



Waco Mammoth Site



Special Resource Study/Environmental Assessment

This report has been prepared to provide Congress and the public with information about the resources in the study area and how they relate to criteria for inclusion within the national park system. Publication and transmittal of this report should not be considered an endorsement or a commitment by the National Park Service to seek or support either specific legislative authorization for the project or appropriation for its implementation. Authorization and funding for any new commitments by the National Park Service will have to be considered in light of competing priorities for existing units of the national park system and other programs.

Cover illustration by Joe Taylor.

Summary

PURPOSE AND NEED

This special resource study is investigating, for possible designation as a new unit of the national park system, the site within the city limits of Waco, Texas, where the remains of a Pleistocene Columbian Mammoth herd were discovered.

Special resource studies are initiated at the direction of Congress. On December 16, 2002, Public Law 107-341 was enacted, directing the secretary of the interior, in consultation with the state of Texas, the city of Waco, and other appropriate organizations, to conduct a special resource study. The study would determine the national significance, suitability, and feasibility of designating the Waco Mammoth Site as a unit of the national park system, and the need for direct management by the National Park Service.

RESOURCE DESCRIPTION

The Waco Mammoth Site is located 4.5 miles north of Waco's city center. The study area includes over 109 combined acres under the ownership of the city of Waco and Baylor University.



Both entities have formed a partnership for the purpose of providing preservation and interpretation of the site's paleontological resources. A number of collected specimens

are currently housed in Baylor University's Mayborn Museum Complex, while *in situ* specimens remain at the discovery site owned by the city of Waco.

Currently, visitor access to the Waco Mammoth Site is restricted and would continue to be so until the current actions already underway by the Waco community to erect an excavation shelter and provide for visitor access are completed. This would be the first time that public access would be accommodated at the site and mark a very special milestone for members of the Waco community who have been actively involved in preservation efforts there for almost 30 years.

SPECIAL RESOURCE STUDY PROCESS

To receive a favorable recommendation from the National Park Service, a proposed addition to the national park system must meet four criteria:

- (1) Possess nationally significant resources
- (2) Be a suitable addition to the system
- (3) Be a feasible addition to the system
- (4) Require direct management by the National Park Service instead of protection by another public agency or the private sector

National Significance

The paleontological resources of the Waco Mammoth Site meet the National Park Service's established criteria for national significance. The combination of both *in situ* articulated skeletal remains and the excavated specimens from the site represents the nation's first and only recorded discovery of a nursery herd of Pleistocene mammoths. The resource possesses exceptional interpretive value and provides superlative opportunities for visitor enjoyment and scientific study. The

resource retains a high degree of integrity as many of the remains represent fully articulated specimens of varying age groups. Their location and position have been recorded; the stratigraphy of the site has been studied in detail; and collected specimens have been placed under the curatorial care of a single institution.

Suitability

The resources of the Waco Mammoth Site meet the National Park Service's established suitability criteria for consideration as a new unit of the national park system. Including this site would expand and enhance the diversity of paleontological resources already represented by other parks in the system.

Feasibility

The Waco Mammoth Site is considered a feasible candidate for consideration as a new unit of the national park system. There are opportunities for efficient administration by the National Park Service at a reasonable cost, especially if existing partnership support could be maintained and enhanced.

Need for Direct Management by the National Park Service

The fourth and final criterion in the special resource study process is the determination of the need for direct management by the National Park Service. With the resources of the Waco Mammoth Site having met the criteria for national significance, suitability, and feasibility, it was deemed appropriate to investigate the potential for inclusion of the site in the national park system and for the National Park Service to take on key roles in a partnership arrangement. Comments received during the initial public scoping phase of the study project supported expanding the existing partnership between Baylor University and the city of Waco to include the National Park Service. It was found that direct NPS management is not the only practicable means for meeting the goals of protecting resources and furthering public use; however, to meet these goals to the fullest extent, there are

significant roles that the National Park Service could have in site operation and management.

MANAGEMENT OPTIONS

The methodology adopted to assist in the evaluation of the need for direct management by the National Park Service included developing a range of management options or alternatives, analyzing the environmental consequences of each, and providing a comparison of the attributes of each alternative.

Alternative A – Continuation of Current Management Trend

Alternative A is the no-action alternative, which represents the continuation of current management trends at the Waco Mammoth Site and serves as a base-line measurement for comparing three proposed alternative management strategies. The existing cooperative management arrangement between the city of Waco and Baylor University would continue. The local community would continue to play a key partnership role in supporting current preservation and public access initiatives. Additional staffing, new programs, activities, or site development beyond the efforts currently underway by the Waco community are not considered in this alternative.

