

# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Victoria Mates, Project Manager, Interpretation and Education, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-047 Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Kiosk Installation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

| //R. Kevin Cann// for         | 11/5/07 |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Michael J. Tollefson          | Date    |
| Enclosure (with attachments)  |         |
| cc: Statutory Compliance File |         |

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

## **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-047 PEPC Project Number: 18009

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Kiosk Installation Location: Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Victoria Mates, Interpretation and Education, Yosemite National Park

#### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under Project Mitigations and Conditions in Section I at the end of the attached Environmental Screening Form.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked): M Environmental So

| $\triangle$ | Environmental Screening Form                  |
|-------------|---|
|             | Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)       |
|             | Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis       |
|             | Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination |
|             | Other:  |

#### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12. Section 3.4.

| //R. Kevin | Cann// for                | 11-5-07 |
|------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Michael J. | Tollefson, Superintendent | Date    |
| Original:  | Statutory Compliance File |         |

Attachments

cc:

(2)

**Project Proponent** 

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

## **Environmental Screening Form**

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-047** 

PEPC Project Number: 18009

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Kiosk Installation **Location:** Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

**Project Manager:** Victoria Mates, Interpretation, Yosemite National Park

#### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will have two phases. The removal of the three panel kiosk to the left of the Visitor Center (VC) entrance and the installation of a new kiosk to the right of the VC entrance. The new kiosk panels will include advertisements for Spirit of Yosemite, for Changing Yosemite (self-guided trail booklet), and for visitor activities in the park including the evening program topic and a map of the village area. There will be a brochure box and money receptacle which will be maintained by Yosemite Association as they are responsible for distribution of the Changing Yosemite booklet. The new location will have two post holes dug into the ground to secure the kiosk. The kiosk will be constructed of cedar with an asphalt-shingled roof.

The cultural group reviewed this project and found no significant impacts to underground resources as a result of installation. As this is a kiosk replacement (it will replace the kiosk to the left of the front entrance to the visitor center) and there is no net gain of kiosks on the landscape, there was not an issue with the location or construction of a kiosk at the proposed location. The cultural group did ask for further consultation with David Humphrey, Branch Chief of History, Architecture, and Landscapes to determine how design standards for outdoor kiosks in Yosemite Valley would be determined.

The project manager and the subject matter experts both agreed on the guidelines outlined in A Sense of Place: Design Guidelines for Yosemite Valley, Chapter 3 titled Unifying Elements & Guidelines, pg. 73-75 should apply to small informational bulletin boards and kiosks in the Valley. These guidelines state: Small buildings include... ...comfort stations, shower houses, kiosks, storage sheds, and miscellaneous shelters... and guidelines described that are relevant to kiosks:

- -...small buildings should minimize visual intrusion and blend with the natural setting."
- -...make use of the rustic elements of the classic National Park Service design. These include a standard plan, a gable roof, the use of exposed wood framing and siding, and natural colors.
- -small buildings are unifying elements throughout the Valley by virtue of their modest scale, standard color, and consistent rustic design.
- -roof forms are typically gable, with a medium pitch of at least 5-in-12, with overhangs that shed snow and water. Roof framing should be slightly oversized with exposed rafters.
- -An opaque stain should be used for all wood elements including siding and exposed framing members.
- -A dark brown to match NPS Woski brown is most appropriate.

Table B1 - Background Information Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list  $\boxtimes$ History, Architecture, and Landscape staff. attendees. If no, explain. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved  $\boxtimes$ plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the  $\boxtimes$ plan and provide a section or page citation.  $\boxtimes$ 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?  $\boxtimes$ 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.) No N/A Explanation/Notes Maps 1. Drawings (e.g., design, construction) Design drawing; see Attachment A. 2.  $\boxtimes$ Site Plans Photo of proposed location; see  $\boxtimes$ 4. Photographs Attachment B. 5. Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain) Other (Explain)

