## **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-104**PEPC Project Number: **17399** 

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** South Entrance Station Office Rehabilitation **Location:** Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

#### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

| Fivironmental Screening Form

Environmental Screening Form
Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)
☐ Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
Other:

#### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson//	1/9/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-104 South Entrance Station Office Rehabilitation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

_//MJTollefson//	1/9/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

## **Environmental Screening Form**

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-104** 

PEPC Project Number: 17399

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** South Entrance Office Rehabilitation **Location:** Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

#### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project entails repairing and preserving the historic South Entrance Station Office Building. This past summer, multiple vehicle collisions with this historic structure have caused substantial damage to the structure including the rock facade, exterior walls, windows and siding, roof and structural system including the main vertical support members. This project would be a repair project and all aspects of the building (including but not limited to the stonework, woodwork, siding, roofing material) would be carefully documented and put back together according to the period of significance. All materials needing replacement would be replaced in kind. The rock facade would be carefully documented and mapped out, dismantled and replaced in the exact same configuration as it was found in. The structural members are not in the historic configuration due to rotting wood which forced the bottom of the vertical members to be cut away in the interest of saving the upper part of the member, these members are now compromised. This would be an opportunity to restore the historic building back to the historical period of significance in consultation with the historical architect (this would include adding the windows back into the building and restoring the historical structural configuration). All efforts would be made to match the historic building plans including eliminating alterations made to the structure over the years. The siding, other structural members including the truss system, and the roof would be replaced in kind, when it is found that there are damaged pieces that need replacement.

**Table B1 – Background Information** 

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	$\boxtimes$			History, Architecture and Landscape staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			$\boxtimes$	
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\boxtimes$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104 2 of 7

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$			Vicinity map and site map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Photographs	$\boxtimes$			Photos of the damaged building; see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104
3 of 7

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
res	ources?				0.21.12.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\boxtimes$			Soil disturbance includes removing the rock façade and securing the same rocks into the exact formation.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Air quality	$\boxtimes$			Temporary during rehabilitation work.
4.	Soundscapes	$\boxtimes$			Temporary noises during rehabilitation work.
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		· ·
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
					Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site;
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementation of this project; see Section F. Historic Preservation Act Checklist.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant				
	or animal)			ш	
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		$\boxtimes$		
	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	$\boxtimes$			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F. Historic Preservation Act Checklist.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\boxtimes$		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	$\boxtimes$			This project would further the long-term management of the historic South Entrance Office.
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104 4 of 7

## D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				The assessment of effects is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F. Historic Preservation Act Checklist.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		The assessment of effects is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F. Historic Preservation Act Checklist.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?				
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?				
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$		
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
<b>C</b> o	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

# Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104 5 of 7

## E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or	П	$\boxtimes$	П	
2	endangered species (Federal or State)?				
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	$\bowtie$	П	
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status				
	species listed above?	Ш		Ш	
	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status S	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	ΓΙΟΝ	AC	Г СН	ECKLIST
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	•				Minimal ground disturbance would include
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	$\boxtimes$			removing the rock façade and resetting the
					same rocks in the exact locations.
2.	Are there any archeological sites?				
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,				
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				
	archeological district or site, or a historic	$\boxtimes$			South Entrance Historic District; South
	district or site, or any associated landscape		ш	Ш	Entrance Office, Building 4604.
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing				
5.	on the <i>National Register</i> ?  Is there a National Historic Landmark?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>				
0.	Classified Structures?	$\boxtimes$	Ш	Ш	South Entrance Office, Building 4604.
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an				
	evaluation of eligibility as a historic	П	$\bowtie$	П	
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before				
	an affect determination can be made?				South Entrance Historic District; South
8	Would there be alteration of any historic				Entrance Office, Building 4604; the
	property or associated landscape element	$\boxtimes$	Ш	Ш	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"
	covered by 2-7, above?				see the attached XXX.
	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Is t	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?				
	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	nimun	ı Requ	iiremei	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
Mi 1	tigations and Conditions:				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104 6 of 7

## H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed	l project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Fall within a v If 'yes", name	vild and scenic river corridor? the river(s)		$\boxtimes$				
2. Fall within the the free-flow of	bed and banks AND affect of the river?						
3. Potentially aff	ect water quality of the area?			$\boxtimes$			
for which the	her wise change the values iver was designated as a ic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a. Fall on a tribu River?	ary of a Wild and Scenic		$\boxtimes$				
	will the project affect the ic River corridor?			$\boxtimes$			
•	will the project unreasonably c, recreational, or fish and ??			$\boxtimes$			
If "yes" to question	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and C	Conditions:	•					
1. None							

## Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 C (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


#### 1. None


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

Renea Kennec	12/21/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
M I A D d	12/29/06
Mark A Butler	12/28/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
Bill Delaney	1/5/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.


