicate 97:8 communities 22:19 community 40:13 125:17 126:20 128:12 companion 7:2 comparison 52:19 compassion 111:11 compatible 52:22 **compelling** 15:9 50:1 50:19 89:6 116:1,6 competence 70:20 **complete** 20:5 107:22 155:2 **completed** 30:1 32:14 40:7 110:14 **completely** 9:21 11:4 80:14 138:10 complex 43:19 46:2,7 60:8 63:15 100:19 complexity 49:7 83:1 101:1

compliance 108:12 complicated 52:3 100:19 157:20 complications 31:9 151:6 components 51:2 52:4 **compose** 139:5 composed 32:18 composition 72:2 comprehensive 9:12 131:19 comprise 66:21 **compromise** 60:6 65:9 conceived 95:17 136:18 137:12 concept 6:7 concepts 24:6 concern 53:5,6 concerns 35:16 37:3 46:15 47:22 conclude 21:2 concluded 125:4 concludes 34:16 120:1 140:3 conclusion 73:10 concur 74:19 149:21 conduct 91:4 conducted 24:22 25:5 conferred 127:2 configuration 42:17 **conflict** 125:5 127:20 confusing 93:7 **Congress** 5:20 6:2 14:20 18:15 41:1 45:20 57:3.9 74:4 85:11,12 108:11 122:7 130:19 131:1,3 132:17 134:22 135:20 141:22 151:4 152:14 155:17,21 congressional 6:15 26:14 45:18 57:22 59:1 Congressionally 3:6 Congressman 3:3 7:12 7:17,20 8:4,13,16,21 16:15,22 17:10,19 18:6 19:1,13 36:12 114:16 116:8 130:12 131:4,4 connecting 63:14 connection 109:16 142:11 144:7 146:9 connections 132:16 142:1 conquered 12:18 consecrates 104:12

consent 11:12 consequences 122:4 conservative 2:7 86:22 92:15 96:4 97:16 consider 15:13 50:10 62:22 68:11 116:14 considerably 59:19 consideration 17:9 24:21 26:18 31:16 38:12 53:13 56:13 57:4 69:20 75:7 76:18 79:1,4,9 129:5 considerations 143:2 **considered** 37:5 44:8 60:20 80:19 97:12 considering 6:2 47:18 62:20 70:8 80:16 81:5 83:6 119:21 consist 99:22 consistent 151:14 152:5 consistently 60:11 97:18 consolation 12:18 constituencies 73:9 constituency 73:12 74:7 constituent 28:5 80:7 constituents 40:22 constitutional 9:6 constraint 45:5 140:19 constraints 27:14 30:10 44:7 46:15 58:17 construct 156:14 constructed 56:17 construction 38:3 88:13 156:12 consult 6:6,17 78:11 consultants 40:7 consultation 6:14 34:1 34:7 consulted 40:14 contact 20:8 contacted 106:21 contentious 157:18,20 contested 62:17 context 52:5 110:18 135:17 142:2 contextual 51:7 continent 126:18 127:20 144:5 Continental 94:7,20 continue 8:2 33:19 34:6 34:8 39:6,7 45:11,12 89:10 95:20 103:9

104:7 112:22

continued 125:14 128:9

continuing 38:21 51:21 continuously 104:13 contraction 72:9 contradict 70:21 contradiction 40:16 56:3 contrary 99:18 contributions 3:14 7:6 96:14 98:10 109:7 112:21 120:19 121:15 123:4 124:4 125:4 126:19 127:15 141:14 control 61:18 104:3 controversial 13:9 controversy 36:16 convened 74:11 conversation 45:19,22 46:3 51:7 78:6 109:5 conversations 46:5,18 51:14 82:11 convert 64:17 conveyed 69:13 convinced 138:11 Coons 129:16 131:2 cooperation 123:20 125:14 127:10.17 coordinate 127:6 coordinated 65:7 coordinating 143:8 copies 10:21 11:1 core 59:7 71:22 cornice 52:20 correct 74:9 110:7 141:1 corrected 96:20 corridor 60:14 74:21.21 **Cortez** 2:1 21:6,15,17 28:16 35:19 38:18 41:6,20 44:9 45:21 46:20 47:19 48:18 49:16 50:2 51:5,18 52:6 53:3,18 58:9 66:11 68:18 70:10 77:3,5,12 81:7,9 84:5 84:19 cost 25:22 34:11 88:13 151:17,17 Costa 129:17 131:5 **costly** 152:8 **costs** 30:11 Council 5:6 23:3,9 25:2 councils 29:7 counsel's 55:18 count 111:5 countries 125:18 126:2 134:15 **country** 9:21 10:20 12:14 79:18 92:6

103:15 105:14 132:15 138:1 country's 97:14 144:16 countryman 132:1 countrymen 102:12 couple 16:2 35:16 64:1 77:20 153:5 156:5 **course** 11:6,13 17:13 29:7 44:11 50:21 61:15,21 71:8 78:10 80:10 81:15 82:17 87:15 94:14 110:11 111:4 116:7 119:12 119:14 134:6,18 136:10 138:22 143:12 **Court** 124:19 cover 158:8 covered 46:14 **covers** 119:3 **create** 9:20 96:19 126:10 created 10:8 11:10 creating 11:4 123:18 creation 65:1 91:14 97:13 123:14 125:16 126:4 148:9 creative 124:22 137:6 creatures 100:2 credentials 115:12 credit 11:14 Creek 136:15,17 137:5 137:9,21 139:3 146:9 146:11 147:4 150:14 crisis 11:22 89:9,12 95:5 128:1 criteria 27:5,11,15,22 32:16 65:13,16 73:8 critical 24:5 27:17 72:6 123:11 126:5 critically 36:8 criticism 36:17 cross 31:19 **crossed** 95:13 Crown 11:17 **crucial** 135:9 **cruelty** 111:16 **cry** 146:6 cultural 24:7 65:10 **culture** 29:2 31:14 37:14 51:11 65:2 112:22 **curious** 37:4 41:9,15 44:2 48:11 current 32:9 42:11,16 51:21 73:6 88:22 89:2 112:18 128:1 146:3 currently 25:11 63:13 154:19

consensus 153:4

custodians 56:5 **cuts** 43:5 **Cyber** 121:8 122:19 **czars** 9:9 D **D.C** 3:13 7:4 18:5 82:19 86:12 87:4 88:11 98:3 101:8 114:20 118:21 119:2 121:12 123:2 124:7 132:4 **D.C.'s** 87:13 damage 70:5 Daniel 4:9 **Dann** 2:5 85:18,20 86:1 86:6 92:10,19,22 93:6 96:1 98:13 101:11 104:17 dare 17:7 dark 16:10 data 28:9 **David** 1:14 4:19 days 36:22 46:19 87:9 deadline 72:18 dealt 145:18 death 90:10 deaths 143:17 **decades** 90:8 138:12 **December** 20:15,15 decided 57:3 decision 36:2,7,8 61:11 64:20 79:6,15 98:4,7 **decisions** 26:9 79:10 79:13 deck 43:8 **Declaration** 11:6,10 97:15 99:19 dedicated 123:8 134:4 136:5 156:17 dedication 138:5 156:20 deeper 41:8 147:3 deeply 51:20 69:2 131:22 132:4 defeat 94:20 defense 1:17 5:2 125:1 **defer** 108:9 deficiencies 69:21 define 9:10 45:6 118:20 demands 83:16 129:2 **democracy** 9:6 10:9 11:11 13:10 14:4 90:11 92:7 97:17 109:8 124:12 134:17 138:6 democracy's 14:16 **democratic** 10:14 13:20 126:17 demographics 32:18 demonstrates 26:22 demonstratively 113:17 **Department** 1:1 56:10 56:11 57:11,15 63:11 68:1 77:17 143:1 155:20 Department's 56:10 departure 61:5 Depicted 23:7 depth 25:15 **Deputy** 2:11 130:1 derided 103:6 describe 25:16 31:7 41:15 described 33:10 39:10 41:11 47:12 describing 29:10 43:17 **Desert** 156:2,2 deserting 95:1 design 6:7 36:3 49:19 52:11.13 53:9 87:20 88:13 131:19 designate 20:21 designed 18:17 88:6 designs 53:16 desirable 27:18 destiny 104:3 134:7 destroy 137:8 detail 53:7 detailed 45:4 details 96:13 121:2 determination 103:14 determine 119:12 determined 103:4 detriment 97:21 develop 34:8 developed 26:14 **developing** 23:3 25:22 110:20 development 25:8 27:14 59:6 63:15 83:10 124:22 developments 155:5

devoted 123:9

diamond 119:3

diagrammatic 53:16

dialogue 82:12 83:17

differences 33:6 different 11:4 14:11 16:11 38:20 52:4 57:20 79:12 106:11 113:1 115:13 difficult 12:19 79:22 80:2 82:11 100:15.21 146.22 difficulties 7:21 dig 41:8 diligence 24:16 39:7 40:20 diplomat 123:7 diplomatic 143:2 direct 77:15 78:16,17 148:12 149:3 directed 20:20 direction 57:8 directly 22:11 62:10,14 **Director** 1:11 2:3 4:13 21:10 121:6 dirty 13:1 disadvantages 39:12 disappointed 66:2 discharged 93:22 disclaimer 116:17 disconnected 75:2 **discuss** 25:13 discussed 27:22 34:10 41:16 56:9 72:7 discussing 83:1 discussion 33:19 46:8 66:18 68:22 69:1 71:19 77:15 83:17 105:3 107:6 113:10 139:16 discussions 37:1 42:9 82:16 110:19 149:7 157:20 distant 97:11 distinction 33:7,9 127:3 distinctive 29:22 distinguished 127:4 distract 141:7 **district** 1:15 3:4,9,13 4:20 5:22 6:4,22 7:5 65:10 85:5 115:7 119:3 120:18 121:13 123:3 130:20 dive 69:2 diversity 99:2 115:8 divides 92:6 document 37:9 97:14 documents 75:8 dog 73:5 doing 45:3,4 59:14

died 16:9

difference 33:4

63:20 82:10 83:11 99:22 119:12 **dollars** 88:9,15 89:2 **donors** 88:9 doubt 40:21 110:14 125:6 **Downey** 2:6 86:19 87:22 88:3 99:7 downtown 18:3,3 82:19 Dr 23:17 draft 54:14 drawn 26:13 dreams 104:9 drive 48:17 driver 48:18 49:8 driving 81:20 drop 107:8 drumhead 95:4 due 24:15 39:7 40:19 88:20 **Dulles** 133:2 duplicate 114:19 duration 135:13 duties 99:22 duty 103:8 111:17

Ε earlier 30:11 32:13 34:11 36:13 39:10 44:15 69:13 77:18 99:7 156:16 early 12:8 26:17 124:6 132:18 easiest 16:6 **easily** 12:17 east 31:1 easy 46:6 53:3 63:4 64:16 82:14,20 ebb 95:19 ecological 64:11 economic 10:7 125:19 economist 125:3 135:8 **ECSC** 126:4 edge 30:19 **Edmund** 13:7 educate 22:21 education 89:21 100:5 141:21 147:3 educational 88:6 91:1 91:18 Edwin 1:17 5:2 16:8 70:15 effect 135:15 153:9 effort 39:15 84:2 119:18 124:4 efforts 109:21 123:12 either 46:15 47:22 65:15 70:20 78:4

