Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-040**PEPC Project Number: **17901**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Attachments

(2)

Title: Ahwahnee Row House #H-114 Refurbishing

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Marty Nielson, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\geq	Environmental Screening Forn	n						
\geq	Preservation Assessment Form	ı (YOSE-XXX)						
	Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis							
	Wild and Scenic River Section	7 Determination						
	Other:							
C. DECI	SION							
am far except	miliar, I am categorically exclud	act information in the statutory compliance file, with which I ling the described project from further NEPA analysis. No ns in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in						
//MJTolle	efson//	_3/12/07_						
Michael J.	. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date						
Original: cc:	Statutory Compliance File Project Proponent	The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.						



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Marty Nielson, Project Manager, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite

National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-040 Ahwahnee Row House #H-114 Refurbishing (17901)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

_//MJTollefson//	3/12/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-040

PEPC Project Number: 17901

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Ahwahnee Row House #H-114 Refurbishing

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Marty Nielson, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

H-114 was rebuilt in 1981 due to a structural fire. At that time, the original fireplace included in the rebuild, was inoperable due to chimney failure and eventually covered with wallboard & dry wall. DNC performed an on site visit with Sueann Brown, NPS History, Architecture, and Landscape Branch, on February 8th to view the structure and discuss the project in terms of historic preservation issues. Based on the site visit, Ms. Brown notified DNC that there were no historic preservation concerns with the project, as discussed during the field visit.

Basic Scope of Work includes:

- Remove all floor coverings and replace with new;
- Remove track lighting and install new;
- Adjust all doors to proper operating conditions (repair screens, re-hang as needed);
- Remove drapery valances in the living room;
- Install new doors on the coat closet in the living room;
- Restore all windows to proper operation (new screens, repair cranks);
- Remove and replace all kitchen cabinets & appliances with new;
- Repair wall texture throughout (remove nails, hooks & repair);
- Remove wall coverings in front of fireplace;
- Repair fireplace mantel and hearth;
- Install a propane fired stove and flu liner at fireplace;
- Paint complete interior.

Contractor will remove wall covering and framing to expose the fireplace and hearth area. Repairs to the hearth and face will be made with like materials as necessary. Walls, ceilings and floors will be repaired as necessary. A stove insert will be installed with a flue liner pipe to ensure safe and proper operation. Sueann Brown has reviewed this aspect of the project and informed DNC that there are no historic preservation issues with the remodel or fireplace refurbishment.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-040

Table B1 - Background Information Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list History, Architecture and Landscape staff. attendees. If no, explain. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved \boxtimes plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the \boxtimes plan and provide a section or page citation. 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? \boxtimes 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.) Yes No N/A Explanation/Notes Vicinity map and house layout; see \boxtimes 1. Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map) Attachment A. 2. Drawings (e.g., design, construction) Site Plans 3. Photos of existing kitchen; see \boxtimes 4. Photographs Attachment B. 5. Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain) Other (Explain)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-040 3 of 7

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Arc	Are any impacts possible on the following							
	ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes					
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes					
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes					
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible; temporary during remodel work.			
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes					
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes					
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes					
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use							
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes					
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes					
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes					
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes					
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes					
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes					
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes					
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Residence H-114 is a contributing element in the Yosemite Valley Historic District: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes					
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes					
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes					
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes					
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes					
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes					
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?							
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-040 4 of 7

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.			\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: The assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes		
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-040
-	_		5 of 7

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.		П	\boxtimes	П	
2	endangered species (Federal or State)?				
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes		
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status		\square		
	species listed above?				
	'yes' to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status !	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
1 1	mments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				
	TYONG				
107	NATIONAL METODIC PRESERVA	TION	. A CIT	r arr	
	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA				
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. 2.	Will there be ground disturbance? Are there any archeological sites?	井		H	
3.	Are there any Native American Indian				
٥.	traditional cultural resources?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,				
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				H-114 is a contributing element of the
	archeological district or site, or a historic	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Historic District cultural landscape: the assessment of effect is "No
	district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing				Effect;" see the attached XXX.
	on the National Register?				Effect, see the attached 77777.
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>		_	_	H-114 is on the list of classified structures:
•	Classified Structures?	\boxtimes	Ш	Ш	the assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see the attached XXX.
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an				the attached AAA.
,.	evaluation of eligibility as a historic				
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	an affect determination can be made?				
8	Would there be alteration of any historic				
	property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?	Ш		Ш	
If '	'yes' to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
	tigations and Conditions:				, <u> </u>
1.	None				
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Is t	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes		
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes		
	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	nimun	Requ	iremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
	tigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-040 6 of 7

