Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-066 PEPC Project Number: 18691

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Wastewater Treatment Plant Reclaimed Water Tank Installation

Location: El Portal, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Glen Rothell, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Environmental Screening Form

Attachments

(2)

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (16) - Installation of underground utilities in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance or areas within an existing road prism or within an existing overhead utility right-of-way.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under Project Mitigations and Conditions in Section I at the end of the attached Environmental Screening Form.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Wilderness Minimum Requirer	nent Analysis							
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination								
Other:								
C. DECISION								
On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described DO12, Section 3.4.								
//R.Kevin Cann//	6/04/07							
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date							
Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent	The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance							
Attachments (2)	Office in Yosemite National Park.							



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Glen Rothell, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-066 El Portal Wastewater Treatment Plant Reclaimed Water

Tank Installation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(16) - Installation of underground utilities in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance or areas within an existing road prism or within an existing overhead utility right-of-way.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann//
Michael J. Tollefson
Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-066**PEPC Project Number: **18691**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Wastewater Treatment Plant Reclaimed Water Tank Installation

Location: El Portal, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Glen Rothell, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project provides a storage tank for the reclaimed water system, a component of the El Portal wastewater treatment system. The precast concrete tank with the dimensions of 33' 4" long x 7' 8" wide x 7' 11" deep will be installed underground and connect to the existing piping. It includes modifying existing flow meters, valves and piping associated with the reclaimed water system. This reclaimed water storage tank is to be used in the newly installed ultraviolet disinfection system.

Table B1 – Background Information

6. Other (Explain)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Project Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps	\boxtimes	Ш		Vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	\boxtimes			Tank specifications; see Attachment B.
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photo of proposed site; see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-066 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes				
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Tank will be buried underground 34' long by 8' wide by 8' deep.				
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		·				
3.	Air quality	\boxtimes			Negligible: temporary during installation.				
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible: temporary during installation.				
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes						
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes						
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes					
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes						
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes						
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes						
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat								
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		\boxtimes						
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes						
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes						
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	\boxtimes			Mitigated: see Section D. Condition 2, Mandatory Criteria, below.				
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes						
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes						
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Negligible; the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and attached XXX.				
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes						
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.								
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes						
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes						
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes						
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes						
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	\boxtimes			The tank installation improves the park's long- term management of resources by providing support to the ultraviolet disinfection system.				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				·				
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:								
1.	None								

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-066 3 of 6

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see Condition 1, below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?				
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:	O.CC.	(D		man 270 1070) for review and ammercal before

- Develop and submit a Safety Plan to the park Safety Office (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before
 proceeding with this work.
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-	-066
4	of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

XX 7:	thin the area of natural affect, are there.	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	res	NO	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?						
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes				
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\boxtimes	П			
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?						
If "	'yes' to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Statue '	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached		
	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:	Jiaius i	эрсск	os Cho	eknst must be completed and attached.		
1.	None						
1.	None						
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	r chi	ECKLIST		
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
					Tank will be buried underground 34' long by		
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			8' wide by 8' deep in a previously disturbed area.		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	\boxtimes		П	El Portal Archeological District		
2	•			_	Previously impacted area; project will not		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?	\boxtimes			include additional impacts to traditional resources; see the attached XXX.		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing	\boxtimes			El Portal Archeological District; the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see the attached XXX.		
5.	on the <i>National Register</i> ? Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes				
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>			Ш			
	Classified Structures?						
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?						
8.	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?		\boxtimes				
	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						
G.	G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST						
Is t	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "	If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-066 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes			
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?						
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (16) - Installation of underground utilities in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance or areas within an existing road prism or within an existing overhead utility right-of-way.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Develop and submit a Safety Plan to the park Safety Office (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Renea Kennec//	5/29/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	5/29/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	5/31/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A




El Portal Administration and Maintenance Complex

Attachment B

Yosemite National Park, El Portal UV Disinfection RFQ #3 March 29, 2007

10,000 GALLON BATTERY SEPTIC TANK

MODEL 2XJZ5000



FOR INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS, DESIGN AND PRODUCT INFORMATION, CONTACT JENSEN PRECAST.

4/4/03 10000ST_2XJZ5000.dwg © 2002 Jensen Precost LIQUID CAPACITY: 10,000 GALLONS.

BOX DESIGN LOAD: H-20 TRAFFIC FROM
1' TO 4' OF SOIL COVER.