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

|     | e any impacts possible on the following  | Yes         | No          | N/A         | Data Needed to Determine/Notes  |
|-----|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
|     | ources?  |             |             |             | Kiosk sign would need four 12" square holes that  |
| 1.  | Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc  | $\boxtimes$ |             |             | are 3' deep.  |
| 2.  | From geohazards  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 3.  | Air quality  | $\boxtimes$ |             |             | Negligible; installation would include temporary air emissions.   |
| 4.  | Soundscapes  | $\boxtimes$ |             |             | Negligible; installation would include temporary noises.  |
| 5.  | Water quality or quantity  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 6.  | Stream flow characteristics  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 7.  | Marine or estuarine resources  |             |             | $\boxtimes$ |   |
| 8.  | Floodplains or wetlands  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 9.  | Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
|     | Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 11. | Species of special concern (plant or animal; state<br>or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their<br>habitat |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 12. | Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World<br>Heritage Sites   |             |             |             | Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site;<br>no historic properties would be adversely affected<br>by implementing this project; see Section F,<br>National Historic Preservation Act Checklist,<br>below. |
| 13. | Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 14. | Unique or important fish or fish habitat   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 15. | Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)  | $\boxtimes$ |             |             | See Section D. Condition 1 Mandatory Criteria, below.   |
| 16. | Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 17. | Visitor experience, aesthetic resources  | $\boxtimes$ |             |             | The kiosk will enhance the visitor's experience by providing updated information.   |
| 18. | Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources   |             |             |             | The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.  |
| 19. | Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure                           |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 20. | Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.                                     |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 21. | Energy resources   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 22. | Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 23. | Resource, including energy, conservation potential   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 24. | Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 25. | Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |   |
| 26  | Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?                                  |             |             |             |   |
| Cor | nments, Mitigations and Conditions:  |             |             |             |   |
| 1.  | None   |             |             |             |   |

### D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

| If  | implemented, would the proposed action:  | Yes | No          | N/A | Data Needed to Determine/Notes |
|-----|--|-----|-------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| 1.  | 1  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 2.  | Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks? |     |             |     |                                |
| 3.  | Have highly controversial environmental effects?   | П   | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 4.  | Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 5.  | Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 6.  | Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?   |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 7.  | Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?   |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 8.  | Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?  |     |             |     |                                |
|     | Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 10. | Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?   |     |             |     |                                |
| 11. | Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 12. | Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?  |     |             |     |                                |
| 13. | Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 14. | Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?   |     | $\boxtimes$ |     | See Condition 1, below.        |
| 15. | Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?  |     |             |     | See Condition 1, below.        |
| 16. | Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?   |     |             |     |                                |
| 17. | Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?   |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
| 18. | Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?  |     | $\boxtimes$ |     |                                |
|     | Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?   |     |             |     |                                |
| Co  | omments, Mitigations and Conditions:   |     |             |     |                                |

1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

| Compliance | <b>Tracking</b> | Number: | 2007-047 |
|------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| _          | _               |         | 5 of 7   |

