### **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-047 PEPC Project Number: 15300

#### **A. PROJECT INFORMATION**

Title: Curry Village, Repair Roof Damage to Cabin WOB #8 (Y4-0604)
Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California
Project Manager: Bill Rust, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park
Project Manager: Earl Zack, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

#### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.* 

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Park Management Terms and Conditions

Other:

#### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//Signed Original on File//\_\_\_\_\_

Michael J. Tollefson

4/12/06\_

Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



## **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

#### Memorandum

- To: Bill Rust, Project Manager, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park Earl Zack, Project Manager, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite
- From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park
- Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-047 Curry Village, Repair Roof Damage to Cabin WOB #8 (Y4-0604)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C*(*4*) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.* 

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

<u>//Signed Original on File//</u> Michael J. Tollefson \_\_\_\_4/12/06\_\_\_\_\_ Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Environmental Screening Form

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-047 PEPC Project Number: **15300** 

#### **A. PROJECT INFORMATION**

Title: Curry Village, Repair Roof Damage to Cabin WOB #8 (Y4-Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Bill Rust, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park Project Manager: Earl Zack, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

#### **B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND**

Repair damage sustained at DNC employee quarters (Curry Village Cooks WOB #8. Damage to this roof was noticed on Friday, 3/17/2006. We believe that a bear tore the existing roofing material from the structure in an effort to gain entry to the building. This building is managed by the park concessioner, Delaware North Parks and Resorts at Yosemite. DNC will replace materials in kind to accomplish this emergency repair. Winter weather is forecast to begin today, thus timing is critical to complete the repair to allow the resident to occupy the structure and to prevent further damage to the structure and resident's personal property.

#### **Table B1 – Background Information**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	$\boxtimes$			History, Architecture and Landscape staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		$\square$		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\boxtimes$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

#### Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

### C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		$\boxtimes$		
2.	From geohazards				
3.	Air quality	Π			
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible; temporary during construction.
5.	Water quality or quantity	Π	$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics	Π			
7.	Marine or estuarine resources	Π	Π	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\square$		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		$\square$		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	$\boxtimes$			Yosemite Valley Cultural Landscape; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\square$		
	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		$\boxtimes$		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$		
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### **D. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?							
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated; see condition 1 below and the attached XXX.			
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$					
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		$\boxtimes$					
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?							
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?							
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see condition 1 below and the attached XXX.			
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?							
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?							
10	. Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$					
11	. Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$					
12	. Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		$\boxtimes$					
13	. Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$					
14	. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?							
15	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$					
16	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		$\boxtimes$					
17	. Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$					
	. Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$					
	. Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		$\boxtimes$					
	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:		-					
1.	1. See condition 1 and 2 under section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist.							

### E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$				
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		$\square$				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.						
<b>Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:</b>						

#### 1. None

#### F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?		$\boxtimes$					
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		$\boxtimes$					
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		$\boxtimes$					
	Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district?				Camp Curry Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Cultural Landscape; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see conditions 1 and 2 below and the attached XXX.			
5a.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		$\square$					
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?				Camp Curry Cabin without Bath #8 is listed as a classified structure; not a contributing element to the Yosemite Valley Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see conditions 1 and 2 below and the attached XXX.			
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and							
	concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?		$\boxtimes$					
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?		$\bowtie$					
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	$\bowtie$			See note 5b above.			
If "	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.			
Mi	tigations and Conditions:							
1.	<ol> <li>Preserve original historic fabric existing below the composite roof material intact.         <ul> <li>a. Remove existing roofing material (felt, shakes, and composite shingles).</li> <li>b. Preserve skip sheathing and all original historic fabric.</li> <li>c. Recover the roof with 3/4" sheathing (smooth on one side with the smooth side facing down).</li> <li>d. Reroof with new composite shingles that match the existing composite shingle on the structure.</li> <li>e. Replace fascia board with all wood lumber that matches the existing in both width and depth.</li> <li>f. Replace or rehang flashing.</li> <li>g. Paint the new ceiling white to match the existing interior color.</li> <li>h. Paint the new fascia to match the existing exterior color.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
2.	Establish a program for NPS and its partners t that are treated to meet fire code, or have a rea when the opportunity arises. This program sho	adily a	availal	ole sou	rce, for use on future corrective measures			

days.

### G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:		No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

#### H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)		$\boxtimes$				
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$				
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$				
4. Remain consistent with its river segment classification?			$\square$			
5. Protect and enhance river ORVs?			$\bowtie$			
6a. Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		$\boxtimes$				
6b. If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$			
7. Remain consistent with the area's Management Zoning?			$\square$			
8a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$				
8b. If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			$\square$			
8c. If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			$\boxtimes$			
If "yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

Although this is a response to a single event of damage to a roof, its replacement essentially constitutes DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.


#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**

- 1. Preserve original historic fabric existing below the composite roof material intact.
  - a. Remove existing roofing material (felt, shakes, and composite shingles).
  - b. Preserve skip sheathing and all original historic fabric.
  - c. Recover the roof with 3/4" sheathing (smooth on one side with the smooth side facing down).
  - d. Reroof with new composite shingles that match the existing composite shingle on the structure.
  - e. Replace fascia board with all wood lumber that matches the existing in both width and depth.
  - f. Replace or rehang flashing.
  - g. Paint the new ceiling white to match the existing interior color.
  - h. Paint the new fascia to match the existing exterior color.
- 2. Establish a program for NPS and its partners to maintain a stock-pile of appropriate wood roofing materials that are treated to meet fire code, or have a readily available source, for use on future corrective measures when the opportunity arises. This program should be developed immediately and be operational within 120 days.

