# **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-118**PEPC Project Number: **20043** 

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Yosemite Facelift 2007

Location: Parkwide, Mariposa, Tuolumne, and Madera Counties, California

**Project Manager:** Elexis Mayer, Planning, Yosemite National Park **Project Manager:** Ken Yager, Yosemite Climbing Association

#### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 E (4) - Removal of non-historic materials and structures in order to restore natural conditions when the removal has no potential for environmental impacts, including impacts to cultural landscapes or archeological resources.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

$\times$	Environmental Screening Form
$\times$	Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)
	Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
	Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
	Other:

#### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//Michael J. Tollefson// 09/25/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Elexis Mayer, Project Manager, Planning, Yosemite National Park

Ken Yager, Project Manager, Yosemite Climbing Association

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-118 Yosemite Facelift 2007

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 E(4) - Removal of non-historic materials and structures in order to restore natural conditions when the removal has no potential for environmental impacts, including impacts to cultural landscapes or archeological resources.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

\_//Michael J. Tollefson//
Michael J. Tollefson

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

09/25/07

Date

## **Environmental Screening Form**

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-118** 

PEPC Project Number: 20043

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Facelift 2007

Location: Parkwide, Mariposa, Tuolumne, and Madera Counties, California

**Project Manager:** Elexis Mayer, Planning, Yosemite National Park **Project Manager:** Ken Yager, Yosemite Climbing Association

#### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The Yosemite Climbing Association (YCA) will be hosting the Fourth Annual "Yosemite Facelift" this September 26, 2007, thru September 30, 2007. This parkwide trash removal event is conducted by volunteers. Last year over 4,000 lbs of trash were collected within the park.

Each year the YCA identifies specific areas of interests with known NPS operational connections. Park Resources Management and Science (RMS) and Facilities Management staffs have worked directly with the YCA to conduct site visits prior to trash removal activities and/or are available onsite during removal operations to ensure that safety precautions and resource considerations are implemented. Please see attached spreadsheet prepared by RMS archeology staff; these maps identify locations of activities.

This year, the following specific locations have been identified for removal:

- 1) South Landing This area includes the upper bone yard and the shooting range. Removal includes scrap metal, old wood, plastics, asphalt, abandoned equipment and supplies, and thousands of ammunition casings at the shooting range. The YCA would like to have a metal bin delivered to South Landing, due to the expected high volume of material to be removed. RMS staff archeologists have been to the location.
- 2) Crane Flat Sledding Area Proximate to South Landing, contains more scrap metal and general debris that appear to be operational.
- 3) Abandoned Phone Pole Removal at Cascades Housing Area to Powerhouse Approximately 9 to 11 old/abandoned phone poles remain upright with random phone lines attached. This work will be supervised by High Voltage staff and removed by hand.
- 4) Old Nash Car off Wawona Road North of Grouse Creek, near Turtleback Dome, there is an old car approximately 200 feet down slope of the roadway. Traffic control will be necessary and in place during removal.
- 5) Cascades Powerhouse Removal of abandoned pipe and power pole in the Merced River.

**Table B1 – Background Information** N/A Explanation/Notes  $\mathbf{No}$ Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list Resources Management and Science and  $\boxtimes$ attendees. If no, explain. Facilities Management staff. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved  $\boxtimes$ plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the  $\boxtimes$ plan and provide a section or page citation. 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? M 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? X 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes?  $\boxtimes$ 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.) Explanation/Notes Yes No N/A Cascades, Wawona Road Corridor, South  $\boxtimes$ Landing, and Wawona Road Car maps; see Maps Attachment A. 2. Drawings (e.g., design, construction) Site Plans 4. Photographs Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain) 5. Other (Explain)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-118

