

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Sally Sprouse, Project Manager, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-111 Tuolumne Winter Ranger Residence Alternative Power

Source Installation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (11) - Installation of wells, comfort stations, and pit or vault toilets in areas of existing use and in developed areas.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

// Michael Tollefson //	<u>9/12/07</u>
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
cc: Statutory Compliance File	The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-111
PEPC Project Number: 19382

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Tuolumne Winter Ranger Residence Alternative Power Source Installation

Location: Tuolumne Meadows, Tuolumne County, California

Project Manager: Sally Sprouse, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (11) - Installation of wells, comfort stations, and pit or vault toilets in areas of existing use and in developed areas.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

// Michael Tollefson //9/12/07Michael J. Tollefson, SuperintendentDate

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-111

PEPC Project Number: 19382

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Tuolumne Winter Ranger Residence Alternative Power Source Installation

Location: Tuolumne Meadows, Tuolumne County, California

Project Manager: Sally Sprouse, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Numerous power outages occur in Tuolumne due to winter storm cycles. Southern California Edison also preemptively cuts off power when wind is predicted. Edison has indicated that they will not fix power lines which come down in Lee Vining Canyon in the winter. Winter Rangers are necessary to prevent resource damage and give information for winter backcountry users. They also shovel roofs to prevent damage to structures from snow loading and collect snow survey data monthly.

Therefore, an alternative power system is needed to support them. This system involves solar panels installed on the south facing roof of the residence. These panels will charge a bank of batteries which will supply power for minimal electric appliances such as lights, radio chargers, and an energy efficient refrigerator. A propane tank will fuel a propane generator which will augment the solar power and run the chest freezer necessary to maintain the large quantities of food for the winter season. The generator will also charge the battery bank as needed. A propane water heater and stove will decrease the power demand of the system.

This project converts the house over to a power system that is independent of the grid. This will reduce emissions into the atmosphere and provide a positive example of energy responsibility to the public.

The project will involve covering 10' x 24' of the south facing roof with solar panels and putting a propane tank on the north side of the house behind the northeast corner. The 500 gallon propane tank will be sitting on a concrete pad 10' x 4' and a pipe connecting the tank to the house will be buried approximately 18" deep. The pipe supplying the house will go approximately 10' between the tank and the house. This area is already impacted by the construction of the house in 1984. The propane line will be installed in a location which will not affect the water line. The structure is not a historic building, and the only impact to the historic view shed will be the solar panels on the roof.

After speaking with the Park Historical Landscape Architect, Dave Humphrey and John Wallblom from Tuolumne Meadows utilities, the decision was made to install the propane tank behind the northeast corner of the house, which will not be visible from the rest of the housing area and will not affect the water line in front of the house. The propane tank is still accessible from the driveway for refilling.

Table B1 – Background Information Yes No N/A Explanation/Notes Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list Visitor Protection and Resources \boxtimes attendees. If no, explain. Management and Science staff. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved \boxtimes plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the \boxtimes plan and provide a section or page citation. \boxtimes 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? \boxtimes 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? \boxtimes 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.) Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** \boxtimes Vicinity map; see Attachment A. 1. Map Drawings (e.g., design, construction) 2. Site Plans Photo simulation of solar panels and propane \boxtimes 4. Photographs tank; see Attachment B. 5. Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain) \boxtimes Other (Explain)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-111

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
		<u> </u>			Concrete slab will require leveling an area 4' long
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			x 10' wide x 18" deep.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes	Щ	\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity	ᆜ	\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics	Щ	\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	\boxtimes			See Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			Negligible; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure				
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential	\boxtimes			Replacement of a diesel generator with a propane generator will decrease emissions and conserve energy.
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		Chergy.
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		This project converts the existing system to a power system that is independent of the grid and provides long-term management of resources.
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				pro 1.055 fong term management of resources.
Con 1.	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-111 4 of 4

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes					
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes					
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes					
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes					
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?							
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes					
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes					
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes					
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?							
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes					
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?							
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes					
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.			
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.			
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?							
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes					
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?							
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?							
C o	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and							

1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-111
-	_		5 of 5

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?						
2. Species of special concern (Federal or						
State)?						
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?			Ш			
4. Potential habitat for any special-status		\boxtimes	П			
species listed above?	Ctatura			alalist according a consulate discust attached		
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:	-Status	Speci	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
1. None						
1. Trone						
		~.	_ ~	- 0		
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC'	r CH	ECKLIST		
Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			Concrete slab will require leveling an area 4' long x 10' wide x 18" deep.		
2. Are there any archeological sites?	П	\boxtimes		long it to write it to deep.		
·		_	_	Proposed Tuolumne Meadows traditional		
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?	\boxtimes			cultural property; no traditional resources		
4. Is there a historic property (a building,				will be impacted.		
structure, feature, or all or any part of an				Tuolumne Meadows Historic District;		
archeological district or site, or a historic				Tuolumne Meadows Archeological District;		
district or site, or any associated landscape	\boxtimes	Ш	Ш	the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.		
element) that is listed or eligible for listing				Effect, see the attached AAA.		
on the <i>National Register</i> ?		_				
5. Is there a National Historic Landmark?			Ш			
6. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>		\boxtimes				
Classified Structures? 7. Is there any cultural resource requiring an						
7. Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic						
property under NHPA, Section 106, before		\boxtimes				
an affect determination can be made?						
8 Would there be alteration of any historic						
property or associated landscape element	\boxtimes			Changes will not impact historic district.		
covered by 2-7, above?						
If "yes" to <u>any</u> of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST						
Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?			Ц			
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?	<u>. </u>		\Box			
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None						
1. INOIR						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-111 6 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Tuolumne River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.				
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes	
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes	
If "	'yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	rmina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•			
1.	None				