Alternative B – Partnerships Led by the City of Waco

The existing cooperative management arrangement between the city of Waco and Baylor University would be expanded with additional partners, with the city taking a lead role. National natural landmark status would be actively pursued, allowing the city to seek technical assistance from the National Park Service for site resource preservation, interpretation, and educational research. Additional partnerships, such as local community initiatives, land trusts, foundations, federal, state, and local governments, and nongovernmental organizations, would also

be sought to assist with developing and managing the site. This alternative would protect, provide opportunities for research, and interpret core paleontological resources. It also would give the city freedom to pursue possible broader ideas such as providing environmental education and recreational opportunities. An option under this alternative could include pursuing designation as a “National Park Service affiliated area” to further strengthen National Park Service involvement.

Alternative C – Partnerships Led by the National Park Service

Waco Mammoth Site would be a new unit of the national park system, in partnership with the city of Waco, Baylor University, and others. The National Park Service would take lead responsibility for ensuring the protection, scientific study, and visitor enjoyment of paleontological resources, enlisting the help of partners for this mission. Partners would also take the lead for initiating additional recreational and educational opportunities within the lands surrounding the core paleontological resource.

Alternative D – Managed as a Focused Unit of the National Park System

Waco Mammoth Site would be a new unit of the national park system. Ownership of all paleontological resources (*in situ* fossils and the collection of fossils currently housed at Baylor University) and their associated documentation would be transferred to the federal government and management would be by the National Park Service. The National Park Service would focus on a core mission of protection, scientific study, and interpretation of paleontological resources. The National Park Service would not likely expand beyond this core focus to initiate other projects such as environmental education or other recreational opportunities. Partners would still play a role in educational outreach, interpretive programs, and site security to assist the National Park Service with achieving its core mission.

The matrix on the following page compares and contrasts the major components of each alternative.

Environmental Assessment

In order to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act, an environmental assessment accompanies this special resource study. The analysis of potential environmental consequences to the resources resulting from implementation of the alternatives found that there is no potential for significant environmental effects. For all action alternatives, it is anticipated that there would be moderate, long-term, beneficial impacts to the fundamental resources of the Waco Mammoth Site, the visitor experience, and the socioeconomic environment. Minor, long-term, adverse impacts are anticipated to the other resources of the site (soils and prime farmland; floodplains and wetlands; vegetation, wildlife, and wildlife habitat) to accommodate future development to enhance the visitor experience and to provide for management support at the site. The effect on special status species cannot be determined for any of the action alternatives until more definitive implementation plans are developed for the site. There would be moderate, long-term, beneficial to moderate, long-term, adverse impacts to the city of Waco, Baylor University, or the National Park Service, depending on the management alternative.

The environmental assessment contributed to the finding that direct management by the National Park Service is not the only practicable means for meeting the goals of protecting resources and furthering public use. However, to meet these goals to the fullest extent, there are significant roles that the National Park Service could have in guiding the preservation efforts of the paleontological collection, enhancing the interpretive and educational outreach programs, and enabling an expanded level of scientific research and study of this special resource.

Most Effective and Efficient Alternative

The 1998 Omnibus Parks Management Act (*Public Law 105-391 §303*) and NPS policy mandate that each special resource study identify the alternative or combination of alternatives which would, in the professional judgment of the director of the National Park Service, be most effective and efficient in protecting significant resources and providing opportunities for appropriate public enjoyment. For the purposes of this study, effectiveness and efficiency are defined as the capability to produce desired results with a minimum expenditure of energy, time, money, or materials.

A comparison of costs associated with each alternative indicates that alternative A, the no-action alternative, which continues current management trends, would require the least expenditure of energy, time, money, and materials. However, alternative A does not include increases in staffing or operational funding; consequently accommodating visitor access to the site is limited in this alternative to only monthly scheduled events. This is not a reasonable level of public enjoyment for such a nationally significant treasure, and as such, alternative A is the least effective of all the alternatives.

Of the three action alternatives, alternative D requires the least expenditures of energy, time, money, and materials, although the range of visitor opportunities is limited to just those associated with the core paleontological resources. Alternatives B and C provide a

greater range of visitor enjoyment opportunities without compromising resource integrity. While the range of visitor opportunities are similar under alternatives B and C, alternative C provides a greater level of assurance for maintaining long-term resource protection. Alternative C assumes a full time, onsite commitment of NPS specialists with experience in the management and interpretation of paleontological resources. The day to day efforts of NPS resource managers and interpreters under this alternative has the potential to provide a more stable and consistent approach for protecting and enhancing the conditions of paleontological collection, enhancing interpretive and educational programs, and enabling an expanded level of scientific research and study related to the special resource in comparison to the periodic NPS technical assistance provided under alternative B. Assuming initial and continued funding is made available to support this level of resource stewardship, alternative C is the most effective and efficient management alternative.

