

**Cape Hatteras National Seashore Negotiated Rulemaking  
Meeting 1 - January 3-4, 2008  
Avon, NC  
*Meeting Summary*  
*Approved February 26, 2008***

**Consensus Agreements**

The Cape Hatteras National Seashore Negotiated Rulemaking Committee reached consensus on the following during the meeting:

1. The Committee unanimously approved the facilitation team from the Consensus Building Institute and Fisher Collaborative Services.
2. The Committee unanimously approved and adopted the Groundrules as revised at the meeting.
3. The Committee formed two subcommittees – agenda planning and socio-economic analysis.

**Welcome to All and Opening of the Meeting**

Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CAHA) Superintendent and Committee member, opened the meeting in his capacity as the designated federal official (DFO) for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Negotiated Rulemaking process. Mr. Murray welcomed everyone and gave an overview of the agenda items to be accomplished during the two-day meeting.

**Roles and Approval of Facilitation Team**

Mike Eng of the U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution (USIECR) gave an overview of the process of getting to this point and explained that negotiated rulemaking committees select and approve their facilitators. The facilitation team led by Patrick Field was selected in 2005 and asked to assess the feasibility of a potential regulatory negotiation on ORV management at CAHA. The team prepared a feasibility report that created the structure for the work done over the past year to convene the negotiated rulemaking committee. The Committee unanimously approved the facilitation team from the Consensus Building Institute and Fisher Collaborative Services.

Mr. Eng also described the on-going role of USIECR, an independent federal agency contracting with facilitation team. USIECR holds all staff and facilitators to a set of basic collaborative problem solving principles, and Mr. Eng distributed a document containing those principles. The facilitation team is accountable to USIECR. Mr. Eng noted that if Committee members have concerns about the performance of the facilitators during the negotiated rulemaking process, they should let the facilitation team know directly or contact USIECR staff.

Mike Murray described the DFO role. Committees chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act must have a DFO. The role of the DFO is to call or approve a meeting, approve the agenda, attend all meetings, open and adjourn all meetings, and adjourn a meeting when continuing is not in the public interest. The DFO also must keep written records of all materials distributed during Committee meetings and must certify meeting summaries within 90 calendar days of a Committee meeting.

### **Committee Charter and Membership**

The Committee reviewed and discussed the Charter (2007) of the Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Off-Road Vehicle (ORV) Management at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, approved by the Department of Interior. The Charter, which defines the Committee's tasks and parameters, is final and cannot be changed. The following key topics, questions, and concerns were raised:

- Section C: Objectives and Scope of This Activity. Some Committee members expressed the importance of addressing a wide range of beach visitor experiences and the distinction between commercial and recreational fishing. Several Committee members commented about the term "natural resources" given that many ecological systems on the Seashore are influenced by human use and management and that some human and natural systems are not distinct from one another.
- Section E: Ethics – There were questions about the term "direct financial interest" including whether someone who might have a "direct financial interest" with the National Park Service (NPS) (such as a permit) and might be affected by the ORV special regulation can participate on the Committee without violating the ethics standard and provision. NPS staff responded that Committee members were approved by the Department of Interior with knowledge of their interests, and that all Committee members should be able to participate. Committee members received a document describing the Ethics Responsibilities of Members prepared by the NPS Ethics office.
- Section F: Administration – There were questions about the two-year termination date of the Committee. NPS intends to apply for an extension of the Committee so the Committee can meet after the publication of the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), as shown in the Proposed Meeting Workplan.

### **Groundrules**

The Committee reviewed and discussed the proposed draft Groundrules. The Committee unanimously approved and adopted the Groundrules as revised at the meeting. The following key topics were addressed:

- A. The definition of consensus and determining when consensus has been reached.

The Committee discussed:

- the benefits and drawbacks of different options for defining consensus and the number of people could formally disagree with an outcome (not just abstain or stand aside) and still achieve consensus, ranging from unanimous to all but seven members;
- how to treat absence by a principal or alternate or both in determining consensus;
- whether to count members who abstain or stand aside;
- the role of NPS in reaching consensus;
- NPS' commitment to go forward with consensus decisions from the Committee and what NPS would do with partial consensus; and
- how the facilitators will test for consensus.

