

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

To: Victoria Mates, Project Manager, Interpretation and Education, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-068 Foresta Meyer Barn Wayside Exhibit

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//*R. Kevin Cann//* Michael J. Tollefson

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

6/05/07 Date

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-068 PEPC Project Number: 18751

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Foresta Meyer Barn Wayside ExhibitLocation: Foresta, Mariposa County, CaliforniaProject Manager: Victoria Mates, Interpretation and Education, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Environmental Screening Form

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

6/05/07 Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

Environmental Screening Form

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-068 PEPC Project Number: 18751

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Foresta Meyer Barn Wayside Exhibit

Location: Foresta, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Victoria Mates, Interpretation and Education, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project is a second phase of the Foresta Barn restoration project. This interpretive wayside exhibit panel interprets the cultural landscape with photos and captions about the Meyers Barn, Coulterville Road, and American Indian ties. Language and graphics were reviewed and approved by subject matter experts and the American Indian components came from text already submitted for tribal review on another wayside project. The panel installation requires one post hole to be dug and a concrete footer poured. It will be within the road prism in a previously disturbed area. The post hole will be 18 inches by 12 inches by 22 inches (deep).

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Historic Preservation and Interpretation staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\square		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Map	\boxtimes			Foresta and site map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photo of existing location; see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)	\boxtimes			Exhibit proof; see Attachment B.

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Installation of the post requires a 18" x 12" x 22" deep hole to be dug.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		I
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\square		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\square			The wayside exhibit will enhance the visitor experience by interpreting the history of the area.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Foresta Historic Landscape; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure				
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\square		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor 1.	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				
1.	11010				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

_	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see Condition 1, below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\bowtie		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\bowtie		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\square		
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		

proceeding with this work.

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\square			
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes			
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes			
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		\square			
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.					
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:					

1. None

F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\bowtie			Post hole: 18" x 12" x 22"; excavation is in a previously disturbed area and will avoid resources.			
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	\boxtimes			Foresta Archeological District			
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?				American Indian traditional use area; exhibit will avoid traditional resources; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes					
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?		\square					
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?		\boxtimes					
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?		\square					
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.			
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:							

1. None

G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes", name the river(s)		\boxtimes				
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?			\square			
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes			
 Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain. 						
5a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\bowtie				
5b. If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\square			
5c. If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:


DO12 3.4 C (5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- **1.** Develop and submit a Safety Plan to the park Safety Office (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Consult with the park Accessibility Coordinator (Larry Harris, 379-1035) regarding the American Disabilities Act requirements and any additional maintenance requirements. (Administration) (Facilities Management)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//Renea Kennec//	5/29/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	5/29/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	6/04/07
Chief, Project Management	Date



Attachment A

Foresta Vicinity Map





Attachment B

Foresta Yosumite National Par

A Changing Landscape

The First Residents

Explore this area and follow the footprints of those who came before you. Indian people resided in Big Meadow for thousands of years. The meadow and surrounding forest provided a supermarket of goods integral to survival. Women gathered acorns from oak trees and pounded them into flour for food. Milkweed, deergrass, bracken fern, and other plants abundant in these meadows were used for baskets, medicine, and string.

A New Route to Yosemite

The way of life began to change dramatically in the mid-1800s. By 1874, the Coulterville and Yosemite Turnpike Company completed the first wagon road over rugged terrain into Yosemite Valley. The Coulterville Road provided an important route for commerce and tourism. You now stand on the old roadbed. A stage stop welcomed weary travelers at the Meyer property in front of you.

Buildings of the Past

The oldest historic structures here are the two barns on the edge of Big Meadow. Built largely of native materials in a local style, they feature hand-hewn timbers, peeled logs, fieldstone foundations, and hand-split sugar pine shakes for roofs. You are welcome to walk down the Coulterville Road for a closer exploration of these buildings.

The funding for this project was provided through a grant from the Yosemite Fund, Same Issue Photos courtesy of Yosemite Research Library.



Bedrock mortars, or pounding rocks, are found near her were used to pound acorns into flour.



wagons, stagecoaches, and eventually automobiles transported supplies, mail, and tourists into Yosemite Valley via the Coultervil Road—the unpaved road you now stand upon.



the National Park Service restored them be

Attachment C



Foresta – Proposed Exhibit Site

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-068 PEPC Project Number: 18751

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Foresta Meyer Barn Wayside Exhibit

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Foresta, Mariposa County, California

Big Meadow

Project Manager: Victoria Mates, Interpretation and Education, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: This project is a second phase of the Foresta Barn restoration project. This interpretive wayside exhibit panel interprets the cultural landscape with photos and captions about the Meyers Barn, Coulterville Road, and American Indian ties. Language and graphics were reviewed and approved by subject matter experts and the American Indian components came from text already submitted for tribal review on another wayside project. The panel installation requires one post hole to be dug and a concrete footer poured. It will be within the road prism in a previously disturbed area.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps		
b. Drawings		
c. Site Plans		
d. Photographs		
e. Sample		
f. List of Materials		
g. Other (Explain)		

** Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been				
	surveyed to identify historic properties?	\boxtimes			YOSE 1991A; Foresta Archeological
	If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				District
	a. Would the proposed action affect a				Description (11)
	known historic property?		\square		Post hole excavation will avoid resources.
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		Energia en este en l'Alle de re
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Foresta Historic Landscape	\Box	\square	Sign	compatible with historic character.
	b. Archeological sites		$\overline{\boxtimes}$	-	vation for post hole will avoid deposits.
	с.	Ē	Ē		1 1
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach	X 7	NT-		Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		
	a. American Indian traditional use area.		\bowtie	Exca	vation for post hole will avoid traditional
				resou	rces.
	b.	Ц	Ц		
	с.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes		
	elements from a historic structure				
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\square		
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a 		\boxtimes		
	historic structure				
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a	_		_	
	historic setting or environment (including		\boxtimes		
	terrain)				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements				
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)	\boxtimes			Sign is compatible with historic character.
	to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes		Deposits will be avoided.
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic				
			\boxtimes		Traditional macaumana will be avoided
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\square		Traditional resources will be avoided.
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
	historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape				
	elements, or archeological or		\boxtimes		
	ethnographic resources				
	Involve a real property transaction				
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,				
	the exchange, sale, or lease of land or		\boxtimes		
	structures)				
	• Potentially affect presently unidentified				
	historic resources		\boxtimes		
	Other				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: <u>5/08/07</u>

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 5/19/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:	
Signature of Archeologist: //Laura	<u>Kirn//</u>	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	

Signature of Curator:	

Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
fect> or write it here >>	
	D (5/00/07
Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 5/09/07
e Effect''	
G D //	
Sueann Brown//	
t Name: Dave Humphrev	Date: 5/09/07
- 1 0	
	fect> or write it here >>

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //David T. Humphrey//

Preservation Specialist	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 5/09/07			
Comments:					
Assessment of Effect: "No Adver	se Effect''				
Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions					
Signature of Preservation Speciali	st: //Rod B Kannac//				
Signature of Treservation Speciali	St//Kou D. Kennee//				
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:			
Comments:					
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" e<=""></choose>	ffect> or write it here >>				
Recommended Conditions:					
Circulation CNL (inc. A second s					
Signature of Native American Lia	ison:	-			
		_			
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:			
connicits.					
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose>					
Recommended Conditions:					

Signature of <Enter Specialist's Title>: _____

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Signature:	//Niki Stephanie Nicolas//		5/14/07
-	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division		

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

<Choose Type of Undertaking>

Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 5/16/07

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //R.. Kevin Cann//

Michael J. Tollefson

Date: <u>6/05/07</u>