### **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-103**PEPC Project Number: **16701** 

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Rancheria Flat Residence #730 Rehabilitation **Location:** El Portal, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

#### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded, after careful consideration, from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails, and C(11) - Installation of wells, comfort stations, and pit or vault toilets in areas of existing use and in developed areas.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

#### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson//	10/13/06
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Tom Darcy, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Superintendent, Yosemite National Park From:

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-103 Rancheria Flat Residence #730 Rehabilitation (PEPC

16701)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined, after careful consideration, to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails, and C (11) - Installation of wells, comfort stations, and pit or vault toilets in areas of existing use and in developed areas.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed Categorical Exclusion Form and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJTollefson//	10/13/06
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
_	
Enclosure (with attachments)	The signed original of this document is on fil

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Environmental Screening Form (Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-103

PEPC Project Number: 16701

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Rancheria Flat Residence #730 Rehabilitation Location: El Portal, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

#### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project would include the following elements:

#### Interior rehabilitation:

- 1. Complete interior preparation and painting
- 2. Replacing window coverings with energy efficient blinds
- 3. Replacing all lighting fixtures with energy efficient fixtures
- 4. Replacing water heater
- 5. Replacing all floor coverings
- 6. Replacing the refrigerator and range with energy star appliances
- 7. Installing energy efficient ceiling fans in all bedrooms and dining room
- 8. Repairing or replacing other interior features

#### Exterior rehabilitation:

- 1. Replacing dining room window with energy efficient French doors
- 2. Constructing a 16' x 10' deck to serve as egress for the new door and existing laundry room door. The deck will be constructed on a portion of an existing concrete patio so no soils will be disturbed. The deck will be framed with lumber and decked with Timbertech composite lumber.

**Table B1 – Background Information** 

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	$\boxtimes$			Facilities Management staff; others are generally familiar with site and reviewed maps and photos.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				•
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		$\boxtimes$		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?	П	П	$\boxtimes$	
	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	
	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\square$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form	ı Atta	chm	ents (j	
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$			See Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	$\boxtimes$			Residence #730 Floor Plan, as constructed; see Attachment B.
3.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Photographs				Photos of residence #730 showing approximate locations of proposed deck and French door; see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		·
6.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	any impacts possible on the following				
1 030	ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		$\boxtimes$		
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Air quality		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Soundscapes	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: temporary noise during construction.
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		$\boxtimes$		
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		$\boxtimes$		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		$\boxtimes$		
	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.				
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies				
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: the addition of a French door in place of a window would increase potential for heat loss from inside house very slightly.
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.				Project will improve park owned employee residence in the community of El Portal.
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		$\boxtimes$		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
Con	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

## D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		$\boxtimes$		
2.	historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those				
3	listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks? Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?				
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$		
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
<b>C</b> o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

	semite National Park rironmental Screening Form			(	Compliance Tracking Number: <b>2006-103</b> 5 of 7		
E.	SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHE	ECKI	LIST	ı			
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?						
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$				
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		$\boxtimes$				
ſf"	yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
Coi	mments, Mitigations and Conditions: None						
F.	F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST						
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?			$\sqcup$			
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		$\boxtimes$				
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?						
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district?		$\boxtimes$				
5a.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		$\boxtimes$				
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?		$\boxtimes$				
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?						
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?		$\boxtimes$		The area has not been surveyed for potential historic properties; see the attached XXX.		
	Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?						
	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
	None						

### G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1 None						

### H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor?		110	11///	
	If "Yes", name the river(s).				Merced River, Main Stem.
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?	П	$\boxtimes$	П	
	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?				A comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River has not been completed; therefore, river segment classifications have not been determined; based on river planning, to date, rehabilitation of an existing residence would be compatible with any river segment classification in this area.
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?				A comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River has not been completed; therefore, river ORVs have not been established; based on river planning, to date, rehabilitation of an existing residence would be compatible with any ORVs established for this area.
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?				A comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River has not been completed; therefore, a River Protection Overlay (RPO) has not been established.
6b.	If "Yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$	Based on river planning, to date, the area where this residence is located would not fall within any likely RPO.
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?				A comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River has not been completed; therefore, river management zoning has not been established; based on river planning, to date, rehabilitation of an existing residence would be compatible with any management zoning likely to be established for this area.
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$		
8b.	If 9a is "Yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			$\boxtimes$	
	If 9a is "Yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			$\boxtimes$	
9.	Change the level of use within the river corridor? If "Yes", explain.		$\boxtimes$		
If"	yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	rminat	tion must be completed and attached.
	tigations and Conditions:				
1	None				

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

After carefully condideration, it has been concluded that this project would have no measurable effects on the environment, would not adversely affect any historic properties, would have impacts similar to and can be covered by two categorical exclusions, DO12 C(3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails, and C(11) - Installation of wells, comfort stations, and pit or vault toilets in areas of existing use and in developed areas

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


#### 1. None

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//GWColliver//	9/28/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	10/10/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Glen Rothell//	10/12/06
Chief. Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.