3 of 7

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\boxtimes$			The removal of the Nash car will disrupt 200' of soils; area will be restored to natural conditions.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Air quality	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: air emissions will be temporary.
4.	Soundscapes	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: temporary noises during the cleanup.
5.	Water quality or quantity	$\overline{\Box}$	$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics	$\overline{\Box}$	$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources	$\overline{\Box}$		$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands	$\overline{\Box}$	$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	$\boxtimes$			Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		$\boxtimes$		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	$\boxtimes$			This project involves the removal of trash in many locations in the park which will enhance the visitor experience.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\boxtimes$		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity				This project meets the park's long-term management of resources by maintaining a clean environment.
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$		
Con 1.	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

# Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-118 4 of 7

## D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.			
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$					
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		$\boxtimes$					
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$					
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$					
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?							
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		$\boxtimes$					
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$					
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?							
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?							
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?							
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		$\boxtimes$					
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$					
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?							
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?							
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$					
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?							
	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:  1. Submit a Job Hazard Analysis to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before							

1. Submit a Job Hazard Analysis to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before beginning project work.

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-118
-	_		5 of 7

## E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?						
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$				
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status		$\boxtimes$				
	species listed above?	ш		ш			
	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC.	r CH	ECKLIST		
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
					The removal of the Nash car will disrupt 200'		
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	$\boxtimes$			of soils; area will be restored to natural		
					conditions.		
2	A ma thoma amy amahaa la aigal aitas?	$\square$			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	$\boxtimes$	ш	ш	Effect;" see the cultural resources speadsheet and the attached XXX.		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian				Any negative actions will be mitigated to		
٥.	traditional cultural resources?	$\boxtimes$	Ш	Ш	avoid affects.		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,						
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse		
	archeological district or site, or a historic		П	П	Effect;" see the Cultural Resources		
	district or site, or any associated landscape				speadsheet and the attached XXX.		
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				•		
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	П	$\boxtimes$	П			
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>						
	Classified Structures?	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш			
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an						
	evaluation of eligibility as a historic	П	$\boxtimes$	П			
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before			ш			
0	an affect determination can be made?						
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element						
	covered by 2-7, above?	Ш		Ш			
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
	tigations and Conditions:	-			, ,		
1.	None						
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST						
Is	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$				
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$				
	If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-118 6 of 7

## H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)	$\boxtimes$			Merced River		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?	$\boxtimes$			Grouse Creek		
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		$\boxtimes$				
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?						
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•					
1.	None						

### Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-118

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 E (4) - Removal of non-historic materials and structures in order to restore natural conditions when the removal has no potential for environmental impacts, including impacts to cultural landscapes or archeological resources.

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


- 1. Submit a Job Hazard Analysis to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before beginning project work. (Safety Plan)
- 2. Implement recommendations from the archeology office, see attached speadsheet. (Resources Management and Science)


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//Renea Kennec//	09/25/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A. Butler//	09/25/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	09/25/07
Chief, Project Management	09/23/07 <b>Date</b>

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

## **Attachment A**







## **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-118
PEPC Project Number: 20043

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**Title:** Yosemite Facelift 2007

**Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

Parkwide, Mariposa and Tuolumne Counties, California

**Project Manager:** Elexis Mayer, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

**Project Description:** The Yosemite Climbing Association (YCA) will be hosting the Fourth Annual "Yosemite Facelift" this September 26, 2007, thru September 30, 2007. This parkwide trash removal event is conducted by volunteers. Last year over 4,000 lbs of trash were collected within the park. Each year the YCA identifies specific areas of interests with known NPS operational connections. Park Resources Management and Science (RMS) and Facilities Management staffs have worked directly with the YCA to conduct site visits prior to trash removal activities and/or are available onsite during removal operations to ensure that safety precautions and resource considerations are implemented. Please see attached spreadsheet prepared by RMS archeology staff; these maps identify locations of activities.