B. The name "Cape Hatteras National Seashore" and "Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area."

Committee members discussed the legislative history and administrative usage of the name for CAHA. There are no differences in how national seashores or national seashore recreational areas are managed. Since 1970, all federally designated parks, including National Parks, National Monuments, National Historic Sites, National Seashores, and National Recreation Areas have been managed under the same federal policies and statutes.

C. Pea Island National Wildlife Refuge (PINWR).

The Committee discussed including historical information about ORVs on PINWR, and other historical facts such as changes in wildlife populations. Concerns were expressed that including information about ORV use on PINWR in the Groundrules might affect later negotiations. Some Committee members noted that because visitors can enjoy non-motorized activities on PINWR it should be discussed by the Committee as part of the overall use of the region's beaches.

Some Committee members noted a lack of clarity between NPS and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) roles on PINWR and the boundaries of the two areas. The USFWS representative on the Committee is from the ecological services office in Raleigh, not from PINWR. USFWS is on the Committee to reduce the likelihood that a Committee-developed alternative would be rejected in the Section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act.

D. Other Topics.

The Committee also discussed the different beach activities to identify, the safeguards and enforcement provisions, compliance with NPS management policies and Director's orders, and contacting Committee members.

## **Socio-Economic Analysis**

Carol Mansfield of RTI International updated the Committee on the development of the socio-economic analysis study conducted as part of the NEPA process, and which will inform the rulemaking process and the Committee.<sup>1</sup> The current study plan proposal, which should be complete by the February meeting, includes a survey of businesses and no visitor intercept study. There already is more data available than RTI has had for other Park studies. The discussion with Ms. Mansfield covered the following topics.

### *Analysis*

Economic analysis is one of several dimensions by which alternatives will be evaluated. RTI will study the alternatives identified in the NEPA process. The analysis will compare the alternatives to each other to understand the likely impacts of doing X or Y. RTI will look at both the positive and the negative impacts of any user or permit fees.

RTI wants the study to be transparent and the Committee to understand the models used, where the numbers come from, etc. The Committee and NPS should ensure the study is not skewed in advance and that the methodology can withstand outside scrutiny. It could be a model for studies at other NPS facilities.

### *Data Sources and Additional Data*

The Committee discussed conducting a visitor-intercept survey (including day trippers to Ocracoke) and different approaches and funding sources. Additional data includes: campgrounds, beach house renters/ users, passive use studies, surrogate species values, visitor studies posted on the Outer Banks Visitors Bureau website, and data from the NC Marine Fisheries Commission has agreed to make available. The real estate, business, fishing, and other representatives on the Committee have constituents that could be surveyed if funds were available. Collecting data for a full calendar year is important because of seasonal variation of human use of the Seashore. OMB approval is required for surveys of more than nine people and the approval process usually takes about 90 days.

Studies done in one part of CAHA might not be useful in predicting outcomes in other area due to differences in parking and access. Similarly, studies done in other regions of the country might not be appropriate for use in predicting outcomes in this area. There is little historical data on levels of ORV use on the Seashore, as traffic counters were only installed within the past year.

---

<sup>1</sup> The presentation is available at:

<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/document.cfm?parkID=358&projectId=10641&documentID=21654>

### *Vogelsong and Other Studies*

NPS is coordinating a peer review of the study, which may be completed by the February Committee meeting. Some Committee members do not have confidence in the Vogelsong study and would like the opportunity to present the problems with the study.

RTI will review other studies and include a discussion of both limitations and what they did well. RTI is interested in getting the raw data from both the Vogelsong and Neil the surveys. If the peer reviews determine the studies are acceptable, then RTI would like to understand how the studies were conducted. If the study does not pass peer review, NPS will use the remaining studies available, as they are required to use "the best information available."

### *Funding for a Visitor Intercept or Other Additional Studies*

The Committee discussed the possibility of Committee members, including the Outer Banks Visitors Bureau, or others contributing funding to for a visitor intercept study and concerns with doing so. NPS staff will explore conducting a visitor intercept study and seek funding to support such a study.

