Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-033 PEPC Project Number: 17552

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley Photography and Art Business Concession Contract Issuance Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Annette Catamec, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *A* (6) - *Incidental business permits (formerly called commercial use licenses) involving no construction or potential for new environmental impact.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\boxtimes	Environmental	Screening	Form
-------------	---------------	-----------	------

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//

6/4/07 Date

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

- To: Annette Catamec, Project Manager, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park
- From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park
- Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-033 Yosemite Valley Photography and Art Business Concession Contract Issuance

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 A (6) - Incidental business permits (formerly called commercial use licenses) involving no construction or potential for new environmental impact.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann// Michael J. Tollefson <u>6/4/07</u> Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-033 PEPC Project Number: **17552**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley Photography and Art Business Concession Contract Issuance Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Annette Catamec, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The existing concession contract held by the Ansel Adams Gallery will expire on 12/31/2007. This project will include the development and issuance of a new concession contract for an Art and Photography Business. The new concession contract will replace the existing concession contract and authorize visitor services and employee housing within the existing structures and footprint currently assigned under the existing contract.

This compliance action is requested for issuing a concession contract. Concessioner operations are covered by existing compliance documents. Changes to operations or facilities, if any, would not take place until further compliance is completed.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.		\square		
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\square		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\square	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: (vicinity map & site map)				No maps are available.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs		\boxtimes		
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\square		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\square		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\bowtie			The visitor experience will be enhanced by the providing this photography and art business.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Mitigated: The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below, including Conditions 1 and 2.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\square		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below, including Conditions 1 and 2.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\square		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\square		
11	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\square		
12	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\square		
13	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		\boxtimes		
15	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\square		
19	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		
C 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wit	hin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes		
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		\boxtimes		
If "	yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Cheo	cklist must be completed and attached.
Co	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Will there be ground disturbance?		\boxtimes		
2. Are there any archeological sites?	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Archeological District
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4. Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscap element) that is listed or eligible for listin on the <i>National Register</i> ?	pe 🖾			Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Village Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section I. Project Mitigations and Conditions below, and the attached XXX.
5. Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List o</i> <i>Classified Structures</i> ?	f 🖂			Best Studio (Ansel Adams Gallery); Ansel Adams Darkroom; Ansel Adams Residence; Ansel Adams Duplex Residence
7. Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?				
8 Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?				
If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessm	ent of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions:				

1. None

G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)		\boxtimes			
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?			\square		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.					
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes			
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes		
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes		
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:					
1.	None					

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 A (6) - Incidental business permits (formerly called commercial use licenses) involving no construction or potential for new environmental impact.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Change language on page one, paragraph two, 1st sentence of the confidential document "Art and Photography Business" as follows: "The New Concessioner will be assigned the use of four historic structures that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion A and B in the categories of art and conservation for its association with Ansel Adams, his photography and conservation work. These historic properties are listed with state significance in the 1995 Yosemite Village Historic District and with national significance in the 2007 Yosemite Valley Historic District. Resource management and maintenance guidelines for the structures are provided in the National Register Forms and should be implemented in consultation and coordination with the Yosemite National Park Historic Architect, in accordance with the Federal Register Standard Concession Contract. The structures are situated on an archeological site to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance, that is part of the Yosemite Valley Archeological District. Any ground disturbing activities would be coordinated with the Yosemite National Park Archeologist in accordance with the Federal Register Standard Concession Contract." Also in this paragraph, add the List of Classified Structures numbers to the building numbers listed.
- 2. Exhibit D, Assigned Land and Real Property Improvements, under Real Property Improvements assigned, use the following language for the Building Number and Description: "Ansel Adams Gallery historic property, historically known as Best's Studio consisting of four buildings: the gallery (LCS #055936), darkroom (LCS#055937) and 2 residences (LCS 055938 and 055940)".

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the	//Renea Kennec//	5/10/07			
above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the	Compliance Specialist	Date			
National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the	//Mark A. Butler//	5/10/07			
necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and	Compliance Program Manager	Date			
the Endangered Species Act.	//Bill Delaney//	5/23/07			
	Chief, Project Management	Date			
The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.					