# **Attachment A**





Map 2 - Site Map

# Attachment B







## **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-104
PEPC Project Number: 17399

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**Title:** South Entrance Station Office Rehabilitation **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

Wawona, Mariposa County, California South Entrance Station Historic District

Project Manager: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

**Project Description:** This project entails repairing and preserving the historic South Entrance Station Office Building. This past summer, multiple vehicle collisions with this historic structure have caused substantial damage to the structure including the rock facade, exterior walls, windows and siding, roof and structural system including the main vertical support members. This project will be a repair project and all aspects of the building (including but not limited to the stonework, woodwork, siding, roofing material) will be carefully documented and put back together according to the period of significance. All materials needing replacement will be replaced in kind. The rock facade will be carefully documented and mapped out, dismantled and replaced in the exact same configuration as it was found in. The structural members are not in the historic configuration due to rotting wood which forced the bottom of the vertical members to be cut away in the interest of saving the upper part of the member, these members are now compromised. This will be an opportunity to restore the historic building back to the historical period of significance in consultation with the historical architect (this will include adding the windows back into the building and restoring the historical structural configuration). All efforts will be made to match the historic building plans including eliminating alterations made to the structure over the years. The siding, other structural members including the truss system, and the roof will be replaced in kind, when it is found that there are damaged pieces that need replacement.

1. Atta	ched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps			
b.	Drawings			
c.	Site Plans			
d.	Photographs			
e.	Sample			
f.	List of Materials			
g.	Other (Explain)			

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				YOSE 1992D; South Entrance Historic District DOE
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	$\boxtimes$			Historic office
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		
	a. Building 4604		Н	Resto	pration
	b.		H		
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:		110		
	a.	H	H		
	b. c.	H	H		
	C.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or				
	elements from a historic structure	ᆜ			
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind	$\boxtimes$			Restoration
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure		$\boxtimes$		
	Alter or remove features/elements of a				
	historic setting or environment (including terrain)				
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements</li> </ul>	_	_	_	
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)		$\boxtimes$		
	<ul><li>to a historic setting or cultural landscape</li><li>Disturb, destroy, or make archeological</li></ul>				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$	П	APE outside of archeological site boundary
	terrain			ш	THE outside of dielicological site boundary
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$		
	terrain				
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape		_		
	elements, or archeological or	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш	
	ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction				
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,		$\boxtimes$		
	the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)				
	<ul> <li>Potentially affect presently unidentified</li> </ul>				
	historic resources	Ш			
	• Other		$\boxtimes$		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Restoration

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 12/11/06

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

#### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 12/13/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:	
Signature of Archeologist: _//signed	l original on file//	
<b>Cultural Anthropologist</b> Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose></b>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Eff	ect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 12/13/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian, //signad and	isingles flo	
Signature of Historian: <u>//signed ori</u>	ginai on fue//	
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 12/13/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect"	
Recommended Conditions:	Hitt	
Recommended Conditions.		
Signature of Historic Architect: //si	igned original on file//	
Historic Landscape Architect	Name: Danny Schaible	Date: 12/13/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: <u>//signed original on file//</u>	_

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 12/13/06	
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect"		
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	nended Conditions		
Signature of Preservation Specialist:	//signed original on file//		
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" effe<=""></choose></b>	ect> or write it here >>		
Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of Native American Liaison:			
•	Name:	Date:	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here &gt;&gt;</choose>			
Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>»:</td><td></td></enter>	»:		

### Compliance Tracking No. 2006-104 7 of 8

## D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

mo	ınagem	ent policies, standards,	puate: the proposed action is const guidelines, or US DOI standards of l incorporates measures to avoid A	and guidelines, Rehabilitation of
Re	viewed	l and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnatur	e: //Niki Stephanie Nic		<b>Date:</b> 12/15/06
		Chief of Resources	Management & Science Division	
2.	2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.			
		Standard 36 CFR Par	rt 800 Consultation	
			FR is needed subsequent to the preparative management advisors.	ation of this form and its review by
	$\boxtimes$	Undertaking related t	to the 1995 NPS Programmatic A	Agreement
			all conditions for a programmatic exclusive agreement, and is listed in Stipulation	
		Plan-Related Underta	aking	
			of the proposed undertaking were con ith the 1995 NPS programmatic agree	
		<b>Undertaking Related</b>	to Another Agreement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes tten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 8	
		Agreement: <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information&gt;</td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Relat	ted Undertaking	
		* *	ng is covered for Section 106 purpose tate Historic Preservation Office, and t d repair and recovery	<u> </u>
		<b>Undertaking Related</b>	to the 1999 Yosemite Programm	atic Agreement
			ng is covered for Section 106 purposes design, construction, operations and med in Article VII.C.2.	

#### 3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

#### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	<b>Historic Preservation Officer</b>			
Signature:	_//Jeannette Simons// Date: _12/21/0	<u>)6                                    </u>		
<b>E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL</b> The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.				
Signature of	of Superintendent: //MJTollefson//	<b>Date:</b> 1/9/07		
	Michael I Tollefson			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.