



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area, TX Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS

Public Scoping Comment Analysis Report

October 2, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	I
INTRODUCTION AND GUIDE.....	1
<i>INTRODUCTION.....</i>	1
<i>THE COMMENT ANALYSIS PROCESS</i>	1
<i>DEFINITION OF TERMS.....</i>	2
<i>GUIDE TO THIS DOCUMENT</i>	2
CONTENT ANALYSIS REPORT	4
PUBLIC SCOPING COMMENT SUMMARY	6
<i>AE1000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: GEOLOGIC RESOURCES</i>	6
<i>AE1001 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: WASTE MANAGEMENT</i>	6
<i>AE1004 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: WATER RESOURCES.....</i>	6
<i>AE12000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: WILDLIFE AND WILDLIFE HABITAT</i>	7
<i>AE20000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: LAND USE.....</i>	7
<i>AE22000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: VISITOR USE</i>	8
<i>AL4000 - ALTERNATIVES: NEW ALTERNATIVES OR ELEMENTS</i>	9
<i>AL4001 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: EDUCATION AND OUTREACH</i>	11
<i>AL4002 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: WASTE MANAGEMENT</i>	12
<i>AL4003 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: LAW ENFORCEMENT.....</i>	13
<i>AL4004 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: PARK AMENITIES.....</i>	14
<i>AL5000 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: BAN OR LIMIT ORV USE IN ALL OR PART OF RECREATION AREA</i>	15
<i>AL5001 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: DEVELOP A PERMIT/FEE SYSTEM FOR ORV USE.....</i>	17
<i>AL5002 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: OPEN OTHER AREAS TO ORV USE AND/OR ROTATE USE AREAS</i>	18
<i>AL5003 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: LAND EXCHANGE</i>	19
<i>AL5008 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: DESIGNATE ORV ROUTES AND AREAS</i>	20
<i>MT1000 - MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS: GENERAL COMMENTS.....</i>	20
<i>PN2000 - PURPOSE AND NEED: PARK PURPOSE AND SIGNIFICANCE</i>	21
<i>SE4000 - SOCIOECONOMICS: IMPACT OF PROPOSAL AND ALTERNATIVES.....</i>	22
<i>VU4000 - VISITOR USE: IMPACT OF PROPOSAL AND ALTERNATIVES</i>	23
APPENDIX 1. CORRESPONDENCE INDEX OF ORGANIZATIONS.....	24
APPENDIX 2. CORRESPONDENCE INDEX OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS	25
APPENDIX 3. INDEX BY ORGANIZATION TYPE	26
APPENDIX 4. INDEX BY CODE	27

INTRODUCTION AND GUIDE

INTRODUCTION

In the spring of 2008, Lake Meredith National Recreation Area (LAMR) released the Public Scoping Brochure for the Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS for public review and comment. The brochure was available locally at the park and on the National Park Service planning website (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/lamr>). In addition, brochures were mailed to a list of park stakeholders. The public was invited to submit comments on the scope of the planning process and potential alternative elements through July 28, 2008.

During the scoping period, three public scoping open houses were held. The first was at the Sanford-Fritch Middle School Cafeteria in Fritch, Texas, on July 8, 2008. The second open house was held at the First State Bank Community Room in Dumas, Texas on July 9, 2008. The third open house was held at the Ambassador Hotel in Amarillo, Texas on July 10, 2008. Park staff were on hand to answer questions and provide additional information to open house participants. During the scoping period, 22 pieces of correspondence were entered into the Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) system, either from direct entry by the commenter, or uploading of hard copy letters, emails, and flip chart comments received at the meetings.

THE COMMENT ANALYSIS PROCESS

Comment analysis is a process used to compile and correlate similar public comments into a format that can be used by decision makers and the Interdisciplinary Team (IDT). Comment analysis assists the team in organizing, clarifying, and addressing technical information pursuant to *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) regulations. It also aids in identifying the topics and issues to be evaluated and considered throughout the planning process.

The process includes five main components:

- developing a coding structure
- employing a comment database for comment management
- reading and coding of public comments
- interpreting and analyzing the comments to identify issues and themes
- preparing a comment summary

A coding structure was developed to help sort comments into logical groups by topics and issues. The coding structure was derived from an analysis of the range of topics discussed during internal NPS scoping, past planning documents, and the comments themselves. The coding structure was designed to capture all comment content rather than to restrict or exclude any ideas.

The NPS PEPC database was used for management of the comments. The database stores the full text of all correspondence and allows each comment to be coded by topic and issue. Outputs from the database include the total number of correspondences and comments received, sorting and reporting of comments by a particular topic or issue, and demographic information for the sources of the comments.

Analysis of the public comments involved the assignment of the codes to statements made by the public in their letters, email messages, and written comment forms. All comments were read and analyzed, including those of a technical nature; opinions, feelings, and preferences of one element or one potential alternative over another; and comments of a personal or philosophical nature.