118:20

defined 57:1

definite 49:8

deliver 7:18

definitely 83:6,10

delivered 138:22

delivering 91:1

delivery 133:15

Delaware 95:13 131:2

II		1	
105:6	environ 130:21	21:3 22:3,9 24:21	facility 42:14 47:11
elaborate 49:12,19	Environment 121:8	25:7,19 26:8,12 27:5	61:17
electrifying 13:2	122:19	34:9,11 41:4 46:14	facing 64:5,5 100:15
elementary 91:2	environs 3:10,14 5:22	evaluations 39:16	102:6
elements 60:17	6:4,22 7:5 85:5 119:2	events 47:15 123:12	fact 44:5 45:17 47:4
elevate 21:11	120:19 121:14 123:3	eventually 135:5	48:14 59:14 62:19,20
elevated 8:14	envision 24:1 45:19	everybody 9:2 11:2	71:15 72:22 84:14
email 17:2	envisioned 43:20 60:14	62:21 73:16 78:15	99:8 109:19 116:19
embarrassed 111:6	126:7	114:7 158:10	138:3 142:11
embarrassed 111.0	envoy 124:16	Everybody's 145:14	factor 48:9
Embassy 2:12 3:13 7:3	equal 11:10 12:8	everyday 18:2	facts 136:14
120:17 121:11 123:1	equality 11:8 92:8	everyone's 157:16	failed 85:7
130:2 131:21 133:20	99:21	158:1	fair 68:22 72:6
150:2131:21133:20	equals 135:22	exactly 14:13 18:8 58:9	fairly 50:6 150:17 153:3
emerges 148:8	equitable 104:10	101:1 158:3	fall 133:12 156:4,4
emirs 9:9	equity 99:2 112:16	example 13:22 27:19	158:3,4
empathetic 75:18	erected 14:2	27:20 51:9	•
emperors 9:9	especially 14:15 17:20	excellent 62:10	Fallen 155:15 familiar 95:13 141:13
emphasis 29:4 41:3	36:20 72:4 97:6	exception 37:14,16	families 102:19
139:2	103:21 105:13 114:12	exception 37.14,16 excited 66:20,22	family 23:16,18 24:3
emphasize 38:10	essay 100:6	exciting 90:9	33:12 132:5
114:15	EST 1:9	excluded 103:7	famous 124:13 134:18
		excuse 106:14	far 33:6 49:8 59:22 73:4
Empire 102:8	establish 3:9,13 6:20 7:4 85:4 86:11 120:17		
enable 91:20 enabling 26:6,15 28:20	121:12 123:2 151:9	exemption 56:19 exercise 38:12	118:21 130:5 133:22 150:11
30:14 31:16 56:2	established 5:16 36:3	exerted 149:4	Father 91:3 94:5 101:3
enacted 20:16	125:19 152:1,15 establishes 55:19	exhibition 24:4	132:10 136:12
enactment 21:1		exist 9:4,5	Fathers 94:4 100:18
encourage 67:17,21	establishing 3:16 7:8	existing 63:19 64:11	102:22
134:12	120:21 121:16 123:6	expect 156:9	favor 12:15 48:13 117:5
encouraged 65:4 128:2	136:6 151:18 152:3	expenses 138:16	120:6 145:1,10 147:9
endeavor 135:3	establishment 5:21	experience 110:20 145:7	149:5 153:1
endeavoring 100:1	20:17 108:18		favorite 13:6 99:20
endorsement 128:4	estate 72:14	experienced 35:22	FBI 64:8
endorsing 142:18	esteemed 91:10 122:12	experiment 114:1	FDR 156:11
enduring 126:7	estimates 25:22	expertise 87:21	fear 103:8
enemies 136:10	et 60:1 114:1	expired 108:1	feasibility 60:3
energies 127:8	ethics 90:5	explained 86:10	February 100:7 108:2
energizing 124:22	EU 126:13 136:13 141:11	express 69:8	federal 36:4 64:15 68:5 72:15 108:16 147:21
Energy 63:11 68:2 121:7 122:18		expressing 122:22 expression 134:18	feedback 33:20 34:7
engaged 143:6	Europe 121:7 122:18 123:8,15 125:15,22	expression 134.16 extent 13:19 54:10 55:7	78:16,17 79:21 84:17
engagement 46:12	126:8,9 127:10,19,22	59:20 150:20	104:19 151:7
England 18:2 89:7	132:10 135:6 136:6	exterior 29:22	feel 71:11 73:7,9,18,18
94:18 114:18	136:12,16 137:14,22	external 33:21	75:19 82:9 87:19
English 94:17 130:11	138:3 141:9,19 142:7	extra 54:19	Felix 124:20
Englishman 17:13	European 3:15,16 7:7,8	extraordinary 3:14 7:6	fell 10:1 48:13
Englishmen 9:19	17:12 120:21,21	79:8 120:19 121:14	fellow 54:2 70:22 86:2
Engraving 48:13 64:3	121:16,17 123:5,6,15	123:4,20	90:18 94:6 96:8 100:1
enjoys 31:11 32:4	125:7,9,17 126:1,2,6	extremely 58:3 63:21	102:12 112:11 114:12
enlightened 145:21	126:17 127:9,13,20	78:18 80:2 84:15	132:1
enlightening 106:12	128:11 134:14 136:3	106:8	felt 82:7
139:1	137:12,13 141:14	eye 14:13	feminist 12:7,8
enormous 29:20	144:4,8,11		fermented 91:13
enter 60:7	evaluate 27:6 53:11	F	festival 33:10
enthusiasm 131:5	evaluated 51:8 52:8	faced 127:19	field 32:10 50:7
entire 60:7 125:5	evaluating 24:11	facets 115:13	fight 73:5 90:18 96:19
entry 51:1	evaluation 3:6 6:11,11	facilities 51:15	97:3,10 103:13 104:7
	,		,
II .			

	ı	1	
104:12	footbridge 43:10	four-year 110:5	gallery 23:18,20
fighting 89:11	footing 88:16	Fox 4:9 92:17,21 107:4	Galley 24:3
figure 10:22 13:9 14:9	force 74:11 93:21	158:12	galvanized 124:10
45:10 61:13 62:8	124:22 126:10	Foxhall 133:18	Gandhi 147:19 148:17
86:15 88:15 96:19	forces 144:3,10	frames 63:13	garden 42:15 91:7
102:4,5 148:11	foreign 121:6 122:17	France 3:13 7:3 109:18	gardens 44:1
fill 121:2	142:21 147:22 151:11	109:20 110:1 120:17	gather 34:6
filled 87:14 90:9	152:1,2	121:12 123:1,9 124:6	gathered 28:9 35:9
final 26:7 36:21 59:13	Forests 108:5	128:11 130:2 133:13	Gen 101:21 103:5,11
64:19 156:3,10	forever 36:6	136:9 142:8,10,15	Gene 2:7 92:12,13,18
finally 5:9 34:12 91:12	Forge 12:1	147:4 151:12,13	92:18 93:1,1,3,18
financial 88:16	forgive 130:10	Frankfurter 124:21	96:2 105:14 112:10
find 63:21 90:15 109:12	forgotten 91:3 107:15	133:3	general 1:16 4:22 5:19
117:16 135:8 136:22	form 91:11 96:16	Franklin 9:14,16 90:17	37:6 42:19 53:9 55:17
144:15	formal 26:4	frankly 15:15	63:17 77:7 94:7 95:2
findings 21:5 33:3	formation 141:12	free 99:10 104:9 124:17	95:11 118:19
Fine 1:14 4:6,19 34:5	formed 31:19 99:9	126:16	generally 45:10,22 64:9
54:12 58:7 78:5 84:10	former 136:8,10	freed 109:21	76:19
finished 72:2 156:21	formerly 136:9	freedom 11:5 90:11	generated 25:5
fired 107:17	forming 126:6	96:8 100:20 116:20	generation 2:8 87:3
first 6:10 7:14 9:5 10:19	formula 75:17	126:22 127:11	101:13,19 102:6
14:3 17:8 23:1,16,20	formulating 84:16	FREEZES 74:13	generations 22:22 61:7
29:15 35:7,10,18 40:4	Forrestal 63:11 69:18	French 2:11 13:8	102:11,14 103:12,17
43:9 47:10 59:16	70:2 79:16	109:19 122:19 123:7	103:18,19
63:20 66:10,19 69:8	forth 46:12	124:17 131:20 133:20	generous 86:5
71:13 77:21 81:9	forward 22:4 29:5 39:21	138:6,14 142:13	geometry 59:18
89:18 93:12 97:14	46:10,13 58:16 60:2	146:12 151:20,20	George 11:22 89:15
99:11 105:1 107:16	67:1,7,10 70:9 92:1,7	friend 95:2 123:10	109:9,10 119:6
109:1 112:8 130:9	100:16 129:7 158:2	133:1	Georgia 99:1
131:15 132:18 140:20	158:16	friendly 33:12	German 133:19
141:16 152:13	Foster 133:2	Friends 156:13	Germany 124:5 134:9
firsthand 125:12	found 8:7 11:19 18:10	friendship 126:8 133:8	136:10
fitting 109:12	49:13 72:1 89:8 125:8	134:10	getting 74:17
five 47:7 64:6 120:7	127:13 138:22 146:13	friendships 132:16	gift 137:17
fix 141:1	Foundation 96:9	fritz 16:8	give 17:2 21:19 53:12
flat 50:6	116:20	front 84:12 98:2	56:7 57:3 63:6 69:10
fleeing 10:6	foundational 97:14	froze 74:17	88:15 106:10 128:4
flexibility 8:22	113:21	fruit 61:19	given 41:3 50:5 56:2
flood 31:4 32:10 44:5	foundations 98:11	fruition 104:5	60:4 63:7 69:21 75:8
44:18	founded 88:5	full 37:1 73:22 78:13	79:4 100:4 109:13
floor 15:3 48:15 85:20	founder 98:21	83:22 94:21 108:12	152:8
108:19	founders 9:13 100:11	122:22 133:4,5	giving 56:12 78:16,16
Florida 93:19	founding 91:3 94:4,4	fully 87:4,17 156:6,8	130:15
flying 14:8 131:14	96:15 100:18,18	fund 138:16	glad 18:17
focus 25:15 28:13,15	101:3 102:22 123:15	funded 156:6,9	global 123:12 125:5
38:7 45:11 48:19	Fountain 1:17 5:2 16:7	funding 88:20 108:14	126:20 127:14
58:16 67:11 79:2	16:8,13 17:3 70:15,17	funds 108:17	glorious 12:20
focused 33:1	70:18 109:1,2 110:17	further 34:8 45:6 49:7	goal 96:22
focuses 73:8	117:18,19 140:19,21	53:12 57:8 67:9 69:19	govern 94:18
focusing 25:17 29:9,12	141:6 143:9 144:20	115:20 126:2 140:15	governed 11:12
29:15 39:8 80:5	144:21 147:1 153:10	furthered 123:21	government 10:8 11:4
folks 21:11 107:8 112:9	153:12 154:8,9	future 22:22 24:1 74:22	62:18 72:15 79:10
112:12 113:1 156:15	Fountain's 108:22	76:21 79:16 102:3	89:1 96:16 97:18 98:6
follow 47:13 146:22	147:18 152:20	103:10,15 104:14	98:11 138:6,15
following 21:5 24:20	four 25:17 28:20 39:14	109:21	147:22,22 148:1
25:12 84:8 110:13	50:1 58:16 59:14 67:5		151:12,12,20 152:1,2
135:1	67:11 73:6 80:5 93:20	G	Governmental 86:6
footages 45:7	102:13 109:14	G 87:8	graduate 101:17 141:9
		- 3	
II			