### E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

|  | SI ECIAL STATES SI ECIES CIII  |             | 7101        |        |   |  |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|--------|---|--|
| Wi   | thin the area of potential effect, are there:  | Yes         | No          | N/A    | Data Needed to Determine/Notes  |  |
| 1.   | Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?  |             |             |        |   |  |
| 2.   | Species of special concern (Federal or State)?   |             |             |        |   |  |
| 3.   | Park rare plants or vegetation?  | П           | $\boxtimes$ | П      |   |  |
| 4.   | Potential habitat for any special-status   |             |             |        |   |  |
|  | species listed above?  | Ш           |             | Ш      |   |  |
| If '   | 'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-  | Status      | Specie      | es Che | cklist must be completed and attached.  |  |
| Co   | mments, Mitigations and Conditions:  |             |             |        |   |  |
| 1.   | None   |             |             |        |   |  |
|  |  |             |             |        |   |  |
| F  | NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA   | TION        | AC          | г сн   | ECKLIST   |  |
|  |  |             |             |        |   |  |
| VVI  | thin the area of potential effect:   | Yes         | No          | N/A    | Data Needed to Determine/Notes Ground disturbance would include four 12" x  |  |
| 1.   | Will there be ground disturbance?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |        | 12" x 3' deep holes.  |  |
| 2.   | Are there any archeological sites?   | П           | $\boxtimes$ | П      | 12 X 3 deep notes.  |  |
|  | ·  |             |             |        | American Indian Traditional Cultural  |  |
| 3.   | Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?   | $\boxtimes$ |             |        | Property; the new structure would not impact the TCP.   |  |
| 4.   | Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ? |             |             |        | Yosemite Village Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Archeological District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX. |  |
| 5.   | Is there a National Historic Landmark?   |             | $\boxtimes$ |        |   |  |
| 6.   | Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of  |             |             |        |   |  |
|  | Classified Structures?   | Ш           |             | ш      |   |  |
| 7.   | Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?  |             | $\boxtimes$ |        |   |  |
| 8  | Would there be alteration of any historic  |             |             |        |   |  |
|  | property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?  |             | $\boxtimes$ |        |   |  |
| If "   | 'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment  | of Effe     | ects fo     | rm (Y  | OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.  |  |
|  | tigations and Conditions:  |             |             | ,      | , <u>*</u>  |  |
| 1.   | None   |             |             |        |   |  |
| G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST  |  |             |             |        |   |  |
| Is t   | the proposed project:  | Yes         | No          | N/A    | Data Needed to Determine/Notes  |  |
| 1.   | Within designated Wilderness?  |             |             |        |   |  |
| 2.   | Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?  |             |             |        |   |  |
| If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached. |  |             |             |        |   |  |
| Mi   | tigations and Conditions:  |             |             |        |   |  |
| 1.   | None   |             |             |        |   |  |

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-047 6 of 7

### H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

| Do   | es the proposed project:   | Yes         | No          | N/A         | Data Needed to Determine/Notes |  |  |
|------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.   | Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)  | $\boxtimes$ |             |             | Merced River                   |  |  |
| 2.   | Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?   |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |                                |  |  |
| 3.   | Potentially affect water quality of the area?  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |                                |  |  |
| 4.   | Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain. |             |             |             |                                |  |  |
| 5a.  | Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?  |             | $\boxtimes$ |             |                                |  |  |
| 5b.  | If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?  |             |             | $\boxtimes$ |                                |  |  |
| 5c.  | If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?                  |             |             | $\boxtimes$ |                                |  |  |
| If " | If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.                    |             |             |             |                                |  |  |
| Mi   | Mitigations and Conditions:  |             |             |             |                                |  |  |
| 1.   | None   |             |             |             |                                |  |  |

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 C (5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


1. Ensure the kiosk color matches the exterior trim of the Valley Visitor Center. (Project Management and Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

| //Renea Kennec//           | 10/30/07 |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Compliance Specialist      | Date     |
| //Mark Butler//            | 11/1/07  |
| Compliance Program Manager | Date     |
| //Glen Rothell// acting    | 11-2-07  |
| Chief, Project Management  | Date     |

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Attachment A



# **Attachment B**



Photo 1 – Proposed Kiosk Location

### Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-047
PEPC Project Number: 18009

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Kiosk Installation

### **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:**

Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Yosemite Valley Historic District

**Project Manager:** Victoria Mates, Interpretation, Yosemite National Park

**Project Description:** This project will have two phases. The removal of the 3-panel kiosk to the left of the Visitor Center (VC) entrance and the installation of a new kiosk to the right of the VC entrance. The new kiosk panels will include advertisements for Spirit of Yosemite, for Changing Yosemite (self-guided trail booklet), and for visitor activities in the park including the evening program topic and a map of the village area. There will be a brochure box and money receptacle which will be maintained by Yosemite Association as they are responsible for distribution of the Changing Yosemite booklet. The new location will have two post holes dug into the ground to secure the kiosk. The kiosk will be constructed of cedar with an asphalt-shingled roof.

The cultural group reviewed this project and found no significant impacts to underground resources as a result of installation. As this is a kiosk replacement (it will replace the kiosk to the left of the front entrance to the visitor center) and there is no net gain of kiosks on the landscape, there was not an issue with the location or construction of a kiosk at the proposed location. The cultural group did ask for further consultation with David Humphrey, Branch Chief of History, Architecture, and Landscapes to determine how design standards for outdoor kiosks in Yosemite Valley would be determined.