Although the analysis process attempts to capture the full range of public concerns, this content analysis report should be used with caution. Comments from people who chose to respond do not necessarily represent the sentiments of the entire public. Furthermore, this was not a vote-counting process, and the emphasis was on the content of the comment rather than the number of times a comment was received.

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Primary terms used in the document are defined below.

Correspondence: A correspondence is the entire document received from a commenter. It can be in the form of a letter, email, written comment form, note card, open house transcript, or petition.

Comment: A comment is a portion of the text within a correspondence that addresses a single subject. It could include such information as an expression of support or opposition to the use of a potential management tool, additional data regarding the existing condition, or an opinion debating the adequacy of an analysis.

Code: A grouping centered on a common subject. The codes were developed during the scoping process and were used to track major subjects.

Concern: Concerns are statements that summarize the issues identified by each code. Each code was further characterized by concern statements to provide a better focus on the content of comments. Some codes required multiple concern statements, while others did not. For example, "Affected Environment: Land Use" was broken down into three concern statements, while "Affected Environment: Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat" did not need further refinement and only has one concern statement. In cases where no comments were received on an issue, the issue was not identified or discussed in this report.

Quotes: Representative quotes that have been taken directly from the text of the public's comments and further clarify the concern statements. Quotes have not been edited for grammar.

All scoping comments were considered to be important as useful guidance and public input to the scoping process, but only substantive comments were analyzed in the Public Scoping Comment Summary Report. At this phase of the project, all comments are treated as being substantive.

GUIDE TO THIS DOCUMENT

This report is organized as follows:

Content Analysis Report- This is the basic report produced from PEPC that provides information on the numbers and types of comments received, organized by code. The first section of the report provides a summary of the number of comments that were coded under each topic. The second section provides general demographic information, such as the states where commenters live, the number of letters received from different categories of organizations, etc.

Public Scoping Comment Summary- This report summarizes the substantive comments received during the scoping process. These comments are organized by codes and further organized into concern statements. Below each concern statement are representative quotes, which have been taken directly from the text of the public's comments and further clarify the concern statements.

Correspondence Index of Organizations- This provides a listing of all groups that submitted comments, arranged and grouped by the following organization types as defined by PEPC (and in this order): businesses; churches and religious groups; civic groups; conservation/preservation groups;

federal government; NPS employees; non-governmental groups; recreational groups; state government; town or city government; tribal government; unaffiliated individuals; university/professional society. Each piece of correspondence was assigned a unique identification number upon entry into PEPC. This number can be used to assist the public in identifying the way NPS addressed their comments.

Correspondence Index of Individual Commenters- This provides a listing of all of the individuals who submitted comments during the public scoping period. Like the previous index, each correspondence was assigned a unique identification number which can be used to assist individuals in identifying the way in which NPS addressed their comments. This list is organized alphabetically.

Index By Organization Type- This list identifies all of the codes that were assigned to each individual piece of correspondence and is arranged by organization type. Individual commenters are also included in this report and are identified as Unaffiliated Individuals.

Index by Code- This lists which commenters or authors (identified by PEPC organization type) commented on which topics, as identified by the codes used in this analysis. The report is organized by code, and under each code is a list of the authors who submitted comments that fell under that code, and their correspondence numbers. Those correspondences identified as N/A represent unaffiliated individuals.

CONTENT ANALYSIS REPORT

Code	Description	Number of Comments	Percentage of Total Comments
AE1000	Affected Environment: Geologic Resources	2	1.11
AE1001	Affected Environment: Waste Management	5	2.78
AE1004	Affected Environment: Water Resources	2	1.11
AE12000	Affected Environment: Wildlife And Wildlife Habitat	1	0.56
AE20000	Affected Environment: Land Use	3	1.67
AE22000	Affected Environment: Visitor Use	11	6.11
AL4000	Alternatives: New Alternatives Or Elements	18	10.00
AL4001	Alternative Element: Education and Outreach	7	3.89
AL4002	Alternative Element: Waste Management	11	6.11
AL4003	Alternative Element: Law Enforcement	26	14.44
AL4004	Alternative Element: Park Amenities	15	8.33
AL5000	Preliminary Management Concept: Ban or Limit ORV Use In All or Part of Recreation Area	17	9.44
AL5001	Preliminary Management Concept: Develop a Permit/Fee System for ORV Use	14	7.78
AL5002	Preliminary Management Concept: Open Other Areas to ORV Use and/or Rotate Use Areas	17	9.44
AL5003	Preliminary Management Concept: Land Exchange	9	5.00
AL5008	Preliminary Management Concept: Designate ORV Routes and Areas	6	3.33
MT1000	Miscellaneous Topics: General Comments	9	5.00
PN2000	Purpose And Need: Park Purpose And Significance	3	1.67
SE4000	Socioeconomics: Impact Of Proposal And Alternatives	3	1.67
VU4000	Visitor Use: Impact Of Proposal And Alternatives	1	0.56
TOTAL		180	100%