granted 127:1 heal 92:6 identified 31:15 34:1 137:21 147:4 148:9 hear 44:6 49:16 54:1 grateful 147:3 148:13 149:3 38:7 44:1 45:18 identifying 28:11 gravitate 53:9 55:12 56:5 73:17 hit 152:21 greater 24:17 25:15 76:19 78:14 81:3,7 **hits** 61:20 100:17 79:4 112:22 128:11 93:4,13,14 95:6 98:14 Hoard 2:8 87:2 101:12 ignoring 71:21 104:19 109:17 129:1 101:14,16 **II** 24:18 124:3,19 127:7 greatest 94:3 Green 21:7 27:8 101:17 heard 16:16,16 17:3 hold 61:14 156:5 127:12 142:2 144:3 144:11 148:10 156:11 Greene 2:2 27:4 35:19 29:6 67:14 69:11,13 holding 122:14 43:3.7.13 50:14 51:5 69:15 73:11 75:19 holistically 68:10 156:13 51:16,19 52:10 53:15 76:19 77:11 78:4 Holocaust 32:6 50:6 Illinois 91:8 82:15 85:7 111:11 **home** 132:5 illustrated 43:12 94:8 Gross 2:2 21:8 27:4 115:16 116:5 117:7 honor 3:14 6:21 7:6 illustrates 26:11 Grossa 21:9 129:21 140:6 14:14,15,17 91:12 image 141:2 ground 30:15 43:5 hearing 38:15 66:13 101:7 109:12 120:19 imagine 74:11 114:2 groundbreaking 156:5 67:3 77:8 78:3,7,8 121:14 123:4,18 imagined 75:9 127:1,4 128:7 130:21 grounds 37:22 38:2 84:2 98:19 108:6 imagining 74:2 42:22 122:14 129:7 145:11 138:7 142:17 144:15 **Imamura** 1:18 5:4 35:12 groundwork 79:1 height 41:19 43:14 155:9 35:13 40:5 41:5 42:10 group 25:15 26:19 33:8 honorably 93:22 43:7,16 45:13 46:17 44:16 52:7,19 53:11 honored 15:15 86:8 40:14 55:16 56:6 heightened 47:15 47:1 48:4 49:10,18 99:12 130:18 Heineman 86:21 92:14 109:10 143:21 51:4 52:14 53:1,19 groups 28:5 32:21 80:7 **honoring** 144:13 54:4 58:22 105:9,10 96:5,7 **Grove** 91:7 held 99:11 108:5 hook 75:3 115:16,18 117:14,15 growing 101:21 hell 12:17 hope 75:20 76:17 81:2 146:18,20 154:11,12 **GSA** 55:20 57:12 72:8 **Hello** 101:14 83:3 87:20 90:11 immediate 153:4 73:5 82:15 help 17:14 27:12 32:15 91:11 92:3 103:22 immediately 90:13 73:10 92:5 104:1 guess 46:3 58:2 75:5 104:16 130:4.10 149:2 helped 90:20 125:8 117:4,13 147:17 143:17 156:22 immersed 51:20 guessing 74:10 hopeful 155:20 impact 42:4 47:21 62:3 127:6 quidance 37:6 helpful 58:2 68:19 hopefully 76:5 81:16 149:2 quide 102:2 72:17 78:9,18 84:6,16 119:19 151:1 156:3,4 impenetrable 14:9 **guiding** 131:17 helping 4:10 127:13 hopes 22:21 104:4 importance 14:10 158:13 hoping 91:22 79:17 82:4 87:5 Н 115:10 123:17 128:9 helps 24:1 **Hopkins** 133:3 **H.R** 3:3,8,12 6:19 7:2,14 Hi 93:1 hostilities 125:2 137:19 138:12 139:2 85:2,19 86:9 104:16 high 18:2 27:11,15,22 hosting 4:7 important 15:12 27:17 120:16 121:11 122:16 58:4 61:11 64:21 79:9 **Hotel** 133:22 36:6,10,13 48:8 51:1 122:21 129:18 140:5 90:4 house 29:19 47:7 60:16 72:3,19 79:20 82:8 H.R.6611 2:10 highest 127:1 87:7 91:19 98:2 108:3 87:17 91:20 100:10 H.R.6720 2:4 highlight 66:17 121:6 122:17,20 112:17 132:11,12 half 10:20 88:9,14 highly 62:17,17 133:17,18 137:8 136:14 137:21 139:5 hand 16:5 54:6 69:6 https://parkplanning.... 141:18 157:22 highway 59:3 77:4 105:6,7 Hill 91:6 8:9 impressive 115:12 hands 112:2 **HINEMAN** 2:7 huae 149:1 132:15 handwritten 99:14 historic 5:6 29:22 30:3 humanism 99:5 imprisoned 109:20 humanitarian 126:16 **improve** 104:13 hanging 65:17 128:9 **historical** 14:9 24:7 happen 79:11 110:11 149:1 in-depth 149:14 happened 23:2 76:8 86:15 90:21 110:18 inalienable 11:7 hurry 150:3 happens 119:20 151:22 124:1 133:21 **hybrid** 72:12 inappropriate 38:2 **history** 3:7 6:12 17:6 inaugural 24:4 **happy** 15:4 17:2 54:8 70:18 73:20 75:14,15 20:18 22:12,17 23:8 incarnate 10:13 75:15 81:7 100:2 23:19 24:4 25:2 29:1 idea 11:11 60:21 94:9 incarnation 150:1 31:14 33:5 37:13 **inclined** 145:10 104:18 138:19 94:16 95:18 136:7,18 51:11 62:15 65:2 include 28:19 89:5 hard 114:1 148:22 71:14 73:14 74:1,18 **Harry** 133:3 ideal 58:10 119:6 included 37:8 53:7 **haven** 10:4 82:5 91:21 94:12 ideas 11:14 99:18 head 16:11 59:12 114:3 100:14 103:7 112:21 136:22 137:2 121:20 headquartered 98:22 113:22 116:11 137:20 identification 28:13 includes 31:18 38:4

42:12 108:13 including 18:10 22:5 31:3 32:17 33:21 34:10,17 40:22 68:4 99:6 100:6 123:13 136:9 inclusion 27:1 99:3 incongruent 38:8 incorporated 26:7 81:16 increased 72:13 125:14 increasing 115:8 incredible 128:8 incredibly 12:7 **incurred** 37:18 indelible 101:5 independence 11:7 49:4 67:22 89:7 90:19 96:15 97:4,15 98:10 99:19 109:8,14 110:13 independent 94:9,18 indicating 19:9 155:18 indication 128:20 139:13 **indirect** 148:13 individuals 21:6 33:22 127:4 **indulgence** 53:22,22 industrial 135:3 industries 48:9 136:8 industry 28:21 29:16 136:8 **Industry's** 61:16 inevitable 44:4 infer 38:4 inferior 59:22 influence 149:4 influenced 97:13 132:20 influential 125:3 inform 25:19 informally 142:22 information 18:18 30:9 34:14 35:9 45:1 66:12 67:4 129:12 inhabitants 92:5 initial 20:4 24:15 46:9 119:15 initially 45:8 141:17 initiative 149:16 innovative 102:10 input 25:6 39:4 insane 10:5 110:7 inscribed 18:19 143:14 inscriptions 18:9,21 **inside** 13:18 insightful 78:2

insights 78:8 inspiration 139:4 **inspire** 22:22 91:16 95:20 inspired 89:14 inspiring 89:8 157:10 installation 130:19 installed 138:7 instantiate 10:13 Institution 20:21 Institution's 6:10 institutions 100:11 instruction 12:5 instrumental 28:11 98:5 integral 99:3 integration 126:3 136:3 integrity 36:3 131:18 intellectual 11:16 109:7 **intended** 121:18 **intent** 53:10 interactions 132:21 133:8 interest 75:22 76:21 109:16 123:22 **interested** 54:1 80:8 115:8 145:11 interesting 47:3 111:20 113:18 114:6 116:6 133:6 145:18 146:13 156:21 interests 126:12.20 Interior 1:1 5:18 56:11 57:12,16 77:17 108:8 international 123:12 141:10 148:11 interpreted 119:8 interrupt 8:4 interstate 59:2 intrinsic 30:6 introduce 27:3 85:21 90:17 introduced 8:17 108:2 130:17 introduces 24:5 introduction 21:19 143:7 introductory 129:12 invest 126:15 investment 125:11 invite 85:17 **invited** 85:21 **invites** 36:16 **invoke** 13:14 invoked 17:8 involved 40:13

involvement 134:20

Island 87:6

issue 39:11 48:16 59:2 149:6 issued 156:12 issues 38:17 44:15 48:2 48:16 52:1,6 103:13 112:14 120:15 150:11 153:6 157:7 It'll 76:13 112:6 item 7:14 84:22 85:1 120:1,2,16 154:18 items 6:9 iteration 23:20 Ivory 11:15

J James 109:22 Jamie 2:5 3:3 7:12 85:3 **January** 13:16 16:17 **Jean** 3:14 7:6 86:20 120:20 121:15 123:4 123:8,18 124:3,7,15 124:18 125:3,4,8,13 125:21 126:7,14,21 127:14,17 128:2,6 130:21 132:8,14 133:1,13 134:2,4,11 135:1 137:11 138:8 141:12,13 142:18 144:9 145:21 150:8 **Jefferson** 9:13.16 11:12 11:13 99:14,16,18 **Jersey** 91:6 Jill 23:17

Jersey 91:6 Jill 23:17 Jim 129:17 131:5 job 139:18,21 John 133:2 Johnson 126:21 joint 88:18 Jones 2:7 92:18 93:4 93:10,18 105:14,15 112:10 114:12 Joseph 1:18 5:4 Journalists 155:15 journey 82:1 joy 91:3 Jules 146:10 150:16

Julia 131:9 July 1:6 66:13 67:3 108:6 156:13 jump 7:11

jurisdiction 25:13 29:17 30:15 59:1 154:16

Jusserand 146:10 150:16

justice 92:8 99:2,6,22 101:9 124:19 133:3 Karen 2:7 86:21 92:14 96:3,7 98:13 **Keating** 2:11 121:9,18 128:13 129:6,22 131:3 **Keating's** 122:10 131:10 **keep** 67:17,17 68:8 **keeping** 53:15 **Kelly** 5:9 158:12 **kept** 95:18 **key** 28:7 89:4 123:12 134:10 **Keynes** 135:8,12 **kid** 18:2 king 19:2,3,5,6 94:17 kings 9:8 knew 63:1 146:11 known 97:2 99:8 136:14 155:13 knows 139:19 Korean 156:17

Κ

L **L'Enfant** 61:2 67:21 73:13 131:19 132:1 lack 88:20 96:18 Lady 23:16 laid 78:22 land 36:10 46:1 57:12 57:12,14 62:16 74:12 80:18,19 81:4 119:6 134:22 135:20.21.22 landowners 100:21 103:1 Lands 108:5 landscape 60:7 62:18 101:6 113:3 115:9 landscapes 32:11 language 12:5 57:17 108:14 151:16 large 50:7 61:22 62:9 76:22 148:14 largely 91:14 largest 125:10 lasting 124:1 135:15 136:6 143:22 late 61:2 88:5 141:11 Latinas 24:8 **Latino** 3:7 6:13 20:19 22:13 23:10,16,18,21 23:22 24:3,4 25:3 33:6 82:6 **Latinos** 24:8 33:10 Latta 129:17 131:4

