Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-037**PEPC Project Number: **17801**

the Environmental Planning and Compliance

Office in Yosemite National Park.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemtie Valley Building #576 Boiler Roof Construction and Security Fence Repair

Location: Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Blake Jensen, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Environmental Screening Form

Statutory Compliance File

Project Proponent

☐ Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource and C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Ana	alysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determ	nination
Other:	
C. DECISION	
am familiar, I am categorically excluding the a	mation in the statutory compliance file, with which I described project from further NEPA analysis. No 012 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in
//MJTollefson//	3/12/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
Originals Statutana Compliance File	The signed original of this document is on file at

Attachments (2)

Original:

cc:



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

To: Blake Jensen, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-037 Yosemite Valley Building #576 Boiler Roof Construction

and Security fence Repair (17801)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource and C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

_//MJTollefson//	_3/12/0	07
Michael J. Tollefson	Date	

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037

PEPC Project Number: 17801

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley Building #576 Boiler Roof Construction and Security Fence Repair

Location: Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Blake Jensen, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Repairing the existing security fence involves resupporting the materials of the existing fence. Due to the exposure of much weathering the fence is in a state of disrepair and there has been a work order issued to repair the fence.

BOILER ROOF CONSTRUCTION

Erecting the roofing structure would consist of 4 - 3" x 8' steel posts with a base flange, 2" x 6" Douglas fir rafters (brown metal roof), and 4' x 8' Douglas fir headers with metal brackets. Dimensions of the structure will be 96" high x 108" long x 10' 6" wide. The height of the fence is also 96" high, so the roof would not extend above the security fence. There will be no ground disturbance since the project includes utilizing the existing concrete slab to support the roof posts. The design and pitch of the roof would be in accordance with the rustic tradition of the existing visitor center comfort station roof so it will blend in with the natural setting of the surrounding landscape. This structure would save the park's investment of a new boiler and its components that is scheduled to be installed, from the weather.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Facilities Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.				
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?				
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn	ı Atta	chm	ents (j	provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Project vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	\boxtimes			Roof detail drawing; see Attachment A.
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs				Photos of the existing boiler area; see Attachment B.
5	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\square		
5.	Non-INEL A/INTH A Approvais (Expiain)	_ 니	\square	ш	

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Resources: Solid resources: solis, bedrock, streambeds, etc. Solid resources: solis, bedrock, streambeds, etc. Solid resources: solis, bedrock, streambeds, etc. Solid resources: solid resources Solid resources: solid resources Solid resources: Solid resources:		any impacts possible on the following	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
2. From geohazards 3. Air quality \$\begin{array}{c} \leq	res		Yes	No	N/A	Data recuted to Determine/rects
3. Air quality 4. Soundscapes 5. Water quality or quantity 6. Stream flow characteristics 7. Marine or esturarine resources 8. Floodplains or wetlands 9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use 10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine 11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource provinction resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)? 3. The proposed roof construction and security fence installation would enhance the park's long-term management of resources.	1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc				
4. Soundscapes 5. Water quality or quantity 6. Stream flow characteristics 7. Marine or estuarine resources 8. Floodplains or wetlands 9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use 10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine 11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, etchnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resources or land/resources or geothermal, paleontological resources (e.g., geothermal, paleontological resources)?	2.	-				
5. Water quality or quantity	3.					
6. Stream flow characteristics	4.	•				
7. Marine or estuarine resources 8. Floodplains or wetlands 9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use 10. Rare or unusual vegetation — old growth timber, riparian, alpine 11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)? 27. The proposed roof construction and security fence installation would enhance the park's long-term management of resources.	5.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8. Floodplains or wetlands 9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use 10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine 11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resources)? 26. Other important environment resources (e.g., geothermal, paleontological resources)?	6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use 10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine 11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat Heritage Sites 14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat Original Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below. 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)? 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	7.	Marine or estuarine resources				
ownership, type of use Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, etchnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, etchnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resources productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	8.	•		\boxtimes		
riparian, alpine 11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 14. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat 12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites 13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat Heritage Sites 14. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal) 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies chnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	11.	or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their		\boxtimes		
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	12.		\boxtimes			no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist,
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
or animal) Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources Building #576 is in the Yosemite Valley Historic District: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below and the attached XXX. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. Energy resources Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity The proposed roof construction and security fence installation would enhance the park's long-term management of resources. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?						
visitation, activities, etc. 17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources 18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	15.			\boxtimes		
Building #576 is in the Yosemite Valley Historic District: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below and the attached XXX. 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	16.			\boxtimes		
18. Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources District: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below and the attached XXX. 19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure 20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	18.		\boxtimes			District: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act
ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc. 21. Energy resources 22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies 23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	19.	occupation, income changes, tax base,		\boxtimes		
22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies	20.			\boxtimes		
23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential	21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
potential 24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc. 25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity 26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				\boxtimes		
25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity The proposed roof construction and security fence installation would enhance the park's long-term management of resources. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	23.			\boxtimes		
25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity Installation would enhance the park's long-term management of resources. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	25.					installation would enhance the park's long-term
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:		geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
1. None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037 3 of 6

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1 .		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes		
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		\boxtimes		
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		
1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037 4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