Correspondence Distribution by Correspondence Type

Type	Number of Correspondences
Web Form	16
Public Meeting Flipchart Comments	5
Park Form	0
Letter	0
E-mail	1
Total	22

Correspondence Signature Count by Organization Type

Organization Type	Number of Correspondences
Unaffiliated Individual	22
Total	22

Correspondence Distribution by State

State	Percentage	Number of Correspondences
OK	13.64%	3
CA	18.18%	4
CO	9.09%	2
MT	4.55%	1
TX	50.00%	11
Unknown	4.55%	1
Total		22

Correspondence Distribution by Country

Country	Percentage	Number of Correspondences
Unspecified	4.5%	1
United States of America	95.5%	21
Total		22

PUBLIC SCOPING COMMENT SUMMARY

AE1000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: GEOLOGIC RESOURCES

Concern ID: 18143
CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter indicated that the removal of salt cedars impacted soils more than ORV use.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86230 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Removal of salt cedar impacted soils and erosion more than vehicles. Huge holes left were a safety risk.

Concern ID: 18150
CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter indicated that ORV use in the river bottom led to an increase in siltation.
Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 12 **Organization:** Citizen
Comment ID: 86162 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Also the constant churning of the sand can also lead to an over abundance of silt where the river meets the lake and deposits it's burden.

AE1001 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: WASTE MANAGEMENT

Concern ID: 18151
CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated that inadequate trash removal and littering are existing issues at Lake Meredith.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 12 **Organization:** Citizen
Comment ID: 86160 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Other reasons for opposition to use motorized vehicles in the park are, these persons using ORV's has led to a major problem of litter wherever they gather.

Corr. ID: 13 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86165 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Trash is a problem and needs to be addressed.

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86205 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Not enough trash cans/dumpsters

AE1004 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: WATER RESOURCES

Concern ID: 18152

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters indicated concern regarding the impact of ORVs on riparian habitat and the potential for ORV use to damage the river channel and restrict normal water flow into Lake Meredith.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 12 **Organization:** Citizen
Comment ID: 86161 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: I believe the use of motorized vehicles in the river bottom has had an adverse effect on the amount of water getting into the lake it's self. Keeping the river bottom constantly stirred up by these vehicles playing in the sand does not allow the river to keep and maintain a flow channel. The water runoff is being spread out over a wider area than if it is allowed to maintain a channel.

Corr. ID: 17 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86183 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: A bill was signed in January 2004 banning the use of ORVs in Texas rivers. Why the Canadian in this area was exempted is beyond me. There are relatively few scenic places in our part of the state, and to have these beautiful riparian habitats subjected to this kind of abuse is a shame. To allow this in a federally managed area is a disgrace.

AE12000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: WILDLIFE AND WILDLIFE HABITAT

Concern ID: 18153

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter expressed concern regarding the impacts of ORVs on aquatic wildlife in Blue Creek.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 24 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86247 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: I also want to say that I am very worried about the off-road use of the park, especially where there is that precious resource ... live water. Blue Creek is one of the largest springs and you can imagine what happens to the frogs, fish, and other aquatic creatures after an off-road vehicle hits them. They are squished and thrown.

AE20000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: LAND USE

Concern ID: 18154

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated that ORV use could conflict with other recreational uses at Lake Meredith and felt that ORVs do not belong in the river bottom.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 12 **Organization:** Citizen
Comment ID: 86163 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: A healthy river bottom is not intended to be used by motorized vehicles. Other river authorities in the state don't allow this kind of action to take place in their rivers. Most all rivers are more pleasing to everyone, in every way, when they are used in a more natural way, fishing, boating, hiking, etc.

Corr. ID: 17 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86181 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: The public lands of the Lake Meredith National Recreation Area are for the use of everyone, not just ORV enthusiasts. The problem is, an area open to ORV use is not much good for anything else.

Concern ID: 18155

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter stated that it was unfortunate that such a large amount of public land was unusable to the majority of the public.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 14 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86173 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: In short I believe that it is unthinkable to have this huge amount of public land that is unusable by the vast majority of the public.

AE22000 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: VISITOR USE

Concern ID: 18156

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter indicated that the risk of hitting someone with an ORV is low due to low trail use and adequate sightlines along the river.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 22 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85774 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Risk of hitting someone is low because nature of area (low use on trails, line of sight on river)

Concern ID: 18157

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter suggested that the public stop destroying park amenities.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85719 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Public needs to stop destroying park amenities.

Concern ID: 18158

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated that ORV use areas were dangerous and not a desirable family environment.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 23 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85810 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Dangerous, especially on weekends/holidays
Corr. ID: 23 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85807 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Rosita area not desirable family environment
- Excess alcohol, No respect for boundaries (tent camp, trails), Excessive ATV speeds

Concern ID: 18159

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters indicated that ORVs allow more access to public lands and that ORV use is important to the public and should be preserved at Lake Meredith, including night driving.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 4 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86149 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: There are very few public OHV areas in the state. It is imperative that continue to provide public recreation areas to our citizens.