38:9 56:15 84:1 88:18

law 19:5,5 20:16,20

laws 100:8 lawyers 56:10 lay 37:3 Layered 45:15 laying 110:4 lead 102:2 109:5 135:5 leader 94:8 leaders 23:14 100:11 132:22 133:9 leadership 25:12 61:13 62:7 **leads** 51:6 59:7 62:6 **League** 123:14 Leah 2:10 121:5 learn 37:4 44:2 48:11 **learned** 150:8 lease 134:22 135:20,22 135:22 leave 62:4 64:20 111:9 139:14 **led** 28:12 144:3 **left** 61:10 legacy 24:7 88:7 101:7 103:20 104:11 127:17 137:17 **Legal** 96:8 legislation 6:3 15:10 17:9 20:3 26:7,16 28:20 30:14 31:16 34:1 40:17 56:1,2 64:22 85:10 106:16 107:12 108:21 110:15 116:2,10,22 117:5 119:14,20,22 130:17 131:7 143:7 147:18 151:7 153:3 155:3 Legislative 5:12 **let's** 19:19 20:14 27:19 62:4 85:1 114:21 120:7 129:11 137:3.8 150:3 153:20 155:2,5 letter 54:13 66:14 67:20 85:10,13 99:14,15 153:5 154:15 level 25:8,18 27:11,15 27:22 52:11,20 53:7 58:4 61:11 64:21 79:9 143:5 liberation 135:6 liberty 48:12 97:19 life 90:8,10 91:4 100:4 132:19 lifetime 103:6 light 24:7 72:4 103:2 limit 65:12 **limited** 24:19 line 41:13 42:2 49:11

linguist 93:21 link 8:10 19:21 links 137:22 list 15:3 24:17 26:12 48:10,14 73:5 **listed** 37:8 listen 75:20 106:19 listening 83:14 literally 50:16 58:13 literary 89:17 little 28:15 38:8,19 41:8 44:6 48:11 49:12,19 50:3 71:18 93:8,15 98:15 99:8 111:11 136:14 148:7 152:11 live 13:15 16:21 87:5 104:2 137:14 lived 18:1 90:14 114:17 lives 104:2 125:7 143:16 living 132:4 Loan 48:12 located 26:18 37:12 48:19 91:6 133:17 location 20:22 27:21 28:3 29:21 30:20 31:3 31:12 32:4 38:2 42:19 44:21 50:9 51:1 55:1 60:5 62:21 71:16 90:21 148:18 149:7 locations 37:20 65:6 73:1 lodge 145:1 **logic** 97:9 logical 64:16 long 17:7 26:12 36:5 68:11 75:17 90:8 91:22 98:8 128:21 129:1 145:2 look 14:5 15:19 18:17 40:18 41:10 42:11 44:14,17 45:19 46:3 46:13 56:21 60:2 63:19 67:21 68:10 74:21 82:3 147:19 151:2 152:2 153:8 158:2,16 looked 14:6 38:20 40:14,20 44:17 66:2 111:8 looking 16:10 29:18 30:8,16 39:2 45:9 48:3 50:9 52:19 63:10 67:7 72:9 102:1 112:1 129:7 looks 7:19 106:5

156:21

lost 76:16

lot 11:14 14:21 18:20 36:1 58:4 63:6 74:1 75:20 77:14 152:18 **lots** 13:4 love 10:1 12:15 loving 99:22 low 94:22 low-hanging 61:19 lowest 95:19 Luanne 2:2 21:7 27:3,7 28:17 34:18 41:20 42:6 50:3,11 Luebke 1:13 4:18 54:7 54:8 55:14 58:1 72:5 76:10,13 79:5 110:6 113:6,8,14,16 117:8 118:4,5 148:4,5 153:22 154:1 Lyndon 126:21

MacLeish 143:16 Madam 140:6 **Magna** 9:19 main 48:18 52:20 68:7 maintain 152:4 major 31:19 59:1 96:19 127:19 making 26:9 59:13 64:20 75:14 83:21 105:3 Mall 28:2,2 29:7 30:21 31:2,13,19 40:5 41:2 41:2 42:17 44:21 48:15,20 49:1,3,9 50:9,10 52:21 58:11 59:18 61:17 62:10 65:15 68:4,12 71:21 73:12,16 74:8 76:21 79:17 80:9,11,12,13 82:10 Mall's 30:19 Maloney 1:14 4:19 69:5 69:7 70:12,14 114:22 114:22 115:1 118:2,3 145:16 146:15 147:1 147:7 153:14,16 154:2,3 Maloney's 149:21 man 12:16 13:7 89:19 91:12 96:18 99:21 111:3,16,16 128:2 136:2 manage 4:10 managed 141:1 Manager 5:10 Mandisa 2:8 87:1 98:14

mankind 10:4.11 map 26:11,18 38:1 **mapped** 57:18,19 March 23:9 24:14 66:1 Margaret 2:6 86:18 87:22 92:11 99:7 mark 2:5 85:18 86:6 88:3 98:18 101:5,22 marker 90:21 133:21 market 126:17 marvelous 137:17 Maryland 16:21 Mason 109:10 Mason's 109:9 mass 41:19 53:11 Massachusetts 131:3 massing 25:18 26:2 30:11 34:10 45:5 52:17 53:6,13 60:3 **massive** 135:3 master 37:6 42:11 43:18 86:14 Master's 101:18 materials 8:6 19:20 145:9.22 matter 68:12 120:10 158:21 Mayor 1:14 4:20 McMAHON 1:16 5:1 17:17,18 71:3,4 113:7 114:9,10 117:20,21 149:10,11 154:6,7 McMillan 41:10,14,17 42:3,18 43:20 59:5 60:22 131:19 mean 9:22 10:22 11:2 61:4 71:13 80:4 104:8 113:17 meaning 9:11 146:13 meaningful 146:4 150:22 151:1 157:17 means 72:13 148:15 meant 100:20 measure 114:20 measures 31:5 **Medal** 126:22 155:9 meet 73:17 meeting 4:4,7,10,14,15 7:17 8:7 15:11 24:20 34:5 78:5,5 81:19 106:15 122:5 157:17 157:22 158:8,13 meetings 46:9 69:12 157:20 meetings' 46:8 meets 14:7 member 26:21 47:6

98:20 101:11

lines 28:7 143:16

54:18 101:19 116:19

middle 59:9 122:7 124:8 51:9 52:18 56:2.13 Ν 57:4 59:16 91:11 98:4 members 14:19 20:2 Mike 74:9 148:16 name 4:12 9:9 93:7,18 22:1 40:22 68:3 78:1 military 133:16 135:5 monumental 60:7 96:7,12 98:20 101:16 78:6 85:11,12 86:2 135:21 71:22 115:8 108:22 121:5 130:5 93:13 98:18 105:2 million 10:21 11:1 monuments 1:18 5:3 **named** 91:5 106:7,21 107:5,10 88:15 37:19 38:3 74:22 names 28:20 108:20 122:12 128:16 Mina 1:15 4:21 142:6 **naming** 40:17 129:19 130:8,18 mind 10:5 41:17 53:17 moral 111:17 Napoleon 14:1 17:8 67:17 99:4 140:22 morale 94:22 95:12 131:1 139:8 140:4 130:13 141:22 157:6 **Minister** 133:14 **morals** 90:5 **narrow** 65:16 memorial 1:3,8 2:5,6 Minority 47:6 morning 16:9 93:8 Nathaniel 94:8 3:4,9 4:4 5:15 6:20 minute 107:13 121:19 94:10 95:6 156:18,20 nation 66:22 104:6,6 18:16,17,19,22 42:13 minutes 120:7 131:14 motion 116:14,15 117:5 146:5 56:16 73:18,20 85:4 144:22 118:10 153:8,12,17 nation's 92:2 109:11 mirrored 43:22 85:17,18 86:3,8,11,20 154:14 128:7 131:20 **mirrors** 100:3 motivate 95:3 87:8,14,15,19 88:1,4 national 1:1,3,8,12,13 88:11,15 89:3 90:6,7 **missing** 46:13 motivated 89:10 97:13 3:7 4:4,13,17 5:9,13 91:9,16,21 92:2,4 mission 2:11 104:1 move 20:14 29:5 49:3 5:14,15 6:12 8:7 94:2 95:19 99:4 101:8 69:19 71:11 84:21 130:2 134:5,7 20:18 22:13 23:9,15 104:12 106:11 107:20 mock 50:22 92:14 120:2 129:10 23:19,21 25:3,5 28:1 107:22 109:11,17 **mode** 93:2 139:15 156:9 31:13 32:14,14,18 110:5,8,19,21 111:21 model 10:15 13:15 moved 90:12 117:8 33:6 37:13 41:2 51:10 112:15 115:4,10 modern 62:1 150:17 124:7 132:20 153:10 62:14 77:17 82:5 86:2 119:7 122:13 141:18 modest 150:21 152:8 moves 22:4 45:2 91:10,21 108:5 142:11,18 143:14,15 Molina 23:16,18 24:3 moving 46:10 49:5 122:13 123:22 126:19 143:21 144:14 146:11 moment 7:22 12:13 72:12 92:1 137:10 155:9 147:19 150:11,14,16 35:2 74:2.12 75:9 139:7 155:10,18 nationalism 149:22 150:18 151:18,22 78:7 92:21 112:16 156:3 nations 3:15 7:8 120:21 152:3 154:16,17 149:7 **mud** 13:1 121:16 123:6,14 155:6,9,13,15 156:2 moments 24:6 multiple 27:16 125:19 156:14.17 monarchies 19:4 **multitude** 102:13 native 90:3 123:9 124:6 memorialized 101:2 monarchy 89:20 97:11 museum 3:7,7 6:12,13 natural 65:1 108:3 memorials 5:10 6:6 **money** 89:1 6:18 20:18,18,22 136:19 37:5 38:3 40:5,11 monitoring 129:6 22:11,12,13,16,20 **nature** 100:9 55:19 71:16 88:13 Monnet 3:14 7:7 120:20 23:10,15,19,21 24:2 **Nazi** 124:5 134:8 108:16 146:2,4 121:15 123:5,8,19 24:18 25:2,3,21 26:9 NCMAC 34:12 84:16 154:19 155:4 156:1 124:7,12,15,21 125:8 29:2,19 30:18,20 31:1 155:1 157:9 125:13,21 126:7,14 31:13,22 32:6 33:5 **NCMAC's** 87:5.18 **memory** 128:6 126:21 127:3,5 128:2 37:5,13 39:4 40:12 NCPC 34:4 38:15 66:13 men 11:10 12:11 128:6 130:21 132:9 42:5,20 44:21 47:13 69:1 71:11,15 74:1,11 102:16 132:14 133:1,6,9,13 47:22 50:8 51:10 55:8 74:18 77:14 78:5 men's 95:10 134:2,4,11 135:1,9 56:1 62:1,15 65:1,3 84:10 112:14,19 mention 41:22 112:16 136:1,2,18,22 137:12 71:16 74:4,6,7 79:15 147:15 116:19 133:5 152:22 137:19 138:8 141:12 81:5,22 82:5,5 near 28:2 31:12 41:1 156:2 141:13 142:18 144:9 Museum's 23:8,22 87:5 mentioned 17:21 19:20 144:13 145:21 148:8 museums 3:6 6:16 21:3 **nearby** 148:18 30:8 32:13 39:1,9 150:9 23:3 24:12 28:6 30:14 necessarily 17:12 52:2 68:1,9 82:22 Monnet's 124:3,18 31:17 32:22 37:11,19 75:21 79:22 143:3 99:7 130:13 153:6 125:4 127:15.17 38:5 40:12 51:12 55:2 necessary 79:13 85:14 135:14 136:15 56:16,17,22 58:11 156:15 108:14 129:13 **Monroe** 109:22 65:6 67:1 68:9 73:9 mentioning 64:2 need 8:10 14:14 15:1 107:19 month 23:14 74:22 78:21 79:7,14 19:15 31:4 36:7,22 months 28:10 84:9 88:8 81:22 82:1,2 mercy 100:1 46:7 52:1 55:22 69:2 **musket** 89:16 message 138:9 135:1,19 144:6 78:20 93:8 94:17 mute 21:13 93:2 105:22 messages 90:11 monument 28:22 31:11 97:10 103:16 120:4 messing 92:20 31:12 32:5 37:15 111:2 117:16 154:21 158:8 met 1:9 26:19 40:17 41:12 42:1,20 muted 140:8,9 needed 89:2 90:6 Michael 1:12 4:16 42:22 49:15,21 50:16 134:14 152:22

needs 82:12 135:21 155:17 Negroes 99:10 neither 70:19 nervousness 130:11 neutral 134:4 never 60:13 80:19,20 99:13 103:7 nevertheless 144:7 new 3:6 9:21 18:22 24:11,18 29:19 37:19 56:22 58:11 65:1 68:9 73:1 74:3 78:8,8,20 91:6 94:20 108:16 126:10 135:20 143:17 nexus 151:2 nine 61:6 no-build 31:18 nobody's 145:14 nod 120:5 **nods** 112:2 **Nodvin** 2:10 120:14 121:1,3,4 122:3,9 128:17 129:4 non-congressional 47:10 non-profit 88:6 Nonbelievers 98:22 **normal** 47:11 North 44:4 45:17 133:16 Northwest 28:22 30:13 41:18 42:7 43:9 47:4 58:21 80:17 note 16:19 20:11 43:8 67:3 85:9 109:15 129:14 137:4 142:19 151:5 153:5 156:1 noted 41:12 127:5 notes 152:18 notified 46:10 nourished 102:20 number 20:9 49:9 95:5 158:4 **numbers** 45:12

