## **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-036**PEPC Project Number: **14776** 

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Parkwide, Install and Modify Curb Cuts and Ramps to Meet ADA Standards

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Gerald Ernest, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

#### B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (12) – Minor trail relocation or development of compatible trail networks on logging roads or other established routes.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

$\boxtimes$ Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)
Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
Park Management Terms and Conditions
Other:

#### C. DECISION


On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann //	<u>1/26/06</u>
for Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



## **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Maurice West, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Jerry Ernest, Project Manager, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-036 Parkwide, Install and Modify Curb Cuts and Ramps to

Meet ADA Standards

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(12) – Minor trail relocation or development of compatible trail networks on logging roads or other established routes.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann//
for Michael J. Tollefson

5/15/06 Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Environmental Screening Form (Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-036 PEPC Project Number: 14776

**Explanation/Notes** 

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Parkwide, Install and Modify Curb Cuts and Ramps to Meet ADA Standards

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Gerald Ernest, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

## B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Install & modify curb cuts that meet ADA standards at the Ahwhanee Hotel, Curry Village, and Yosemite Lodge. Curb cuts allow wheelchairs and individuals with disabilities access to DNC operations. Minor excavation and soil disruption will occur at the Ahwahnee location, all other sites will disrupt asphalt and concrete only.

No

N/A

Yes

**Table B1 – Background Information** 

1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	$\boxtimes$			History, Architecture and Landscape staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			$\boxtimes$	
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\boxtimes$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
	Are there any affected agencies or tribes? Has consultation been completed?			Ш	
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
4b.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	∐ □ n Atta		ents (j	provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)
4b.	Has consultation been completed?	n Atta		ents (J	provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)  Explanation/Notes
4b.	Has consultation been completed?		chm		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?  ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form		chm		
4b. <b>Ta</b> 1.	Has consultation been completed?  ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form  Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	Yes	chm		Explanation/Notes  Proposed modifications; see Attachment A,
4b. <b>Ta</b> 1.  2.	Has consultation been completed?  ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form  Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)  Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	Yes	No No		Explanation/Notes  Proposed modifications; see Attachment A,
1. 2. 3.	Has consultation been completed?  ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form  Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)  Drawings (e.g., design, construction)  Site Plans	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes  Proposed modifications; see Attachment A, schematic plan; see Attachment B.

# Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-036 2 of 6

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		,
3.	Air quality		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Soundscapes	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; temporary noise during construction.
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine				
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat				
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see the attached XXX.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see condition 1 below.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	$\boxtimes$			This project will improve facilities accessibility.
	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		$\boxtimes$		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.				Installing and modifying curb cuts and ramps will allow visitors with mobility impairments more accessibility.
	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation		$\boxtimes$		
24	potential Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	Ensure that equipment and material brought into the and non-native invasive plants or animals. Inform preventing the introduction and spread of non-native	all staf	work	ing on	the project of best management practices for

(Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)

**Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-036** 

## D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see condition 1 below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?		$\boxtimes$		Yosemite Valley Historic District; Camp Curry Historic District; Ahwahnee Hotel National Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
3.			$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		$\boxtimes$		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		Camp Curry Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Archeological District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see the attached XXX.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		$\boxtimes$		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see condition 2 below and the attached XXX.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see condition 2 below and the attached XXX.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				

#### **Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:**

- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that equipment and material brought into the park is free of material that could introduce or spread noxious weeds and non-native invasive plants or animals. Inform all staff working on the project of best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species in Division 1 specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2006-036
-	_		4 of 6

## E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

¥¥7*	41.241	<b>T</b> 7	NT	NT/A	D-4- N-1-14- D 4		
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or	_		_			
2.	State)?	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш			
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$	П			
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status	_		_			
	species listed above?	Ш		Ш			
	yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status !	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	ΓΙΟΝ	AC	г сні	ECKLIST		
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
***	tim the area of potential circus	100	110	11/11	The assessment of effect is "No Adverse		
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	$\boxtimes$			Effect;" see condition 1 and the attached		
	C	_	_	_	XXX.		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	$\boxtimes$			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse		
_	· · · · · ·		ш	Ш	Effect;" see the attached XXX.		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian	П	$\boxtimes$	П			
	traditional cultural resources?		_		Vacanita Vallan Historia Districto Comm		
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District; Camp Curry Historic District; the assessment of		
	archeological or historic landscape or	$\boxtimes$			effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the		
	district?				attached XXX.		
5a.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	$\boxtimes$			Ahwahnee Hotel		
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of		$\boxtimes$				
	Classified Structures?	Ш		ш			
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and						
	concurrence by the SHPO or a completed	Ш	Ш	$\boxtimes$			
5.4	National Register form? Is there a cultural property requiring review						
Ju.	under NHPA, Section 106?		$\boxtimes$				
_	·				Yosemite Valley Cultural Landscape; the		
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or	$\boxtimes$			assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"		
	cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	_		_	see condition 1 below and the attached XXX.		
If "	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	Coordinate project with the park archeologist	, Laura	a Kirn	, (379-	-1314) for onsite monitoring. (Resources		
	Management and Sciences)						
$\mathbf{C}$	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST						
		<b>X</b> 7	N.T.	BT/A	D. M. I. I. D. C. M. A.		
	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$				
	2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?						
	tigations and Conditions:	mmull	Requ	in chiel	ns rmarysis must be completed and attached.		
1 1	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-036 5 of 6

## H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	$\boxtimes$			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?				Install and modify curb cuts is consistent with its river segment classification of "Recreational."
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?	$\boxtimes$			Install and modify curb cuts will protect and enhance river ORVs.
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		$\boxtimes$		
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$	
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?				Install and modify curb cuts is consistent with the area's management zoning of "3B - Visitor Base and Lodging."
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$		
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?				
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
If "	yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

## Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-036

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

Modifying curb cuts and installing curb ramps to meet ADA standards would have impacts similar to DO12 3.4 C (12) – Minor trail relocation or development of compatible trail networks on logging roads or other established routes.

