

PEPC 191220

RON GOLDE
Seattle, WA 98105

RECEIVED
OCT - 4 2006
DSC-P

September 22, 2006

Olympic National Park Draft GMP
National Park Service
Denver Service Center
P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225

To whom it may concern:

I am a frequent visitor to the Olympic National Park since 1960, when my family moved to Washington State. We have had a vacation cabin within 10 miles of the Park since the mid-60s.

I support Alternative D, but find it far too timid in its scope and reach to protect the natural resources and ecosystems of the Olympic National Park.

I strongly support the establishment and protection of the marine inter-tidal reserves. It is vital that these fragile areas are protected BEFORE the damage becomes difficult to recover. It must be a combination of education and signage and enforcement if needed.

The boundary expansions are very important and should be significantly larger than the current proposal in the Lake Crescent, Lake Ozette and Queets River areas. In particular the boundaries should follow and reflect the watershed boundaries.

Adding to and expanding roads in this park is in conflict with its wilderness character. Roads must remain out of the flood plains where they cause so much damage. Problem roads will need decommissioning now removal of areas from wilderness designation. Motorized access needs to be limited to a few areas like Hurricane Ridge. Enlarged camping sites should be located outside the Park, where they can have the maximum impact on the local economies, if at all possible.

Expansion of commercial concessions is in conflict with the Park's unique character as a biosphere reserve and world heritage site.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]