0

objecting 76:20 objection 90:2 obligation 63:18 73:7 obtained 97:7 obvious 50:15 58:14 obviously 14:12 39:9 44:12 48:9 60:20 61:18 occupation 124:6 occupies 62:15 odds 89:11 offer 137:1 offered 85:12 **offers** 87:12 office 16:19 42:9 55:18 62:16,19 64:15 official 156:19 officially 134:3 officials 124:9 offline 72:7 **Ohio** 131:4 old 89:21 older 103:12 oldest 142:14 once 10:8 20:4 107:19 127:19 150:8 156:9 one-111:4 ones 18:9 39:14 ongoing 5:8 online 25:5 68:9 open 59:7,11 61:22 63:21 64:5 67:17 108:19 148:15 156:19 opening 3:2 23:18 107:14 156:19 operation 152:8 operations 47:15 opinion 94:3 opportunities 27:14 46:16 49:13,20 70:3 **opportunity** 9:20 20:1 70:4 84:15 96:6 122:15 130:16,22 142:17 144:15 opposite 29:1 62:14 oppression 10:7,17 97:19 100:13 option 64:7 options 43:14 63:7 order 7:11 19:18 107:15 120:14 129:11 ordered 95:11 organization 88:6 organized 125:21 organizer 11:17 organizing 14:22 original 119:3 ought 65:18 outlined 89:6 102:12 outreach 32:21 33:8,21 outside 13:17 outstanding 90:5 overcome 128:1 overdue 91:22 98:8 overlook 67:22 87:19 overlooked 13:12 override 103:8

overview 21:20 27:5 28:18 overwhelming 13:11 owe 104:5 owned 99:13 owners 33:20 37:1 46:1 owning 99:17

Р P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **p.m** 1:9 4:2 120:11,12 158:22 package 155:16,21 paid 151:19 Paine 2:5,6 3:9 6:20,21 9:4,7,15,17,19 10:18 11:14,16 12:7 13:9,17 13:19 14:2,7,13 15:2 17:13,21 19:1 85:3,16 85:18 86:7,10,15,19 88:1,4,7,10 89:3,18 90:1,6,9,14,17 91:4,5 91:9,21 92:2,4,15 94:2,6,14 95:4,17,19 96:4,12 97:1,7,17 98:9,15 99:5,9,13,15 99:20 101:2 102:3,5,9 102:15,18,21 103:5,6 103:20 104:1.8 107:21 109:12.18 111:8 112:15 113:21 115:4 142:11 Paine's 9:10 89:4,12,19 90:22 95:3,10 100:3 101:7 104:4,11 109:7 114:17 pair 145:18 pamphlet 11:21 90:22 pamphlets 89:9 pandemic 87:9 panel 16:17 33:8 104:22 105:3,12 106:8 107:5 116:7 panelists 8:14 panels 32:17 papers 89:12,14 95:5 parameters 47:12 parcels 49:2 parents 103:17

park 1:1,12 4:13 5:10

8:8 45:18 77:17 80:18

81:4 87:8,14 136:15

137:21 139:3 146:9

parks 5:13 33:11 55:20

146:11,12 147:5

150:15 156:12

136:17,18 137:5,9,11

81:1 108:5 139:2 157:9,10 **Parkway** 119:7 part 3:5 30:14 36:2 37:6 37:22 39:20 40:4 42:10 46:2,7 49:2 63:1 100:8 113:10 137:13 participated 68:21 participates 5:7 particular 29:13 32:22 35:6 48:1 57:19 69:16 81:3 107:11 108:21 111:21 116:5 131:2 139:3 142:9 143:4 particularly 40:13 109:13 142:2,7 149:21 **parties** 126:1 partner 125:11 partners 127:14 partnership 126:9 128:10 passage 12:10 15:9 64:22 91:19 passed 103:18 passes 154:14 passion 13:10 90:8 103:12 path 79:22 80:1 126:5 patriot 12:12 91:11 98:4 123:9 Paul 1:16 5:1 **Paving** 64:3 **pay** 108:17 **paying** 106:2 **Payne** 3:4 peace 3:15 7:7 120:20 121:15 123:5,21 126:11 136:2,6 137:14 138:5 143:17 143:22 144:4,8,11 148:10 peers 103:2 Pelosi 12:6 **pen** 97:8 pending 155:3 **penned** 100:6 Pennsylvania 30:21 68:6 90:12 pensions 89:22 102:16 people 10:6,15 11:8 13:3,16,18 15:2 16:2 36:18 47:8 53:8 65:13 73:18 75:22 76:19 80:10 81:2,20 86:17 94:19 97:5 98:6,6

overrides 103:16

100:17 106:11 115:11

П			
124:2 127:16 139:4	21:10 25:8,17 52:12	24:1,18,19 25:14 28:5	previously 107:20
140:15	55:16 60:13 61:7	44:16 81:5	129:19 152:10 155:7
percent 116:13	65:10 68:13 71:14	poverty 102:7	primary 122:21
perfect 21:17 31:10	73:14 74:1 75:8 78:21	power 18:11 97:8	Prime 133:14
perform 39:7	127:6	103:17	Princeton 95:15
performances 33:11	plans 61:2	powers 136:9	principle 10:9 11:5,8
permit 156:12	planted 137:16	practical 68:12 127:8	principles 10:13 131:18
perpetuated 100:12	plants 87:15	practice 152:6	print 10:21
persecution 111:15	Plaque 156:11	Prayer 156:11	Printing 48:13
person 14:14	plate 48:16	preamble 152:19	prior 34:3 143:6
personal 110:20 137:4	platform 4:8 126:1	precedence 147:20	priorities 112:17
personally 99:16 132:3	play 18:8 61:8 133:9	precedent 148:14,21	priority 67:12
perspective 2:7,7,8,8	143:3 149:6	preceding 26:15	prison 109:21
57:6 68:13 83:16	played 29:8 123:11	precinct 79:18	proactive 65:5
86:21,22 87:2,3 92:13	135:2,9 136:15	predates 40:8	probably 16:5 36:9 44:3
92:16 93:17 96:4	player 132:12	pregnant 102:18	46:21 61:19 62:3 63:8
98:16 101:13 111:20	please 8:2,18 16:2 20:8	premium 58:18	63:19 64:2 73:6 149:6
persuade 97:9 142:3	21:16 62:22 105:5	preparation 35:20	problem 61:21 74:5
persuaded 145:2 150:7	121:3 122:2 130:6	158:14	93:11
persuasive 127:8	pleasure 93:14	prepared 116:2,9 147:9	problems 102:6
Peter 1:9,11 4:12 21:18	pledges 88:10	preparing 101:22	proceed 147:19
55:9	plus 29:2	155:10,14	process 22:4,9 25:8
petition 14:19	point 7:16,18 18:16	presence 55:8	26:12,17 27:1 34:2
phase 45:3	27:2 32:8 35:4 39:13	present 1:11 4:15 27:5	38:22 81:13 83:4
Philadelphia 90:16	64:9,19 72:5 73:7	86:8	110:10 152:14
Philadelphians 99:9	75:5 84:11 109:15	presentation 2:1 17:5	product 156:21
philosophizing 111:9	116:16 129:13 151:11	20:4,13 21:4,14 25:16	production 124:11,14
phone 20:9	153:1	27:2 34:16 35:20	productive 157:21
phrase 124:12 134:17	pointed 38:14 70:4	54:11 66:12 69:10	profound 109:9 131:15
physical 31:4 44:20	points 48:1 70:1,8	71:15 73:11 77:22	144:8
152:9	policy 37:18 38:8	83:22	profoundly 132:20
physically 16:4 23:11	134:21	presentations 145:22	program 5:10 30:5 32:1
48:15	political 10:6 13:13	presented 24:4	53:16 101:19 125:1
pick 54:6 110:22 146:17	38:13 59:10 61:12	presenter 93:1	133:10 135:4,10,11
piece 36:10 107:11	62:7 101:5 111:9	presenting 65:17 66:12	135:12,20
108:21	113:22 126:1 132:12	84:9 86:18	programming 34:9
pieces 20:3 155:3	pool 124:10 136:7	preservation 5:6 28:7	programs 25:9,18 91:2
Pierre 132:1	pooled 125:17	42:12	progress 24:11 154:19
Piggybacking 116:11	poor 89:21	preserve 131:18 132:7	prohibits 56:22 108:16
pike 51:13	pop 108:22	141:4	project 55:22 88:17,19
pioneering 101:3,4	popular 103:7	preserving 131:22	88:22 128:5 131:6
place 10:5 25:20,21	portal 59:8	president 2:2,6 21:8	137:12,13 138:17
32:20 46:18,21 79:7	Porter 5:11,11 7:19	27:4 69:22 86:19 88:1	156:14
79:14,15 82:13 83:18	8:12 20:9 86:4 106:20	88:19 93:19 98:21	Promenade 67:22
88:10 90:16,20 137:3	107:1 140:6,10,14	109:22 124:13,16	Prominence 28:3
139:3,4 151:1	158:7,9,11	126:20 133:2 134:12	prominent 30:22 31:12
placement 37:19 78:20	portfolio 72:10,14	134:18 135:4	32:4
places 12:2 33:12	portion 31:19	presidential 26:14	promise 10:2 95:21
placing 96:20 150:14	posed 99:19	124:20 126:22	130:13
plain 31:4 32:10 44:6	position 36:19 108:8	presiding 1:9	promote 88:7
44:18	possibility 150:13	pressures 75:13	promoted 102:21 136:3
plan 26:2 37:6 40:5,10	possible 34:7 58:5	pretty 54:17 57:21 58:7	promoting 123:20
42:3,11,16,18 43:19	114:3 147:20	68:22 152:21 155:1	promotion 92:7
43:20 59:5 60:22	possibly 47:14	prevailing 56:14 92:6	properly 102:20 153:17
71:14 73:14 131:20	post- 148:9	prevented 121:21	proposal 92:1 109:17
planned 64:4 75:7	post-COVID 72:21,22	preview 23:22	141:16 144:18
140:3	posted 8:10 154:22	previous 69:12 109:5	proposals 6:8 83:9
planning 1:13 2:3 4:17	potential 6:18 21:3 23:9	119:18 127:22 142:20	136:22 142:20
II			

proposed 47:13 89:2 157:8 106:16 125:16 152:9 152:10 152:18 prosperity 137:14 protect 36:3 156:9 proud 88:21 90:20 quill 89:15 101:19 provide 6:1 7:13 8:17 22:8,10 32:22 34:12 36:21 56:19 67:10 137:2 83:15 84:17 102:16 R 151:7 **provided** 35:21 129:19 R 128:13 provides 23:22 49:7 rabble 11:16 providing 15:6 66:14 radar 141:16 122:15 provision 56:15 rage 150:1 provisional 145:1 provisions 56:20 proximity 28:1,6 32:5 **public** 20:2,12,16 26:22 **raised** 88:8 30:20 38:8 47:11 89:21 91:17 101:18 106:22 108:5 140:4 public's 25:7 publically 90:2 ranks 94:3 published 90:22 **pull** 154:21 rans 73:19 Purchasing 124:8 **purpose** 22:15 81:13 131:17 purposes 3:10,17 7:1,9 85:6 120:22 pursuant 6:14 Pursuing 99:2 **push** 75:20,21 92:7 **pushed** 13:12 reach 46:9 put 11:13 32:20 58:16 58:18 83:12 106:9 154:14 putting 39:15 141:17 Q qualities 30:6 queens 9:8 145:22 question 16:5 40:4 51:6 53:4 54:21 55:6,10 58:18 61:9 62:7 74:18