This year, the following specific locations have been identified for removal:

- 1) South Landing This area includes the upper bone yard and the shooting range. Removal includes scrap metal, old wood, plastics, asphalt, abandoned equipment and supplies, and thousands of ammunition casings at the shooting range. The YCA would like to have a metal bin delivered to South Landing, due to the expected high volume of material to be removed. RMS staff archeologists have been to the location.
- 2) Crane Flat Sledding Area Proximate to South Landing, contains more scrap metal and general debris that appear to be operational.
- 3) Abandoned Phone Pole Removal at Cascades Housing Area to Powerhouse Approximately 9 to 11 old/abandoned phone poles remain upright with random phone lines attached. This work will be supervised by High Voltage staff and removed by hand.
- 4) Old Nash Car off Wawona Road North of Grouse Creek, near Turtleback Dome, there is an old car approximately 200 feet down slope of the roadway. Traffic control will be necessary and in place during removal.
- 5) Cascades Powerhouse Removal of abandoned pipe and power pole in the Merced River.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**		Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	$\boxtimes$		CR GIS Maps
b.	Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
c.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d.	Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e.	Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f.	List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	$\boxtimes$			See attached maps and spreadsheets.
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Actions will be mitagated to avoid affects.
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. See attached maps and spreadsheet.		$\boxtimes$	Mitig	gations will avoid affects.
	b.				
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. See attached maps and spreadsheet.		$\boxtimes$	Actio	ons will be mitigated to avoid affects.
	b.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		$\boxtimes$	П	
	elements from a historic structure				
	Replace historic features/elements in kind     Add nonhistoria features/elements to a			Ш	
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure		$\boxtimes$		
	Alter or remove features/elements of a				
	historic setting or environment (including terrain)				Actions will be mitigated to avoid impacts.
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements</li> </ul>	_		_	
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	Ш		Ш	
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\square$		
	terrain	Ш		Ш	
	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$		Actions will be mitigated to avoid impacts.
	terrain				
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
	historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape		$\boxtimes$		Actions will be mitigated to avoid impacts.
	elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	Involve a real property transaction				
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,				
	the exchange, sale, or lease of land or	Ш	$\boxtimes$		
	structures)				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified		$\boxtimes$		
	historic resources  Other				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

See attached spreadsheet for recommended mitigation actions.

**Checklist prepared by:** Jeannette Simons **Date:** <u>09/24/07</u>

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

#### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 9/24/07
Ground Disturbance Involved	Yes: No: X	
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" ef<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose></b>	fect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Archeologist: // signa	ed original signature on file//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Ef	fect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologic	st:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" ef<=""></choose></b>	fect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:				
Comments:						
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" eff<="">e</choose></b>	ect> or write it here >>					
Recommended Conditions:						
Signature of Historian:						
Signature of Historian.						
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 9/24/07				
Comments:						
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" effe<=""></choose></b>	ect> or write it here >>					
Recommended Conditions:						
Signature of Historic Architect: //sig	gned original signature on file//					
Historical Landscana Anabitant	Maria Dava Humahara	Data: 0/24/07				
Historical Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 9/24/07				
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Eff.	act> or write it here >>					
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here &gt;&gt; Recommended Conditions:</choose>						
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: // signed original signature on file//					

<b>Preservation Specialist</b> Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:						
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose effect=""> or write it here &gt;&gt;</choose></b> Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions								
Signature of Preservation Specialist	:							
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:						
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose effect=""> or write it here &gt;&gt;</choose></b> Recommended Conditions:								
Signature of Native American Liaise	on:							
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:						
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effections:	ect> or write it here >>							
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e&gt;:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:							

# D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**1. Review by specialists:** The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

	_	Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed	l and Accepted by:
Sig	gnaturo	e: //Erin Davenport (Acting)// Chief of Resources Management & Science Division  Date: 9/24/07
2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 procueds and requirements for this undertaking.		
		Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
		Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
		Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
		<choose of="" type="" undertaking=""></choose>
		Plan-Related Undertaking
		Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		<b>Undertaking Related to Another Agreement</b>
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
		Agreement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>
		Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	$\boxtimes$	Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

#### 3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Implement recommendations from RMS Archeology Office on attached spreadsheet.

#### Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 9/24/07

#### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //Michael J. Tollefson// Date: 9/25/07

Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.