### **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-016 PEPC Project Number: 17234

### **A. PROJECT INFORMATION**

Title: Wawona Hotel Moore Cottage Roof Interim WinterizationLocation: Wawona, Mariposa County, CaliforniaProject Manager: Maurce West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.* 

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Environmental Screening Form

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//

<u>12/19/06</u> Date

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

To: Maurice West, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-016 Wawona Hotel Moore Cottage Roof Interim Winterization

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C*(4) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.* 

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann// Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

<u>12/19/06</u> Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

### **Environmental Screening Form**

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-016 PEPC Project Number: 17234

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Wawona Moore Cottage Roof Interim WinterizationLocation: Wawona, Mariposa County, CaliforniaProject Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

### **B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND**

The purpose of this project is to replace the Moore Cottage roof at the Wawona Hotel Complex. The project will be performed in phases. Phase I includes selected demolition and roof construction activities necessary to winterize the existing roof to prevent water damage from inclement weather (scheduled to be performed in November/December 2006). Phase II includes complete roof design and installation scheduled for 2007.

Phase I of this project is necessary to winterize the upper cupola and roof system to prevent water infiltration and protect interior walls and finish during winter months. Winterization scope of work includes removal of rotted siding as needed at the upper walls from the window bottoms to the roof below, install plywood to the wall areas, install new metal flashings, apply a water and ice shield product, install metal patches in rusted roof areas, prime and apply a coat of water and ice shield 6 inches below the patch up to the top termination point, retain salvaged siding and trims to duplicate patterns upon purchase of new replacement materials. The lower of the two horizontal features below the cupola will be removed and salvaged to allow determination of the historical status.

Phase II, design and installation of the Moore Cottage roof is in preliminary planning and a project will be submitted when a preliminary design is ready for review and approval by the National Park Service.

#### N/A **Explanation/Notes** Yes No Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list 1. $\boxtimes$ $\square$ History, Architecture and Landscape staff. attendees. If no, explain. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved $\square$ plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the $\square$ $\boxtimes$ plan and provide a section or page citation. 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? $\square$ $\boxtimes$ 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? $\square$ 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? $\square$ 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? 4b. Has consultation been completed?

### Table B1 – Background Information

### Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

### Yosemite National Park Environmental Screening Form

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$			Wawona vicinity map and Wawona Hotel layout map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	$\boxtimes$			Winterization drawings; see Attachment B.
3.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Photographs	$\boxtimes$			Roof damage; see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

### C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		$\boxtimes$		
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Air quality	$\boxtimes$			Temporary air emissions during winterization.
4.	Soundscapes	$\square$			Temporary equipment noises during winterization.
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\square$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implimenting this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	$\boxtimes$			See Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\square$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		$\bowtie$		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				The Wawona Hotel is a National Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\square$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\square$		
	Resource, including energy, conservation potential				
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	$\boxtimes$			The proposed roof winterization would enhance the park's long-term management of resources.
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\square$		
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### **D. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

If implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Have material adverse effects on public health or safe		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see Condition 1 below.
2. Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refug lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including tho listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?	ge			The Wawona Hotel is a National Historic Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		$\boxtimes$		
5. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\square$		
6. Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
<ol> <li>Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?</li> </ol>	r	$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8. Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to l listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Specie or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
<ol> <li>Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?</li> </ol>		$\boxtimes$		
10. Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	or			
<ol> <li>Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative us of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?</li> </ol>	es 🗌	$\boxtimes$		
<ol> <li>Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?</li> </ol>		$\boxtimes$		
<ol> <li>Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affer the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007</li> </ol>	ect	$\square$		
14. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
15. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
16. Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?	to	$\boxtimes$		
17. Have the potential for significant impact as indicated a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?	<sup>py</sup>			
18. Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
19. Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		$\boxtimes$		

- 1. Submit a Safety Work Plan to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any onsite work on this project.
- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)

### E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		$\boxtimes$		
If '	yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				Wawona Hotel National Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Condition 1 below, and the attached XXX.
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	$\boxtimes$			Wawona Hotel National Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Condition 1 below, and the attached XXX.
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?	$\boxtimes$			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Condition 1 below, and the attached XXX.
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?				
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?				
-	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	_	-		

1. Ensure that the lower horizontal band of the cupola not be removed; consult with the park Historic Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222) for appropriate in-situ treatment.

### G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	<b>Data Needed to Determine/Notes</b>
1. Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$		
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$		
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	nimun	n Requ	iiremen	ts Analysis must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions:				
1. None				

### H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)	$\boxtimes$			South Fork Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\square$		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.		$\boxtimes$		
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\bowtie$		
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			$\boxtimes$	
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			$\boxtimes$	
If "	yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA S	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mit	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.


### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**

- **1.** Submit a Safety Work Plan to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any onsite work on this project. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that the lower horizontal band of the cupola not be removed; consult with the park Historic Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222) for appropriate in-situ treatment. (Resources Management and Science)
- 3. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver//	12/06/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A. Butler//	12/12/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
	1/5/07
//Bill Delaney//	1/5/06
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.