### *Subcommittee*

The Committee formed a socio-economic analysis subcommittee to interact with NPS and people conducting the study for NPS as appropriate to provide direct input and discussion about methodology and objectives of data collection. Potential tasks mentioned for the subcommittee include:

- Input whether RTI is acceptable to do the study or if others should be considered;
- Input on study design;
- Input on which businesses should be interviewed;
- Input on assumptions about what alternative behaviors people will engage in;
- Feedback to NPS on the Vogelsong study;
- Input on the design of a visitor intercept study and possible additional funding sources; and
- Identify issues for Committee consideration.

The members of the Subcommittee are: Patti Doerr, David Esham, Bill Foster, Bernie Gould, Sandy Hamilton, Scott Leggat, Carolyn McCormick, Jason Rylander (or Andrew Hawley), Judy Swartwood, Jeff Wells, and Pat Weston. A draft charge for the subcommittee will be developed for discussion and approval at the next Committee meeting.

### **NEPA Process and Links to Regulatory Negotiation**

Sandy Hamilton of NPS Environmental Quality Division went over the NEPA Planning Schedule and how the Committee's work and the NEPA process fit together. The

Negotiated Rulemaking is considered a “concurrent process” to the NEPA process, and information will flow back and forth between the Committee and the NEPA process. The effort to develop an ORV regulation on CAHA is one of several ORV management planning processes NPS is doing concurrently. Funding for these processes is assured only for the next two years and it is important for the Negotiated Rulemaking to stay in step with the NEPA process.

Ms. Hamilton reviewed the schedule of public meetings in the coming weeks at which NPS staff will share the NEPA Alternatives Options Workbook with the public and ask for written feedback on the ideas presented in the Workbook. Committee members expressed the following key concerns:

- Ease of Access: The Workbook is difficult to access for people who cannot attend a meeting (where Workbooks will be given out) and people without access to a computer.
- Ease of Use: The digital version of the Workbook is in a format that is difficult to save while answers are being completed and difficult to email back to NPS. Several Committee members requested the Workbook in a digital form to send to interested parties and post on their websites.
- Timeline: The deadline for feedback on the Workbook is too short and should be extended beyond January 31.
- Use of Information: NPS intends to use the Workbook to get ideas on options, to learn what adjustments to particular options might improve those options, and to identify additional options. The Workbooks will not be used as a survey.
- Quality of Process: Committee members requested that NPS manage the NEPA public input process to ensure information is provided to the public in a useful form and that the public has an opportunity to provide thoughtful input.

Ms. Hamilton reviewed the NEPA schedule through 2010. According to the schedule, the Committee has about a year to develop an alternative then six months to develop the regulation that includes that alternative. Ms. Hamilton noted that a biological assessment will be completed on the preferred alternative, not on all alternatives.

A committee member suggested that due to the NEPA timeline the Committee should consider meeting more frequently than anticipated to avoid running out of time and can add the preferred alternative at the appropriate time. NPS will do their best to ensure adequate funding to complete the process as long as the Committee is making progress.

#### **Public Comments (January 3 and 4)**

- Ted Hamilton asked that information about Committee meetings and relevant documents be sent out to interested parties in addition to Committee members. He also spoke about including PINWAR in Committee discussions, and requested an explanation for why ORV use is considered an incompatible use on PINWAR.
- Diane Lea, who identified herself as a non-resident homeowner, expressed concern that reducing beach access would disenfranchise thousands of CAHA

visitors who spend money on the island and surf fish. She also spoke about the process for becoming a member of the Committee.

- Buddy McDaniels spoke about including horses and horseback riding on the ORV plan developed by the Committee.
- Jim Harris described technical difficulties using the electronic version of the Alternatives Options Workbook and saving information.

In response to questions posed during public comment, NPS provided the following information. A horse is not considered a vehicle on CAHA, and the regulation being developed will be about vehicular use. Many recreational activities will be impacted by an ORV plan and the Committee could consider horseback riding and how it should or should not be connected to ORV use areas on the Seashore. The March NEPA meeting is an internal NPS meeting.

### **Timeline and Logistics**

The Committee formed an agenda planning subcommittee to help develop plenary meeting agendas, select topics to be addressed, and design Committee meetings. The members of the subcommittee are Carla Boucher, Destry Jarvis, Warren Judge, and Mike Murray.