80:8 105:5 106:1 113:9,9 118:15 120:3 147:18 148:7 questions 15:4,14,17 15:21,22 17:20 19:10 34:19 35:5,8,17 37:2 59:2,11 78:8 82:15 104:19 105:2 106:6 128:17,20 138:19 139:9,13 150:13

quick 21:19 113:9 quickly 14:7 58:20 quite 28:9,10 114:5 quote 99:20 100:3 110:6 135:8,9 136:19

radical 9:13 94:16 raged 89:13 raise 16:4 54:6 105:6 148:6 157:7,8 raising 138:16 ranges 45:6 **RANKIN** 17:10 ranking 47:6 67:8 rankings 14:11 Raskin 2:5 3:3 7:13,17 7:20 8:4.13.16.21 16:15,22 17:19 18:6 19:1,13 85:3,8,9 86:9 86:14 93:15 94:10 95:7 116:8 130:12 **Raskin's** 36:12 114:16 rationality 104:9 reaching 25:12 145:14 reaction 115:3 129:8 read 12:1,21 29:11 89:12 95:12 121:22 122:10 131:12 reader 143:20 readily 96:16 reading 9:2 131:9 145:9 readings 12:2 ready 8:18 84:21 120:2 158:5 real 33:4,9 45:4 60:10 61:5 72:14 82:20 145:19 150:1 realities 38:13 reality 72:22 realize 87:12 reason 13:11 80:21 97:9 100:9 109:20 140:11 146:5

reasonable 62:5 reasonably 60:4 148:22 reasons 29:8 80:5,22 81:1 84:13 89:6 137:18 rebellion 94:15 recall 110:4 recap 69:4 155:2 receive 7:12 21:4 85:15 127:4 received 67:4 81:11 129:14 150:8 receiving 79:21 130:1 receptive 142:16 **recite** 95:8 recognition 90:15 91:10 96:18 recognize 40:19 42:16 51:22 72:18 96:12,12 112:12 recognized 97:3 115:12 recognizes 38:1 recommend 92:1 recommendations 23:4 39:22 108:11 recommended 68:4 108:9 reconcile 100:22 reconciled 45:16 record 71:17 76:2 120:11 129:15 153:18 158:22 recreation 80:22 redeem 143:20 144:10 **reduce** 76:13 reduction 64:15 reference 56:12 80:17 referenced 40:6 71:14 80:15 148:17 referred 40:6 referring 81:4 108:3 refine 32:15 45:12 refining 25:17 reflect 27:17 32:18 43:20 142:4 reflected 144:17 **reforms** 126:17 **refuge** 10:4,5 regard 67:19 74:22 regarding 6:7 44:15 108:14 122:16 151:17 regardless 149:2 Regents 20:21 25:1 26:8 region 5:14 23:4 123:19 regions 23:11 registered 96:9 regular 7:11 19:17

107:15 129:11 reiterate 54:17 64:9 70:7 related 42:14 138:17 relations 141:10 relationship 50:15 51:10 126:15 135:16 138:4 142:13,17 relatively 150:21 152:8 relax 87:10 released 54:13 relevant 127:18 138:10 reliable 127:14 relief 89:21 99:10 150:2 **Religion** 96:8 116:20 religious 10:6 99:22 remain 101:9 remained 134:3 remark 76:17 remarking 126:9 **remarks** 17:4 144:6 remember 72:4,20 98:9 143:15 remembered 96:17 Remembrance 156:16 remind 52:12 128:8 146:2 reminded 36:13 79:5 reminder 15:12 remotely 58:5 repeat 69:3 84:12 repeats 78:3 report 26:8 78:12 reported 155:7 **Reports** 26:15 represent 22:19 115:13 representation 69:1 89:20 112:17 113:1 representative 2:5,10 25:6 85:2,8,9 86:7,9 86:13 93:15 94:10 95:7 97:18 98:11 122:20 129:17,22 representatives 85:16 98:2 represented 73:15 representing 1:11,12 1:13,14,15,16,17,18 4:13,16,18,20,21 5:1 5:2,4,5 Republic 94:9 Republican 96:9,15 requested 7:13 requesting 34:14 require 6:16 30:4 49:5 61:12 73:1 79:8 119:14

required 5:17 47:13

Road 133:18 78:11 84:1 97:4 84:22 85:1 109:16 session 84:12 requires 6:5 61:11 Robert 129:17 113:13 117:9,10 set 41:13 64:21 robust 126:15 120:1 132:13 133:11 setback 41:13 42:2 research 88:12 **Rock** 136:15,17 137:5,8 134:6 136:5 138:2 43:4 reserve 31:17 32:7 137:20 139:2 146:9 143:11 153:12,13,14 setbacks 43:12 37:12,22 55:1,9,19 146:11 147:4 150:14 154:20 **sets** 102:9 56:18 57:5,7,15,17,18 role 18:8 35:6 123:11 seconded 153:17 setting 46:8 70:5 103:2 61:9 65:14 72:1 80:16 123:20 124:14 133:10 seconds 77:8 settled 133:17 **Secretary** 1:16 2:1 5:2 seven 110:12 155:2 118:18 155:8 135:2,10,14,16 138:1 resident 87:4 5:12,18 20:8 140:6 **shadow** 50:16 148:13 resolution 88:18 roll 117:12 120:5 secure 88:16 133:14 **shaped** 138:4 resonates 135:16 **Ron** 2:1 21:6 27:8 28:12 security 31:5 44:3,11 **share** 26:2 52:17 53:5 **resource** 127:11 44:12 47:11,14,22 80:3 122:16 130:6 28:14 52:2 resources 39:15 108:4 room 15:19,20 75:10 59:2,11 102:15 104:2 shared 24:15 76:21 126:12 147:4 124:10 125:18 Roosevelt 124:13 133:2 seeing 18:3 60:2 105:8 respect 41:13,17 69:17 she'll 76:5 134:12,19 128:19 139:12 158:2 131:16 141:22 Roosevelt's 135:4 158:17 **Sherman** 1:12 4:16 seek 128:1 142:22 respectful 52:4 rose 50:1 66:6,8 68:16 74:16 **roughly** 31:21 seemingly 103:6 respite 87:12 112:3,6 118:6,7 seen 75:6 125:12 157:2 respond 34:19 40:1 **rouser** 11:16 147:11,12 148:16 77:7 84:17 85:2 rugby 32:9 50:7 **selection** 22:4 23:5 153:13,16,20,21 147:17 rule 17:1 97:10 102:7 26:5 32:15 34:2 36:15 158:18 response 40:4 81:8 run 75:9 36:21 110:10 119:12 **Sherman's** 115:6 128:18 139:11 157:11 rush 36:7 155:4,8,10,14 Shevchenko 148:19 **Russian** 135:17 Shield 156:2 responsible 91:14 selections 158:5 138:16 self-aovernment 10:9 **shifted** 134:21 responsive 30:5 10:14 13:21 shifts 43:1 restoration 30:1 **S** 3:12 7:3 87:6 120:16 self-interest 76:20 **shine** 24:6 **restoring** 3:15 7:7 **seller** 10:19 **shocked** 60:19 129:16 140:5 120:20 121:15 123:5 S.3579 2:10 Semiguincentennial **short** 37:8 restraints 44:16 sacrifice 143:21 144:2 110:4 shortened 125:5 restriction 55:1 144:8,10,16 Senate 47:7 135:13 **Senator** 129:15 131:2 restrictions 39:19 **Saint** 2:2 21:8,9 27:4 **shortly** 59:5 100:6 47:14 **Saints** 21:8 send 16:19 153:4 155:22 restrictive 65:13 sake 66:18 **senior** 124:9 **showed** 42:19 43:9 resulted 134:21 **samples** 32:19 sense 10:19 11:3 18:13 showing 16:3 results 33:1 sampling 25:6 106:10 40:10 45:14 59:21 **shows** 135:9 resumed 120:11 **sat** 95:4 65:9 89:6 90:22 93:19 **shrink** 12:12 72:15 retired 114:13 Saturday 16:18 94:15 97:3 100:7 **shut** 73:6 retreat 94:21 save 94:12 sensitive 32:11 side 13:12 14:20 31:11 retrofit 63:4 saved 95:16 125:6 sent 133:13 155:17,21 101:9 132:9 133:22 142:19 separate 97:10 119:14 retrospective 41:10 saw 92:17 102:5 108:22 sides 31:2 123:21 **revenge** 111:15 saying 40:18 57:9 58:9 **September** 26:4 34:13 review 3:5 20:3 54:19 says 118:19 138:15 84:10 132:16 serious 58:17 61:12 58:7 66:1 84:15 scale 41:19 53:11 65:3 siege 14:16 reviewed 54:11 108:10 signed 20:6 88:18 scalp 60:10 69:20,21 revisit 74:5 scenarios 26:1 seriously 51:3 60:19 99:15 128:13 revolution 9:8,15 10:1 scheduled 77:15 63:10 65:19 significance 14:10 28:4 11:18,20 13:8 17:14 120:15 **serve** 91:17 146:2 57:14 86:16 124:1 served 89:15 93:20 138:11 147:16 94:16 95:16 109:19 scheduling 121:19 revolutionary 12:3,22 **school** 18:2 141:9 94:6 124:15 **significant** 23:1 44:19 89:10 91:13 96:13 schools 91:2,5 service 1:1,12 4:14 62:2 70:3 90:15 109:9 112:21 127:15 133:10 98:7 scratcher 59:13 60:10 5:10,13 8:8 55:20 rhetorical 109:7 77:17 105:14 112:11 137:6,19 138:1 148:8 scratching 114:3 **Rhode** 87:6 114:13 127:12 144:16 significantly 42:4 91:12 **screen** 21:12 139:15 ridiculous 17:1 141:16 156:12 **signs** 33:11 rights 9:18 11:5,7 12:8 **Services** 1:16 4:22 5:19 similar 32:4 87:21 script 15:7 13:7 89:19 102:14 second 16:15 22:2 **serving** 109:22 88:13,17 115:11

