

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Tom Darcy, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-010 Yosemite Valley, El Portal and Wawona Housing Roof

Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails; or, for historic structures, C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJTollefson//	_	12/5/06
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent		Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-010** PEPC Project Number: 17113

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley, El Portal and Wawona Housing Roof Replacement Location: Yosemite Valley, El Portal and Wawona, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails; or, for historic structures, C (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource..

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under Project Mitigations and Conditions in Section I at the end of the attached Environmental Screening Form.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\boxtimes	Environmental Screening Form
\boxtimes	Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)
	Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
	Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
	Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson// 12/5/06 Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Statutory Compliance File Original: Office in Yosemite National Park. **Project Proponent**

Attachments (2)

cc:

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-010**PEPC Project Number: **17113**

Yosemite Valley, El Portal and Wawona

Building information list; see Attachment B.

vicinity maps.

5. 2PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley, El Portal and Wawona Housing Roof Replacement **Location:** Yosemite Valley, El Portal and Wawona, Mariposa County, California **Project Manager:** Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The purpose of this project is to replace deteriorated roofing and roof sheeting on various National Park Service (NPS) housing units. Structural repairs would be made if necessary. Damaged overhangs would be repaired and re-painted as needed. Each roof would be evaluated individually: although general roof specifications guidelines are used for reference for NPS replacement/repair projects, in the case of listed historic cultural properties, consultation with NPS cultural resource staff determines the type of roofing and other specifications needed to protect and preserve the historic character of these buildings.

Roofs scheduled for replacement in 2006-2007 are listed in Attachment B.

Table B1 – Background Information

1. Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)

Drawings (e.g., design, construction)

Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)

Site Plans
Photographs

Other (Explain)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Facilities Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			\boxtimes	
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form	ı Atta	chm	ents (p	provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes

 \boxtimes

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality	\boxtimes			Temporary air emissions during re-roofing.
4.	Soundscapes				Temporary construction noises during re-roofing.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		. ,
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources	$\overline{\Box}$		\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use				
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implimenting this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	\boxtimes			Negligible; see Condition 2, Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.			\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		
	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:	.l A 1	rodic t	tha :-:	de Sofate Officer (Dogge Former 270 1070) f
 2. 	Develop and submit a Safety Plan and a Job Hazar review and approval before beginning any project Ensure that all equipment and materials brought in	work.	-	_	•

Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and
noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the
introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.
(Environmental Planning and Compliance)

I	7	SF	F	C	ΓΔ	T.	C r	$\Gamma \Lambda$	\mathbf{T}	T IS	C	C	ΡI	70	וי	Ŧ	C	C1	H	F (T.	71	I	C	Г
	'	171	ر ا	\			. 7			u)ı	. 7	. 7		17.0		ر ا	. 7	٠,		יעיו		√ I	⊿.	١٦.	

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes	П	
	endangered species (Federal or State)?				
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or	П	\boxtimes	П	
2	State)?	$\overline{}$	\square	$\overline{}$	
3. 4.	Park rare plants or vegetation? Potential habitat for any special-status				
4.	species listed above?		\boxtimes		
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				•
1.	None				
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC'	г сн	ECKLIST
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?		\boxtimes		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		$\overline{\boxtimes}$		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?				
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,				
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				
	archeological district or site, or a historic		\boxtimes		
	district or site, or any associated landscape	ш		Ш	
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing				
~	on the National Register?				
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?			Ш	LCS #05747 and #55763; the assessment of
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>	\boxtimes	П		effect is "No Adverse Effect," see Condition
	Classified Structures?		ш	ш	1 below, and the attached XXX.
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an				Structures built in the 1950s or 1960s (see
	evaluation of eligibility as a historic	\boxtimes			Attachment B), the assessment of effect is
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before		Ш	ш	"No Adverse Effect," see Condition 2 below,
0	an affect determination can be made?				and the attached XXX.
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element	\boxtimes	П		The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see Condition 1 below, and the
	covered by 2-7, above?		Ш	Ш	attached XXX.
If '	'yes' to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	
	tigations and Conditions:				
1.					222) to determine the type of roofing material
	and other specifications needed to protect and	l prese	rve th	e histo	oric character of buildings that are historic
2	properties.	ъ	270	1000) 1 1070
2.	Consult with park Historic Architect (Sueann		n, 3/9	9-1222) concerning any structures built in the 1950s
	or 1960s for potential National Register eligib	omity.			
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Ist	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?				
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?			\Box	
_	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	inimun	ı Requ	ııremei	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
Mi 1.	tigations and Conditions: None				
1.	TOHE				

