Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-105 PEPC Project Number: 16764

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Village SAR Building #531 TelNPS Satellite Dish Installation

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Steve Shackelton, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (13) - Upgrading or adding new overhead utility facilities on existing poles, or on replacement poles that do not change existing pole line configurations.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under Project Mitigations and Conditions in Section I at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked): Environmental Screening Form Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX) Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson//	10/13/06
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File **Project Proponent** cc:

Attachments (2)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Steve Shackelton, Project Manager, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-105 Yosemite Village SAR Building #531 TelNPS Satellite

Dish Installation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(13) - Upgrading or adding new overhead utility facilities on existing poles, or on replacement poles that do not change existing pole line configurations.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

_//MJTollefson//	10/13/06
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
,	
cc: Statutory Compliance File	

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-105**

PEPC Project Number: 16764

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Village SAR Building #531 TelNPS Satellite Dish Installation

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Steve Shackelton, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

To increase staff training opportunities, the Division of Visitor Protection plans to install a TelNPS satellite receiver in the training room at the Search and Rescue Office in Yosemite Valley. Since the NPS and our training partner, the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center are using satellite technology more and more each year to provide training to the field, it is important that we have access to the training material. Providing the satellite content locally in the Valley allows the emergency services staff to remain in their work place which benefits the park in providing visitor and resource protection.

This satellite receiver requires that an antenna be installed on the building. The required antenna is a 1.5 meter round gray plastic satellite dish that would be installed on the south side or east end of the garage. The dish would be bolted to the building using lag screws to keep it stable against snow loads. The connection cable would be run inside the attic to the receiver in the training room.

Table B1 – Background Information Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list \boxtimes Staff is familiar with the site. attendees. If no, explain. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the \boxtimes plan and provide a section or page citation. 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? \square 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map) \boxtimes See Attachment A. Drawings (e.g., design, construction) X 3. Site Plans Photo simulations of the proposed satellite \boxtimes 4. Photographs dish; see Attachment B. 5. Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain) Other (Explain)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-105 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Are	Are any impacts possible on the following							
	ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc							
2.	From geohazards							
3.	Air quality							
4.	Soundscapes				Temporary during satellite dish installation.			
5.	Water quality or quantity							
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes					
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes					
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes					
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes					
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes					
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes					
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes					
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes					
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes					
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes					
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect:" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes					
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes					
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes					
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes					
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes					
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes					
	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes					
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?							
Con	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-105 3 of 6

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below, and the attached XXX.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?				
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		\boxtimes		
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
C o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2006-105
-	_		4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

_	SI ECIAL STATES SI ECIES CIII		7101		
$\overline{}$	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes		
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?				
If '	'yes' to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached
_	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:	ratas	эрсск	es ene	eknist must be completed and attached.
1.	None				
	Tione				
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	Г СН	ECKLIST
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	П	\boxtimes	П	
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	П	Ħ	П	
	•			_	Yosemite Valley Traditional Use Area; the
3.	Are there any Native American Indian	\boxtimes			assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"
	traditional cultural resources?				see the attached XXX.
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District; the
	archeological or historic landscape or	\boxtimes			assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"
	district?				see conditions below, and the attached XXX.
5a.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of	П	\boxtimes	П	
	Classified Structures?	Ш		ш	
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and	_	_	_	
	concurrence by the SHPO or a completed	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	National Register form?				
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review				
	under NHPA, Section 106?				
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or		\boxtimes		
If '	cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ots fo	rm (V	OSE VVV) must be completed and attached
	tigations and Conditions:	JI LIIC	cts 10	1111 (1)	OSE-AAA) must be completed and attached.
1.	Satellite dish must be painted brown to mining	nize vi	enal i	mnacts	(Resource Management and Science)
2.	Satellite dish must be located off the gable ro				
3	Coordinate the final location with the park Hi			_	· ·
٥.	beginning work on the project.	storic	7 11 0111	itoot (B	dealin Blown, 377 1222) for feview before
	organism work on the project.				
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Is 1	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes		
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?				
If "	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	nimun	n Regu	ıiremei	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
	tigations and Conditions:				-
	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-105 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river of If "Yes", name the river(s).	corridor?	\boxtimes		
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND the free-flow of the river?	affect			
3. Potentially affect water quality of the	ne area?		\boxtimes	
4. Remain consistent with its river seg classification?	ment			
5. Protect and enhance river ORVs?			\boxtimes	
6a. Fall within the River Protection Over	erlay?		\boxtimes	
6b. If "Yes", is it consistent with condit the River Protection Overlay?	tions of		\boxtimes	
7. Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?			\boxtimes	
8a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scarier?	enic \square	\boxtimes		
8b. If 8a is "Yes", will the project affect Wild and Scenic River corridor?	t the		\boxtimes	
8c. If 8a is "Yes", will the project unreadiminish scenic, recreational, or fish wildlife values?			\boxtimes	
9. Change the level of use within the r corridor? If "Yes", explain.	iver		\boxtimes	
If "yes" to questions 2, 8b, or 8c, then a	WSRA Section	7 dete	rminat	ion must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions:				
1. None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-105

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

Installation of a satellite dish would have impacts similar to those covered by DO12 3.4 C (13) – Upgrading or adding new overhead utility facilities on existing poles, or on replacement poles that do not change existing pole line configurations.


Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Satellite dish must be painted brown to minimize visual impacts. (Resource Management and Science)
- 2. Satellite dish must be located off the gable roof of the building. (Resource Management and Science)
- 3. Coordinate the final location with the park Historic Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222) for review before beginning work on the project.

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver//	10/10/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
(04 14 D 4 //	10/11/06
//Mark A Butler// Compliance Program Manager	10/11/06 Date
//Glen Rothell//	10/12/06
Chief, Project Management	Date

Attachment A



YOSEMITE VILLAGE (western part)

Map 1

Attachment B



Photo 1 Simulation of TelNPS dish on SAR training room (Building #531) from south (dish would be mounted off of the building ridge line and painted NPS brown)



Photo 2 Simulation of TelNPS dish on SAR training room (Building #531) from southeast (dish would be mounted off of the building ridge line and painted NPS brown)

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-105
PEPC Project Number: 16764

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Yosemite Village SAR Building #531 TelNPS Satellite Dish Installation

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Steve Shackelton, Visitor Protection, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: To increase staff training opportunities, the Division of Visitor Protection plans to install a TelNPS satellite receiver in the training room at the Search and Rescue Office in Yosemite Valley. Since the NPS and our training partner, the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center are using satellite technology more and more each year to provide training to the field, it is important that we have access to the training material. Providing the satellite content locally in the Valley allows the emergency services staff to remain in their work place which benefits the park in providing visitor and resource protection.

This satellite receiver requires that an antenna be installed on the building. The required antenna is a 1.5 meter round gray plastic satellite dish that would be installed on the south side or east end of the garage. The dish would be bolted to the building using lag screws to keep it stable against snow loads. The connection cable would be run inside the attic to the receiver in the training room.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Historic District Nomination Form.
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Visual impacts to the cultural setting.
_					
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley Historic District.	\boxtimes		Non-	historic element.
	b.	H	H		
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley Tradition Use Area.		\boxtimes	No D	isturbance.
	b.				
	C.				
4	7511 1 4 11	₹7	N.T.	DT/A	
4.	The proposed action will: • Destroy, remove, or alter features or	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements to a		\boxtimes		
	historic structure				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			
	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified		\boxtimes		
	historic resources Other		\square		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 10/3/06

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 9/13/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: X	
Signature of Archeologist: _//signed	l original on file//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Eff	ect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:							
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effect> or write it here >> Recommended Conditions:									
Recommended Conditions.									
Signature of Historian:									
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 9/25/06							
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect"								
Recommended Conditions:									
Signature of Historic Architect://si	igned original on file//								
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 9/25/06							
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''								
Recommended Conditions:									
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: //signed original on file//								

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions: Recomm</choose>		
Signature of Preservation Specialist:		
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" effe<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>»:</td><td></td></enter>	»:	

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	_	ent policies, standards,	guidelines, or US DOI standards an incorporates measures to avoid Ad	nd guidelines, Rehabilitation of
Re	viewed	and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnature	e: _//Niki Stephanie Ni	cholas//	Date: 9/25/06
		Chief of Resources	Management & Science Division	
2.	Asses	sment of Effects: No A	dverse Effect	
3. Comp		pliance Requirements:	The following is the park's assessmeeds and requirements for this un	
		Standard 36 CFR Par	t 800 Consultation	
			FR is needed subsequent to the preparation of the p	ion of this form and its review by
		Undertaking related t	o the 1995 NPS Programmatic Ag	reement
			ll conditions for a programmatic exclus agreement, and is listed in Stipulation I	
		Plan-Related Underta	king	
			of the proposed undertaking were comp ith the 1995 NPS programmatic agreem	
		Undertaking Related	to Another Agreement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes uten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800	
		Agreement:		
		Flood-Recovery Relat	ed Undertaking	
			ng is covered for Section 106 purposes to ate Historic Preservation Office, and the d repair and recovery	
	\boxtimes	Undertaking Related	to the 1999 Yosemite Programma	tic Agreement
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes u lesign, construction, operations and mai in Article VII.C.2.	

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. Paint satellite dish NPS brown.
- b. Coordinate placement of dish (off of building ridgeline) with park Histoirc Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222).

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons// Date: <u>10/03/06</u>			
	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL			
	ed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the ations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.			
Signature of Superintendent: //MJTollefson// Date: 10/13/06				
	Michael J. Tollefson			