

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Glen Rothell, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-109 El Portal Road Reconstruction Cascades Staging Areas

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (18) - Installation of fencing enclosures, exclosures, or boundary fencing posing no effect on wildlife migrations.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann// Acting
Michael J. Tollefson

10/02/07 Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109
PEPC Project Number: 19853

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Road Reconstruction Cascades Staging Areas **Location:** El Portal Road, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Glen Rothell, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (18) - Installation of fencing enclosures, exclosures, or boundary fencing posing no effect on wildlife migrations.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

| Environmental Screening Form | Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX) | Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis | Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

DECISION

Other:

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann// Acting 10/02/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachment (1)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109

PEPC Project Number: 19853

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Road Reconstruction Cascades Staging Areas Location: El Portal Road, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Glen Rothell, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The reconstruction of the El Portal Road will require an additional staging area to those already identified for this project. The parking area at the Big Oak Flat Road intersection and the south parking lot at Cascades have already been designated as staging for this project. This area was used during the earlier reconstruction project for similar purposes. We expect the Big Oak Flat intersection lot will be used to stockpile facing rock, the Cascades north lot for a staging area, the Cascades south lot for a processing area.

The initial task in this project involves removing the eastbound lane down to the level of the river in the area being reconstructed. The Cascades south lot is not large enough to process and store all this removed material. The crusher operation alone will take up this lot.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Project Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?		\boxtimes		
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?			\boxtimes	
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
<u>Ta</u>	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Map			ᆜ	Vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)			\Box	
3.	Site Plans			ᆜᆜ	
4.	Photographs	Ш			
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6	Other (Explain)		\square		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

1. Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	
2. From geohazards	
•	
3. Air quality	
4. Soundscapes	
5. Water quality or quantity	
6. Stream flow characteristics	
7. Marine or estuarine resources	
8. Floodplains or wetlands	
9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use	
10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine	
11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their \(\sum \sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	
Yosemite National Park is a World Heritan no historic properties would be adversely by implementing this project; see Section National Historic Preservation Act Check below.	affected F,
13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat	
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	
15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) See Section D. Condition 2, Mandatory C below.	Criteria,
16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.	
Temporary closure of the parking areas w the shoulder season from October 2007 to 2008.	
18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	
19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure	
20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.	
21. Energy resources	
22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies	
23. Resource, including energy, conservation	
24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.	
25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	
26 Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None	

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:	1	,		

1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109 4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes			
2	endangered species (Federal or State)?					
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes			
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\boxtimes	П		
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status					
	species listed above?	Ш		Ш		
_	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-	Status !	Specie	es Chec	klist must be completed and attached.	
Co 1.	mments, Mitigations and Conditions: None					
1.	None					
_						
	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA					
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1. 2.	Will there be ground disturbance? Are there any archeological sites?	H				
3.	Are there any Native American Indian					
٥.	traditional cultural resources?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,					
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an					
	archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape		\boxtimes			
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing					
	on the National Register?					
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes			
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>		\boxtimes			
7.	Classified Structures? Is there any cultural resource requiring an					
,.	evaluation of eligibility as a historic					
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before	Ш		Ш		
0	an affect determination can be made?					
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element		\boxtimes			
	covered by 2-7, above?	ш		Ш		
If '	'yes' to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (YC	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.	
Mi	tigations and Conditions:					
1.	None					
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST					
Is t	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes			
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?					
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions:						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River.	
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes			
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes			
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.					
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?	\boxtimes			Wildcat and Tamarack Creeks.	
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		\boxtimes			
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?		\boxtimes			
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None					

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (18) - Installation of fencing enclosures, exclosures, or boundary fencing posing no effect on wildlife migrations.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)
- 2. Ensure that vehicles have an adequate chain installation area. (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

// Renea Kennec// Acting	10/03/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Ann Roberts// Acting	10/03/07
Compliance Specialist //Ann Roberts// Acting Compliance Program Manager //Bill Delaney//	Date
//Bill Delaney//	10/03/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-109

Attachment A



El Portal Reconstruction Cascades Staging Areas