Corr. ID: 10 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86156 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Much of the ORV area at Lake Meredith is on land that wouldn't be used by people if they were not on ORV's

Corr. ID: 11 **Organization:** Oklahoma Cross Country Racing Association
Comment ID: 86158 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Please take into account the proper use of PUBLIC lands by the PUBLIC. The OHV crowd is a very good steward of the land. Most all want to see the proper use of PUBLIC lands, and as such work hard to take care of them. My family belongs to an ATV Racing organization, and spend time as a group upkeeping and stewarding the riding lands we have access to. Contrary to what a FEW would tell you, we take care of the lands we ride on. We are nature lovers. We are lovers of the wild. Please don't take our land away.

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85771 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: In favor of night riding

Concern ID: 18160
CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated that there were safety, noise, and visual impacts from ORV use at Lake Meredith.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 12 **Organization:** Citizen
Comment ID: 86159 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: I am very much opposed to the use of the park by ORV's for several reasons, first and most important the Park was never intended for use by persons using land motorized vehicles to run rampant where ever they choose. This in its self destroys the natural beauty that it was designed to preserve.

Corr. ID: 17 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86182 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: I have lived in this part of Texas all my life. I have walked along the Canadian River in the Rosita Flats area as well as Blue Creek. At times it is actually unsafe to be on foot there. The noise of motor vehicles makes it impossible to hear birdsong. The river bed is a mass of tire tracks.

AL4000 - ALTERNATIVES: NEW ALTERNATIVES OR ELEMENTS

Concern ID: 18161
CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested the idea of mandatory vehicle inspections.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86207 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Mandatory vehicle inspections for safety, leaks, etc. with inspection sticker before you get your state off road vehicle stickers

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86196 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Vehicle inspection before entry

Concern ID: 18162

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested controlling access creating areas for certain uses such as motorcycles, ATVs, large trucks, and areas for beginners and/or kids.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 18	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86206	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Separate motorcycles, ATVs and big trucks	
Corr. ID: 18	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86191	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Designated area for beginners/kids	
Corr. ID: 22	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 85770	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: "Beginner" trails would be nice (ATV use)	
Corr. ID: 23	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 85809	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Controlled access	

Concern ID: 18163

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested a variety of alternative elements they felt should be included in the ORV Management Plan, such as opportunities for rock crawling, using Hollister Hills State Park (CA) as an example, and allowing children under 16 to operate ORVs.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 1	Organization: CMTRA
Comment ID: 86100	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Motorized usage should be allowed where it's traditionally been allowed. Just find a way to mitigate any environmental issues.	
Corr. ID: 18	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86190	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Use Hollister Hills SP (California) as model	
Corr. ID: 18	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86194	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Need opportunities for rock-crawling and events	
Corr. ID: 22	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 85775	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Kids under 16 should be able to drive	

Concern ID: 18164

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters provided suggestions to improve existing trails by providing a rating system, improving traffic flow, making narrow trails one way, providing a scenic ride from Mullinaw to Rosita, and developing a comprehensive management plan that includes a loop system and provides opportunities for all vehicle types.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 7	Organization: California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs
Comment ID: 86152	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: My comment is to develop a comprehensive management plan that includes trails for all types of vehicles with loop trail opportunities.

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86186 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Trail obstacle rating system

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86185 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Improve traffic flow on trails for safety

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86189 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Make narrow trails one way

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86245 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: The "Big Tree" - an ancient cotton-wood tree at Blue Creek is dying due to vandalism and should be fenced off. Keep the trail open that goes to the tree!

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85785 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Possibly pay a fee to go through Mullinaw gate and take scenic ride from Mullinaw to Rosita not a climbing tearing up land type ride

AL4001 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

Concern ID: 18165

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested alternative elements related to using volunteers for purposes such as maintaining the recreation area and developing the trail system.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 7 **Organization:** California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs
Comment ID: 86153 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Work with local volunteers to further develop the trail system. This will protect the resources in the area to the fullest extent.

Corr. ID: 19 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86216 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Volunteer group to help patrol area

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86239 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Establish a volunteer corps to help maintain. Have volunteer days, get hours for community service if needed. Let the users who care about the place take care of it. An official volunteer program would help this.

Concern ID: 18166

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters requested that the National Park Service provide the public with more

information regarding management actions, with some suggesting increased signage, environmental education programs, and improved maps.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86229 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Include more communication with public about management actions

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86237 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Include education programs with park staff for young kids (Like Blue Ribbon coalition) could talk about staying on trails, what happens to the environment when people go off trails, pick-up trash, etc. Teach how recreation and environment can co-exist.