# **Attachment A**



Map 1 Yosemite National Park showing El Portal Project Area



Map 2 El Portal vicinity and Rancheria Flat



Map 3

# **Attachment B**




Figure 1 Residence #730

# **Attachment C**



Photo 1 Residence #730 showing approximate location of proposed deck and French door



Photo 2 Residence #730 showing approximate location of deck and French door

### **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-103
PEPC Project Number: 16701

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**Title:** Rancheria Flat Residence #730 Rehabilitation **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

El Portal, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

**Project Description:** This project would include the following elements:

#### Interior rehabilitation:

- 1. Complete interior preparation and painting
- 2. Replacing window coverings with energy efficient blinds
- 3. Replacing all lighting fixtures with energy efficient fixtures
- 4. Replacing water heater
- 5. Replacing all floor coverings
- 6. Replacing the refrigerator and range with energy star appliances
- 7. Installing energy efficient ceiling fans in all bedrooms and dining room
- 8. Repairing or replacing other interior features

### Exterior rehabilitation:

- 1. Replacing dining room window with energy efficient French doors
- 2. Constructing a 16' x 10' deck to serve as egress for the new door and existing laundry room door. The deck will be constructed on a portion of an existing concrete patio so no soils will be disturbed. The deck will be framed with lumber and decked with Timbertech composite lumber.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps		$\boxtimes$	
b. Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
c. Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d. Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e. Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f. List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g. Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

### **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties?  If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).		$\boxtimes$		
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a.	Ц	Ц		
	b.		H		
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach				Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		
	a.				
	b.	Н	H		
	c.				
4	Th	<b>T</b> 7	NT-	NT/A	E14°
4.	The proposed action will:  • Destroy, remove, or alter features or	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	elements from a historic structure		$\boxtimes$		
	Replace historic features/elements in kind		$\boxtimes$		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements to a		$\boxtimes$		
	historic structure				
	<ul> <li>Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)</li> </ul>				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>				
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources		$\boxtimes$		
	<ul> <li>Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$		
	• Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources				
	• Other		$\boxtimes$		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 9/12/06

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

#### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 9/13/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: X	
Signature of Archeologist: //signed	original on file//	
<b>Cultural Anthropologist</b> Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian:		
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 9/13/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect://	signed original on file//	
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 9/20/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: //signed original on file//	

<b>Preservation Specialist</b> Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions: Recomm	nended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specialist	:	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Liaise	on: <u>//signed original on file//</u>	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e&gt;:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:	

#### Compliance Tracking No. 2006-109 7 of 8

### D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	_	•	guidelines, or US DOI standards an l incorporates measures to avoid Ac	· ·
Re	viewed	and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnature	: //Niki Stephanie Nic		<b>Date:</b> 9/18/06
		Chief of Resources	Management & Science Division	
2.	Asses	sment of Effects: No E	Effect	
3.	Comp	liance Requirements:	The following is the park's assess needs and requirements for this ur	
		Standard 36 CFR Par	rt 800 Consultation	
			FR is needed subsequent to the preparature management advisors.	tion of this form and its review by
		Undertaking related t	to the 1995 NPS Programmatic A	greement
			all conditions for a programmatic exclusion agreement, and is listed in Stipulation	
		<choose of="" td="" type="" underta<=""><td>aking&gt;</td><td></td></choose>	aking>	
		Plan-Related Underta	nking	
			of the proposed undertaking were compith the 1995 NPS programmatic agreen	
		<b>Undertaking Related</b>	to Another Agreement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes uten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 80	
		Agreement: <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information&gt;</td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Relat	ted Undertaking	
			ng is covered for Section 106 purposes tate Historic Preservation Office, and the d repair and recovery	
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Undertaking Related</b>	to the 1999 Yosemite Programma	tic Agreement
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes udesign, construction, operations and mal in Article VII.C.2.	

### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	<u>//Jeannette Simons//</u> Date: <u>10/3/06</u>			
2,201210	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL			
	ed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the ations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.			
Signature o	of Superintendent: //MJTollefson// Date: 10/13/06			
	Michael J. Tollefson			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.