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.				
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes	
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes	
If "	yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	rmina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•		•	
1.	None				

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

Depending on the historic character of the structure, these actions would be covered by either DO12 C(3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails; or, for historic structures, C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Develop and submit a Safety Plan and a Job Hazard Anaylsis to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, (379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any project work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)
- 3. Consult with the park Historic Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222) to determine the type of roofing material and other specifications needed to protect and preserve the historic character of buildings that are historic properties. (Resource Management and Science)
- 4. Consult with park Historic Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222) concerning any structures built in the 1950s or 1960s for potential National Register eligibility. (Resource Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//GWColliver//	11/30/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	11/30/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	12/1/06
Chief, Project Management	Date


The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



Map 1 - Valley Housing Roof Replacement





Environmental Screening Form B-1 of 1

Attachment B

BUILDING				LCS	ASSET		
NUMBER	ADDRESS	DESCRIPTION	BUILT	NUM	NUM	ROOF TYPE	SQ
VaQ 0046	9001 Lost Arrow Drive	Yosemite Valley Apartment	1931	5747	10568	Cedar Shingles	37
VaQ 0080	9010 Indian Canyon Road	Yosemite Valley Quarters	1958	n/a	10599	Modified	20
VaQ 0081	9011 Indian Canyon Road	Yosemite Valley Quarters	1958	n/a	10600	Modified	17
VaQ 0078	9008 Indian Canyon Road	Yosemite Valley Quarters	1958	n/a	10598	Modified	17
VaQ 0071	9021 Lost Arrow Drive	Yosemite Valley Quarters	1956	n/a	10591	Modified	20
WaQ 4001	7771 Chilnualna Falls Road	Wawona Quarters	1938	55763	10044	Royals	18
WaQ 4175	2611 W. Bruce Road	Wawona Quarters	1966	n/a	10078	Metal	16
WaQ 4095	2615 Larke Lane	Wawona Quarters	1971	n/a	10966	Metal	28
WaQ 4097	2580 River View Road	Wawona Quarters	1969	n/a	10059	Metal	21
WaQ 4098	2582 River View Road	Wawona Quarters	1971	n/a	10060	Metal	21
WaQ 4199	2625 E. Bruce Road	Wawona Quarters	1965	n/a	10093	Metal	19
WaQ 4011	2609 Spelt Road	Wawona Quarters	1955	n/a	10046	Metal	10
WaQ 4051	2681 Spelt Road	Wawona Quarters	1966	n/a	95829	Metal	10
WaQ 4190	8077 Chilnualna Falls Road	Wawona Quarters	1970	n/a	10102	Metal	20
WaQ 4181	2641 W. Bruce Road	Wawona Quarters	1965	n/a	10540	Metal	20
WaQ 4206	8177 Chilnualna Falls Road	Wawona Quarters	1972	n/a	10096	Metal	22
EPQ 765	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8476	Composition	6
EPQ 766	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8544	Composition	6
EPQ 767	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8555	Composition	6
EPQ 768	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8569	Composition	6
EPQ 769	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8593	Composition	6
EPQ 770	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8636	Composition	6
EPQ 771	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8640	Composition	6
EPQ 772	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8650	Composition	6
EPQ 773	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8661	Composition	6
EPQ 774	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8672	Composition	6
EPQ 775	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8681	Composition	7
EPQ 776	10909 Highway 140	EP Motor Inn Cabin	1950	n/a	8684	Composition	6

