| | A | В | С |
|----------|---|---|---------|
| 1 | Areas open for discussion of dog-walking: | | • |
| 2 | on leash (where allowed) or no dogs | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| | Area | Key Interests (letters next to entries indicate who submitted - list at end of column B) | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | Mari Daint traila | | |
| 9 10 | Mori Point trails | c. Vegetation/T-E Species d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 11 | | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 12 | | e. Hiking, biking, running, dog walking. | |
| 13 | | f. T/E species habitat, ensure positive recreational experience, safety (human and dog), minimize cor | oflicts |
| 14 | | g. Multi use safety, horse back riding. | |
| 15 | | i. I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of | |
| 16 | | off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| | Sweeney Ridge trails | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 21 | | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 22 | | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 23 | | e. Hiking, biking, running, wilderness viewing. | |
| 24 | | f. Aesthetics (lack of dog feces, trash, etc.), minimize conflicts. | |
| 25 26 | | g. Multi use safety. | |
| 26 | | I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 28 | | on least dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 29 | | | |
| 30 | | | |
| | Milagra Ridge trails | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 32 | | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 33 | | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 34 | | e. Wilderness viewing, hiking, biking, running | |
| 35 | | f. Ensure positive recreational experience, unimpeded, full access to park resources, | |
| 36 | | aesthetics (lack of dog feces, trash, etc.) | |
| 37 | | g. Multi use safety. | |
| 38 | | i. I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of | |
| 39 | | off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 40 | | | |
| 41 | | | |
| 42 43 | | | |
| 43 | | | |
| 44 45 | | | |
| 40 | | | |

| | A | В | С |
|----------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| | Ocean Beach Snowy Plover Management Area between | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 47 | Stairwell #21 and Sloat Boulevard | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 48 | | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 49 | | e. Endangered species recovery. | |
| 50 | | f. Minimize conflicts, provide safe habitat for plovers. | |
| 51 | | g. Multi use safety, habitat protection. | |
| 52 53 | | i. I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of | |
| 53 54 | | off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 54 55 | | | |
| 56 | • | | |
| 57 | • | | |
| - | Sutro Heights Park | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 59 | | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 60 | 1 | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 61 | 4 | e. Historical site, visitor experience. | |
| 62 | | f. Ensure positive recreational experience, protect natural landscapes. | |
| 63 | • | g. Multi use safety. | |
| 64 | | i. I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of | |
| 65 | | off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 66 | | | |
| 67 | | | |
| 68 | | | |
| | Alta Avenue Trail | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 70 | | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 71 | | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 72 73 74 75 76 77 | | e. Wilderness viewing, hiking, biking, running | |
| 73 | | f. Ensure positive recreational experience, protect natural landscapes. | |
| 74 | | g. Multi use safety, horse back riding. | |
| 75 | • | i. I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of | |
| 76 | | off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 11 | | | |
| 78 79 | 4 | | |
| | Fort Baker | a. Consider areas that could facilitate dog walking. Off-leash areas or dog park. | |
| 80 | I UIL DANEI | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 82 | 4 | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 83 | 4 | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 84 | 1 | e. Historical site, fishing, boating. | |
| 85 | 1 | f. Ensure positive recreational experience, protect natural landscapes, minimize conflicts. | |
| 86 | | g. Multi use safety. | |
| 87 | 1 | i. I think all of these sites are no off-leash dogs unless it can be shown that the presence of | |
| 88 | 1 | off leash dogs will have no environmental impacts, especially to wildlife. | |
| 89 | 1 | J | |
| 90 | 1 | | |
| <u> </u> | 1 | | I |

| | A | В | С |
|-----|---|--|---|
| 91 | Other areas with threatened or endangered species | a. Identify what and where they exist | |
| 92 | | c. Vegetation/T-E Species | |
| 93 | | d. Visitor safety, dog safety, wildlife protection, wildlands access for all, preserving the NPS's | |
| 94 | | unique role/mandate, access for the blind. | |
| 95 | | e. Wilderness viewing and protection. | |
| 96 | | f. Must be protected per ESA. | |
| 97 | | g. Habitat protection, species survival. | |
| 98 | | i. No off-leash dogs. | |
| 99 | | | |
| 100 | | | |
| 101 | | | |
| 102 | | Compilation of submissions by: | |
| 103 | | a. Cindy Machado, Marin Humane Society | |
| 104 | | b. Keith McAllister, San Francisco Dog Owners Group | |
| 105 | | c. Mark Heath, California Native Plant Society | |
| 106 | | d. Brent Plater, Center for Biological Diversity | |
| 107 | | e. Erin Brodie, The Marine Mammal Center | |
| 108 | | f. Paul Jones, former member of GGNRA Citizens Advisory Commission | |
| 109 | | g. Holly Prohaska, Mar Vista Stables | |
| 110 | | h. Linda McKay, Fort Funston Dog Walkers | |
| 111 | | i. Arthur Feinstein, Environmentalist | |
| 112 | | j. Martha Walters, Crissy Field Dog Group | |
| 113 | | k. Chris Powell, National Park Service, GGNRA | |
| 114 | | I. Elizabeth Murdock, Golden Gate Audubon Society | |
| 115 | | m. Judy Teichman, Marinwatch | |
| 116 | | n. Norman LaForce, Sierra Club | |

Cell: A9

Comment: Protection of federally threatened California Red-legged frog and federally endangered San Francisco Garter Snake and their habitat

Cell: A20

Comment: Dogs are not allowed on Notch Trail to protect the federally endangered Mission Blue Butterfly habitat

Cell: A31

Comment: Protection of endangered Mission Blue Butterfly habitat, federally endangered San Bruno elfin butterfly and the federally threatened California Red-legged frog MB Butterfly habitat per NPS Parameters

Cell: A46 Comment: Protection of federally threatened Western Snowy Plover

Cell: A58 Comment: Formal landscaped area, heavily used for weddings and other events

Cell: A69

Comment: Protection of public safety and native wildlife behavior by reducing interactions between dogs and coyotes. Protection of endangered Mission Blue Butterfly habitat.

Cell: A80

Comment: Dogs not permitted on fishing pier to prevent conflicts with other uses; dogs not permitted on Chapel Trail to protect endangered Mission Blue Butterfly.

Cell: A91

Comment: On-leash dogwalking would be considered only if it can be demonstrated that any potential adverse impacts could be mitigated.