Categorical Exclusion

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-095**PEPC Project Number: **16549**

Office in Yosemite National Park.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Camp 4 National Register Plaque Installation

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Sueann Brown, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Environmental Screening Form

 ∇

(2)


Attachments

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (5) - *Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks*.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

	☑ Preservation Assessment Form ((YOSE-XXX)							
	☐ Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis								
	☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination								
] Park Management Terms and C	Conditions							
	Other:								
C. DECI	ISION								
am fai except	miliar, I am categorically excludi	ct information in the statutory compliance file, with which I ng the described project from further NEPA analysis. No s in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in							
_//MJToll	efson//	9/27/06							
	. Tollefson	Date							
Original: cc:	Statutory Compliance File Project Proponent	The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance							



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Sueann Brown, Project Manager, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite

National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-095 Camp 4 National Register Plague Installation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJTollefson//	9/27/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form (Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-095

PEPC Project Number: 16549

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Camp 4 National Register Plaque Installation

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Sueann Brown, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project involves the placement of an approximately one foot square bronze plaque recognizing the listing of Camp 4 in the National Register of Historic Places. The plaque reads: "Camp 4 has been listed in the National Register of Historic Places by the United States Department of the Interior for its significant association with the history of modern rock climbing in America, February 21, 2005." It is to be attached to a boulder placed adjacent to the existing Raffi Bedayn memorial bench at Camp 4. The placed boulder will be similar to the one used for the plaque at Parson's Lodge (see photo). The boulder will be placed to the left and slightly behind the existing memorial.

The existing Bedayn Memorial will also be moved slightly forward to avoid tree roots currently impacting and being impacted by the granite slab memorial. There will be some ground disturbance associated with moving the memorial and partially burying the boulder. Both Archeology and the Park Forester have been consulted and consultation will continue as the exact placement of the memorial and boulder are determined.

The project is to be completed in time for an October 1, 2006, ceremony celebrating Camp 4's National Register Status.

Table R1 Reckground Information

1 a	Table D1 - Dackground Information							
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes			
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			History, Architecture and Landscape staff.			
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR							
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.							
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes				
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes				
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes					
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?							
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes					
4b.	Has consultation been completed?							
То	Table R2 Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter A R etc.)							

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Vicinity map: see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans	\boxtimes			Site map: see Attachment B
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Site photos: see Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-095 Page 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Are any impacts possible on the following Yes No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes							
res	ources?		110	11//1	Data recute to Determine/reces		
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Maximum one foot deep in a 3 feet by 3 feet area.		
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes				
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes				
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes				
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes				
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes				
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes			
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes				
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes				
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes				
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes				
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Protection Act Checklist, below.		
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes				
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes				
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	\boxtimes			Negligible: see Condition 1, Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes				
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes				
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				No historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Protection Act Checklist, below.		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes				
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes				
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes				
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes				
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes				
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes				
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?						
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-095 Page 3 of 6

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?				
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?				
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				Mitigated: see Condition 1, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:	41	1	c.	form mating imposition when the state of the
1.	Ensure that all equipment and materials brought in noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be ir				
	introduction and spread of non-native, invasive spe				
	(Environmental Planning and Compliance)				

1. None

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2006-095
-	_	F	Page 4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes	П			
	endangered species (Federal or State)?			ш			
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or		\boxtimes				
3.	State)? Park rare plants or vegetation?						
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status						
••	species listed above?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш			
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Speci	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC'	Г СН	ECKLIST		
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
	•				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse		
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			Effect," see condition 1 and 2 below, and the		
					attached XXX.		
					Yosemite Valley Archeological District; CA-MRP-63: the assessment of effect is "No		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	\boxtimes			Adverse Effect;" see condition 1 below, and		
					the attached XXX.		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian			П			
	traditional cultural resources?	Ш		Ш			
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District: the		
	archeological or historic landscape or district?		Ш	Ш	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"		
50	Is there a National Historic Landmark?				see the attached XXX.		
	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>						
50.	Classified Structures?	Ш		Ш			
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and						
	concurrence by the SHPO or a completed	\boxtimes			Camp 4 Historic Site.		
~ 1	National Register form?						
5a.	Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?		\boxtimes				
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or						
0.	cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш			
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	Limit ground disturbance to 10 centimeters b						
2.	Notify the park Archeology Office (379-1314	ł) 10 d	ays pi	rior to	any ground disturbance.		
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST							
Is t	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Within designated Wilderness?						
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness M	inimun	n Requ	iremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.		
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-095 Page 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:			No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If "Yes", name the river(s).	\boxtimes			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?	\boxtimes			Camp 4 plaque installation is consistent with this segment's classification as "Recreational."
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?	\boxtimes			Camp 4 plaque installation would enhance the river's ORVs.
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		\boxtimes		
6b.	If "Yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			\boxtimes	
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?	\boxtimes			Camp 4 plaque installation would be consistent with this area's management zoning as "3A-Camping."
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
8b.	If 8a is "Yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes	
8c.	If 8a is "Yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes	
9.	Change the level of use within the river corridor? If "Yes", explain.		\boxtimes		
If "	yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)
- 2. Limit ground disturbance to 10 centimeters below surface grade. (Resources Management and Science)
- 3. Notify the park Archeology Office (379-1314) 10 days prior to any ground disturbance. (Resources Management and Sciences)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//GWColliver//	9/15/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	9/19/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	9/19/06
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