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-010**PEPC Project Number: **17113**

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Yosemite Valley, El Portal, Wawona Housing Roof Replacement

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Wawona, Mariposa County, California Yosemite Valley, El Portal, Wawona

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: The purpose of this project is to replace deteriorated roofing and roof sheeting on various National Park Service (NPS) housing units. Structural repairs would be made if necessary. Damaged overhangs would be repaired and re-painted as needed. Each roof would be evaluated individually: although general roof specifications guidelines are used for reference for NPS replacement/repair projects, in the case of listed historic cultural properties, consultation with NPS cultural resource staff determines the type of roofing and other specifications needed to protect and preserve the historic character of these buildings.

Roofs scheduled for replacement in 2006-2007 are listed in Attachment B.

1.At	tached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a	. Maps		\boxtimes	
b	. Drawings		\boxtimes	
c	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d	. Photographs		\boxtimes	
e	. Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g	. Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Various documents and LCS records.
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Some historic properties are listed for repair.
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. LCS #05747	\boxtimes		Roof	replacement.
	b. LCS #55763	\boxtimes		Roof	replacement.
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a.				
	b.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes		
	elements from a historic structure				
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind	\boxtimes			
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure 				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources				
	Other		\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

NPS cultural resource staff will be consulted to determine the type of roofing material and other specifications needed to protect and preserve the historic characater of buildings that are historic properties.

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 11/7/06

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 11/8/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: 🖂	
Signature of Archeologist: _//signed	l original on file//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Eff	ect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 11/08/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historian: //signed ori	ginal on file//	
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 11/7/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect: //si	igned original on file//	
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Danny Schaible	Date: 11/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historic Landscape Ar	rchitect: //signed original on file//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 11/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Recomm		
Signature of Preservation Specialist	: _//signed original on file//_	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Native American Liaise	on:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e>:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:	

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	agement policies, standards, oric Buildings, or others, an	0	tandards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of to avoid Adverse Effects.
Rev	iewed and Accepted by:		
Sign	chief of Resources	icholas// s Management & Science	Division Date: <u>11/27/06</u>
2.	Compliance Requirements	The following is the pa	rk's assessment of Section 106 process s for this undertaking.
	☐ Standard 36 CFR Pa	art 800 Consultation	
		CFR is needed subsequent to ource management advisors.	the preparation of this form and its review by
	☐ Undertaking related	to the 1995 NPS Progra	mmatic Agreement
		all conditions for a program c agreement, and is listed in	matic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the Stipulation IV. B, as:
	<choose of="" td="" type="" under<=""><td>rtaking></td><td></td></choose>	rtaking>	
	☐ Plan-Related Undert	aking	
			g were completed in the context of a plan review natic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
	☐ Undertaking Related	l to Another Agreement	
			6 purposes under a document such as a CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	Agreement : <enter a<="" td=""><td>Agreement Information></td><td></td></enter>	Agreement Information>	
	☐ Flood-Recovery Rela	nted Undertaking	
		State Historic Preservation C	06 purposes under the letter-based agreement office, and the Council for Historic Preservation
	☑ Undertaking Related	l to the 1999 Yosemite P	rogrammatic Agreement
		design, construction, operat	6 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic tions and maintenance; the undertaking meets

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. See Section B 5.
- b. Consult with Park Architect concerning structures built in 1950s and 60s for potential National Register eligibility.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordina	ator:
--	-------

Name:	Jeannette Simons		
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer		
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons//	Date: <u>11/28/06</u>	
The propos	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL ed work conforms to NPS Managemen lations, stipulations, and conditions no		* *
Signature (of Superintendent: //MJTollefson//		Date: 12/5/06

Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.