Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Dog Management at
Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA)

Meeting # 6
Thursday, April 5, 2007
3:00 p.m. – 7:30 p.m.
Location: Fort Mason Officer's Club, Upper Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA

DRAFT AGENDA

TIME	TOPIC	DESIRED OUTCOMES	COMMENTS
3:00	*Welcome and introductions*		
3:05	*Agenda review*	• **Outcome**: Approve agenda	• **Supporting materials**: copies of draft agenda
3:10	*Review and adopt September 21, 2006 meeting summary*	• **Outcome**: Approve meeting summary	• **Supporting materials**: copies of Summary (if needed)
3:15	*Updates on Activities since previous meeting (items not on agenda)* • Committee protocols (15 mins) • Summary Presentation to Committee on NPS Management Policies 2006	• **Outcome**: No Committee action; these items are for information and education	• **Supporting materials**: Notice to Committee re: protocols • **Supporting materials**: NPS Management Policies 2006 (as handed out at 2/17/07 Subcommittee meeting) • **Presentations**: Chris Powell (possibly Mai-Liis Bartling); Barbara Goodyear
3:50	*Report from Facilitation Team and Technical Subcommittee on Progress Toward Goal of Recommendations on Alternatives for NEPA Analysis* • Context • Four Technical Subcommittee meetings • Summary of Topics, Results, Challenges • Guiding Principles for Design and Evaluation of Starting Points • Regulated Off-Leash Area (ROLA): Definition of Responsible Off-Leash Dog Control in a ROLA	• **Outcome**: Education of Committee on activity since September • **Outcome**: Action on Recommended Guiding Principles • **Outcome**: Action on recommended approach to refining ROLA definition	• Present Guiding Principles recommended to Committee by Subcommittee at 2/17/07 meeting • Present initial ROLA definition recommended by Subcommittee at 11/9/07 meeting • **Supporting materials**: Guiding Principles (March 13, 2007 version as handed out at 3/29/07 Subcommittee meeting); ROLA recommended definition from Meeting Summary
4:30	BREAK		
4:45	*Presentation from Technical Subcommittee on a Hypothetical Starting Point to Highlight Key Issues* • "Starting Points" Rationale • Example presentation of a Starting Point to highlight key issues	• **Outcome**: Education of full Committee on Starting Points and key issues	• Present concept, rationale, context and "walk-through" of a generic example of how the "Starting Points" concept can be used to begin design and evaluation of options • This example will highlight key issues identified by the Subcommittee that require the Committee's attention • **Supporting Materials**: copies of presentation if available
5:20	*Presentation from NEPA Team on Approaches to Voice Control and*	• **Outcome**: Education	• The NEPA team will explain its research and current plans for addressing voice control and ROLAs in developing a

	ROLA	• **Outcome**: Identify initial Committee support for different voice control approaches	reasonable range of alternatives for analysis • **Supporting Materials**: TBD
5:45	*Committee Discussion and Deliberation*: • ROLA Characteristics • Voice Control • On-leash/no dog areas • Initial and Additional Starting Points • Building consensus on alternatives for NEPA analysis consistent with schedule	• **Outcome**: Committee deliberation on key issues • **Outcome**: Identify Committee agreements • **Outcome**: Committee direction to Subcommittee	• This is intended as a discussion by the Committee that integrates key issues involving ROLA characteristics, possible approaches to voice control, and specific management measures to address Guiding Principles and other criteria • The Committee faces a target of June 30th to develop input
6:55	*Next Steps: Logistics and Timing* • Meeting schedule • Future agenda topics	• **Outcome**: Agreement on next steps through July 2007	• **Supporting materials**: List of dates, times, and locations (if available) for future meetings (1-page handout)
7:15	*Public comment*	• **Outcome**: Opportunity for public to comment on agenda items	• Input to Committee members and alternates from members of the public
7:30	*Adjourn*		

The Committee has designated a maximum of 20 minutes for the public comment portion of the agenda. Up to two minutes will be allocated to any person wishing to provide public comment. The public comment portion of the meeting may be shorter than 20 minutes based on the number of individuals making public comments; time for providing public comment cannot be shared between individuals. Individuals making public comments will be asked to provide their names for the meeting summary.