445.0.450.40
145:8 150:16 similarities 145:19
simply 75:11 76:17
sincere 92:3
sir 114:14
sister 43:22 sit 87:10
site 3:5 6:11,11,14 22:3
22:9 23:5,6 24:21,22
25:7,19,20 26:5,8,20
28:2,3,4,4,7,22 29:2 29:15,17 30:22 31:3,8
31:10,15,17,21 32:3
32:15 33:20 34:2,9
36:21 37:1 38:11
39:11 41:1,12,18 42:1 42:14 43:6,9 44:5,8
44:11 45:17 47:4,5
49:15,22 50:5,5 51:9
56:2,13 58:21 59:16
59:20 60:4,9,11 61:16 62:9 64:3,3,22 67:13
68:2 69:17,18,18,22
70:1,3 73:20 76:1
80:17 87:12,19 110:9 119:12 155:4,7,10,14
158:5
site's 30:17,20
sites 6:18 21:3 23:9,12
24:11,17,18 25:13,15 25:17,21 26:13,18
27:6,12,13 28:10,12
28:13,15,20 29:9,13
31:7 36:16 37:8,15
38:7,7 39:2,3,8 42:21 45:9 48:19,22 49:14
50:1,18 58:5,10,16
59:15 61:8 63:19,21
66:2 67:5,5,10,12,18
68:4,11 79:1,2,8 80:5 80:15
siting 40:11 147:21
sits 133:18
situation 137:15 situations 90:10
six 27:11 125:18 144:6
sizable 150:17
size 50:4,8 88:14
skeptical 141:17 145:8 147:6 150:6
skipped 152:18
Slave 99:15
slavery 90:2 99:17
100:8 102:7 slaves 99:13,17
sleeping 13:1
slide 21:21 22:7,14 23:7
23:13 24:9,13 25:10

26:10 27:9.10.20 28:8 28:16,18 29:14 30:12 31:6 32:2,12 33:2,13 33:15,18 34:15 43:8 slides 28:19 slightly 120:14 147:6 **slow** 110:10 **slowly** 155:11,19 **small** 25:14 96:22 150:17 151:6 smaller 32:1 42:13 59:19 Smith 86:20 92:12,18 Smithsonian 2:1,2,3 3:5 6:10,16 20:13,20 21:2,7,10 22:12,15 23:4,8,11,15 25:1,1,7 28:6,21 40:8 54:22 55:12 63:14 65:2,5 66:11 69:9 70:6 75:13 76:17 77:16 78:10,13 78:17 82:4 83:21 84:8 84:18 Smithsonian's 21:5 29:17 67:12 **soared** 95:12 social 101:5 102:15 societal 103:13 **Society** 99:10 soldier 12:12 soldiers 89:10 solely 138:15 solicit 33:22 solution 53:10 somebody 54:6 60:21 63:3 74:9 96:13 117:3 146:17 149:1 something's 63:5 somewhat 135:22 soon 20:9 **Sophie** 5:9 sorry 8:12 20:15 49:16 61:1 105:20 107:13 117:15 140:10 sort 15:10 29:4,9 30:9 32:19 33:3 36:4 38:19 39:12 43:19,21,21 45:2,4,8 46:11,15 47:9 49:11,20,22 51:7 51:13 53:9 54:14 55:3 65:15,22 81:12 82:22 83:16,17 106:10 114:1 115:11 140:22 146:6 147:8 152:19 **sorts** 62:12 **sought** 62:17 102:10 souls 95:10

sounds 36:22 153:1 south 28:22 32:11 37:15 40:17 41:11 42:1,20 49:14,21 51:9 52:18 56:1,13 57:4 59:16 63:14 southwest 31:11 **space** 42:4 59:7,11 62:12 64:5,15 82:15 82:18,18,21 **spaces** 33:12 speak 19:12 20:7 35:7 38:16 54:5 64:14 85:19,22 86:20,22 98:1 121:22 142:7 **speaker** 12:6 122:4 **speakers** 2:1,4,10 112:9 114:11 speaking 55:16 **speaks** 43:17 113:18 **special** 86:4 112:10 124:16 142:12 Specialist 5:13 **specific** 56:14 57:17 60:16 specifically 52:18 56:16 62:22 spectrum 13:14 spent 14:21 **spirit** 71:20 103:4 126:14 **spoke** 77:14 **spoken** 39:16 115:22 **sponsor** 122:21 sponsored 142:20 **sponsors** 6:5 73:18 141:22 154:16 **spot** 74:12 spread 126:16 **spring** 23:12 square 45:7 staff 2:10 42:9 66:11 121:6 122:7 129:5 **stage** 83:10 stages 141:11 stakeholder 81:18 stakeholders 29:5 33:21 39:5 81:19 **stamp** 113:13 Stan 158:12 **stance** 138:2 **stand** 70:22 134:12 standards 14:6,8 150:18 standpoint 69:22 **stands** 12:14 start 15:11 50:2 111:4 started 17:21 26:11

38:22 104:8 starting 107:8 **starts** 95:9 state 12:18 87:11 101:17 143:1,6 146:3 stated 26:6 100:7 147:7 **statement** 11:9 131:10 131:11 135:7 statements 11:19 129:15,18 states 1:1 2:12 23:17 24:5 36:11 37:11 56:16 86:16 91:15 96:20 100:19 102:16 123:10,22 124:17,21 125:9,22 126:8,10,13 127:1,10,14,18,22 128:11 130:3 133:13 134:3,8,13 142:1 151:19 **station** 59:7 62:11 68:5 statistically 32:19 statue 18:3 148:17 statues 14:2 **status** 21:20 staunch 97:17 stay 106:19 steadfast 104:1 steadfastly 90:1 steel 125:17,18 136:7 **step** 96:22 152:13 **steps** 23:2 33:16 sterling 13:21 steward 47:5 stick 129:1 145:2 sticking 128:21 sties 24:16 stipulated 118:18 stirring 11:18 95:11 stone 150:17 **stop** 103:8 106:17 stories 22:21 66:20,21 82:6 113:2 **Storm** 156:2 story 82:1,9 87:18 90:8 91:20 115:14 146:13 straight 121:1 strange 62:20 130:11 strategic 37:20 street 42:22 43:1 44:4 63:12 64:5 74:11 87:6 **striking** 145:19 strip 62:16 striving 144:11 strong 136:3 137:22 stronger 134:20 strongly 65:4 67:21 123:17 128:2

sound 19:2

test 47:9 52:4 structure 42:14 43:21 survey 25:5 29:6 32:14 thrust 68:7 testified 107:5 112:9 62:1 152:9 32:15 **thunder** 93:16 struggle 12:19 surveyed 32:17 118:12 tidal 26:20 29:3 32:3,3 testify 106:17,21 **studied** 26:13 sustainability 48:8 37:15 49:14,22 50:4 studies 25:18 26:2 sustainable 48:7 112:13 120:15 122:7 51:13 59:15 60:9 30:11 34:10 45:5 sweeping 9:12 50:17 128:22 130:9,16 69:17 52:18 53:6,14 74:8 140:7,15,17 tied 32:22 50:17 Tier 24:17,18 28:12 **study** 3:6 6:11 45:4 swept 10:20 testifying 121:21 64:22 74:21 91:16 swing 43:11 139:19 67:5 symbolic 80:22 testimony 3:3 7:12,13 ties 30:9 136:4 142:10 **studying** 43:15 141:10 stuff 14:21 symbolically 133:19 7:18 8:17 9:1 15:18 timeless 91:17 sub-criteria 27:16,16 **systems** 100:12 36:12 66:16 67:3 timely 109:13 146:1 85:15 93:14 104:21 147:8 27:21 T Subcommittee 108:4 105:13 113:18 114:11 times 11:19 12:11 121:7 122:18 **T** 87:7 116:6 122:1,11,16 18:10 73:11 95:9 submitted 85:9 131:11 table 67:18 110:5 128:15,17 129:21 96:13 97:22 145:10 taken 65:19 75:11 80:4 130:1,6 138:21 tirelessly 136:1 subsequent 102:11 105:21 139:16 140:4 145:9 today 4:11,15 5:11 6:9 talk 15:16 28:14 38:19 10:22 17:5 19:12 20:4 141:21 145:3 150:7 158:15,16 **subway** 62:11 41:21 42:6 50:3 54:9 text 17:12 22:2,6 67:15 71:7 success 9:14 55:4 59:16 87:1,3 thankful 137:16 77:19 78:14 83:15 84:15 85:22 86:18 successful 97:3 92:15 96:3 98:15 thanks 17:18,19 69:8 suffrage 102:21 155:12 101:12 144:1 71:4,7 86:4 112:8,10 98:19 113:10 114:12 116:6 117:7 118:13 talked 13:2 30:10 33:14 141:21 146:15 147:12 suggest 73:19 149:11.17 158:12 121:21 122:15 126:6 suggested 63:9 44:15 58:22 59:17 suggestion 152:5 theme 142:14 143:11 130:16 131:12 133:18 64:1 suggests 32:8 talking 9:18 11:2,3 themes 142:5.9 135:17 138:5,10 **summarize** 54:15,18 13:17,18 71:22 things 44:10 47:2 52:8 153:3 156:16 157:17 131:13 62:2 64:2 65:12 79:11 told 66:20 77:18 82:7 tangential 109:16 summarizing 66:14 142:10 80:6 81:2 113:21 82:10 110:6 summary 58:6 tapestry 66:21 143:2 148:20 158:5 **Tom** 9:4,15 11:16 13:17 Task 74:11 **summer** 12:11 33:17 thinker 113:22 13:18 14:2,7 15:2 thinks 138:6 152:14 sunshine 12:12 tasks 25:12 17:21 19:1 **super** 50:14 tattered 12:22 third 31:21 65:3 120:2 tool 53:11 91:18 **supply** 127:6 134:14 taxpayer 89:1 **Thomas** 1:13 2:5,6,8 top 16:11 43:4 45:15 **support** 3:3 7:14 59:20 team 37:5 41:12,16 3:3,8 4:17 6:19,21 50:1 **topic** 148:6 74:20 85:10 97:4,7 44:7 45:16 86:4 85:3,16,18 86:7,10,14 touch 51:17 142:6,14 98:1 99:4 102:18 136:21 86:19 87:1 88:1,4,7 104:16 106:11 109:6 tears 9:2 88:10 89:3,4,12,18,19 **touching** 143:13 110:2,15 114:20 technical 7:20 59:10 90:1,6,9,14,17,21 tour 137:7 91:4,5,9,21 92:2,4,15 116:2,9,15,18,21 toured 23:11 153:6 118:9 119:21 122:22 tours 24:22 technically 37:22 44:9 94:2 96:3,12 97:1 126:16 130:16 131:7 teeny 12:5 98:9,15,15,17,20 99:5 **Tower** 11:15 134:8 135:21 144:18 trade 125:10 126:15 telework 72:13 99:14,20 101:2 102:3 146:6,7 149:15,15 tell 74:7 81:22 82:1,2 103:5,20 107:21 traffic 51:2 153:5,7 155:18 trained 93:21 87:18 132:8 109:6,12,18 111:7 supportable 148:22 telling 91:20 112:15 113:21 114:17 **Transatlantic** 128:10 135:15 136:4 138:4 supported 155:16 temper 144:5 115:4 supporter 17:9 ten 47:8 64:6 74:19 thoroughfares 87:13 transparency 27:1 tree 32:11 **supporting** 8:6 19:20 85:11 131:13 144:22 thought 41:16 49:21 117:3,5 153:2 tens 125:6 60:21 61:14 94:8 trees 42:13 87:14 supportive 149:8 tent 111:10 102:22 105:21,22 tremendous 59:11 62:3 thoughtful 149:14 149:4 **Supreme** 124:19 terms 14:8 18:8 36:5 thoughts 66:15 107:10 Trenton 95:14 **surely** 72:12 41:18 52:21 71:13 Triangle 68:5 surface 12:13 72:9 147:20 150:19 108:21 139:6 141:8 surprised 90:15 152:12 thousands 125:7 tricky 148:7 tried 10:22 16:19 41:13 **surprising** 80:6 115:3 terribly 148:8 three 6:9 28:19 66:17 **surrounding** 23:5 52:7 Terrorism 155:6 **throw** 10:16 troops 12:1,3,22 89:13

