Categorical Exclusion

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-031
PEPC Project Number: 14542

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Ranger's Club Preservation Maintenance

Location: Mariposa County, California Yosemite Valley

NPS Project Manager: Facilities Management, Doug Martin

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) – Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\[
\sum_{Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)}
\]
\[
\sum_{Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis}
\]
\[
\sum_{Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination}
\]
\[
\sum_{Park Management Terms and Conditions}
\]
\[
\sum_{Other:}
\]

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//Signed Original on File//	
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

(2)

Attachments



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Doug Martin, Project Manager, Facilities Management

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-031 Ranger's Club Preservation Maintenance

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) – Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//Signed Original on File//	3/17/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
cc: Statutory Compliance File	

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-031**PEPC Project Number: **14542**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Ranger's Club Preservation Maintenance

Location: Mariposa County, California Yosemite Valley

NPS Project Manager: Doug Martin, Facilities Management

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will correct/preserve fabric failure and related life safety concerns to the exterior envelope, south terrace, terrace doors, windows, siding, pilaster/timbers, and masonry of the Ranger Club. This two-and-a-half story wood frame structure's last major restoration effort was in 1991 - 92, and it now has a range of critical to minor problems. The condition of the north end balconies' stairs, south terrace, and log pilasters are currently the most serious. These stairs have a deterioration of the stair assembly and railings and structural deflection at the base of the steps. The integrity of the stairs is questionable in the event of a full live load. Failure in these areas is largely due to the unprotected location and exposure to the elements. Fabric failure is also evident at the base of the log pilasters and associated porch woodwork as well as significant amounts of the T&G decking. This project is intended to: correct fabric failure of the north stairs; replace failed railing; repair water damage at the base of the log pilasters; repair/replace south terrace deck; replace or refinish sections of interior wood floors; paint the entire South elevation and elsewhere where fading and peeling have occurred; and correct minor deficiencies at the south porch, stone foundation, terrace doors, windows, siding, and masonry chimney.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Historic Preservation Team
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn	1 Atta Yes	chm No	ents (j N/A	
_	1		110	IN/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)				Vicinity Map, Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	\perp		\perp	
3.	Site Plans	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
4.	Photographs				The Ranger's Club and elements needing repair, Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-031 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\overline{\Box}$	\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards				
3.	Air quality				
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible: temporary construction noise during project work.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect."
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				The Ranger Club is a National Historic Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.				
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-031

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.			\boxtimes		Mitigated; see conditions 1 and 2 below.	
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated; The Ranger Club is a National Historic Landmark; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.	
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?					
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes			
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes			
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes			
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Properties?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; The Ranger Club is a National Historic Landmark; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.	
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes			
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?					
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes			
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes			
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?					
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes			
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?					
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?					
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?					
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes			
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?					
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes			
1.	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)					

2. Ensure Safety Plan includes 2 means of egress during the construction. (Visitor Protection)

Compliance To	racking	Number:	2006-031
•	_		4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
Species of special concern (Federal or State)?				
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\boxtimes	П	
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:				•
1. None				
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	Г СНІ	ECKLIST
Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Will there be ground disturbance?				
2. Are there any archeological sites?				
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4. Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District;
archeological or historic landscape or	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Archeological District;
district?				assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect."
5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark?				Ranger's Club; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.
5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?				
5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?			\boxtimes	
5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?			\boxtimes	
6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	\boxtimes			Ranger's Club; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX.
If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	orm (Yo	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None				
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Within designated Wilderness?	닏		ᆜ	
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?	inin	Dog:	 .i.e.o.co. c	ate Analysis must be completed and attached
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi Mitigations and Conditions:	mun	ı Keqı	uremer	its Analysis must be completed and attached.
1 None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-031 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the prop	oosed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	n a wild and scenic river corridor? ame the river(s)		\boxtimes		
	n the bed and banks AND affect ow of the river?				
3. Potentiall	y affect water quality of the area?				
4. Remain co classificat	onsistent with its river segment ion?				
5. Protect an	d enhance river ORVs?			\boxtimes	
6a. Fall withi	n the River Protection Overlay?			\boxtimes	
•	s it consistent with conditions of Protection Overlay?			\boxtimes	
	onsistent with the areas ent Zoning?				
8a. Fall on a t River?	ributary of a Wild and Scenic		\boxtimes		
	es", will the project affect the Scenic River corridor?				
•	es", will the project unreasonably scenic, recreational, or fish and alues?				
If "yes" to que	estions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	rmination must be	e completed and attached.
Mitigations a	nd Conditions:				
1.					

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-031

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C(4) – Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Include two means of egress during the construction in the Safety Plan. (Visitor Protection)
- 3. Notify residents of the project. (Administration Management)
- 4. Coordinate project with the park accessibility coordinator, Larry Harris (379-1035), and complete an accessibility survey (Project Management)


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

GWColliver	//Signed Original on File/	// 3/6/06
Compliance Spe	ecialist	Date
Mark A Butle	er //Signed Original on Fil	le// 3/8/06
Compliance Pro	gram Manager	Date

Date

Chief, Project Management

Attachment A



Attachment B













South deck stairs in need of replacement.



Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: FEB06-2)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-031
PEPC Project Number: 14542

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Ranger's Club Preservation Maintenance

NPS Project Manager: Facilities Management, Doug Martin

Partner Project Manager: None

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Mariposa County, California. Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park

Ranger' Club National Landmark, Yosemite Valley (Cultural Landscape) Historic District, Yosemite Valley Archeological District

Project Description: This project will correct/preserve fabric failure and related life safety concerns to the exterior envelope, south terrace, terrace doors, windows, siding, pilaster/timbers, and masonry of the Ranger Club. This two-and-a-half story wood frame structure's last major restoration effort was in 1991 - 92, and it now has a range of critical to minor problems. The condition of the north end balconies' stairs, south terrace, and log pilasters are currently the most serious. These stairs have a deterioration of the stair assembly and railings and structural deflection at the base of the steps. The integrity of the stairs is questionable in the event of a full live load. Failure in these areas is largely due to the unprotected location and exposure to the elements. Fabric failure is also evident at the base of the log pilasters and associated porch woodwork as well as significant amounts of the T&G decking. This project is intended to: correct fabric failure of the north stairs; replace failed railing; repair water damage at the base of the log pilasters; repair/replace south terrace deck; replace or refinish sections of interior wood floors; paint the entire South elevation and elsewhere where fading and peeling have occurred; and correct minor deficiencies at the south porch, stone foundation, terrace doors, windows, siding, and masonry chimney.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1. Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				Yosemite Valley Historic District Nomination Form; Ranger's Club National Landmark Nomination Form; Yosemite Valley Archaeological District nomination form and study reports in archeology office
a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Ranger's Club
2. List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
a. Rangers Club	\boxtimes		Reha	b maintenance
b. CA-MRP-0056 through 301		\boxtimes	No g	round distrubance
c.				
3. List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
Effect to which American Indians attach				Explanation/Notes
cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		
a. Ahwahne Village		\boxtimes		
b. Traditional resource area				
С.				
4. The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes		
elements from a historic structure				
Replace historic features/elements in kind	\boxtimes			
 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure 		\boxtimes		
Alter or remove features/elements of a				
historic setting or environment (including		\boxtimes		
terrain)				
 Add nonhistoric features/elements 				
(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)		\boxtimes		
to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
Disturb, destroy, or make archeological				
resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain		\boxtimes		
Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic				
resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes		
terrain				
• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape		\boxtimes		
elements, or archeological or	ш		ш	
ethnographic resources				
• Involve a real property transaction				
affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,		\boxtimes		
the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)				
 Potentially affect presently unidentified 				
historic resources	Ш	\boxtimes		
• Other		\boxtimes		

Yosemite National Park
Assessment of Effects Form (YOSE-XXX)

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-031 3 of 8

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons

Date: 4/14/06

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 2/8/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: 🖂	
Signature of Archeologist://Signature	ed Original on File//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t: _//Signed Original on File//	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator://Signed O	original on File//	

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 2/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historian://Signed C	Original on File//	
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 2/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historic Architect: _//S	e Effect'' signed Original on File//	
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 2/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	Effect"	
Signature of Historic Landscape Ard	chitect: _//Signed Original on File//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Doug Martin	Date:
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Recomm		
Signature of Preservation Specialist:	//Signed Original on File//	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on: //Signed Original on File//	
Comments:	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of :		

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-031 7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

				*
ma	ınagen	nent policies, standards, gu	ate: the proposed action is consisten uidelines, or US DOI standards and s ncorporates measures to avoid Adven	guidelines, Rehabilitation of
Re	viewe	ed and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnatur	re: Niki Stephanie Ni	icholas_//Signed Original on File//	Date: <u>2/14/06</u>
		Chief of Resources M	Ianagement & Science Division	
2.	Asses	essment of Effects: No Ac	dverse Effect	
3.	Com	-	The following is the park's assessmenteds and requirements for this under	•
		Standard 36 CFR Part 8	800 Consultation	
		Consultation under 36 CFR appropriate historic resource	t is needed subsequent to the preparation or management advisors.	of this form and its review by
	\boxtimes	Undertaking related to	the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agree	ement
			conditions for a programmatic exclusion greement, and is listed in Stipulation IV.	
			preserving/protecting/maintaining/repainthe Sec.Int.Standards and guidelines).	ring/replacing in kind materials
		Plan-Related Undertaki	ing	
			the proposed undertaking were complete to the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement	
		Undertaking Related to	Another Agreement	
		1 1	is covered for Section 106 purposes unden in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7	
		Agreement: <enter agre<="" td=""><td>eement Information></td><td></td></enter>	eement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Related	d Undertaking	
			is covered for Section 106 purposes und e Historic Preservation Office, and the C repair and recovery	
		Undertaking Related to	the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic	Agreement
			is covered for Section 106 purposes unde sign, construction, operations and mainte n Article VII.C.2.	

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

Recommen	ded by Park Section	n 106 Coordinato	r :
Name:	Jeannette Simons		
Title:	Historic Preservati	ion Officer	
Signature:	//Signed Original	on File//	Date: <u>3/2/06</u>
The propos	v	NPS Management	Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the ed in Section B of this form.
Signature o	of Superintendent:	MJ Tollefson	//Signed Original on File//
		Date:3/17/06_	