Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-001**PEPC Project Number: **17134**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: South Entrance Temporary Traffic Safety Improvements

Location: Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (5) - *Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks*.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

| Environmental Screening Form | Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX) | Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis | Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination | Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//	_	12/19/06	
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent		Date	

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

ompliance File the Environmental Planning and Compliance ponent Office in Yosemite National Park.

The signed original of this document is on file at

Attachments (2)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-001 South Entrance Temporary Traffic Safety Improvements

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann//	12/19/06
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-001**

PEPC Project Number: 17134

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: South Entrance Interim Traffic Safety Improvements **Location:** South Entrance, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project entails adding temporary traffic safety improvements to the South Entrance Station. Thirteen ten-foot sections of K-rail would be installed within the road prism, for traffic entering and exiting the park, and would be secured in place by using 3/4" rebar driven approximately two feet into the previously disturbed paved surface. New striping would be on paved road surfaces leading up to the middle kiosk, seperating traffic into two lanes. Two new signs would be installed, one directional sign and one sign indicating the narrow exit lane width. Placement of each sign would require digging a 1' diameter by 3' deep hole. Removable channelizers would be installed at the entrance lanes between the kiosks and the "T" intersection in an effort to prevent vehicles from crossing lanes.

Table B1 – Background Information

Other (Explain)

	bie bi buengi ound information				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Historic, Architect and Landscape, Facilites and Project Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
То	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn	a Atto	ohm	onta (1	provide Attachment letter A. R. etc.)
<u> 1 a</u>	ble b2 – Environmental Screening Form				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	\boxtimes			Design drawings; see Attachment B.
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			South Entrance photos; see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-001 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Two 1' x 3' holes for sign placement and K-rail rebar would be 3/4" in diameter x 2' deep.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		•
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	\boxtimes			Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			No historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor 1.	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?				
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		\boxtimes		
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
C o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-001
-	_		4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes				
endangered species (Federal or State)?Species of special concern (Federal or						
State)?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш			
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes				
4. Potential habitat for any special-status		\boxtimes				
species listed above?			~			
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special	-Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None						
1. None						
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC.	Г СН	ECKLIST		
Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
				Signs require excavation of two 1' x 3' holes		
1. Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes	П	П	and K-rail 3/4" rebar would be driven 2' into		
				the roadbed. The assessment of effect is "No		
2. Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes		Adverse Effect," see the attached XXX.		
3. Are there any Native American Indian						
traditional cultural resources?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш			
4. Is there a historic property (a building,						
structure, feature, or all or any part of an				South Entrance Station Historic District; the		
archeological district or site, or a historic			П	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect,"		
district or site, or any associated landscape	_			see Condition 1 below, and the attached XXX.		
element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				AAA.		
5. Is there a National Historic Landmark?	П	\boxtimes	П			
6. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>			$\overline{}$			
Classified Structures?	Ш		Ш			
7. Is there any cultural resource requiring an						
evaluation of eligibility as a historic						
property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?						
8 Would there be alteration of any historic				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse		
property or associated landscape element	\boxtimes			Effect," see Condition 1 below, and the		
covered by 2-7, above?				attached XXX.		
If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. Ensure that this is a temporary solution that						
that is more compatible with the historic dis	trict wo	ould be	neces	ssary. (Resources Management and Science)		
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST						
Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?						
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-001 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)		\boxtimes				
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?						
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes			
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?						
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-001

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

This project would have impacts similar to DO12 3.4 C (5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.


Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. Ensure that this is a temporary solution that would not exceed one year. If a longer term is needed, a design that is more compatible with the historic district would be necessary. (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


11/8/06
Date
11/14/06
Date
11/15/06
Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Map 1. South Entrance and Project Site (red dot)

Attachment B



Attachment C



Photo 1 - South Entrance Intersection



Photo 2 - Western Approach





Photo 4 - Traffic through the Station



Photo 5 - Damaged Rock



Photo 6 - Damaged Kiosk

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-001
PEPC Project Number: 17134

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: South Entrance Temporary Traffic Safety Improvements

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Wawona, Mariposa County, California South Entrance Station Historic District

Project Manager: Shawnie MacFarlane, Project Management, Yosemite National Park **Project Description:**