89:15 94:22 95:4.12 trouble 140:10 troubled 71:18 **Trowbridge** 2:3 21:9 34:17 40:3 41:6 42:8 43:17 52:15,16 53:2 55:15 true 41:22 57:10 72:8 truly 75:9 102:9 trusted 124:20 125:10 **truths** 100:15 **try** 12:11 13:14 34:6 58:12 87:10 95:7,10 112:12 131:13 trying 7:22 10:16 13:20 44:22 45:9 63:20 tuned 106:19 tunnel 43:5 turn 16:1 21:13 28:12 28:14 35:3 76:11 107:9 141:4 144:14 turned 94:15 105:21 **two** 3:6 6:15 9:22 14:11 16:13 18:1 20:2,22 24:11 26:13,17 30:1 33:7 49:13 50:6 56:15 65:11 66:17,22 69:16 80:6 114:17 132:3 135:19 142:4 153:2,3 two-story 43:21 **type** 98:5 types 52:8 typically 151:22 tyrannical 102:7 **Tyranny** 12:17

U

U.S 2:5,7,10 24:7 30:15 122:20 124:5,9,10,15 124:19 126:19 133:10 134:11 135:15 148:1 **Uh-oh** 74:14 Ukraine 121:20 122:5 135:18 137:16 Ukraine's 135:21 unable 107:22 unanimous 118:9 unapologetic 97:20 uncertain 72:11 uncertainty 72:21 uncomfortable 36:18 83:18 uncommon 93:10 uncomplicated 116:14 unconstrained 58:10 undeniable 127:16 underground 62:3 underneath 59:3

underscore 78:19 **Undersecretary** 21:6 35:19 41:6 51:5 53:2 66:11 69:9 77:3 81:7 understand 27:12.13 36:19 47:20 51:22 64:4 67:11 75:13 79:20 87:4,17 103:12 129:2 131:17 156:7,8 understandable 80:14 understanding 55:17 78:14 79:17 92:5 understood 42:12 **undo** 70:4 unfortunately 144:5 155:19 unification 141:14 144:12 uniforms 12:22 unintended 122:3 **Union** 3:16 7:8 59:7 68:5 120:22 121:17 123:6,16 125:9 126:6 127:13 unique 30:3 102:5 United 1:1 2:12 23:17 24:5 36:10 86:16 91:15 96:20 100:19 102:15 123:10,22 124:16 125:9,22 126:8,10,13 127:1,10 127:14,18,22 128:10 130:3 133:13 134:3,8 134:13 142:1 151:19 unity 127:9 universal 102:21 University's 101:18 Unlawfully 99:10 unleashed 124:11 unlived 100:14 **unmute** 145:14.16 unmuted 66:7 112:1 113:7 **unmuting** 140:11 unprecedented 144:3 **unusual** 151:8 unwavering 97:20 update 6:10 12:4 21:4 22:8,10 24:10 35:21 154:22 **updates** 154:19 157:3 uphold 88:7 urban 52:11 urge 53:12

USA 131:21 132:18,21

use 25:18 26:9 39:15

137:20,20

USDA 62:13

53:10 62:1 64:17 users 146:12 usually 151:11 Utter 155:13

vacant 49:1,2 80:17,19 157:14 vacated 64:4 vacating 64:6 valid 138:9 validation 103:16 **Valley** 12:1 values 13:20 102:2 126:13 **varies** 83:3 variety 84:13 various 25:22 29:8 132:20 verge 94:21 version 61:1 138:21 versus 148:13 vested 75:22 Vet 114:12,13 Veteran 2:7 93:20 94:6 112:11 Veteran's 86:21 92:12 93:16 **Veterans** 89:19 93:19 94:1.11 95:17 112:13 156:17 vibrating 141:3 vicinity 32:9 victory 12:20 124:5 133:10 135:4,5,10 video 21:13 141:1 Videoconference 1:9 **Vietnam** 93:20 view 36:5 68:11 70:1 views 6:1 28:7 84:3,16 97:21 106:10 143:1 145:12 vindicating 9:18 violation 111:17 Virginia 16:18,21 119:5 **virtual** 15:20 visibility 60:6 visible 28:3 **visibly** 105:6 vision 9:11 64:21 127:8 131:22 136:16 138:3 visionary 135:10 visit 90:13 visitation 28:5 **visited** 132:18 visitors 24:1

voice 119:20 vote 103:1 111:18 116:18 117:12 145:1 147:9 153:19 votes 118:8 154:13

W

wait 77:6,8,12 107:13 walk 137:1,3,8 139:4 walking 18:3 **walks** 137:5 **Wall** 156:16 wanted 22:9 70:7 77:5 83:15 121:5 wants 35:7 62:21 73:16 106:17 140:7 war 12:22 89:10,13 91:13 94:13 124:3,4,7 124:14,19 125:20 127:7,12 132:13 133:11 134:4,6,20 135:13.20 136:4.5 138:2 142:2 143:13 143:14 144:3,11 148:10 156:11,13,17 **warring** 136:9 wars 123:13 125:13 127:21 Washington 3:13 7:4 12:1 13:2 18:5 31:12 32:5 42:21 50:15 73:13 75:17 88:11 89:15 95:2,11 101:8 114:20 115:9 118:21 119:1,7 121:12 123:2 124:7 132:12 134:3 144:14 Washington's 29:21 wasn't 11:15 76:9 118:18 watch 106:19 watched 118:12 waterfront 63:15 way 15:11 46:3 57:7,19 57:20 60:15 61:5 65:7 79:16 119:7 144:13 ways 102:11 weapons 134:14 webpage 8:8 website 16:20 19:21 155:1 wedge-shaped 59:6 **WEDNESDAY** 1:6 week 16:16 54:12 77:16 **weekend** 111:8 weeks 46:22 133:12 156:6

visits 132:21

vistas 34:11

weighing 36:1

II		1	1
weighted 27:17	45:18 52:5 90:7	30:2 60:12,13 64:7	2003 108:1
weighting 32:16	102:16 103:9 125:13	74:20 93:20 103:2	2010 40:9
welcome 3:2 4:3 105:15	128:3 155:7	104:8 109:14 110:12	2020 20:16
130:4		114:17 125:20 132:4	2020 20.10 2021 88:5
	workplace 72:12		
well-known 132:9	works 5:17,21 6:3	138:9 144:4	2022 1:6 108:3
well-plan 83:9	37:10 55:18 56:6,20	yield 54:1 61:5	2026 110:11
well-prepared 83:8	56:21 57:11 71:20	yoke 10:17	20th 123:13
went 35:20 67:8 120:11	102:13 108:13 110:19	York 94:20	22-page 99:14
158:22	119:2 120:18	youth 42:15 101:13	230 60:12
west 32:6	world 10:7,16 14:2,16		2415 133:18
western 43:6	18:12 72:21,22 87:11	Z	250 104:8
White 60:15	102:1 123:13 124:3	Z 2:8 87:3 101:13,20,21	250th 109:13
Whitten 62:13 79:14	124:19 125:13 126:18	103:5,11	26 24:16 39:1 45:9
wholehearted 128:4	127:7,12 132:13	Zachary 60:22	127:3
wholeheartedly 115:5	133:11 134:6,7 136:5	ZIP 16:20	27 1:6 20:16 39:2
wholeness 65:9	137:3 138:2 142:2	zone 31:18 37:18 38:1	27 1.0 20.10 00.2
wide 57:1	143:13,14 144:3,11	Zoom 4:7 105:6	3
		20011 4.7 100.0	3 2:10
William 2:44 422:42	148:9,10 156:11,13	0	
William 2:11 128:13	world's 9:5		3:04 120:11
willing 35:6	world-changing 139:5		3:11 120:12
Wilson 5:7,7	worst 87:9 149:22	1	3:29 140:18
win 12:15 94:13 134:8	worth 64:2	1:00 1:9	3:48 158:22
wind 157:19	worthwhile 18:18	1:03 4:2	30 77:8
winks 112:2	worthy 128:5	100 116:13	30th 23:9
Wisconsin 96:10	wouldn't 16:20	10th 74:10	3579 3:12 7:3 120:16
wisdom 127:8	wow 153:2	116-260 20:16	129:16 140:5
wish 137:5	wresting 44:10	11th 156:13	360,000 43:10
woman 12:16	wrestled 44:7	120 3:17	395 43:5 44:17
women 12:9,11 22:17	Wright 1:15 4:21 38:14	130 60:12	3M 71:14
102:18	64:14 71:9,10 74:15	14 67:5,8 99:9	
Women's 3:6 6:12	74:17 75:1,5 76:3,7	14th 43:1 108:7	4
20:18 22:12,16 23:8	76:12,15 77:2 105:18	157 3:19	4 3:2 67:8,10 154:18
25:2 33:5 82:4 155:12	105:19 106:2 107:17	157 3.19 158 3:21	4 3.2 07.8, 10 134.18
			5
won 95:14	111:1,3 117:10,22	15th 42:22 60:15 64:5	
wonder 75:7	118:1,14,17 119:4,9	107:16	501(c)(3) 88:5
wonderful 139:18	119:16 149:19,20	16 89:9	
156:22	154:4,5 157:13	17 132:19	6
wondering 38:16	writ 76:22	177,000 43:10,11	6 16:17
wonky 141:2	write 95:3	1774 9:22	60 11:1 46:19
Woodson 87:8	writing 89:5 97:2	1775 99:9	60-day 72:18
word 46:6 81:3 155:13	writings 9:10 13:4	1776 10:18 11:20 100:7	609 36:21
words 12:21 25:19 89:8	91:17 97:2 100:5	17th 60:15	6611 3:12 7:2 120:16
95:6,10	written 9:1 15:18 26:5	18th 19:2 60:11 61:2	121:11 122:16,21
work 3:4,9,13 6:21 7:5	90:11 131:11 135:7	1940 134:19	129:18 140:5
8:2 15:13 23:2 35:8	138:21	1941 135:1 136:1	6720 3:3,8 6:19 7:14
36:13 51:21 53:13	wrong 37:9 74:10	1961 126:9	85:2,19 86:9 91:19
63:8 74:1,18 76:10	111:14	1963 126:21	104:16
85:5 86:12 87:5,18	wrote 10:18 11:21	1968 93:22	6th 13:16
89:17 91:4 96:21 98:3	12:10 89:8 94:14 95:5	1980s 141:10,11	331 10.10
99:3 100:16 108:18	99:13 109:19	1986 5:17	7
121:13 123:3,18	55.15 105.19		7 66:13
1	X	1990 90:12	
124:18 128:6 130:20		1993 107:21	703-346-2048 20:10
131:16 135:11 136:5	Υ	1994 88:18	703-346-2948 20:10
138:7 147:16,21	-	19th 61:22	75 144:4
150:15 151:10	Yates 52:20		
worked 75:16 90:14	year 125:5 135:13	2	8
124:9 126:13 134:1	yearns 91:10	2 2:4 3:5	8 3:4
working 21:2 25:11	years 9:22 18:1 20:22	20 3:7 20:15	80 138:9 144:4
		I	I

85 3:10 8th 87:6		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: National Capital Memorial

Advisory Commission Meeting

Before: US DOI/NPS

Date: 07-27-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &