# **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-007
PEPC Project Number: 13872

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Camp 4 Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker Installation **Location:** Mariposa County, California Yosemite Valley

Project Manager: Parker Davis, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

#### **B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION**

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or Cyclic Maintenance Guide (or equivalent guides), or if the action would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\[
\sum\_{Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Park Management Terms and Conditions}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Other:}
\]

#### **B. DECISION**

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.


_//R. Kevin Cann, Acting//	3/20/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Parker Davis, Project Manager, Division of Business and Revenue Management,

Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-007 Camp 4 Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker

Installation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4  $\,C$  (4) Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or Cyclic Maintenance Guide (or equivalent guides), or if the action would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann, Acting//	_3/20/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	The signed original of this document is on file at
	the Environmental Planning and Compliance
cc: Statutory Compliance File	Office in Yosemite National Park.
7 1	

# Environmental Screening Form (Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-007** PEPC Project Number: 13872

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Camp 4 Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker Installation **Location:** Mariposa County, California Yosemite Valley

Project Manager: Parker Davis, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

There are 35 sites at Camp 4, only five of which already have a metal fire ring. The other 31 sites contain multiple, rock rings as well as the remains of small concrete slabs (3'x3'x6") from old barbeque rings. The first part of this project will consist of removing the slabs with a bobcat and any duplicate rock ringsmore than one per site. Three accessible fire rings will also be installed.

The second part includes removing the deteriorating concrete site markers (6"x6"x4"), most of which are illegible and/or unsafe(with rebar sticking out). The sites will now be identified by the existing bear lockers, which are already stenciled with the site numbers, and the concrete half-rounds, which will require new stenciling.

The work will be completed by the Parkwide Custodial Office, who are experienced with performing this type of work safely. The material will be stockpiled in North Pines, old group parking sites G and H, until picked up by Project Management for disposal or reuse, no later than Memorial Day(contact: Mike Pieper, 379.1263)

Table R1 - Rackground Information

1 a	oic DI – Dackgi ouliu illioi illatioli							
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes			
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	$\boxtimes$			Paul Chattey and Missy Carter, et al.			
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR							
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		$\boxtimes$					
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$				
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$				
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?				Climbing Community			
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				See Condition 2 & 3.			
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$					
4b.	Has consultation been completed?							
Ta	Table R2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A. B. etc.)							

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$			Site, location and vicinity map, see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Photographs	$\boxtimes$			Campsite photos, see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-007 2 of 6

# C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\boxtimes$			Fire Ring and Site Marker removal is in previously disturbed area; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		,
3.	Air quality		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible; temporary construction noises during project.
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources	Ц		$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect."
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		$\boxtimes$		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	$\boxtimes$			Removing unsafe site markers will enhance visitor experience.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		$\boxtimes$		Camp 4 is listed as a NRHP Property; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\boxtimes$		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		$\boxtimes$		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

# Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-007

# D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

<ol> <li>Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?</li> <li>Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?</li> <li>Have highly controversial environmental effects?</li> </ol> Mitigated; see condition 1 below. Camp 4 is listed as a NRHP Property; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the XXX.
historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?  Camp 4 is listed as a NRHP Property; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the XXX.
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?
5. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?
6. Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?
7. Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?
8. Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?
9. Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?
10. Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?
11. Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?
12. Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?
13. Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?
14. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal
15. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?
16. Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?
17. Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?
18. Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?
19. Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:  1. Immediate removal or mitigation of exposed rehar is a priority (Safety Office)

1. Immediate removal or mitigation of exposed rebar is a priority. (Safety Office)

# Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-007 4 of 6

## E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

XX75	41	<b>X</b> 7	NT.	TAT/A	D-4- N1-14- D-4					
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes					
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$							
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or									
۷.	State)?		$\boxtimes$							
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	$\boxtimes$	П						
4.										
	species listed above?	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш						
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.					
	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:									
1.	None									
T.	NATIONAL HIGEODIC DDECEDIAL	TION		r atti						
	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA									
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes					
					Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker					
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	$\boxtimes$			removal is in previously disturbed area;					
					assessment of effect is "No Effect," see					
					XXX. Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"					
2.	Are there any archeological sites?	$\boxtimes$			see XXX.					
					Wahhoga Village;					
					Traditional gathering area;					
3.	Are there any Native American Indian	$\boxtimes$	П	П	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"					
	traditional cultural resources?		_	_	see XXX.					
1	Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Archeological District;					
4.	archeological or historic landscape or				Yosemite Valley Historic District;					
	district?		ш	ш	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"					
_					see XXX.					
	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	Ш		Ш						
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>		$\boxtimes$							
50	Classified Structures?  Is there a historic property with a DOE and									
JC.	concurrence by the SHPO or a completed		$\boxtimes$							
	National Register form?	ш		ш						
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review				Camp 4 NRHP Property; assessment of					
	under NHPA, Section 106?	$\boxtimes$	Ш	Ш	effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the XXX.					
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or				Camp 4 NRHP Property; assessment of					
	cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?		Ш	Ш	effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the XXX.					
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.					
Mi	tigations and Conditions:									
1.	None									
G	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST									
		<b>X</b> 7	NT.	NT/A	D. A. N. al. J. A. D. A					
	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes					
1. 2.	Within designated Wilderness? Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?									
	If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.  Mitigations and Conditions:									
	None									

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-007 5 of 6

## H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	$\boxtimes$			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?	$\boxtimes$			The Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker Installation is consistent with its classification of Recreational.
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?	$\boxtimes$			The Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker Installation will protect and enhance river ORVs.
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		$\boxtimes$		·
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$	
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?	$\boxtimes$			The Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker Installation is consistent with its Management Zoning of 3A - Camping.
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$		
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		$\boxtimes$		
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
If "	yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

## I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

#### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 C (4) Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or Cyclic Maintenance Guide (or equivalent guides), or if the action would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


- 1. Immediate removal or mitigation of exposed rebar is a priority. (Safety Office)
- 2. Work with park Public Outreach Specialist (Jen Nersesian, 372-0249) to develop an effort for inpark notification to climbers and other visitors using Camp 4 regarding this action. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)
- 3. Also, the Chief Ranger (Steve Shackelton, 372-0211) will notify Linda McMillan, President of American Alpine Club, as a means of climber notification. (Visitor Protection)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//GWColliver//	3/15/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	3/16/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	3/17/06

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Attachment A



Map 1 Camp 4 Vicinity Map



# **Attachment B**



Photo 1 Damaged Site Marker



Photo 2 Existing Metal type Fire-Ring with grill



**Photo 3 Old Metal and Native Rock Type Fire-Rings** 

## **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-007
PEPC Project Number: 13872

### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Camp 4 Campground Fire Ring and Site Marker Installation

Project Manager: Parker Davis, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

**Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

Mariposa County, California. Yosemite Valley

Camp 4 Campground (Sunnyside)

#### **Project Description:**

There are 35 sites at Camp 4, only five of which already have a metal fire ring. The other 31 sites contain multiple, rock rings as well as the remains of small concrete slabs (3'x3'x6") from old barbeque rings. The first part of this project will consist of removing the slabs with a bobcat and any duplicate rock rings - more than one per site.

The second part includes removing the deteriorating concrete site markers (6"x6"x4'), most of which are illegible and/or unsafe (with rebar sticking out). The sites will now be identified by the existing bear lockers, which are already stenciled with the site numbers, and the concrete half-rounds, which will require new stenciling.

The work will be completed by the Parkwide Custodial Office, who are experienced with performing this type of work safely. The material will be stockpiled in North Pines, old group parking sites G and H, until picked up by Project Management for disposal or reuse, no later than Memorial Day (contact: Mike Pieper, 379.1263)

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	$\boxtimes$		GIS ARCH and TCP map.
b.	Drawings			
c.	Site Plans			
d.	Photographs			
e.	Sample			
f.	List of Materials			
g.	Other (Explain)			

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				Camp 4 National Register nomination form. Yosemite Valley Archeological District. YOSE 1974 A
	Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Camp 4 NRHP Property	$\boxtimes$		Introd mater	duce non-historic material, remove historical rial.
	b. Wahhoga Village		$\boxtimes$		
	c. CA -MRP-63	$\boxtimes$			
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Wahhoga Village		$\boxtimes$		
	b. Traditional gathering area.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure	$\boxtimes$			
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		$\boxtimes$		
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$		
	<ul> <li>Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	<ul> <li>Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)</li> </ul>				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources		$\boxtimes$		
	• Other				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 4/14/06

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date:3/6/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:	
Signature of Archeologist: _//Signed	l Copy on File//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	t:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator: _//Signed Cop	y on File//	

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:3/8/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian: //Signed Co	opy on File//	
<u></u>		
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 3//6/08
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
G:		
Signature of Historic Architect: _//S	Signed Copy on File//	
Historic Landscape Architect	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date:3/6/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	a Effect"	
Recommended Conditions:	Effect	
recommended conditions.		
Signature of Historic Landscape Are	chitect: //Signed Copy on File//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: <b>Doug Martin</b>	Date:3/6/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect"	
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	nended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specialist:	//Signed Copy on File//	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialist="" td="" titl<=""><td>e&gt;:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:	

## Compliance Tracking No. 2006-007 7 of 8

## D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

тc	anagement	ng assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS to policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of ldings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	eviewed a	nd Accepted by:
Sig	gnature:	Niki Nicholas //Signed Copy on File// Chief of Resources Management & Science Division
2.	Assessm	nent of Effects: No Adverse Effect
3.	Complia	ance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
		tandard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
		onsultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by oppopriate historic resource management advisors.
	$\square$ U	ndertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		ne above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 195 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
	<0	Choose Type of Undertaking>
	☐ Pl	lan-Related Undertaking
		onsultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review occess, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		ndertaking Related to Another Agreement
		the proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a satewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	A	greement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>
		lood-Recovery Related Undertaking
	b	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation or "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	$\boxtimes$ U	ndertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
	ag	the proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic greement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets e stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

## 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	_//Signed Copy on File// Date:413/06			
The propos	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL  ed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the lations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.			
Signature	of Superintendent:MJ Tollefson			
	<b>Date:</b> 4/11/06			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.