This project entails adding temporary traffic safety improvements to South Entrance Station. Thirteen ten-foot sections of K-rail will be installed within the road prism, for traffic entering and exiting the park, and will be secured in place by using 3/4" rebar approximately 2 feet into the prevously disturbed paved surface. Stripping will be on paved road surface leading up to the middle kiosk, seperating traffic into two lanes. Signage will entail two signs, one directional sign and one sign indicating the narrow exit lane width. These signs will require placement in the soil and will displace a 4"x4" section approxamately 3 feet in depth. Removable channelizers will be installed at the entance lanes between the kiosks and the tee intersection in an effort to prevent vehicles from crossing lanes.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			South Entrance Station Historic District CLI
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	\boxtimes			Cultural landscape
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		
	a. South Entrance Station Historic District	\boxtimes		Prote	ction
	b.	H			
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a.				
	b.				
	c.				
4	779 1 4° 91	X 7	NT	NT/A	
4.	The proposed action will: • Destroy, remove, or alter features or	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes	П	
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a		\boxtimes		
	historic structure	ш		ш	
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 		\boxtimes		
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements 		_	_	K-rails installation is temporary to bring
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)	\boxtimes		Ш	immediate safety while long term solution is
	to a historic setting or cultural landscapeDisturb, destroy, or make archeological				designed
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes	П	
	terrain				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
	historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape	_		_	
	elements, or archeological or	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction				
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)				
	 Potentially affect presently unidentified 				
	historic resources				
	• Other				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: <u>10/16/06</u>

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 10/27/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes:	
Signature of Archeologist: _//signed	l original on file//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<="">ect: Choose Effect: <choose b="" eff<="">ect: <choose b="" eff<=""> < <choose <b="" effect:=""><choose b="" eff<=""> < <choose <b="" effect:=""><choose b="" eff<=""> < <choose b="" ef<=""></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	pt:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<="">ect: <choose b="" eff<=""></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Curator: <u>///signed orig</u>	inal on file//	

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 10/18/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian: //signed ori	iginal on file//	
Signature of Historian. <u>-77signea ort</u>	sum on fitch	
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 1018/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect://si	igned original on file//	
		D 40/40/05
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 10/18/06
Comments.		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect"	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: <u>//signed original on file//</u>	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 10/18/06	
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions			
Signature of Preservation Specialist: _//signed original on file//_			
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>		
Signature of Native American Liaise	on:		
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effections:	ect> or write it here >>		
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e>:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:		

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-001 7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	0	t policies, standards, g ildings, or others, and				habilitation of
Re	eviewed a	nd Accepted by:				
Si	gnature:	//Niki Stephanie Nic			Date: 10/30	/06
		Chief of Resources 1	Management & Sci	ence Division		
2.	Compli	ance Requirements:	The following is t needs and require	•		106 process
	\square S	tandard 36 CFR Par	t 800 Consultation	1		
		onsultation under 36 CF ppropriate historic resou			on of this form a	nd its review by
	\square U	ndertaking related to	o the 1995 NPS Pi	ogrammatic Agr	reement	
		he above action meets al 995 NPS programmatic a				ion IV. A of the
	<	Choose Type of Underta	ıking>			
	\square P	lan-Related Underta	king			
		onsultation and review or rocess, in accordance wi				
	\square U	ndertaking Related (to Another Agree	ment		
		he proposed undertaking atewide agreement writt				
	A	greement : <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information</td><td>on></td><td></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information	on>		
	\square F	lood-Recovery Relate	ed Undertaking			
	ł	The proposed undertakin between the NPS, the Sta For "Highwater 97" flood	ate Historic Preserva	tion Office, and the		
	$\boxtimes \mathbf{u}$	ndertaking Related (to the 1999 Yosem	ite Programmati	c Agreement	
	aş	he proposed undertaking greement for planning, d ne stipulations identified	lesign, construction,			

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Temporary solution not to exceed one-year. If a longer term is needed,a design more compatable with the historic district will be needed.

Name:	Jeannette Simons
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons// Date: 10/30/06
E. SUPER	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL
	red work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the dations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.
Signature	of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann// acting Date: 12/19/06
	Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.