The National Park Service's preferred alternative has not been identified in the study report; a recommendation will be prepared after considering public comments on the study.

After public review, comments will be collected, analyzed, and summarized. A final compliance document will be prepared to accompany the study.

<i>Summary of Alternatives</i>				
	Alternative A Continuation of current management trends	Alternative B Partnerships led by the city of Waco	Alternative C Partnerships led by the National Park Service	Alternative D Managed as a focused unit of the National Park System
Overall Management Framework	The existing cooperative management arrangement between the city of Waco and Baylor University is continued.	The existing cooperative management arrangement between the city of Waco and Baylor University is expanded with additional partners, with the city assuming the lead responsibility for managing the site as a city park.	Waco Mammoth Site would be a new unit of the national park system, in partnership with the city of Waco, Baylor University, and others.	Waco Mammoth Site would be a new unit of the national park system, with the entire paleontological resource managed onsite by the National Park Service (<i>in situ</i> specimens and the paleontological collection currently housed at Baylor University).
Concept for Management	Managed for the continuing preservation and protection of the paleontological resources, conducting scientific study, and providing for onsite visitor enjoyment and understanding.	Same as alternative A, plus... An expanded range of recreational and environmental educational opportunities could be provided by the city.		Same as alternative A.
Site Recognition	Potential National Natural Landmark Eligible for NPS Affiliated area status	The city pursues National Natural Landmark designation. National Park Service affiliated area status may be considered by Congress to further strengthen NPS involvement.	New unit of the national park system	
Initial Costs	(1)	Waco Community \$8.1 million	Waco Community \$8.1 million NPS \$0.6 million	NPS \$2.6 million
Annual Costs	(2)	City of Waco \$300,000 Mayborn Museum \$45,000 NPS (for 5 years) \$25,000	City of Waco \$300,000 Mayborn Museum (2) NPS \$345,000	NPS \$768,500

(1) It is assumed that the Waco community efforts to erect a protection shelter over the excavation area and to provide for controlled visitor access to the site are already underway. Funding for additional staffing, programs, or facilities is not included under the no-action alternative.

(2) Annual costs for managing the Waco Mammoth Site are difficult to quantify as staff support from the city of Waco and/or the Mayborn Museum Complex is an assigned collateral duty among a range of other responsibilities.

CONTENTS

Chapter One: Purpose and Background 1

- Chapter Overview 1*
- Purpose and Need 1*
- Background 1*
- Study Methodology 2*
- Study Limitations 3*
 - Cost Feasibility and Cost Estimates 4
 - Congressional Legislation 4

Chapter Two: Resource Description 7

- Chapter Overview 7*
- Pleistocene Mammoths (Mammuthus) 7*
- Geologic Context of the Discovery Site 8*
- In Situ Specimens 10*
- Collected Specimens 11*
- Archival Records 11*
- Chronology of Events Associated with the Waco Mammoth Site 13*

Chapter Three: Resource Evaluation 17

- Chapter Overview 17*
- Evaluation of National Significance 17*
 - National Significance Findings 21
- Evaluation of Suitability 22*
 - Similar Resource Types Found Within the National Park System 22
 - Similar Resource Types Found Within Related Areas 24
 - Similar Resources Outside the National Park System and Related Areas 25
 - Suitability Findings 26
- Evaluation of Feasibility 33*
 - Access 33
 - Size and Landownership Patterns 33
 - Boundary Configurations 34
 - Local Planning and Zoning 34
 - Current and Potential Uses of the Study Area and Surrounding Lands 35
 - Existing Degradation of Resources 38
 - Current and Potential Threats to the Resource 39
 - Potential for Public Enjoyment or Scientific Study 40
 - Costs Associated with Acquisition, Development, Restoration, and Operation 41
 - Socioeconomic Impacts of a New Unit Designation 44
 - Level of Local and General Public Support 44
 - Feasibility Findings 45