#### Meeting Dates and Times

The Committee reviewed the current schedule of meeting dates through June 2008. Time between committee meetings is important for reviewing progress made, work with constituencies and caucuses, finding necessary information, etc. The current plan did not include meetings in the summer to avoid the high season. An additional Committee meeting will be scheduled for late August or early September. The Committee discussed the starting and ending time of meetings and the pros and cons of holding meetings on Fridays and Saturdays.

#### Meeting Locations

Meeting locations will alternate to the north and the south of the Bonner Bridge.

#### Meeting Summaries

Meeting summaries will be drafted by the facilitators and distributed to the Committee within three weeks from the next business day after the meeting. The Committee will have three weeks to review the draft and provide feedback to the facilitators. The meeting summary will be finalized at the next Committee meeting.

#### Subcommittee Procedures

Committee members discussed the role of subcommittees. Subcommittees will not have decision-making authority. Subcommittee meetings do not need to be noticed in the Federal Register. The Committee will determine whether non-Committee members will be included on a subcommittee or attend subcommittee meetings.

### Proposed Agenda Items for February Meeting

Committee members suggested the following agenda items for the next meeting:

- Standing updates from subcommittees and on any new developments the Committee should know about
- Updates, on economic analysis, litigation, how the Park is planning to operate in the spring, and critical habitat public comments
- Identification of primary issues and start working on big issues
- Criteria for beach openings and closings
- Presentation of public input on the Alternatives Options Workbook and any new, creative ideas generated during NEPA process
- Terminology and definitions, for example types of closures
- Grouping topics into past/status quo versus going forward
- Review of Park resources (staffing, funding, budgets for education, enforcement and research)
- Procedure for channeling individual information requests.

Mike Murray thanked participants for their efforts adjourned the meeting at 3:37pm.

### **Attachments**

- A. Attendance
- B. Action Items
- C. Materials Distributed to the Committee



## Attachment A: Attendance

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS

<u>Last Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Seat</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Principal or Alt.</u>	<u>Date Present</u>
Allen	David	State Government	NC Wildlife Resources Commission	P	Jan 3 & 4
Alley	John	User Groups/Open Access	Outer Banks Preservation Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Ballance	Gene	County Government	Hyde County, NC	A	Jan 3
Benjamin	Pete	Federal Government	USFWS, Raleigh Field Office	P	Jan 3 & 4
Boucher	Carla	User Groups/ORV Use	United Four Wheel Drive Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Cahoon	Renee	Tourism, Visitation & Business	Outer Banks Visitor Bureau	A	Jan 3 & 4
Cameron	Susan	State Government	NC Wildlife Resources Commission	A	Jan 3 & 4
Carter	Derb	Environmental & Nat. Res. Conservation (S/R)	Southern Environmental Law Center	P	Jan 3 & 4
Couch	John	User Groups/Open Access	Outer Banks Preservation Assoc	A	Jan 3
Davis	Robert	User Groups/Recreational Fishing	Cape Hatteras Anglers Club	A	Jan 3 & 4
Doerr	Patricia	User Groups/Recreational Fishing	American Sportfishing Assoc	A	Jan 3 & 4
Duke	C.A.	Civic & Homeowner Assoc	Rodanthe-Waves-Salvo Civic Association	P	Jan 3 & 4
Eakes	Bob	User Groups/Recreational Fishing	American Sportfishing Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Esham	David Scott	County Government	Hyde County, NC	P	Jan 4
Folb	Frank	Civic & Homeowner Assoc	Avon Property Owners Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Foster	William	Commercial Fishermen	NC Fisheries Association	A	Jan 3 & 4
Golder	Walker	Environmental & Nat. Resources Conservation (S/R)	Audubon North Carolina	P	Jan 3 & 4
Goodwin	David	Tourism, Visitation & Business	Cape Hatteras Business Allies	A	Jan 3 & 4
Gould	Burnham	Other User Group	Cape Hatteras Recreation Alliance	A	Jan 3 & 4
Hagedon	Sam	Tourism, Visitation & Business	Outer Banks Chamber of Commerce	A	Jan 3 & 4
Hardham	Larry	User Groups/Recreational Fishing	Cape Hatteras Anglers Club	P	Jan 3 & 4
Hawley	Andrew	Environmental & Nat. Resources Conservation (N)	Defenders of Wildlife	A	Jan 3 & 4
Jarvis	Destry	Environmental & Nat. Resources Conservation (N)	Natural Resources Defense Council & The Wilderness Society	P	Jan 3 & 4
Joyner	David	User Groups/ORV Use	NC Beach Buggy Assoc	A	Jan 3 & 4
Judge	Warren	County Government	Dare County, NC	P	Jan 3 & 4

