

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

- To: Tom Darcy, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park
- From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park
- Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2003-009 Valley Maintenance Building #527 Unsafe Door Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (8) - *Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance--for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//Cicely Muldoon// Michael J. Tollefson <u>8/6/07</u> Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2003-009 PEPC Project Number: 19393

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Valley Maintenance Building #527 Unsafe Door ReplacementLocation: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, CaliforniaProject Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (8) - *Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance--for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Environmental Screening Form

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//Cicely Muldoon//

8/6/07 Date

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2003-009 PEPC Project Number: 19393

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Valley Maintenance Building #527 Unsafe Door Replacement Location: Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project proposes to replace five original doors in the Yosemite Valley Group Utility Building (Fort Yosemite, B73), built in 1935, which is a contributing structure to the Yosemite Village developed area listed in the Yosemite Valley District and placed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2006. The doors are character defining elements to the building. Currently, seven of the personnel doors do not comply with 29 CFR 1910.36(b) Headroom; 29 CFR Sec. 5 (1) Fundamental Requirements; UBC 1003.3.1.3 width and height.

Under the supervision of the park Historical Architect, the original doors will be removed, cleaned, labeled, photo documented, and stored safely and securely on location. Photo documentation will occur before and after removal, and include storage facilities. All records will be deposited in the park archives. In addition, photographs meeting the standards of the Historic American Building Survey (HABS) will be taken prior to removal, including exterior elevations with the doors intact and detailed photographs of doors that are being removed. These will be submitted to HABS. The original doors will be strapped to an adjoining interior wall and encased with a framed and sheeted wall to minimize exposure. Signs will be affixed to the protective cover and the doors, alerting their historical value to the building and instructing that they shall not be moved or discarded. The utility shop door will be replaced with a wood framed wall containing a personnel door and a sectional garage door, designed to be compatible with the existing building design in consultation with the park Historical Architect. The replacements for the four remaining doors will be designed to match the existing doors as closely as possible, including window sizing and placement and exterior wall and door treatments. Final design of these doors will be approved by the park Historical Architect and the Resources Management and Science Division Chief.

14	ble DI – Dackgi bullu Illibi illatioli				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Facilities Management and Resources Management and Science staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B1 – Background Information

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps	\boxtimes			Yosemite Village map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photos of existing doors; see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality		\bowtie		
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible: temporary noises during door installation.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\square		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\square		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Yosemite Village Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\square		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?				
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?				
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act? . Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or				
10	requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?				
	. Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12	. Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13	. Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14	. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15	. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		
16	. Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17	. Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18	. Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\square		
	. Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		
C 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes					
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes					
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes					
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		\square					
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.							
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1. None							

F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?		\boxtimes		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				Yosemite Village Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i> <i>Classified Structures</i> ?	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Group Utility Building (Fort Yosemite) #059779
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?		\boxtimes		
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?	\bowtie			Fort Yosemite; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
If "	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:		No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes", name the river(s)		\boxtimes					
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?			\square				
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes				
4. Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.			\boxtimes				
5a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\bowtie					
5b. If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes				
5c. If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes				
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.							
Mitigations and Conditions:							
1. None							

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance--for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.


Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. None

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Renea Kennec//	8/1/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	8/1/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	8/2/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

Attachment A



Valley Maintenance Building #527

Attachment B



Valley Maintenance Building – Buildings and Grounds



Valley Maintenance Building - Utilities

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2003-009 PEPC Project Number: 19393

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Valley Maintenance Building #527 Unsafe Door Replacement

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Yosemite Valley Group Utility Building (Fort Yosemite, B73), built in 1935

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: This project proposes to replace five original doors in the Yosemite Valley Group Utility Building (Fort Yosemite, B73), built in 1935, which is a contributing structure to the Yosemite Village developed area listed in the Yosemite Valley District and placed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2006. The doors are character defining elements to the building. Currently, seven of the personnel doors do not comply with 29CFR 1910.36(b) Headroom; 29CFR Sec. 5 (1) Fundamental Requirements; UBC 1003.3.1.3 width and height.

Under the supervision of the park Historical Architect, the original doors will be removed, cleaned, labeled, photo documented, and stored safely and securely on location. Photo documentation will occur before and after removal, and include storage facilities. All records will be deposited in the park archives. In addition, photographs meeting the standards of the Historic American Building Survey (HABS) will be taken prior to removal, including exterior elevations with the doors intact and detailed photographs of doors that are being removed. These will be submitted to HABS. The original doors will be strapped to an adjoining interior wall and encased with a framed and sheeted wall to minimize exposure. Signs will be affixed to the protective cover and the doors, alerting their historical value to the building and instructing that they shall not to moved or discarded. The utility shop door will be replaced with a wood framed wall containing a personnel door and a sectional garage door, designed to be compatible with the existing building design in consultation with the park Historical Architect. The replacements for the four remaining doors will be designed to match the existing doors as closely as possible, including window sizing and placement and exterior wall and door treatments. Final design of these doors will be approved by the park Historical Architect and the RMS Division Chief.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

** Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Village Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District.
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	\boxtimes			
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Fort Yosemite, B73	\boxtimes			s are character defining elements of the ibuting structure.
	b. Cultural Landscape				al impact on Yosemite Village Historic ict cultural landscape.
	с.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?	Ī.	
	Effect to which American Indians attach	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance: a. None				
	b.				
	с.				
4		T 7	NT		
4.	The proposed action will:Destroy, remove, or alter features or	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	elements from a historic structure	\boxtimes			Remove character defining doors.
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure 	\boxtimes			Replace historic doors with a compatible door design.
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)	\boxtimes			Doors contribute to the visual setting of the Yosemite Village Historic District.
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			Replacement doors will be compatible to the historic setting.
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\square		
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)		\boxtimes		
	• Potentially affect presently unidentified		\boxtimes		
	historic resourcesOther				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: <u>8/1/07</u>

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date:					
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:						
Signature of Archeologist:							
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:					
Assessment of Effect:							
Recommended Conditions:							
Signature of Cultural Anthropologist:							
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:					
Assessment of Effect:							
Recommended Conditions:							

Signature of Curator:

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:		
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Historian.				
Signature of Historian:				
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date:		
Comments:				
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Historic Architect:				
Historical Landscape Architect	Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 7/26/07		
Comments:	Tume. Dave Humpiney	Dute. 1/20/07		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"				
Recommended Conditions:				

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //David T. Humphrey//

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions				
Signature of Preservation Specialist:				
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Native American Liaison:				
<enter specialist="" title=""></enter> Comments:	Name:	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:				

Signature of <Enter Specialist's Title>: _____

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Signature:	//Niki Stephanie Nicholas//	Date:	7/26/07
-	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division		

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

<Choose Type of Undertaking>

Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

□ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 8/1/07

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

 Signature of Superintendent:
 //Cicely Muldoon//
 Date:
 8/6/07

 Michael J. Tollefson