1	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
2	AMONG THE SAN FRANCISCO MARITIME NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK,
3	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE,
4	THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION,
5	AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER
6	REGARDING
7	OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES IN
8	SAN FRANCISCO MARITIME NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK
9	
10	WHEREAS, San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park (Park), a unit of the
11	National Park Service (NPS), located in San Francisco City and County in California, operates,
12	manages, administers, maintains, preserves, and interprets the historic properties of the Park to
13	leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations; and,
14	
15	WHEREAS, pursuant to Public Law 100-348 (June 27, 1988), Congress established the
16	Park to preserve and interpret the history and achievements of seafaring Americans and of the
17	Nation's maritime heritage, especially on the Pacific coast; and
18	
19	WHEREAS, the Superintendent of the Park is the responsible agency official as defined
20	in 36 CFR 800.2(a) for purposes of Section 106 compliance of the National Historic Preservation
21	Act (NHPA), and for the implementation of this Programmatic Agreement (PA); and
22	
23	WHEREAS, execution and implementation of this PA will supersede the Park's 1997
24	Programmatic Agreement Among the National Park Service, San Francisco Maritime National
25	Historical Park (SAFR), the California State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), and the
26	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) Regarding the General Management
27	Plan/Environmental Impact Statement, San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park,
28	California; and
29	WWEDELG G. J. WE GI 2000 D. J.
30	WHEREAS, Section II.F. of the 2008 Programmatic Agreement Among the National
31	Park Service (U.S. Department of the Interior), the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation,
32	and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers for Compliance with Section
33	106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (the NPS Servicewide PA, see Appendix A)
34	encourages development of supplementary programmatic agreements; and
35	WHITEDEAG A CLUB A LANGUAGE AND A LA
36	WHEREAS, the operation, management, and administration of the Park involves
37	undertakings that may affect historic properties (as defined in 36 CFR Part 800.16.(1)), which are
38	therefore subject to review under applicable sections of the NHPA as amended (16 USC 470 et
39	seq.), such as 106, 110(f), 111(a), and 112, and the regulations of the Advisory Council on
40	Historic Preservation (36 CFR Part 800); and
41 42	WHEDEAS the Dark has consulted with the Colifornia State Historia Processistics
42	WHEREAS, the Park has consulted with the California State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) regarding development of this PA pursuant to 36 CEP Part 800; and
43 44	Officer (SHPO) regarding development of this PA pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800; and
44	

WHEREAS, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) has chosen to participate in this consultation and development of this PA; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this PA is to establish a Park-wide Program for compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA and set forth a streamlined process when agreed upon criteria are met and procedures are followed (the Program), and to supplement the existing NPS Servicewide PA; and

WHEREAS, the Park will be guided by planning and management documents listed in Appendix B; and

WHEREAS, the Park holds a long term, 57 year, lease with Wildcats Owner, LLC, A Delaware limited liability company, in which the Argonaut Hotel is required to maintain the Haslett Warehouse building in accordance with an approved Preservation Plan (listed in Appendix B) and annually submits a report and meets with the park on planned maintenance activity; and

WHEREAS, the Park has a staff of specialists who meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Historic Preservation Professional Qualifications Standards* appropriate to the historic properties in the Park to carry out the Park's Program, and may call on other NPS qualified staff as needed; and

WHEREAS, the Park has a staff who are specialists in traditional maritime trades including but not limited to: historic ship rigging; historic ship carpentry; traditional steam operation; and

WHEREAS, the Park has determined that the implementation of this Program may affect properties within the Park that are listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP); and

NOW, THEREFORE, the Park, ACHP, and SHPO agree that the PA shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations and processes in order to take into account the effects of the Park's undertakings on historic properties.

I. CONSULTATION

A. Public Comment

 1. For undertakings that do not qualify for the streamlined review process as set forth in Section II of this PA and Section III of the NPS Servicewide PA, the Park will consult with interested parties and members of the public through regular public meetings, informational mailings, and use of the Planning, Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) website to meet its obligation for public input under 36 CFR 800.

- 1 2 3 4
- 5 6 7 8
- 9 10 11 12
- 13 14

15 16 17

18 19 20

21

22 23 24

25

26 27 28

30 31 32

33

29

34 35 36

37

40 41

- 2. The Park Superintendent will consult with members of the public interested in the Park's cultural resources and in proposed NPS actions that might affect those resources, and provide them with the opportunities to learn about and comment on those resources and planned actions.
- 3. For undertakings that do not qualify for the streamlined review process, the Park will initiate consultation with consulting parties on undertakings at the beginning of a planning effort, and shall make clear any rules, processes, or schedules applicable to the consultation. The Park shall actively seek the views and comments of local governments and certified local governments when an undertaking has the potential to affect historic properties and to acknowledge and understand others' interests. Those seeking Federal assistance, licenses, permits, or other approvals are entitled to participate as a consulting party as defined in 36 CFR 800.2(c)(4) and will be consulted as applicable early in the planning process.

II. STREAMLINED REVIEW PROCESS

Repetitive, Low Impact Activities

- Repetitive, low impact activities defined in Appendix C will be undertaken with no additional review by SAFR cultural resources staff. The project proponent shall maintain records of actions for inspection and supply a list of activities undertaken per historic resource to the SAFR compliance team annually. This list will be included in the park's annual report to the SHPO.
- A. Criteria for Using the Streamlined Review Process
 - 1. In accordance with Stipulation I.A.5 7 and I.B.1 2 of the NPS Servicewide PA, the Superintendent has designated a Cultural Resource Management (CRM) Team with expertise and meeting the qualifications to fulfill and implement the requirements of the NPS Servicewide PA.
 - 2. If a property that was not formally listed or determined eligible for the National Register is found by the CRM Team to be potentially eligible, the park shall consult with the SHPO for a determination of eligibility in accordance with NHPA Section 110(f).
 - 3. The CRM Team will implement the streamlined review process in Section III.B in the NPS Servicewide PA.
 - 4. The CRM Team will review projects for compliance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR 68), and treatment recommendations, and The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects with Guidelines for Applying the Standards cited in baseline cultural resources publications in Appendix A.