### **Attachment A**




Map 2 – Wawona Hotel Layout

### **Attachment B**



### Attachment C







C-2

## **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-016 PEPC Project Number: 17234

### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Wawona Moore Cottage Roof Interim Winterization

### **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:**

Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Wawona National Landmark

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

**Project Description:** The purpose of this project is to replace the Moore Cottage roof at the Wawona Hotel Complex. The project will be performed in phases. Phase I includes selected demolition and roof construction activities necessary to winterize the existing roof to prevent water damage from inclement weather (scheduled to be performed in November/December 2006). Phase II includes complete roof design and installation scheduled for 2007.

Phase I of this project is necessary to winterize the upper cupola and roof system to prevent water infiltration and protect interior walls and finish during winter months. Winterization scope of work includes removal of rotted siding as needed at the upper walls from the window bottoms to the roof below, install plywood to the wall areas, install new metal flashings, apply a water and ice shield product, install metal patches in rusted roof areas, prime and apply coat of water and ice shield 6 inches below patch up to the top termination point, retain salvaged siding and trims to duplicate patterns upon purchase of new replacement materials. The lower of the two horizontal features below the cupola will be removed and salvaged to allow determination of the historical status.

Phase II, design and installation of the Moore Cottage roof is in preliminary planning and a project will be submitted when a preliminary design is ready for review and approval by the National Park Service.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		$\boxtimes$	
b.	Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
с.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d.	Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e.	Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f.	List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

\*\* Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

### **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1. Has the Area of Potential Effect been				
surveyed to identify historic properties?	$\boxtimes$			Wawona Hotel National Landmark
If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				
a. Would the proposed action affect a	$\boxtimes$			Temporary stabilization/protection of roof
known historic property?				and some structure elements
2. List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	cted?		Explanation/Notes
Potential Effect:	Yes	No		
a. Moore Cottage	$\square$	Ц	Roof	and structural elements
b.	Ц	Ц		
с.				
3. List resources in the Area of Potential	Affeo	tod?		
Effect to which American Indians attach	Allet	ieu.		Explanation/Notes
cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
a.				
b.				
с.				
4. The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
• Destroy, remove, or alter features or	$\boxtimes$			Salvage materials for reuse in Phase II
elements from a historic structure	_			Survage materials for rease in r hase fr
• Replace historic features/elements in kind		$\square$		
• Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure	$\boxtimes$			Temporary stabilization materials
• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including		$\boxtimes$		
terrain)				
<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements</li> </ul>				
(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)	$\boxtimes$			Temporary stabilization materials
to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological	_		_	
resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$		
terrain				
• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic		$\bowtie$		
resources inaccessible, or alter associated				
<ul> <li>Begin or contribute to the deterioration of</li> </ul>				
historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape	_		_	
elements, or archeological or		$\boxtimes$		
ethnographic resources				
• Involve a real property transaction				
affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,				
the exchange, sale, or lease of land or		$\boxtimes$		
structures)				
• Potentially affect presently unidentified		$\square$		
historic resources				
• Other		$\boxtimes$		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

salvage historical materials; protect structure

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists:** Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 11/8/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Effect"</b> Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:	
Signature of Archeologist: <u>//signed</u>	copy on file//	
<b>Cultural Anthropologist</b> Comments: Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		

Signature of Curator:

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 11/27/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers	se Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian: <u>//signed co</u>	opv on file//	
Signature of Instorian <u>-//bignew.</u>	<i><u>op</u></i> , <i>on juci</i> ,	
Uistonia Anabitaat	Nama: Suconn Proven	Data: 11/22/06
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 11/22/06
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 11/22/06
		Date: 11/22/06
Comments:		Date: 11/22/06
Comments: Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Advers</b>		Date: 11/22/06
Comments: Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Advers</b>		Date: 11/22/06
Comments: Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Advers</b>		Date: 11/22/06
Comments: Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Advers</b>	se Effect''	Date: 11/22/06

Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect:

<b>Preservation Specialist</b>	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 11/08/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adver		
Recommended Conditions: Recon	nmended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Speciali	st: <u>//signed copy on file//</u>	
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Data
Comments:	Name. Jeannette Simons	Date:
Comments.		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Lia	ison:	
	Name:	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		

:\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of

### D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**1. Review by specialists:** The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

### **Reviewed and Accepted by:**

Signature:	//Niki Stephanie Nicholas//		11/27/06	
-	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division			

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

#### **Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation**

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

#### Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

<Choose Type of Undertaking>

#### Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

#### **Undertaking Related to Another Agreement**

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

#### □ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

#### Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

### 3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

#### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Subsequent research determined that the lower two horizontal features below the cupola are original features, therefore, instead of salvaging and storing the features, the lower horizontal band of the cupola should not be removed. Consult with the Park Historic Architect for appropriate in-situ treatment.

#### **Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:**

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 11/28/06

### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

 Signature of Superintendent:
 //R. Kevin Cann//
 Date:
 12/19/06

 Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.