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**

- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that equipment and material brought into the park is free of material that could introduce or spread noxious weeds and non-native invasive plants or animals. Inform all staff working on the project of best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species in Division 1 specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)
- 3. Coordinate project with the park archeologist, Laura Kirn, (379-1314) for onsite monitoring. (Resources Management and Sciences)


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//Renea Kennec// Acting Compliance Specialist	4/28/06 <i>Date</i>
//Mark A. Butler// Compliance Program Manager	4/28/06 <i>Date</i>
//Bill Delaney// Chief, Project Management	5/3/06 Date


The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

## **Attachment A**

# **CV FO Curb Cut Modification**







**Yosemite Lodge** 

## **Attachment B**

## Ahwahnee Curb Cut Schematic Plan

#### Notes




Saw Cut Curb to Match angle of Granite Curb



Non-plastic wheel stop




Non-mortared, dry- laid granite curb created from adjacent stone. Curb shall be rustic in appearance and similar to the curbing historic curbing in the



## Not For Construction

This Schematic Shall not be used for construction purposes but to explain general concepts and convey information

## **Attachment C**









Ahwahnee Path of Travel Install Curb Cut











## **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-036
PEPC Project Number: 14776

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Parkwide, Install and Modify Curb Cuts and Ramps to Meet ADA Standards

NPS Project Manager: Project Management, Maurice West

**Partner Project Manager:** DNC, Gerald Ernest **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

Mariposa County, California. Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park

Ahwahnee Hotel, Curry Village, Yosemite Lodge

**Project Description:** Install & modify curb cuts that meet ADA standards at the Ahwhanee Hotel, Curry Village, and Yosemite Lodge. Curb cuts allow wheelchairs and individuals with disabilities access to DNC operations. Minor excavation and soil disruption will occur at the Ahwahnee location, all other sites will disrupt asphalt and concrete only.

1. Atta	ched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		$\boxtimes$	
b.	Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
c.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d.	Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e.	Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f.	List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

## 2 of 8

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				Yosemite Valley Historic District Camp Curry Historic District Ahwahnee Hotel National Landmark
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	$\boxtimes$			Ahwahnee Hotel, visual cultural landscape
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Ahwahnee Hotel			cultu	ral landscape
	b. Camp Curry HD	$\overline{\boxtimes}$			ral landscape
	c. Archeological Site	$\boxtimes$			MRP - 292 / 293
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a.		$\boxtimes$		
	b.	Щ			
	C.	Ш	$\boxtimes$		
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		$\boxtimes$	П	
	elements from a historic structure				
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind				
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure				
	<ul> <li>Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)</li> </ul>				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		$\boxtimes$		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	<ul> <li>Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)</li> </ul>				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources		$\boxtimes$		
	• Other	П	$\boxtimes$		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 6/1/06

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

4 of 8

## C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

modifications will occur in a previ be cut. A new concrete grade will	Yes: No: No:	ll be removed and asphalt will not to exceed 6". Instead of a
Signature of Archeologist://sig	gned original on file//_	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <b><choose b="" e<=""></choose></b>	ffect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropolog	ist:	
Curator Comments:	Name: <b>Jonathan Bayless</b>	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse	a Fiffact	
Recommended Conditions:	. Effect	
Signature of Curator: //signed ori	iginal on file	

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-036

5 of 8

**Preservation Specialist** Name: **Doug Martin** Date: 3/8/06 Comments: Would hope work blends with surrounding existing walkways. Assessment of Effect: No Adverse Effect Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions Signature of Preservation Specialist: \_\_\_\_//signed original on file//\_\_\_ Native American Liaison Name: Jeannette Simons Date: Comments: Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effect> or write it here >> Recommended Conditions: Signature of Native American Liaison: <Enter Specialist Title> Name: Date: Comments: Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effect> or write it here >> **Recommended Conditions:** Signature of <Enter Specialist Title>:

## Compliance Tracking No. 2006-036 7 of 8

## D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

mc	ınageme	ing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS nt policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of uildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed	and Accepted by:
Sig	gnature	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division  Chief of Resources Management & Science Division
2.	Assess	ment of Effects: No Adverse Effect
3.	Comp	<b>liance Requirements:</b> The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
		Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
		Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
		Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
		Plan-Related Undertaking
		Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		Undertaking Related to Another Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	-	Agreement:
		Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	X	Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

## 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Project modified according to consultation with Park Archeologist

#### **Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:**

Name: **Jeannette Simons** 

Title: **Historic Preservation Officer** 

Signature: \_//Jeannette Simons// Date: \_4-25-06

#### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

**Signature of Superintendent:** \_\_//R. Kevin Cann (Acting)//\_\_

**Date:** \_5/15/06\_

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.