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85805 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Marked trails

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85784 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Better maps - as an example it's hard to tell you're at Chicken Creek by looking at park map

AL4002 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: WASTE MANAGEMENT

Concern ID: 18167
CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested a range of alternative elements for the ORV Management Plan to address waste management issues, such as providing more dumpsters/trash receptacles, promoting a "pack it in, pack it out" policy, banning glass containers, doubling littering fines, and focusing on the Rosita area.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86192 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Ban glass containers

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86212 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Implement program like in Oklahoma where you bring out a bag of trash get a free pass for the next

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85781 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Need dumpsters and trash cans

Corr. ID: 23 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85826 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Littering - promote "Pack it in, pack it out"

AL4003 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: LAW ENFORCEMENT

Concern ID: 18168

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters recommended an increased level of law enforcement presence (both day and night) to ensure that the existing regulations are enforced, especially those regulations on littering, drunk driving and trespassing.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 13 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86168 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Usage should be continued and enforcement of littering laws should be prioritized.

Corr. ID: 15 **Organization:** Wildlands CPR
Comment ID: 86179 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: ORV use that has been allowed in the NRA has already shown to cause problems outside of the designated area and close monitoring, with stiff penalties should be ensured.

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85720 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: More DUI enforcement

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86233 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Increase law enforcement presence year round, including littering.

Concern ID: 18170

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter suggested that the NPS develop an ORV monitoring and enforcement plan and make it available for public comment as part of the NEPA process.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 15 **Organization:** Wildlands CPR
Comment ID: 86178 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: If areas are designated within the NRA for off road vehicles, a monitoring and enforcement plan should be developed and submitted for public comment as part of the NEPA process.

Concern ID: 18171

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested specific alternative elements related to law enforcement they felt should be in the ORV Management Plan, such as better vehicles for rangers so that they can access all areas, entrance gates, visible patrols, cameras, and speed limit enforcement.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86198 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Would like to see more controlled access visible patrols, gates (entrance) fee area

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86195 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: Rangers need better/ bigger vehicles so they can access all areas

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85767 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Cameras to assist law enforcement?

Corr. ID: 23 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85825 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Enforce speed limit in camping or play area (like Blue Creek used to be from Bridge West to end of camping area)

Concern ID: 18172

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter indicated that speed limits are too restrictive and takes the recreational aspect out of driving.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85773 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Do not think there should be speed limits because takes recreational aspect out of driving

Concern ID: 18173

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated the need for consistent enforcement of the regulations (especially the helmet law) and the suggested that the helmet law be re-written.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86209 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Require seatbelts for all off-road vehicles

Corr. ID: 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86208 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: No helmets for sand buggies, not required for 4-wheel ORVs should not be required for others. 4-wheelers and dirt bikes should have helmets

Corr. ID: 23 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85816 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Consistent interpretation of the rules (everyone on the same page)

AL4004 - ALTERNATIVE ELEMENT: PARK AMENITIES

Concern ID: 18174

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested amenities they would like to see included in the ORV Management Plan, such as improvements at the camping areas, an RV facility at Rosita, hookups and showers at campgrounds, more road maintenance, and adjusting the number and location of picnic tables.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86210 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Add the fire pits at Rosita and Blue Creek

Corr. ID: 19 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86215 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: RV facility at Rosita, more established campsites (fee ok)

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85780 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Take picnic tables from Blue West Boat Ramp and move them to Blue Creek (add a cover for shade) by the bridge

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85797 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Less picnic tables & more hunting areas (because of hunting 20 yard restriction)

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85790 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Make better camping areas. Campsites, water

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85768 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: More road maintenance for RV use

Concern ID: 18175

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter suggested building barricades around the bathrooms and installing cameras to prevent vandalism to amenities.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 23 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85817 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Build barricades around bathrooms to help prevent destruction and maybe a security camera to snap picture!

Concern ID: 18176

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter recommended that Lake Meredith provide advertising and stores near the entrance so that the recreation area is more like a state park.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86201 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Needs to be more like a state park - advertising, stores near entrance

AL5000 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: BAN OR LIMIT ORV USE IN ALL OR PART OF RECREATION AREA

Concern ID: 18177

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters recommended that the NPS evaluate closing all or part of the recreation area to ORV use, with some expressing specific concerns about park resources.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 15 **Organization:** Wildlands CPR
Comment ID: 86174 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: We appreciate this opportunity to provide comments during the early phases of development of this proposal and urge Lake Meredith National Recreation Area to take the route of highest ecological integrity and protection for the NRA and prohibit the use of off-road vehicles within the NRA.

Corr. ID: 17 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86184 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: Vehicles belong on the roads, not off of them. There are plenty of ways to enjoy the outdoors without desecrating it.

Corr. ID: 24 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86248 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual

Representative Quote: I wish something could be done to stop all off-road use around live running water.

Concern ID: 18178

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters expressed their support for keeping the existing ORV areas open to the public, specifically stating opposition to seasonal closures.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 2 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86144 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Please keep the OHV area of Lake Meredith open for all of the public to enjoy.

Corr. ID: 6 **Organization:** BRC
Comment ID: 86148 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: I urge you to use caution and great discretion when viewing any plan which would attempt to close these areas to full public usage.