Attachment B



Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-095
PEPC Project Number: 16549

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Camp 4 National Register Plaque Installation **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:**

Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Camp 4 Historic Site

Project Manager: Sueann Brown, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite National Park **Project Description:** This project involves the placement of an approximately one foot square bronze plaque recognizing the listing of Camp 4 in the National Register of Historic Places. The plaque reads: "Camp 4 has been listed in the National Register of Historic Places by the United States Department of the Interior for its significant association with the history of modern rock climbing in America, February 21, 2005". It is to be attached to a boulder placed adjacent to the existing Raffi Bedayn memorial bench at Camp 4. The placed boulder will be similar to the one used for the plaque at Parson's Lodge (see photo). The boulder will be placed to the left and slightly behind the existing memorial.

The existing Bedayn Memorial will also be moved slightly forward to avoid tree roots currently impacting and being impacted by the granite slab memorial. There will be some ground disturbance associated with moving the memorial and partially burying the boulder. Both Archeology and the Park Forester have been consulted and consultation will continue as the exact placement of the memorial and boulder are determined.

The project is to be completed in time for an October 1, 2006, ceremony celebrating Camp 4's National Register Status.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**			Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
	a.	Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS
	b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
	c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
	d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
	e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
	f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
	g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1. Has the Area of Potential Effect been				Yosemite Valley Historic District
surveyed to identify historic properties?	\boxtimes			Camp 4 National Register Form
If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				Yosemite Valley Archeological District
a. Would the proposed action affect a	\boxtimes			
known historic property?				
	A 00	4 10		
2. List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec			Explanation/Notes
a. CA MRP 0063	Yes	No		·
b. Camp 4 Historic Site		H		
c.		H		
C.	Ш			
3. List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
Effect to which American Indians attach				Explanation/Notes
cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		•
a. Wahhoga Village		\boxtimes		
b. CA MRP 0063	\boxtimes			
c.				
4. The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
 Destroy, remove, or alter features or 		\boxtimes		
elements from a historic structure				
Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure 		\boxtimes		
Alter or remove features/elements of a				
historic setting or environment (including		\boxtimes		
terrain)				
 Add nonhistoric features/elements 				
(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)	\boxtimes			
to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological	5			
resources inaccessible, or alter associated		Ш	Ш	ground disturbance
terrain				
 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated 		\boxtimes		
terrain			Ш	
Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape				
elements, or archeological or	Ш		Ш	
ethnographic resources				
• Involve a real property transaction				
affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,		\boxtimes		
the exchange, sale, or lease of land or	_			
structures)Potentially affect presently unidentified				
historic resources		\boxtimes		
• Other		\boxtimes		

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 8/4/06

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-095

3 of 7

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments: Work will occur on site approximately 8-10 cm deep.	Name: Laura Kirn CA-MRP-63, which is capped in this area by a layer	Date: 8/22/06 of colluvium
•	Yes: No:	
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect"	
	ground disturbance vertically to the upper 10 cm and um necessary. Notify the Archeology Office 10 days nat monitoring can occur.	
Signature of Archeologist: _//Laura	Kirn// (signed original on file)	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st:	
C	N I d D I	D .
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian:		
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 8/21/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	Effect"	
Signature of Historic Architect://S	ueann Brown// (signed original on file)	
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 8/21/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	Effect''	
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: _//Steven D Torgerson// (signed origi	nal on file)

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Doug Martin	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	nended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specialist:		
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date: 8/28/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect"	
Recommended Conditions: Notify N	Vative American via Liaison.	
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on: //Jeannette Simons// (signed original on file)	

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-095 6 of 7

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

na	nagem	oing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS ent policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed	l and Accepted by:
Sig	gnatur	c: //Niki Stephanie Nicholas// (signed original on file) Chief of Resources Management & Science Division Date: 8/22/06
2.	Asses	sment of Effects: No Adverse Effect
3.	Com	pliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
		Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
		Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
		Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
		Plan-Related Undertaking
		Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		Undertaking Related to Another Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
		Agreement:
		Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	\boxtimes	Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-095

7 of 7

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. Limit ground disturbance to 10cm below surface grade.
- b. Archeological monitoring during ground disturbance.

	Recommended	by	Park	Section	106	Coordinato
--	-------------	----	------	---------	-----	------------

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 8/28/06

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //MJTollefson Date: 9/27/06

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.