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

Renea Kennec //Signed Original on File//	4/10/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
Gary Colliver //Signed Original on File//	4/10/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
Bill Delaney //Signed Original on File//	4/12/06
Chief, Project Management	Date

### Attachment A



### Attachment B



#### WOB #8 Exterior



WOB #8 Interior



Tree next to WOB #8

### **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-047 PEPC Project Number: 15300

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Curry Village, Repair Roof Damage to Cabin WOB#8(Y4-0604)

#### **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:**

Curry Village, Mariposa County, California

Camp Curry Historic District

Project Manager: Bill Rust Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Earl Zack, DNC,

**Project Description:** Repair damage sustained at DNC employee quarters (Curry Village Cooks WOB #8. Damage to this roof was noticed on Friday, 3/17/2006. We believe that a bear tore the existing roofing material from the structure in an effort to gain entry to the building. This building is managed by the park concessioner, Delaware North Parks and Resorts at Yosemite. DNC will replace materials in kind to accomplish this emergency repair. Winter weather is forecast to begin today, thus timing is critical to complete the repair to allow the resident to occupy the structure and to prevent further damage to the structure and resident's personal property.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**		Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		$\boxtimes$	
b.	Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
с.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d.	Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e.	Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f.	List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

\*\* Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

### **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	$\boxtimes$			Camp Curry Historic District Yosemite Valley Historic Distric (Historic Cultural Landscape)
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?		$\boxtimes$		WOB#8 is not a contributing element to the YVHD
				•	
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Cultural Landscape	$\boxtimes$			
	b.				
	с.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. None		$\boxtimes$		
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure		$\boxtimes$		
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		$\boxtimes$		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure		$\square$		
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)		$\square$		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	$\boxtimes$			Composite shingles are nonhistoric elements
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		$\square$		
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		$\boxtimes$		
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)		$\boxtimes$		
	• Potentially affect presently unidentified		$\boxtimes$		
	historic resources				
	• Other		$\boxtimes$		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Date: 4/17/06

#### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists:** Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date:
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Effect"</b> Recommended Conditions:	Yes: 🗌 No: 🔀	
Signature of Archeologist: <u>//Signed</u>	l Original on File//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		

Signature of Curator: \_\_\_\_\_

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of Historian:			
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 3/23/06	
Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Advers</b> Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''		
Signature of Historic Architect:	//Signed Original on File//		
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date:3/23/06	
Assessment of Effect: <b>"No Adverse Effect"</b> Recommended Conditions:			

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: \_\_\_\_//Signed Original on File//\_\_\_\_\_

<b>Preservation Specialist</b>	Name: Doug Martin	Date:		
Comments:				
Assessment of Effect:				
Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions				

Signature of Preservation Specialist:

#### Historic Preservation Officer Name: Jeannette Simons

Date: 3/23/06

Comments: An emergency under Section 106 occurs in the event that damage to or failure of park infrastructure poses an immediate threat to life or health. The subject event does not qualify as a threat to life and health. The subject event is more appropriately considered a natural disaster. Stipulation XI of the 1999 PA details procedures to follow to protect historic properties when a natural disaster situation arises. These procedures were not implemented.

Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"

Recommended Conditions:

1. Preserve original historic fabric existing below the composite roof material in tact.

2. Establish a program for NPS and its partners to maintain a stock-pile of appropriate wood roofing materials that are treated to meet fire code, or have a readily available source, for use on future corrective measures when the opportunity arises. This program should be developed immediately and be operational within 120 days.

Signature of Historic Preservation Officer: //Signed Original on File//\_\_\_\_\_

#### D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**1. Review by specialists:** The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

#### **Reviewed and Accepted by:**

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_Judi Weaser\_\_\_\_//Signed Original on File//\_\_\_\_Date: 3/24/06\_\_\_\_\_ Acting for Chief of Resources Management & Science Division

#### 2. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

**3.** Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

#### **Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation**

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

#### Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

#### □ Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

#### **Undertaking Related to Another Agreement**

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: < Enter Agreement Information>

#### □ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

#### Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

#### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

- a. Remove existing roofing material (felt, shakes, and composite shingles)
- b. Preserve skip sheathing and all original historic fabric
- c. Recover the roof with 3/4" sheathing (smooth on one side with the smooth side facing down)
- d. Reroof with new composite shingles that match the existing composite shingle on the structure
- c. Replace fascia board with all wood lumber that matches the existing in both width and depth
- d. Replace or rehang flashing
- e. Paint the new ceiling white to match the existing interior color
- f. Paint the new fascia to match the existing exterior color

g. Establish a program for NPS and its partners to maintain a stock-pile of appropriate wood roofing materials that are treated to meet fire code, or have a readily available source, for use on future corrective measures when the opportunity arises. This program should be developed immediately and be operational within 120 days.

#### **Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:**

Name:	Jeannette Simons	
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer	
Signature:	//Signed Original on File//	Date: <u>3/27/06</u>

#### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: <u>MJ Tollefson</u> //Signed Original on File//

Date: <u>4/12/06</u>\_\_\_\_\_

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.