The project manager and the subject matter experts both agreed on the guidelines outlined in A Sense of Place: Design Guidelines for Yosemite Valley, Chapter 3 titled Unifying Elements & Guidelines, pg. 73-75 should apply to small informational bulletin boards and kiosks in the Valley. These guidelines state: Small buildings include... ...comfort stations, shower houses, kiosks, storage sheds, and miscellaneous shelters... and guidelines described that are relevant to kiosks:

- -...small buildings should minimize visual intrusion and blend with the natural setting."
- -...make use of the rustic elements of the classic National Park Service design. These include a standard plan, a gable roof, the use of exposed wood framing and siding, and natural colors.
- -small buildings are unifying elements throughout the Valley by virtue of their modest scale, standard color, and consistent rustic design.
- -roof forms are typically gable, with a medium pitch of at least 5-in-12, with overhangs that shed snow and water. Roof framing should be slightly oversized with exposed rafters.
- -An opaque stain should be used for all wood elements including siding and exposed framing members.
- -A dark brown to match NPS Woski brown is most appropriate.

|  |  | - |
|--|--|---|
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |

| 1. Attached Sensitive Information** | Yes | No          | Explanation/Source/Notes |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------|--------------------------|
| a. Maps                             |     | $\boxtimes$ |                          |
| b. Drawings                         |     | $\boxtimes$ |                          |
| c. Site Plans                       |     | $\boxtimes$ |                          |
| d. Photographs                      |     | $\boxtimes$ |                          |
| e. Sample                           |     | $\boxtimes$ |                          |
| f. List of Materials                |     |             |                          |
| g. Other (Explain)                  |     |             |                          |

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

### **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

|    |  | Yes          | No          | N/A   | Explanation/Notes  |
|----|--|--------------|-------------|-------|--|
| 1. | Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).                          |              |             |       | Yosemite Village Historic District;<br>Yosemite Valley Historic District;<br>Yosemite Valley Archeological District. |
|    | Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?  |              |             |       | Cultural landscape   |
|    |  |              |             |       |  |
| 2. | List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:  | Affec<br>Yes | ted?<br>No  |       | Explanation/Notes  |
|    | a. Yosemite Village Historic District  |              |             | Intro | duction of non-historic facility.  |
|    | b.   |              |             |       |  |
|    | c.   |              |             |       |  |
| 3. | List resources in the Area of Potential  | Affec        | ted?        |       |  |
|    | Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:   | Yes          | No          |       | Explanation/Notes  |
|    | a. American Indian Traditional Cultural Property   |              |             | New   | structure will not impact the TCP.   |
|    | b.   |              |             |       |  |
|    | C.   |              |             |       |  |
|    |  |              |             |       |  |
| 4. | The proposed action will:  | Yes          | No          | N/A   | Explanation/Note   |
|    | • Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure   |              |             |       |  |
|    | Replace historic features/elements in kind   |              | $\boxtimes$ |       |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements to a<br/>historic structure</li> </ul>  |              | $\boxtimes$ |       |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Alter or remove features/elements of a<br/>historic setting or environment (including<br/>terrain)</li> </ul>                                 |              |             |       |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements<br/>(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)<br/>to a historic setting or cultural landscape</li> </ul>  | $\boxtimes$  |             |       | This project will add a kiosk.   |
|    | • Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain  |              |             |       |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic<br/>resources inaccessible, or alter associated<br/>terrain</li> </ul>                                 |              | $\boxtimes$ |       |  |
|    | Begin or contribute to the deterioration of<br>historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape<br>elements, or archeological or<br>ethnographic resources |              |             |       |  |
|    | • Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)                |              | $\boxtimes$ |       |  |
|    | Potentially affect presently unidentified<br>historic resources  |              | $\boxtimes$ |       |  |
|    | • Other  |              | $\boxtimes$ |       |  |

4 of 9

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Implement A Sense of Place Design Guidelines for Yosemite Valley