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

This project is similar to DO12 3.4 C(11) - Installation of wells, comfort stations, and pit or vault toilets in areas of existing use and in developed areas.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. None


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

// Renea Kennec //	8/21/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
// Mark A. Butler //	8/21/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
// Bill Delaney //	8/21/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A

Tuolumne Winter Ranger Cabin Location Map



Attachment B



Tuolumne Meadows Winter Ranger Residence (Building # 3007)



500 gallon propane tank would be installed behind house 10 feet from the north facing wall on a concrete slab approximately 10x 4 feet.

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-111
PEPC Project Number: 19382

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Tuolumne Winter Ranger Residence Alternative Power Source Installation

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Tuolumne Meadows, Tuolumne County, California

Ranger Residence, Building 3007

Project Manager: Sally Sprouse, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: Numerous power outages occur in Tuolumne due to winter storm cycles. Southern California Edison also preemptively cuts off power when wind is predicted. Edison has indicated that they will not fix power lines which come down in Lee Vining Canyon in the winter. Winter Rangers are necessary to prevent resource damage and give information for winter backcountry users. They also shovel roofs to prevent damage to structures from snow loading and collect snow survey data monthly.

Therefore, an alternative power system is needed to support them. This system involves solar panels installed on the south facing roof of the residence. These panels will charge a bank of batteries which will supply power for minimal electric appliances such as lights, radio chargers, and an energy efficient refrigerator. A propane tank will fuel a propane generator which will augment the solar power and run the chest freezer necessary to maintain the large quantities of food for the winter season. The generator will also charge the battery bank as needed. A propane water heater and stove will decrease the power demand of the system.

This project converts the house over to a power system that is independent of the grid. This will reduce emissions into the atmosphere and provide a positive example of energy responsibility to the public.

The project will involve covering 10' x 24' of the south facing roof with solar panels and putting a propane tank on the north side of the house behind the northeast corner. The 500 gallon propane tank will be sitting on a concrete pad 10' x 4' and a pipe connecting the tank to the house will be buried approximately 18" deep. The pipe supplying the house will go approximately 10' between the tank and the house. This area is already impacted by the construction of the house in 1984. The propane line will be installed in a location which will not affect the water line. The structure is not a historic building, and the only impact to the historic view shed will be the solar panels on the roof.

After speaking with the Park Historical Landscape Architect, Dave Humphrey and John Wallblom from Tuolumne Meadows utilities, the decision was made to install the propane tank behind the northeast corner of the house, which will not be visible from the rest of the housing area and will not affect the water line in front of the house. The propane tank is still accessible from the driveway for refilling.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS Map
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			YOSE 1985 E 1987 P; Tuolumne Meadows Historic District; Tuolumne Meadows Archeological District.
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
	* * ·				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a.				
	b.				
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Proposed Tuolumne Meadows traditional cultural properties.		\boxtimes	No tr	aditional resources will be impacted.
	b.	П	П		
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes		
	elements from a historic structure				
	 Replace historic features/elements in kind Add nonhistoric features/elements to a 				
	historic structure		\boxtimes		
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)		\boxtimes		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			Changes will not impact historic neighborhood.
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 		\boxtimes		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources		\boxtimes		
	• Other		\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 7/10/07

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 7/11/07
Ground Disturbance Involved	Yes: No: No:	
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Archeologist: // Scott	Jackson // acting for	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""></choose>	fect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologic	st:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date: 7/11/07
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator: // Jonathan E	Bayless //	

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<="">ect: <choose b="" eff<=""></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Historian:		
Historical Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 7/11/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historical Architect: //		
Historical Landscape Architect Comments:	t Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 7/11/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historical Landscape A	Architect: // David T. Humphrey //	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:					
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose> Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions							
Signature of Preservation Specialist	::						
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:					
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>						
Signature of Native American Liais	son:						
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:					
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	fect> or write it here >>						
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" titl<=""><td>le>:</td><td></td></enter>	le>:						

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-091

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	anagement policies, standards, , istoric Buildings, or others, and		dards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	eviewed and Accepted by:		
			Date: <u>7-13-07</u>
	Chief of Resources	Management & Science Di	vision
2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.			•
☐ Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation			
	Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review b appropriate historic resource management advisors.		
	☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement		
		ll conditions for a programma agreement, and is listed in Stij	tic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the pulation IV. B, as:
	<choose of="" td="" type="" underta<=""><td>nking></td><td></td></choose>	nking>	
☐ Plan-Related Undertaking			
			vere completed in the context of a plan review ic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
	☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement		
			urposes under a document such as a R Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
Agreement : <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>			
☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking			
		ate Historic Preservation Offic	ourposes under the letter-based agreement ce, and the Council for Historic Preservation
☐ Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic A		grammatic Agreement	
		lesign, construction, operation	urposes under the park's 1999 programmatic is and maintenance; the undertaking meets

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: **Jeannette Simons**

Title: **Historic Preservation Officer**

Signature: // Jeannette Simons // Date: 7-17-07

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: // Michael Tollefson // Date: 9/12/07

Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.