Kayota	Steven	Civic & Homeowner Assoc	Hatteras Island Homeowners Coalition	P	Jan 4
Keene	Jim	User Groups/ORV Use	NC Beach Buggy Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Kingery	Roy	Civic & Homeowner Assoc	Hatteras Village Civic Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Leggat	Scott	Tourism, Visitation & Business	Outer Banks Chamber of Commerce	P	Jan 4
Lyons	Jim	Other User Group	Cape Hatteras Recreation Alliance	P	Jan 3 & 4
Maddox	Sidney	Environmental. & Nat. Resources Conservation (S/R)	National Audubon Society	A	Jan 3 & 4
Mathis	Wayne	State Government	NC Marine Fisheries Commission	P	Jan 3 & 4
McCall	Aaron	Environmental. & Nat. Resources Conservation (N)	The Nature Conservancy	A	Jan 3 & 4
McCormick	Carolyn	Tourism, Visitation & Business	Outer Banks Visitor Bureau	P	Jan 3 & 4
Milne	Robert	Environmental. & Nat. Resources Conservation (N)	Coalition of NPS Retirees	P	Jan 3 & 4
Moore	Raymond Neal	Other User Group	Cape Hatteras Bird Club	A	Jan 3 & 4
Murray	Michael	Federal Government	Cape Hatteras National Seashore Designated Federal Official	P	Jan 3 & 4
Nuzzo	Matt	Other User Group	Watersports Industry Association	A	Jan 3 & 4
Paquette	Patrick	User Groups/Recreational Fishing	Recreational Fishing Alliance	P	Jan 3 & 4
Peele	Michael	Commercial Fishermen	NC Fisheries Association	P	Jan 3
Rettie	Dwight	Environmental. & Nat. Resources Conservation (N)	Coalition of NPS Retirees	A	Jan 3 & 4
Swartwood	Judy	Tourism, Visitation & Business	Cape Hatteras Business Allies	P	Jan 3 & 4
Wells	Jeffrey	Civic & Homeowner Assoc	Hatteras Landing Homeowners Assoc	P	Jan 3 & 4
Weston	Pat	Civic & Homeowner Assoc	Greater Kinnakeet Shores Homeowners Inc	A	Jan 3 & 4
Winslow	Sara	State Government	NC Marine Fisheries Commission	A	Jan 3 & 4
Wrenn	Lee	County Government	Dare County, NC	A	Jan 3 & 4

#### AGENCY AND OTHER STAFF

<u>Last Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Date Present</u>
Balance	Kenny	NPS	Jan 3 & 4
Hamilton	Sandy	NPS	Jan 3 & 4
Holda	Cyndy	NPS	Jan 3 & 4
Stevens	Paul	NPS	Jan 3 & 4
Waanders	Jason	Office of the Solicitor, DOI	Jan 3 & 4
Ferguson	Ona	Consensus Building Institute	Jan 3 & 4

Field	Pat	Consensus Building Institute	Jan 3 & 4
Fisher	Robert	Fisher Collaborative Services	Jan 3 & 4

#### MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

<u>Last Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Public Comment</u>	<u>Date Present</u>
Angeluci	Vince	Self		Jan 4
Branch	Harry	Self		Jan 4
Eng	Michael	USIECR		Jan 4
Goodloe-Murphy	Mary Helen	The Coastland Times		Jan 3
Hamilton	Ted	Self	Jan 3 & 4	Jan 3 & 4
Harris	Jim	Self	Jan 4	Jan 3 & 4
Laws	Joe	Self		Jan 4
Lea	Diane	Self	Jan 3	Jan 3 & 4
Lewis	Pat	USIECR		Jan 4
Magling (?)	Steve	Self		Jan 4
McCabe	Kevin	Self		Jan 4
McDaniel	Buddy	Self	Jan 3	Jan 3 & 4
Moore	Patricia	Cape Hatteras Bird Club		Jan 3 & 4
Mosher	Kim	Kim Mosher Design		Jan 4
Mowers	Carol	NCBBA, CHAC, RFA, NHSFC		Jan 4
Nolan	Irene	Island Free Press		Jan 3
Reed	Joe	Self		Jan 4
Withrow	Steve	Non-Resident Property Owner		Jan 3
Withrow	Lois	Non-Resident Property Owner		Jan 3

