

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

- To: Brian Mattos, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park
- From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park
- Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-017 Glacier Point Road Bridalveil Creek Bridge Rail Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed Categorical Exclusion Form and associated documents when implementing this project.

<u>12/12/06</u> Date
The signed original of this document is on file a the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

at

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-017 PEPC Project Number: 17245

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Glacier Point Road Bridalveil Creek Bridge Rail ReplacementLocation: Glacier Point, Mariposa County, CaliforniaProject Manager: Brian Mattos, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Environmental Screening Form

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent 12/12/06

Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)

Environmental Screening Form

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-017 PEPC Project Number: **17245**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Glacier Point Road Bridalveil Creek Bridge Rail Replacement Location: Glacier Point, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Brian Mattos, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Bridge rails have deteriorated; one rail has broken and is in danger of sloughing into Bridalveil Creek. Corporate memory suggests that rails were last replaced twenty-something years ago. Log pedestals will also be replaced. There are no apparent fasteners, plan is to simply lift off deteriorated rails and pedestals, and replace in-kind with native materials (already staged on-site). LCS #56024/HAER CA-103.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Facilities Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			\square	
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Glacier Point Road vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photo of existing bridge condition; see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality	\boxtimes			Temporary air emissions during rail replacement.
4.	Soundscapes				Temporary equipment noises during rail replacement.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		•
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat				
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implimenting this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\bowtie		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	\bowtie			See Section D, Mandatory Criteria, Condition 2, below.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Glacier Point Cultural Landscape Inventory; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\bowtie		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\bowtie		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see Condition 1, below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?				
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values? mments, Mitigations and Conditions:		\boxtimes		

Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Develop and submit a Job Hazard Analysis and a Critical Lift Plan to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 209-379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any project work.
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes				
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\square				
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes				
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		\square				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.						
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:						

1. None

F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?		\boxtimes		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?				Project avoids ground disturbance; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see Condition 1 below, and the attached XXX.
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?	\boxtimes			LCS #56024; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see Condition 2 below, and the attached XXX.
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?	\boxtimes			Glacier Point Cultural Landscape Inventory; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see Condition 2 below, and the attached XXX.
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?		\square		
If "	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				

- 1. Ensure that staging is confined to the existing pull-out to avoid archeological deposits.
- 2. Ensure that the replacement rails match the original rails as close as possible including color, texture, character, species and size (diameter and length).

G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)		\boxtimes					
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?			\square				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.			\boxtimes				
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?	\boxtimes			Bridalveil Creek.			
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		\boxtimes					
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?		\boxtimes					
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.							
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.


Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Develop and submit a Job Hazard Analysis and a Critical Lift Plan to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 209-379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any project work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance Office)
- **3.** Ensure that staging is confined to the existing pull-out to avoid archeological deposits. (Resource Management and Science)
- 4. Ensure that the replacement rails match the original rails as close as possible including color, texture, character, species and size (diameter and length). (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

11/30/06
Date
12/06/06
Date
12/7/06

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Attachment A

Attachment B



Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-017 PEPC Project Number: 17245

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Glacier Point Road Bridalveil Creek Bridge Rail Replacement

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Glacier Point Road, Mariposa County, California

Glacier Point Road Historic District

Project Manager: Brian Mattos, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: Bridge rails have deteriorated; one rail has broken and is in danger of sloughing into Bridalveil Creek. Corporate memory suggests that rails were last replaced twenty-something years ago. Log pedestals will also be replaced. There are no apparent fasteners, plan is to simply lift off deteriorated rails and pedestals, and replace in-kind with native materials (already staged on-site). LCS #56024/HAER CA-103.

1. Atta	ched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
с.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

** Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1. Has the Area of Potential Effect	t been				-
surveyed to identify historic pr	operties?	\boxtimes			In prep: Glacier Point CLI/DOE
If Yes, provide reference for the					
a. Would the proposed action af					Preservation/maintenance of contributing
known historic property?		\boxtimes			element.
2. List all Historic Properties in t	he Area of	Affec	ted?		
Potential Effect:		Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
a. LCS #56024/HAER CA-103		\boxtimes	\square	Prese	rvation/Maintenance
b.			Н	11000	
с.		П	П		
0.					
3. List resources in the Area of Po	otential	Affec	ted?		
Effect to which American India		Апсс			Explanation/Notes
cultural and religious signification		Yes	No		Laplanation/10005
a.	iice:				
b.		H	H		
с.		Н	H		
4. The proposed action will:		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
Destroy, remove, or alter feature	*26.0*	105	140	IN/A	Explanation/Note
elements from a historic struct			\boxtimes		
Replace historic features/elem		\boxtimes			
 Add nonhistoric features/elem 					
historic structure	citis to a		\boxtimes		
Alter or remove features/eleme	ents of a				
historic setting or environment			\boxtimes		
terrain)	(including				
Add nonhistoric features/elem	ents				
(including visual, audible, or a			\boxtimes		
to a historic setting or cultural					
• Disturb, destroy, or make arch					
resources inaccessible, or alter			\boxtimes		
terrain					
• Disturb, destroy, or make ethn	ographic				
resources inaccessible, or alter	associated		\boxtimes		
terrain					
Begin or contribute to the dete					
historic fabric, terrain, setting,	landscape		\boxtimes		
elements, or archeological or					
ethnographic resources					
 Involve a real property transaction 					
affecting historic cultural prop			\boxtimes		
the exchange, sale, or lease of	land or				
structures)					
Potentially affect presently unit	dentified		\boxtimes		
historic resources					
• Other			\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

In-kind replacement of historical materials.

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: 11/07/06

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 11/8/06	
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: ''No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: X		
Signature of Archeologist: //signed original on file//			
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""></choose>	ect> or write it here >>		
Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of Cultural Anthropologist:			
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effect> or write it here >>			
Recommended Conditions:			

Signature of Curator:	

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 11/08/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Ad	lverse Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian, //signa	d amining lan fila//	
Signature of Historian: //signed	a original on file//	
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 11/17/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effects UNIC Ad	wanga Effectil	
Assessment of Effect: "No Ad	lverse Effect''	
Assessment of Effect: "No Ad Recommended Conditions:	lverse Effect''	
	lverse Effect''	

Signature of Historic Architect: //signed original on file//

Recommended Conditions:

Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Daniel Schaible	Date: 11/08/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"		

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //signed original on file//

Preservation Specialist	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 11/08/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adve	erse Effect''	
Recommended Conditions: Reco	ommended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specia	list: //signed original on file//	
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: <choose< b=""> I</choose<>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Li	aison:	
<enter specialist="" title=""></enter>	Name:	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: <choose< b=""> I</choose<>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		

Signature of <Enter Specialist's Title>: _____

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Signature:	//Niki Stephanie Nicolas//	Date:	11/27/06	
	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division			

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B9. Rehabilitation Work (limited to retaining or preserving, protecting or maintaining, & repairing or replacing in kind materials or features, consistent with Secretary of the Interior's Standards and guidelines).

□ Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

□ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. Confine staging to the existing pull-out to avoid archeological deposits.
- b. Logs should match original to the greatest extent possible, including approximate diameter, length and character, ie., similar knottiness, etc. Matching species is preferred. If alternate is used, match characteristics of color and texture as closely as possible.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 11/28/06

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann//

Date: <u>12/12/06</u>

Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.