Attachment C



Proposed Tank Location – Not to Scale

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-066
PEPC Project Number: 18691

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: El Portal Wastewater Treatment Facility Reclaimed Water Tank Installlation

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

El Portal, Mariposa County, California

El Portal Wastewater Treatment Facility

Project Manager: Glen Rothell, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: This project provides a storage tank for the reclaimed water system, a component of the El Portal wastewater treatment system. The precast concrete tank with the dimensions of 33' 4" long x 7' 8" wide x 7' 11" deep will be installed underground and connect to the existing piping. It includes modifying existing flow meters, valves and piping associated with the reclaimed water system. This reclaimed water storage tank is to be used in the newly installed ultraviolet disinfection system.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps			•
b.	Drawings			
c.	Site Plans			
d.	Photographs			
e.	Sample			
f.	List of Materials			
g.	Other (Explain)			

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
ric properties?	\boxtimes			El Portal Archeological District
				Previously destroyed deposits; not an historical building, structure or landscape.
s in the Area of	Affec Yes			Explanation/Notes
		\boxtimes	Previ	ously destroyed deposit in project APE.
	Ш	Ш		
of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	$\overline{}$		APE	previously impacted; no additional impact to
	Ш	\boxtimes		ional resources anticipated.
	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
		\boxtimes		
		\boxtimes		
elements of a		\boxtimes		
ment (including				
elements , or atmospheric) tural landscape				
elements , or atmospheric)				Previously disturbed.
elements , or atmospheric) tural landscape archeological				Previously disturbed. Previously disturbed.
elements , or atmospheric) tural landscape archeological alter associated ethnographic				
elements , or atmospheric) tural landscape archeological alter associated ethnographic alter associated e deterioration of tting, landscape				
elements , or atmospheric) tural landscape archeological alter associated ethnographic alter associated ethographic anterioration of ethographic anterioration of ethographic ethographic alter associated				
	effect been ric properties? In the Survey (s). It is in the Area of restructure relements to a relements of a relements relements of a relements of a relements relements of a relements of a relements relements of a relements relements of a relements relements relements of a relements r	Effect been Fic properties? If the Survey (s). Is in the Area of Fig. 1 Fig. 2 Fig. 2 Fig. 3 Fig. 4 Fig.	Effect been Fic properties? In the Survey (s). In affect a Properties? In the Area of For Potential Indians attach Indians attach Indians In	Effect been Fic properties? In the Survey (s). In affect a Previous Indians attach ifficance: Indian Yes No Yes No Affected? Yes No APE tradit Yes No Geatures or Structure Gelements in kind Gelements to a

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 5/08/07

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 5/07/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes:	
Signature of Archeologist: //Laura	! Kirn//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologic	st:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian:		
Signature of Historian.		
Historical Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 5/09/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
recommended Conditions.		
Signature of Historic Architect: _//S	ueann Brown//	
Historical Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 5/09/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: //David T. Humphrey//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 5/09/07		
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"				
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	nended Conditions			
Signature of Preservation Specialist:	_//Rod B Kennec//			
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:		
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on:			
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:		
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e>:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:			
Signature of America pertains of Titles.				

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-066 7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

mo	ınagem	nent policies, standards, g	uate: the proposed action is consi guidelines, or US DOI standards a incorporates measures to avoid A	and guidelines, Rehabilitation of
Re	viewed	d and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnatur	e: //Niki Stephanie Nice Chief of Resources N	holas// Management & Science Division	Date: <u>5/14/07</u>
2.	Com	pliance Requirements:	The following is the park's assess needs and requirements for this u	•
		Standard 36 CFR Part	t 800 Consultation	
		Consultation under 36 CF appropriate historic resour	FR is needed subsequent to the preparate management advisors.	ation of this form and its review by
		Undertaking related to	o the 1995 NPS Programmatic A	greement
			Il conditions for a programmatic excluagreement, and is listed in Stipulation	
		<choose of="" td="" type="" underta<=""><td>ıking></td><td></td></choose>	ıking>	
		Plan-Related Undertal	king	
			of the proposed undertaking were com th the 1995 NPS programmatic agree	
		Undertaking Related t	to Another Agreement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes ten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 80	
		Agreement : <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Relate	ed Undertaking	
			ng is covered for Section 106 purposes ate Historic Preservation Office, and to d repair and recovery	
		Undertaking Related t	to the 1999 Yosemite Programm	atic Agreement
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes lesign, construction, operations and m in Article VII.C.2.	

3. Assessment of Effects: No Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. <Enter Stipulations>

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons// Date: _5/16/07			
E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL				
The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.				
Signature of Superintendent: //R.Kevin Cann// Date: 6/04/07				
	Michael J. Tollefson			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.