**Attachment B**

**Cape Hatteras National Seashore Negotiated Rulemaking**  
**Meeting 1 - January 3-4, 2008**  
***Draft Summary of Action Items***

<b>Task</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
Provide the NEPA Alternative Options Workbook in Microsoft Word format.	NPS	Committee	Done
Extend deadline for public comment period on NEPA Alternative Options Workbook to February 15, 2008.	NPS		Done
Get committee appointment letters to Patrick Paquette, Sam Pearsall, Jeff Wells and Bob Eakes.	NPS	PP, SP, JW, BE	ASAP
Mail hard copies of the NEPA Alternative Options Workbook to individuals who request it.	NPS		As needed
Prepare and distribute chart of the committee, including stakeholder categories, representatives in each seat, and public contact info (email address). Include staff contact information.	CBI/NPS	Committee	January 21, 2008
Distribute Carol Mansfield's presentation slides from January 3.	NPS	Committee	January 21, 2008
Distribute final version of groundrules as adopted on January 4, 2008.	CBI	Committee	January 21, 2008
Describe methodology for the Vogelsong study peer review.	NPS	Committee	January 28, 2008
Prepare draft meeting summary and distribute.	CBI	Committee	January 28, 2008
Explore mechanisms for, and legal implications of, Outer Banks Visitor's Bureau or other stakeholders providing financial resources for visitor's survey or other aspects of the economic analysis.	NPS/CBI	Committee	January 31, 2008
Submit the March, May and June committee meeting dates for publication in the Federal Register.	NPS		January 31, 2008
Send list of spring meeting dates and locations.	CBI	Committee	January 31, 2008
Convene Socio-Economic Analysis Subcommittee.	CBI	Subcommittee	TBD
Convene Agenda Planning Subcommittee and develop agenda for February meeting.	CBI	Subcommittee	End of Jan Early Feb
Review draft meeting summary and submit comments.	Committee	CBI	February 18, 2008
Follow up on ethics questions from discussion of Charter Section E.	CBI/NPS	Committee	February meeting
Provide documentation when driving on Pea Island stopped and by what authority.	NPS/FWS	Committee	February meeting
Create one page summary of charge and membership of Agenda and Economic Analysis Subcommittees	CBI	Committee	February meeting
Determine whether to conduct a peer review of SDR study done by William Neal.	NPS		February meeting
Explore communicating with others outside of the committee on scheduling, agendas, etc.	NPS/CBI		February meeting

## **Attachment C**

### **Cape Hatteras National Seashore Negotiated Rulemaking Meeting 1 - January 3-4, 2008 *Materials Distributed***

1. Revised Agenda, dated December 28, 2007
2. Final Charter, 2007, Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Off-Road Vehicle Management at Cape Hatteras National Seashore
3. Draft Groundrules, dated January 2, 2008
4. Memorandum, dated December 26, 2007 to Committee Members from NPS re: Notice of First Meeting and Filing of Committee Charter
5. NEPA Planning Schedule (2008-2010)
6. Draft CAHA Negotiated Rulemaking Proposed Meeting Workplan January 2008, dated December 31, 2007
7. Basic Principles for Agency Engagement in Environmental Conflict Resolution and Collaborative Problem Solving (Attachment to a Memorandum on Environmental Conflict Resolution jointly issued by Office of Management and Budget and the Council on Environmental Quality on 11/28/05)
8. Cape Hatteras National Seashore – Negotiated Rulemaking Committee – Ethics Responsibilities of Members
9. Guidelines for Reimbursement of Travel Expenses for “Cape Hatteras National Seashore Off Road Vehicle Management Negotiated Rulemaking Committee”
10. Application for Travel Support
11. Cape Hatters National Seashore Alternatives Options Workbook, Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement, dated January 2008