

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Dennis Waheed, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-103 Parkwide Campground Site Marker Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance--for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann// Acting
Michael J. Tollefson

<u>09/28/07</u> Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103
PEPC Project Number: 19678

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Parkwide Campground Site Marker Replacement

Location: Parkwide, Mariposa, Tuolumne, and Madera Counties, California

Project Manager: Dennis Waheed, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance--for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Environmental Screening Form	
Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)	
Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis	
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination	
Other:	

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-103**

PEPC Project Number: 19678

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Parkwide Campground Site Marker Replacement

Location: Parkwide, Mariposa, Tuolumne, and Madera Counties, California

Project Manager: Dennis Waheed, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Currently, there are 1450 site markers in the 14 campgrounds in Yosemite National Park. Over 90% of these site markers are non-compliant to the sign standards in Director's Order 52C, which established new sign standards in 2006. In the 1970's, cement logs were installed as site markers with stenciled site numbers. These are high maintenance markers that need constant attention, which defers our maintenance staff from other needed work/repairs. The non-reflective site markers have been a continuing problem with our visitors, visitor protection, and campground staff. A comprehensive replacement project is needed to comply with Director Order 52C, National Park Service UniGuide Sign System and standardize our campgrounds. The project will be planned in consultation with the park archeology staff. Generally, new boundary markers will be replaced in the same location as existing markers, thereby avoiding new ground disturbance. If new ground disturbance in archeological sites is unavoidable, an archeologist will monitor the posthole excavations. Due to historic preservation issues, Camp 4 is excluded from this project.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Facilities Management and Business and Revenue Management.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.				
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps	\boxtimes			Parkwide campground map; see
	1.140				Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4	Photographs	\square			Proposed and existing site markers; see
4.	Filotographis		Ш	ш	Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Site markers will be buried in 18" - 24" holes in or
	-			_	in close proximity to the existing markers.
2. 3.	From geohazards Air quality	H			
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible: temporary noises during marker installation.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.				
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\boxtimes			Site markers that are safe and visible would enhance the visitor experience and be consistent throughout the park.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				No historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.			\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
Cor 1.	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103 3 of 6

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		\boxtimes		
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
C o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103 4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or				
3.	State)? Park rare plants or vegetation?	$\overline{\Box}$	\square		
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status				
••	species listed above?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC'	Г СН	ECKLIST
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
					Site markers will be buried in 18" - 24"
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes		Ш	existing marker holes in or in close proximity
					to the existing markers.
			_		The project will be planned in consultation with the archeology staff and new ground
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	\boxtimes			disturbance will be monitored by park
					archeology staff.
3.	Are there any Native American Indian	П			
	traditional cultural resources?	ш		ш	
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,				
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse
	district or site, or any associated landscape	\boxtimes			Effect;" see the attached XXX.
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing				
	on the National Register?				
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>		\boxtimes		
7.	Classified Structures? Is there any cultural resource requiring an				
٠.	evaluation of eligibility as a historic				
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	an affect determination can be made?				
8	Would there be alteration of any historic				
	property or associated landscape element	Ш	\bowtie	Ш	
If '	covered by 2-7, above? 'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (V	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached
	tigations and Conditions:	or Life	Cts 10	1111 (1)	OSL-AAA) must be completed and attached.
1.	None				
G	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?				Data recute to Determine/Notes
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?				
-	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	inimun		uiremei	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced and Tuolumne River
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?				
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4. Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.				
5a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
5b. If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes	
5c. If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA S	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions:	•	•	•	
1. None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance--for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. Ensure that the site marker height does not exceed 36 inches. (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Renea Kennec// Acting	09/18/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A. Butler//	09/19/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	09/19/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

A-1

Attachment A







New post Reflective Graphics Existing Site Marker

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-103
PEPC Project Number: 19678

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Parkwide Campground Site Marker Replacement

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Parkwide, Mariposa, Tuolumne, and Madera Counties, California

Project Manager: Dennis Waheed, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: Currently, there are 1450 site markers in the 14 campgrounds in Yosemite National Park. Over 90% of these site markers are non-compliant to the sign standards in Director's Order 52C, which established new sign standards in 2006. In the 1970's cement logs were installed as site markers with stenciled site numbers. These are high maintenance markers that need constant attention, which defers our maintenance staff from other needed work/repairs. The non-reflective site markers have been a continuing problem with our visitors, visitor protection and campground staff. A comprehensive replacement project is needed to comply with Director Order 52C, National Park Service UniGuide Sign System and standardize our campgrounds. The project will be planned in consultation with the park archeology staff. Generally, new boundary markers will be replaced in the same location as existing markers, thereby avoiding new ground disturbance. If new ground disturbance in archeological sites is unavoidable, an archeologist will monitor the posthole excavations. Due to historic preservation issues, Camp 4 is excluded from this project.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).			\boxtimes	This is a parkwide effort; survey is selected areas has occurred.
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	\boxtimes			There is potential for impact in campgrounds that are in historic or archeological districts.
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a.			N/A	
	b.				
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Unknown		\boxtimes		s will not impact Native American cultural and ous resources.
	b.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				Signs may be in historic setting, but are not contributing elements.
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape 		\boxtimes		
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				Placement will be determined in consultation with park archeology staff.
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 		\boxtimes		
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape				
	elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 ethnographic resources Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or 				

3 of 8

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

The project will be planned in consultation with the Archeology Staff. Generally, new boundary markers will be replaced in the same location as existing markers, thereby avoiding new ground disturbance. If new ground disturbance in archeological sites is unavoidable, an archeologist will monitor the posthole excavations. Due to historic presersevation issues, Camp 4 is excluded from this project.

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: <u>08/07/07</u>

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-103

4 of 8

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Recommended Conditions:

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 08/08/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: C	
Signature of Archeologist: //Laura I	Kirn//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<="">ect: <choose b="" eff<=""></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<="">e</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	

Yosemite National Park
Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Compliance Tracking No. **2007-103** 5 of 8

Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
ect> or write it here >>	
Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 08/08/07
Effect	
Sueann Brown//	
Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 08/08/07
Effect	
Architect: //David T Humphrey//	
	Name: Charles Palmer ect> or write it here >>

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 08/08/07
Assessment of Effect: No Effect		
Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions:	mended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specialist	t: <u>//Rod Kennec//</u>	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Eff	fect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Liais	son:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose eff<="" td=""><td>fect> or write it here >></td><td></td></choose>	fect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of < Enter Specialist's Titl	e>·	

Compliance Tracking No. **2007-103** 7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Hi	storic I	Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed	l and Accepted by:
Sig	gnatur	e: //Niki Stephanie Nicholas// Date: 08/09/07
		Chief of Resources Management & Science Division
		pliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
		Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
		Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
		Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
		<choose of="" type="" undertaking=""></choose>
		Plan-Related Undertaking
		Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		Undertaking Related to Another Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
		Agreement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>
		Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	\boxtimes	Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: **Jeannette Simons**

Title: **Historic Preservation Officer**

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 09/28/07

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann// Date: 09/28/07

Michael J. Tollefson