Corr. ID: 13 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86164 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: The Lake Meredith NRA OHV recreation areas are important to the many users of the area. I agree that management is necessary. I disagree that the areas need to be off limits.

Corr. ID: 19 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86222 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: No seasonal closures

Concern ID: 18179

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters expressed concern about limiting the amount of trail miles and indicated support for continued night access.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 7 **Organization:** California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs
Comment ID: 86150 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Any decrease of trail miles will not only put more strain on the remaining resources within the Meredith NRA but also surrounding areas.

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85787 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Worried there will be gates at night, want to keep night

access (no gates at all)

AL5001 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: DEVELOP A PERMIT/FEE SYSTEM FOR ORV USE

Concern ID: 18180

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters expressed support for a fee system, provided that the NPS uses the money collected to improve park amenities and law enforcement.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 19	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86221	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: In favor of a fee area at Rosita and Blue Creek providing something was obtained for the money. Examples - marked established roads, restrooms, trash receptacles, an overall organized camping area.	
Corr. ID: 19	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86227	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: I would like to see Rosita be a fee area - fenced off with nice picnic area, restrooms, camping that families can enjoy.	
Corr. ID: 20	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86244	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: In favor of Rosita and Blue Creek being a fee area where user services are available as well as control to keep law breakers out. Establish user rules and regulations that allow law abiding folks the ability to continue using these areas	
Corr. ID: 22	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 85803	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: If we have an off-road fee - 100% of the money needs to stay here	

Concern ID: 18181

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters indicated that permits should not apply to crossings or licensed motor vehicles and that restrictions or special permit systems may force them to vacation elsewhere.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 5	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86147	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Adding restrictions, limiting usage areas, or requiring special permits would force me to look elsewhere for vacation areas	
Corr. ID: 22	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 85786	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: If there is a permit system, it should not apply to crossings or licensed motor vehicles	

AL5002 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: OPEN OTHER AREAS TO ORV USE AND/OR ROTATE USE AREAS

Concern ID: 18182

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated that the existing ORV use boundary (3000' elevation contour) is too restrictive and should be extended to a higher elevation.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 18	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86197	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Expand ORV use area to 3500 level	
Corr. ID: 20	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86240	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: The 3000' rule is not reasonable! The GPS level at the Dumas Bridge is about 2970. This would mean climbing! This rule needs to go away! What about the needs, wants and desires of the people	
Corr. ID: 20	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86243	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: 3000' rule way too low!	
Corr. ID: 23	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 85814	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Keep authorized use of trails above 3,000 feet contour elevation	

Concern ID: 18183

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested opening up specific areas to ORV use, such as Blue Creek to the lake, Chimney Hollow, Canadian River to Blue Creek, Plum Creek to Blue Creek, Chicken Creek to Plum Creek, "Big Tree" to Chimney Hollow, Bull Taco, Mullinaw / McBride to Rosita, and Blue West.

Representative Quote(s):

Corr. ID: 14	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86171	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: It would be a wonderful place to trail ride with the ability to ride from the Canadian River Bridge all the way to Blue Creek and back. This would have a huge attraction to campers and families. Even being able to ride from Plum Creek to Blue Creek would have a large impact even if to a lesser extent.	
Corr. ID: 14	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86170	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Chimney Hollow is a beautiful meadow of grass and well maintained camp sites. However I have yet to see another soul there. It would be used if you were able to ride an atv there.	
Corr. ID: 14	Organization: <i>Not Specified</i>
Comment ID: 86169	Organization Type: Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: It would bring me so much joy to be able to ride my atv over vast amounts of area to look at the diverse ecosystem that Lake Meredith has to offer. I also have a small son. It would thrill him to be able to ride from the Blue Creek area to the sandy beach on the north shore of the lake. I have walked that area due to it being off limits to motorized vehicles and there are already trails there so impact on un trodden terrain would be near zero.	

Corr. ID: 19 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86220 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Open blue creek from "Big Tree" to Chimney Hollow

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86238 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Would like access to high trail and be able to ride up to Bull
taco.

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85782 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Would like to see river opened more from Mullinaw / McBride
to Rosita - More access and easier access. Scenic riding down the river not climbing

Concern ID: 18184

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter suggested opening up other areas in the Blue Creek area and making
them available to hikers, bikers, and ATVs.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 23 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85812 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Open other designated routes in Blue Creek area to different
users: hikers, bikers, ATV, and different experience levels. Minimize conflict, mark
clearly

AL5003 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: LAND EXCHANGE

Concern ID: 18185

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter stated opposition to the land exchange between the NPS and the state.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86246 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Land Swap Sux!

Concern ID: 18186

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters expressed support for a land exchange between the NPS and the state.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 19 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86226 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Give state lands to NPS to manage as ORV area; enlarge Rosita
Flats west

Corr. ID: 19 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86218 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Make land swap with Texas Park and Wildlife to expand large
recreational area for area

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*

Comment ID: 86232 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Would like to see Rosita Flats donated or exchanged to state parks. Would become part of a larger park system working with private landowners.