Chapter Four: Alternatives for Management 47

Chapter Overview 47

Issues and Public Concerns 47

Visitor Access 47

Research 47

Education 47

Resource Protection 48

Supporting Comments 48

Alternatives Development 48

Elements Common to All Alternatives 49

Mitigation Measures 49

Alternative A: Continue Current Management Trends (no-action) 50

Overview 50

Concept for Management 50

Overall Management Framework 50

Resource Management 50

Scientific Study 51

Level of Development 51

Visitor Experience 51

Facility Management 51

Site Administration and Security 51

Potential Site Recognition 51

Ownership 52

Cost Estimate 52

Partnership Opportunities 52

Alternative B: Partnerships Led by the City of Waco 54

Concept for Management 54

Overall Management Framework 54

Resource Management 54

Scientific Study 55

Level of Development 55

Visitor Experience 55

Facility Management 56

Site Administration and Security 56

Site Recognition 56

Ownership 56

Cost Estimate 56

Partnership Opportunities 57

Alternative C: Partnerships Led by the National Park Service 58

Concept for Management 58

Overall Management Framework 58

Resource Management 59

Scientific Study 59

Level of Development 59

Visitor Experience 60

Facility Management 61

Site Administration and Security 61

Site Recognition 61

Ownership 61

Cost Estimate 61

Partnership Opportunities 62

Alternative D: Managed as a Focused Unit of the National Park System 63

- Concept for Management 63
- Overall Management Framework 63
- Resource Management 64
- Scientific Study 64
- Level of Development 64
- Visitor Experience 65
- Facility Management 65
- Site Administration and Security 65
- Site Recognition 65
- Ownership 65
- Cost Estimate 65
- Partnership Opportunities 66

Alternatives Considered But Dismissed 67

Summary and Comparison of Alternatives 67

- Alternative Highlights 67
- Environmentally Preferred Alternative 67
- Most Effective and Efficient Alternative 68

Determination of Need for Direct NPS Management 69

Chapter Five: Affected Environment 77

Chapter Overview 77

Impact Topics 77

Impact Topics Dismissed 77

- Possible Conflicts between the Proposal and Land Use Plans, Policies, or Controls for the Area Concerned 77
- Environmental Justice 77
- Energy Requirements and Conservation Potential 78
- Indian Trust Resources 78
- Indian Sacred Sites 78
- Archeological Resources 78
- Cultural Landscapes 79
- Historic Structures 80
- Ethnographic Resources 80
- Hazardous Materials 80

Impact Topics Considered 81

Description of Existing Conditions 81

- Regional Context 81
- Soils, Including Prime Farmlands 82
- Floodplains and Wetlands 83
- Vegetation, Wildlife, Habitat, and Special Status Species 83
- Visitor Experience 85
- Management and Operations 85
- Socioeconomic Environment 86

Chapter Six: Environmental Consequences 91

Chapter Overview 91

Methods and Assumptions for Analyzing Impacts 91

Methodology 91

Context and Type 92

Intensity and Duration 92

Direct and Indirect Impacts 92

Cumulative Impacts 92

Impact Analysis 92

Impact Topics and Cumulative Effects Scenarios 96

Fundamental Resources of the Waco Mammoth Site 96

Other Resources of the Waco Mammoth Site 97

Visitor Experience 99

Management and Operations 100

Socioeconomic Environment 100

Environmental Consequences of Alternative A 103

Impacts on Fundamental Resources of the Waco Mammoth Site 103

Impacts on Other Resources 103

Impacts on Visitor Experience 104

Impacts on Management and Operations 105

Impacts on Socioeconomic Environment 105

Environmental Consequences of Alternative B 107

Impacts on Fundamental Resources of the Waco Mammoth Site 107

Impacts on Other Resources 108

Impacts on Visitor Experience 109

Impacts on Management and Operations 110

Impacts on Socioeconomic Environment 111

Environmental Consequences of Alternative C 113

Impacts on the Fundamental Resources of the Waco Mammoth Site 113

Impacts on Other Resources 114

Impacts on Visitor Experience 116

Impacts on Management and Operations 116

Impacts on Socioeconomic Environment 117

Environmental Consequences of Alternative D 119

Impacts on the Fundamental Resources of the Waco Mammoth Site 119

Impacts on Other Resources 120

Impacts on Visitor Experience 121

Impacts on Management and Operations 122

Impacts on Socioeconomic Environment 123

Chapter Seven: Public Involvement, Consultation, and Coordination 125

Chapter Overview 125

Agency and Public Scoping Activities 125

Appendixes, Selected References, Preparers and Participants 129

Appendix A: Public Law 107-341 131

Appendix B: Collection and Archive Assessment of the Waco Mammoth Site 133

Appendix C: Waco Mammoth Site Tract Map 137

Appendix D: Warranty Deeds City of Waco Tract 139

Appendix E: Consultation Correspondence 153

Selected References 159

Preparers and Participants 171

FIGURES

Figure 1: Waco Mammoth Site Plan Map 12

Figure 2: North American Mammoth Locations 27

Figure 3: Known Sites in North America Yielding Multiple Mammoths 30

Figure 4: Waco Community's Phase I Plan for the Waco Mammoth Site 38

TABLES

Table 1: Comparison of Mammoth Records for Selected States 28

Table 2: Recorded Sites in the United States Yielding Multiple Columbian Mammoths 29

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Similar Resource Areas 31

Table 4: Summary Table of Alternative Highlights 70

Table 5: Summary Table of Potential Environmental Consequences 74

Table 6: Central Texas Region Employment 87

Table 7: Impact Intensity Threshold Definitions 93