**Checklist prepared by:** Jeannette Simons **Date:** <u>10/11/07</u>

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

#### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

| Archeologist Comments:                                    | Name: Laura Kirn            | Date: 10/12/07 |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Ground Disturbance Involved                               | Yes: No:                    |                |
| Assessment of Effect: "No Effec                           | t''                         |                |
| Recommended Conditions:                                   |                             |                |
|   |                             |                |
|   |                             |                |
| Cianatum of Ambaalaaist //I au                            | na Vima//                   |                |
| Signature of Archeologist: //Lau                          | ru Kirii//                  |                |
| Cultural Anthropologist                                   | Name: Sonny Montague        | Date:          |
| Comments:   |                             |                |
| Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" i<=""></choose></b> | Effect> or write it here >> |                |
| Recommended Conditions:                                   | 51 Wille 10 1161 6 7 7      |                |
|   |                             |                |
|   |                             |                |
|   |                             |                |
| Signature of Cultural Anthropolo                          | gist:                       |                |
|   |                             |                |
| Curator   | Name: Jonathan Bayless      | Date:          |
| Comments:   |                             |                |
| Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" i<=""></choose></b> | Effect> or write it here >> |                |
| Recommended Conditions:                                   |                             |                |
|   |                             |                |
|   |                             |                |
| G:  |                             |                |
| Signature of Curator:                                     |                             |                |

Name: Charles Palmer Historian Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historian: //Charles Palmer// Name: Sueann Brown **Historic Architect** Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historic Architect: //Sueann Brown// Date: 10/11/07 **Historical Landscape Architect** Name: **Dave Humphrey** Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" **Recommended Conditions:** Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //Dave Humphrey//

| Preservation Specialist Comments:  | Name: Rod Kennec         | Date: 10/16/07 |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse  | Effect''                 |                |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions                                       |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| Signature of Preservation Specialist: //Rod Kennec//                                 |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| Native American Liaison Comments:  | Name: Jeannette Simons   | Date:          |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment of Effect: <b><choose effect=""> or write it here &gt;&gt;</choose></b>   |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Conditions:  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| Signature of Native American Liaison:  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| ·  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| <enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>                                     | Name:                    | Date:          |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" effe<=""></choose></b>                         | ect> or write it here >> |                |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Conditions:  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e&gt;:</td><td></td></enter> | e>:                      |                |  |  |  |  |

#### Compliance Tracking No. 2007-047 8 of 9

### D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

|  |   | guidelines, or US DOI standards<br>l incorporates measures to avoid A         | · ·  |
|--|---|---|--|
| Re   | eviewed and Accepted by:  |   |  |
| Si   | gnature: //Niki Stephanie Nic. Chief of Resources   | holas// Management & Science Division   | <b>Date:</b> <u>10-17-07</u>   |
| 2.   | Compliance Requirements:  | The following is the park's assessmeeds and requirements for this             |  |
|  | ☐ Standard 36 CFR Par   | rt 800 Consultation   |  |
|  |   | FR is needed subsequent to the preparace management advisors.                 | ration of this form and its review by  |
| ☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement |   |   |  |
|  |   | agreement, and is listed in Stipulation                                       |  |
|  | ☐ Plan-Related Underta  | aking   |  |
|  |   | of the proposed undertaking were conith the 1995 NPS programmatic agree       | npleted in the context of a plan review<br>ement and 36 CFR Part 800.        |
|  | ☐ Undertaking Related   | to Another Agreement  |  |
|  |   | g is covered for Section 106 purposes<br>ten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 8 |  |
|  | <b>Agreement</b> : <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information&gt;</td><td></td></enter> | greement Information>   |  |
|  | ☐ Flood-Recovery Relat  | ted Undertaking   |  |
|  |   |   | es under the letter-based agreement<br>the Council for Historic Preservation |
|  | <b>☐</b> Undertaking Related  | to the 1999 Yosemite Programn   | natic Agreement  |
|  |   | design, construction, operations and n  | s under the park's 1999 programmatic<br>naintenance; the undertaking meets   |

#### 3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

#### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

#### Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: **Jeannette Simons** 

Title: **Historic Preservation Officer** 

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 10-24-07

#### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann// for Date: 11-5-07

Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.