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-033 PEPC Project Number: 17552

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Photography and Art Business Concessionaire Contract Issuance

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Ansel Adams Gallery Historic District

Project Manager: Annette Cattamec, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park **Project Description:** The existing concession contract held by the Ansel Adams Gallery will expire on 12/31/2007. This project will include the development and issuance of a new concession contract for an Art and Photography Business. The new concession contract will replace the existing concession contract and authorize visitor services and employee housing within the existing structures and footprint currently assigned under the existing contract.

This compliance action is requested for issuing a concession contract. Concessionaire operations are covered by existing compliance documents. Changes to operations or facilities, if any, would not take place until further compliance is completed.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No		Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS	
b. Drawings		\boxtimes		
c. Site Plans		\boxtimes		
d. Photographs		\boxtimes		
e. Sample		\boxtimes		
f. List of Materials		\boxtimes		
g. Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

** Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Village Historic District LCS data base Yosemite Valley Historic District Yosemite Valley Archeological District
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	\square			Business and lodging will occur in historic structures.
	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	cted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. LCS # 055936 Best Studio (Ansel Adams Gallery)	\boxtimes		Galle	ery
	b. LCS# 055937 Ansel Adams Darkroom	\boxtimes		Galle	ery use as storage.
	 c. LCS# 055938 Ansel Adams Residence d. LCS# 055940 Ansel Adams Duplex Residence e. CA_MRP_56/61/196/298/299/300/301 				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley is a proposed American Indian traditional property, that includes natural and archeological resources				
	b.				
	с.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a				
	historic structure		\boxtimes		
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)		\boxtimes		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape		\boxtimes		
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\square		
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or	\boxtimes			Concessionaire contract for business and residential use.

structures)	
 Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources 	
• Other	

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

<Enter Information or Delete>

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: 4/11/07

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 4/11/07		
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect No Effect Recommended Conditions:	Yes: 🖾 No: 🗌			
Signature of Archeologist: //Laura Kirn//				
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:		
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Cultural Anthropologist:				
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:		
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				

Signature of Curator: _____

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:		
Comments:				
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Historian:				
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 4/11/07		
Comments:				
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse	Effect			
Recommended Conditions:				
Recommended Conditions.				
Signature of Historic Architect: //Sueann Brown//				
Historical Landscape Archited	ct Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 4/11/07		
Comments:	1			
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse Effect				
Recommended Conditions:				

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //David T. Humprey//

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions: Recom	mended Conditions		
Signature of Preservation Specialist:			
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of Native American Liaison:			
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:			

Signature of <Enter Specialist's Title>: _____

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Signature:	//Niki Stephanie Nicholas//		4/12/07
-	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division		

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

<Choose Type of Undertaking>

Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

□ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- Change language on page one, paragraph two, 1st sentence of the confidential document "Art a. and Photography Business" as follows: "The New Concessioner will be assigned the use of four historic structures that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion A and B in the categories of art and conservation for its association with Ansel Adams, his photography and conservation work. These historic properties are listed with state significance in the 1995 Yosemite Village Historic District and with national significance in the 2007 Yosemite Valley Historic District. Resource management and maintenance guidelines for the structures are provided in the National Register Forms and should be implemented in consultation and coordination with the Yosemite National Park Historic Architect, in accordance with the Federal Register Standard Concession Contract. The structures are situated on an archeological site to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance, that is part of the Yosemite Valley Archeological District. Any ground disturbing activities would be coordinated with the Yosemite National Park Archeologist in accordance with the Federal Register Standard Concession Contract." Also in this paragraph, add the LCS numbers to the building numbers listed.
- b. Exhibit D, Assigned Land and Real Property Improvements, under Real Property Improvements assigned, use the following language for the Building Number and Description: "Ansel Adams Gallery historic property, historically known as Best's Studio consisting of four buildings: the gallery (LCS #055936), darkroom (LCS #055937) and 2 residences (LCS #055938 and #055940)."

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title:Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 5/3/07

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

 Signature of Superintendent:
 //R. Kevin Cann//
 Date:
 6/4/07

 Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.