AL5008 - PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT: DESIGNATE ORV ROUTES AND AREAS

Concern ID: 18187

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested alternative elements to designate ORV routes and areas, including restricting ORVs to existing roads, designating trails for ORVs (versus ATVs and motorcycles), better defining ORV use areas, closing blind intersections, using the BLMs concept in Penrose, Colorado as an example, and requiring ORVs to ride on the right side of streams.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 15 **Organization:** Wildlands CPR
Comment ID: 86176 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Motorized play areas are inappropriate in a National Park unit, and use of motorized vehicles should be restricted to roads in order to best preserve the resources of the park and to reduce the impacts of vehicle use on non-motorized uses of the NRA.

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85764 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Ride on right side of stream just like you do on a highway

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85779 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Leave areas as they are, but do a better job defining them

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85800 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Keep the main trails open, but close blind intersections

Corr. ID: 23 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85811 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Manage user conflicts
- BLM concept in Penrose, CO (Independence, BLM)
- Designated trails in certain areas

Corr. ID: 23 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85813 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Designate trails for ORVs versus ATVs and motorcycles

MT1000 - MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS: GENERAL COMMENTS

Concern ID: 18188

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters suggested alternative elements outside the scope of the ORV Management Plan such as seasonal closures for hunting, firearm safety, bobcat hunting season, and opposition to pumping water out of Lake Meredith.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 18 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86199 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Safety is an issue (firearms) outside of designated areas

Corr. ID: 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86231 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Plan should incorporate seasonal closure for hunting. ORV groups are willing to work with the hunters on this issue.

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85798 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Would like to see a bobcat season for hunting at the lake (do at same time as coyote & raccoons & rabbits)

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85789 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Leave everyone alone. Too much government control

Corr. ID: 22 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 85795 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Open more shoreline for hunting

PN2000 - PURPOSE AND NEED: PARK PURPOSE AND SIGNIFICANCE

Concern ID: 18189

CONCERN STATEMENT: [One commenter recommended that the NPS review the Organic Act and NPS Management Policies and consider the impacts of allowing ORVs within the recreation area.](#)

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 15 **Organization:** Wildlands CPR
Comment ID: 86175 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: The Organic Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1 et seq. created the National Park Service and directed it to "promote and regulate the use of the [National Park System] by such means and measures as conform to the fundamental purpose of the [National Park System], which purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." 16 U.S.C. § 1. The Organic Act further empowers the Secretary of the Interior to issue regulations to carry out the purposes articulated in 16 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 1a-1. 16 U.S.C. § 3.
The fundamental purpose of the National Park System "the conservation of our national heritage for the enjoyment of future generations" was reaffirmed by Congress in 1978: Congress further reaffirms, declares, and directs that the promotion and regulation of the various areas of the National Park System . . . shall be consistent with and founded in the purpose established by [16 U.S.C. § 1] to the common benefit of all the people of the United States. The authorization of activities shall be construed and the protection, management, and administration of these areas shall be conducted in light of the high public value and integrity of the National Park System and shall not be exercised in derogation of the values and purposes for which these various areas have been established. 16 U.S.C. § 1a-1. In the NPS' own words, "when there is a conflict between conserving resources and values and providing for enjoyment of them, conservation is to be predominant." NPS Management Policies § 1.4.3 (2006). In the words of the NPS,

Management Policies § 1.2 (2006) (emphasis added):

They [National Parks] are the physical remnants of our past “great scenic and natural places that continue to evolve, repositories of outstanding recreation opportunities, classrooms of our heritage, and the legacy we leave to future generations” and they warrant the highest standard of protection. Finally, the NPS Management Policies also reference the preservation of natural soundscapes in Section 4.9 Soundscape Management. This section requires that the National Park Service “preserve, to the greatest extent possible, the natural soundscapes of parks.” We urge Lake Meredith to take this guidance to heart and seriously consider the impacts of allowing off road vehicles within the NRA.

Concern ID: 18190

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter expressed concern that the recreation area was being treated more like a National Park and that it should not have the same rules as a National Park.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 22 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 85796 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: This is a national recreational area, not a park. It should not have the same rules as national parks. Already trying to make the recreational area like a park

SE4000 - SOCIOECONOMICS: IMPACT OF PROPOSAL AND ALTERNATIVES

Concern ID: 18191

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter suggested that local ORV businesses and motels would suffer financially if ORV use was restricted.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 19 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86224 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Concerned that very little public land is available for ORV businesses would suffer, motels too because of multiple states coming to Amarillo.

Concern ID: 18192

CONCERN STATEMENT: Commenters stated that an increase in ORV opportunities at the recreation area would have a positive economic impact on the surrounding communities.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 7 **Organization:** California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs
Comment ID: 86151 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: An increase in OHV opportunities will not only make management easier but it will have a positive economic impact on the surrounding areas.