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes			
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•		•			
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-040

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


1. None


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Elexis Mayer//	3/6/07	
Compliance Specialist /Elexis Mayer// Compliance Program Manager /Bill Delaney//	Date	
//Elexis Mayer//	3/6/07	
Compliance Program Manager	Date	
//Bill Delaney//	3/6/07	
Chief, Project Management	Date	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A





Attachment B





Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-040**PEPC Project Number: **17901**

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Ahwahnee Row House #H-114 Refurbishing Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Tecoya B111 (H114) (LCS# 059814)

Project Manager: Marty Nielson, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park **Project Description:** H-114 was rebuilt in 1981 due to a structural fire. At that time, the original fireplace included in the rebuild, was inoperable due to chimney failure and eventually covered with wallboard & dry wall. DNC performed an on site visit with Sueann Brown, NPS History, Architecture, and Landscape Branch, on February 8th to view the structure and discuss the project in terms of historic preservation issues. Based on the site visit, Ms. Brown notified DNC that there were no historic preservation concerns with the project, as discussed during the field visit.

Basic Scope of Work includes:

- Remove all floor coverings and replace with new;
- Remove track lighting and install new;
- Adjust all doors to proper operating conditions (repair screens, re-hang as needed);
- Remove drapery valances in the living room;
- Install new doors on the coat closet in the living room;
- Restore all windows to proper operation (new screens, repair cranks);
- Remove and replace all kitchen cabinets & appliances with new;
- Repair wall texture throughout (remove nails, hooks & repair);
- Remove wall coverings in front of fireplace;
- Repair fireplace mantel and hearth;
- Install a propane fired stove and flu liner at fireplace;
- Paint complete interior.

Contractor will remove wall covering and framing to expose the fireplace and hearth area. Repairs to the hearth and face will be made with like materials as necessary. Walls, ceilings and floors will be repaired as necessary. A stove insert will be installed with a flue liner pipe to ensure safe and proper operation. Sueann Brown has reviewed this aspect of the project and informed DNC that there are no historic preservation issues with the remodel or fireplace refurbishment.

1. Atta	nched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Historic District LCS# 059814
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	\boxtimes			H-114 is a contributing feature to the YVHD cultural landscape
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. LCS # 059814 (B111/H114)				osed work is limited to interior, which was ted by a fire in 1982
	b.				
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential Effect to which American Indians attach	Affec	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. None	П	П		
	b.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	Replace historic features/elements in kind				
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a				
	historic structure		\boxtimes	Ш	
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape		\boxtimes		
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
	• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)		\boxtimes		
	• Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources		\boxtimes		
	• Other		\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 2/22/07

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date:
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:	Yes:	
Signature of Archeologist:		
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropolo	ogist:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian:		
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 3/5/07
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse l Recommended Conditions:	Effect	
Signature of Historic Architect://S	ueann Brown//	
Historical Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 3/5/07
Assessment of Effect: No Effect Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: //David T. Humphrey//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:		
Assessment of Effect Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions				
Signature of Preservation Specialist:				
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of Native American Liaison:				
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:		
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:				
Signature of <enter specialist's="" title="">:</enter>				

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-040

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

		guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	eviewed and Accepted by:	
Si	gnature: //Carol Knipper//	Date: <u>3/5/07</u>
	Chief of Resources I	Management & Science Division
2.	Compliance Requirements:	The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
	☐ Standard 36 CFR Par	t 800 Consultation
	Consultation under 36 CF appropriate historic resour	R is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by rece management advisors.
	☐ Undertaking related to	o the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		l conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
	<choose of="" td="" type="" underta<=""><td>king></td></choose>	king>
	☐ Plan-Related Underta	king
		of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review the the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
	☐ Undertaking Related (to Another Agreement
		g is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a en in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	Agreement: <enter ag<="" td=""><td>reement Information></td></enter>	reement Information>
	☐ Flood-Recovery Relate	ed Undertaking
		g is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement ate Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation I repair and recovery
	☑ Undertaking Related to the property of	to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
		g is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic esign, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

a.	None	
Recomme	ended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:	
Name:	Jeannette Simons	
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer	
Signature:	: <u>//Jeannette Simons//</u> Date: <u>3/5/0</u>	<u> </u>
E. SUPER	RINTENDENT'S APPROVAL	
	osed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and Nadations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B	
Signature	e of Superintendent: //MJTollefson//	Date: <u>3/12/07</u>
	Michael I. Tollefson	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.