Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-021 PEPC Project Number: 17324

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal National Park Service Warehouse Fitness Center Sidewalk Location: El Portal, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(17) - *Minor landscaping in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance*.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

imes	Environmental	Screening	Form
------	---------------	-----------	------

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson//

4/3/07 Date

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

- To: Tom Darcy, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park
- From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park
- Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-021 El Portal National Park Service Warehouse Fitness Center Sidewalk (17324)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (*17*) - *Minor landscaping in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//*MJTollefson//* Michael J. Tollefson

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

4/3/07 Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-021 PEPC Project Number: 17324

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal National Park Service Warehouse Fitness Center Sidewalk Location: El Portal, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project proposes to construct an accessible sidewalk that connects the rear parking lot at the western end of the El Portal Administrative Complex to the back door of the warehouse that will lead to the proposed fitness center. This new segment of sidewalk will be positioned adjacent to the north wall of the warehouse and travel in a westerly direction. This sidewalk (aprox. 50' long and 5' wide) will provide universal access to this facility. To meet ADA standards, the sidewalk's cross slope will not exceed 1.25% and the running slope will not exceed 4.8%. Preparation to pour the concrete for the sidewalk includes removing up to 6" of previously disturbed soil. The existing security fence inside the warehouse that will contain the fitness center will also have a gate installed with a minimum swing of 60" wide. The park archeologist has reviewed the project and determined that there are no archeological issues with the proposed sidewalk.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\square			Facilities Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			\square	
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Vicinity map; see Attachement A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans	\boxtimes			Site plan; see Attachment B.
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photos of existing site; see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Maximum of 6" of previously disturbed soil.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality	\bowtie			Negligible; temporary air emissions during construction.
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible; temporary noises during construction.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\square		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources	Ц		\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\square		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		\boxtimes		
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		The fitness center will provide employees with recreational facilities.
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\bowtie		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see condition 1 below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?				
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\square		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\square		
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		

1. Develop and submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes				
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes				
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes				
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		\square				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.						
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:						

1. None

F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			Maximum of 6" of previously disturbed soil.
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	\boxtimes			El Portal Archeological District: assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see the attached XXX.
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?		\square		
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?		\boxtimes		
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?		\boxtimes		
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes", name the river(s)	\bowtie			Merced River		
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes				
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
 Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain. 		\boxtimes				
5a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b. If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes			
5c. If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:


Impacts of this project are similar to DO12 C(17) - Minor landscaping in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. Develop and submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the	//Elexis Mayer//	3/27/07
above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the	Compliance Specialist	Date
National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the	//Mark Butler//	3/28/07
necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and	Compliance Program Manager	Date
the Endangered Species Act.	//Bill Delaney//	3/28/07
	Chief, Project Management	Date


The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Attachment A

Attachment B

New Sidewalk for Weight Room Wardhouse 5' LOMIN I 1.8% Slope ANY 36 Min Do Not exceed 1 1. 5' wide sidewalk requires 36" min lenght beyond strike side of door (4042.4.1) for moneuving Zo This is a restricted entrance not a dervice entrance on public entrance > 1.25 % < CROSS Slope 3. NPS to accommodate personal with disabilities if requested. g Sec 4.8% 0K-/mg b. 2-6-07 Resta sa 60 min



Walkway will be 5' wide by 50' long and will extend 36" past door opening. Cross slope will not exceed 1.25%. Running slope will not exceed 4.8% in 42' walkway and not exceed 1.8% in 6' at door landing. Opening of fence will be greater than 60".

These specifications we provided by Roy Jacobs.

Attachment C





Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-021 PEPC Project Number: 17324

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: El Portal National Park Service Warehouse Fitness Center Sidewalk

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

El Portal, Mariposa County, California

El Portal Warehouse Complex

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: This project proposes to construct an accessible sidewalk that connects the rear parking lot at the western end of the El Portal Administrative Complex to the back door of the warehouse that will lead to the proposed fitness center. This new segment of sidewalk will be positioned adjacent to the north wall of the warehouse and travel in a westerly direction. This sidewalk (aprox. 50' long and 5' wide) will provide universal access to this facility. To meet ADA standards, the sidewalk's cross slope will not exceed 1.25% and the running slope will not exceed 4.8%. Preparation to pour the concrete for the sidewalk includes removing up to 6" of previously disturbed soil. The existing security fence inside the warehouse that will contain the fitness center will also have a gate installed with a minimum swing of 60" wide. The park archeologist has reviewed the project and determined that there are no archeological issues with the proposed sidewalk.

1. Atta	ched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No		Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes		
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes		
e.	Sample		\boxtimes		
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes		
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

* Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1. Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			El Portal Archeological District
a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
2. List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
a. CA-MRP-183/H		\boxtimes	Portio destro	on of the site in APE has been previously oved.
b.				
с.				
3. List resources in the Area of Potential	Affeo	cted?		
Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
a. CA-MRP-183/H		\boxtimes		on of site in APE has been previously
b.			destro	byed.
р. с.	Н	Н		
4. The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes		
elements from a historic structure				
Replace historic features/elements in kind		\square		
Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure		\boxtimes		
• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)		\bowtie		
Add nonhistoric features/elements			_	
(including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)		\boxtimes		
• Potentially affect presently unidentified		\square		
historic resourcesOther				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: <u>12/11/06</u>

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 12/13/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: 🖾 No: 🗌	
Signature of Archeologist: //Laura	Kirn//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	

Signature of Curator:	
Signature of Curator.	

Date: 12/13/07

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 12/13/07
Comments:		
A		
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian: //Charles	Palmar//	
Signature of Historian. <u>-//enaries i</u>	rumen//	
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 12/13/07
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 12/13/07
Comments:		Date: 12/13/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		Date: 12/13/07
Comments:		Date: 12/13/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		Date: 12/13/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		Date: 12/13/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		Date: 12/13/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		Date: 12/13/07

Historic Landscape Architect	Name: Daniel Schaible
Comments:	

Assessment of Effect: **"No Effect"** Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //Daniel Schaible//

Preservation Specialist	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 12/13/07	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"			
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	mended Conditions		
Signature of Preservation Specialis	t: <u>//Rod B Kennec//</u>		
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose eff<="" td=""><td>fect> or write it here >></td><td></td></choose>	fect> or write it here >>		
Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of Native American Liais	son:		
<enter specialist="" title=""></enter>	Name:	Date:	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose>			
Recommended Conditions:			

Signature of <Enter Specialist's Title>: _____

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Signature:	nature: //Niki Stephanie Nicholas//		12/15/07
	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division		

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

<Choose Type of Undertaking>

Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

□ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 12/21/06

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //MJTollefson//

Michael J. Tollefson

Date: <u>4/3/07</u>

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.