Corr. ID: 14 **Organization:** Not Specified
Comment ID: 86172 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: With the destruction of the lake by the surrounding town's water usage must be destroying the lakes income due to lost stickers for boats and the plummet in the number of visitors. As well as lost tourist income to the towns nearby. A large area of use for atvs could bring a part of that revenue back if not more so. However with the limited use of land it will only bring local people to the area. Lake Meredith could easily become a tourist attraction. Atvs have become a nationwide phenomenon. Large areas across the nation are bringing in large incomes on atvs alone. Why wouldn't Lake Meredith want to take advantage of that?

VU4000 - VISITOR USE: IMPACT OF PROPOSAL AND ALTERNATIVES

Concern ID: 18193

CONCERN STATEMENT: One commenter expressed concern that an ORV management plan would reduce hunting opportunities in the recreation area.

Representative Quote(s): **Corr. ID:** 20 **Organization:** *Not Specified*
Comment ID: 86241 **Organization Type:** Unaffiliated Individual
Representative Quote: Hunters don't want to see an ORV plan take away their ability to hunt in the adjacent areas.

APPENDIX 1. CORRESPONDENCE INDEX OF ORGANIZATIONS

Correspondence ID	Author	Organization	Form Letter
6	Chris W. Butler	BRC	No
1	Mel E. Downs	CMTRA	No
7	Steven G. Gardiner	California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs	No
11	Unknown	Oklahoma Cross Country Racing Association	No
15	Sarah Peters	Wildlands CPR	No

APPENDIX 2. CORRESPONDENCE INDEX OF INDIVIDUAL COMMENTERS

Correspondence ID	Author	Form Letter
2	Stanley J. Harper	No
3	Matt	No
4	Pryce Jones	No
5	Richard J. Humphries	No
8	Unknown	No
9	Andy Cardenas	No
10	John W. Coleman	No
12	J.D. Thompson	No
13	Ray E. Latta	No
14	Jared C. Macumber	No
16	Terry Massey	No
18, 19, 20	Public flipchart comments (Amarillo)	No
22	Public flipchart comments (Fritch)	No
23	Public flipchart comments (Dumas)	No
24	Deborah Summers	No

APPENDIX 3. INDEX BY ORGANIZATION TYPE

Org. Type	Organization Name	Corr. ID	Code(s)
	BRC	6	AL5000
	CMTRA	1	AL4000
	California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs	7	AL4000, AL4001, AL5000, SE4000
	Oklahoma Cross Country Racing Association	11	AE22000
	Wildlands CPR	15	AL4003, AL5000, AL5008, PN2000

APPENDIX 4. INDEX BY CODE

AE1000 - Affected Environment: Geologic Resources

Citizen - 12

N/A - 20

AE1001 - Affected Environment: Waste Management

Citizen - 12

N/A - 13 , 18 , 20

AE1004 - Affected Environment: Water Resources

Citizen - 12

N/A - 17

AE12000 - Affected Environment: Wildlife And Wildlife Habitat

N/A - 24

AE20000 - Affected Environment: Land Use

Citizen - 12

N/A - 14 , 17

AE22000 - Affected Environment: Visitor Use

Citizen - 12

Oklahoma Cross Country Racing Association - 11

N/A - 4 , 10 , 17 , 18 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL4000 - Alternatives: New Alternatives Or Elements

CMTRA - 1

California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs - 7

N/A - 18 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL4001 - Alternative Element: Education and Outreach

California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs - 7

N/A - 19 , 20 , 22

AL4002 - Alternative Element: Waste Management

N/A - 13 , 18 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL4003 - Alternative Element: Law Enforcement

Wildlands CPR - 15

N/A - 13 , 18 , 19 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL4004 - Alternative Element: Park Amenities

N/A - 18 , 19 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL5000 - Preliminary Management Concept: Ban or Limit ORV Use In All or Part of Recreation Area

BRC - 6

California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs - 7

Wildlands CPR - 15

N/A - 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 13 , 17 , 19 , 22 , 24

AL5001 - Preliminary Management Concept: Develop a Permit/Fee System for ORV Use

N/A - 5 , 18 , 19 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL5002 - Preliminary Management Concept: Open Other Areas to ORV Use and/or Rotate Use Areas

N/A - 14 , 18 , 19 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL5003 - Preliminary Management Concept: Land Exchange

N/A - 19 , 20 , 22 , 23

AL5008 - Preliminary Management Concept: Designate ORV Routes and Areas

Wildlands CPR - 15

N/A - 22 , 23

MT1000 - Miscellaneous Topics: General Comments

N/A - 18 , 19 , 20 , 22

PN2000 - Purpose And Need: Park Purpose And Significance

Citizen - 12

Wildlands CPR - 15

N/A - 22

SE4000 - Socioeconomics: Impact Of Proposal And Alternatives

California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs - 7

N/A - 14 , 19

VU4000 - Visitor Use: Impact Of Proposal And Alternatives

N/A - 20