***		T 7	NT.	DT/ A	D 4 N 1 1 4 D 4 1 /N 4
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?				
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?				
4.					
	species listed above?	Ш		Ш	
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
F	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION		г си	FCKI IST
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	H		H	
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?				
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				Yosemite Valley Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see the attached XXX, below.
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?				
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?		\boxtimes		
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?	\boxtimes			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX, below.
If '	'yes" to <u>any</u> of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST	_			
Is	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes		
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes		
If '	'yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness M	inimun	Requ	iiremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?						
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?						
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•	•	•			
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

After careful consideration that the project would have no new environmental impacts, it is determined to be covered by two categorical exclusions: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource and C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


1. None

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Elexis Mayer//	3/6/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Elexis Mayer//	3/6/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
// P 1 1//	2 /0 /07
//Glen Rothell//	3/8/07
Chief, Project Management	Date


The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



Map 1 - Building 576 Boiler Area






Not to Scale VALLEY DISTRICT BOILERS COVER 2x6 D.F. RAFTERS - METAL ROOF 4x8 D.F. HEADERS WITH METAL BRACKETS 4 EA. 3" x 8" STEEL POST W/ FLANGE.

FRONT YIEW

Attachment B









Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-037
PEPC Project Number: 17801

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Yosemite Valley Bldg #576 Boiler Area Roof Construction and Security Fence

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Building 576 setting

Project Manager: Blake Jensen, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: Repairing the existing security fence involves resupporting the materials of the existing fence. Due to the exposure of much weathering the fence is in a state of disrepair and there has been a work order issued to repair the fence.

BOILER ROOF CONSTRUCTION

Erecting the roofing structure would consist of 4 - 3" x 8' steel posts with a base flange, 2" x 6" Douglas fir rafters (brown metal roof), and 4' x 8' Douglas fir headers with metal brackets. Dimensions of the structure will be 96" high x 108" long x 10' 6" wide. The height of the fence is also 96" high, so the roof would not extend above the security fence. There will be no ground disturbance since the project includes utilizing the existing concrete slab to support the roof posts. The design and pitch of the roof would be in accordance with the rustic tradition of the existing visitor center comfort station roof so it will blend in with the natural setting of the surrounding landscape. This structure would save the park's investment of a new boiler and its components, that is scheduled to be installed, from the weather.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**			No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Historic District
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley Historic District cultural landscape			Proje	ct designed to avoid effect.
	b.				
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential Effect to which American Indians attach	Affec	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley is a proposed American Indian Traditional Property				ct will not impact resources associated with aditional property.
	b.				• •
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes	П	
	elements from a historic structure				
	 Replace historic features/elements in kind Add nonhistoric features/elements to a 				
	historic structure				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			New aluminum roof.
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 		\boxtimes		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources		\boxtimes		
	• Other		\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Project designed to avoid effect to historical landscape

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons **Date:** <u>02/14/07</u>

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 2/14/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: No:	
Signature of Archeologist: _//S. Mo	ntague//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date: 2/14/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st: <u>//S. Montague//</u>	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 2/14/07
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:No Effe	ect	
Signature of Historian: <u>///Charles</u>	Palmer//	
Historical Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 2/14/07
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions: No Effe	ect	
Signature of Historic Architect: //	Sueann Brown//	
Historical Landscape Architection Comments:	ct Name: David Humphrey	Date: 2/14/07
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions: No Effe	ect	
Signature of Historic Landscape An	rchitect: //David T. Humphrey//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose> Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions				
Signature of Preservation Specialist:				
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>			
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on:			
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>			
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>?>:</td><td></td></enter>	?> :			

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-037

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106

COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	anagement policies, standards, z storic Buildings, or others, and		standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of states to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	eviewed and Accepted by:		
Si	gnature: //Niki Stephanie Nic		Date: 2/21/07
	Chief of Resources	Management & Science	e Division
2.	Compliance Requirements:		park's assessment of Section 106 process ts for this undertaking.
	☐ Standard 36 CFR Par	t 800 Consultation	
	Consultation under 36 CF appropriate historic resou		o the preparation of this form and its review by s.
	☐ Undertaking related to	o the 1995 NPS Progr	ammatic Agreement
	The above action meets al 1995 NPS programmatic		mmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the n Stipulation IV. B, as:
	<choose of="" td="" type="" underta<=""><td>nking></td><td></td></choose>	nking>	
	☐ Plan-Related Underta	king	
			ng were completed in the context of a plan review imatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
	☐ Undertaking Related	to Another Agreemen	t
			06 purposes under a document such as a CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	Agreement: <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
	☐ Flood-Recovery Relat	ed Undertaking	
		ate Historic Preservation	106 purposes under the letter-based agreement Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation
	☐ Undertaking Related	to the 1999 Yosemite	Programmatic Agreement
		lesign, construction, oper	06 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic ations and maintenance; the undertaking meets

3. Assessment of Effects: No Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:					
Name:	Jeannette Simons				
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer				
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons// Date:	2/21/07			
E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.					
Signature of Superintendent: //MJTollefson// Date: 3/12/07					
	Michael I Tollefson				

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.