- 5. The Park will follow the Standard Review Process in 36 CFR 800.3-800.6 for undertakings that do not meet the streamlined review criteria, such as those projects having an adverse effect, or which are not specific project types qualified for streamlined review as mentioned in Section II of this document or in the NPS Servicewide PA, section III.
- 6. An annual report of all undertakings reviewed using the Streamlined Review process will be prepared by the Park Section 106 Coordinator, and a hard copy will be transmitted to the SHPO. The report will include a list of the qualified staff members of the CRM Team.
- B. Undertakings Eligible for Streamlined Review

In accordance with stipulations III.D of the NPS Servicewide PA, the list of undertakings eligible for streamlined review in stipulation III.C is amended to include:

- 1. Preservation Maintenance (cleaning, routine maintenance, cyclic maintenance, Stabilization and building monitoring) consisting of:
 - a. Repair of roofing material from the period of significance or replacement in-kind if it is deteriorated beyond repair;
 - b. Grading of terrain adjacent to a building to achieve positive water run-off provided that previous cultural resource studies indicate that no historic properties would be adversely affected;
 - c. Maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and restoration of historic vegetation, vistas, and small-scale features. Addition of non-historic landscape features must meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties*;
 - d. Routine sand management and annual beach sand relocation.
- 2. Ship Preservation Maintenance (cleaning, routine maintenance, cyclic maintenance, Stabilization and ship monitoring) consisting of:
 - a. Cleaning and stabilizing of historic ships, elements of ships, rigging, decking, and mechanical equipment, using treatment methods that do not alter or cause damage to historic materials.
 - b. Repainting in the same color as existing, or in similar colors or historic colors based upon an approved historic structure report or a historic paint color analysis.
 - c. In-kind repair and limited replacement of deteriorated ship elements including decking, hull planks or plates, port holes, frames, ceilings, wasted metal, rivets,

1			wooden features, rigging elements and mechanical equipment. Limited in-kind
2			replacement refers to the replacement of only those elements of the features that are
3			too deteriorated to enable repair, consistent with the Standards.
4			
5		d.	Substitution of different types of wood, fasteners, coatings, etc. per the Standards
6			when materials to match the original deteriorated fabric are not obtainable or no
7			longer legally allowed.
8			
9	3.	Re	habilitation and restoration of historic ships. Rehabilitations and restorations will

- 3. Rehabilitation and restoration of historic ships. Rehabilitations and restorations will conform with the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects with Guidelines for Applying the Standards* and will be informed by historic structures reports (HSRs)
 - a. Replacement of non-historic components of historic ships including rigging, deck equipment, and mechanical equipment, with components that have been documented to be more historically accurate.
 - b. Restoration of areas of a ship to an approved historic period.

- 4. Rehabilitation of non-public areas of historic buildings that involve the removal, installation, or relocating of non-historic doors, walls, cubicles and other operations-related infrastructure and that do not adversely affect historic fabric.
- 5. Projects within the Haslett Warehouse (Argonaut Hotel, Retail Spaces and Courtyard) that conform with the *Secretary of Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties* and are related to build-out or removal of hotel or subtenant improvements that affect non-historic fabric installed by the Hotel and its subtenants and do not adversely affect historic fabric as identified in the Haslett Warehouse Historic Preservation Plan;
- 6. Signage upgrade and replacement projects on the exterior of the Haslett Warehouse (Argonaut Hotel, Retail Spaces and Courtyard) that conform to the approved signage plan for the building.
- 7. Removal of both natural and man-made surface debris following a major weather or geologic event such as a tsunami or an earthquake, provided an AMA has been completed prior to any ground disturbing activity;
- 8. Sustainable energy improvements made according to the *Secretary of the Interior's* Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation & Illustrated Guidelines on Sustainability for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings (2013), and informed by HSRs, CLRs, and archeological reviews;
- 9. Maintenance or replacement of non-historic utility lines, transmission lines, and fences

on historic properties if performed in a manner that does not adversely affect above- or below-ground historic properties;

10. Installation of new signs that meet the park Sign Plan, referenced in Appendix A, and in areas previously surveyed with no archaeological sensitivity;

11. Projects to provide accessibility for buildings, ships and landscapes if proposed in a sensitive way that protect historic properties, and that follow guidance from NPS Preservation Brief 32, Making Historic Properties Accessible; recommendations from cultural landscape reports and historic structures reports; and which meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects, avoid adverse effects, and take Section 110(f) of the NHPA into account for NHLs. Projects should be compliant with standards outlined in the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS), Accessibility Guidelines for Outdoor Recreation Areas (AGODA) published by the U.S. Access Board, and Americans with Disabilities Act and Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAABAAG), and avoid adverse effects;

12. Undertakings, such as art exhibits, that affect a historic property, regardless of their temporary or reversible nature, must avoid adverse effects to character defining features and the design, materials, location, association, and workmanship of historic fabric. If there is no adverse effect to historic fabric, feeling and setting may be altered, for a period not to exceed one year for these purposes. Subsequent art exhibits must not be placed in the same view shed until a period of time has elapsed equal in length to the previous installation.

III. NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARKS

A. The Park shall, to the maximum extent possible, undertake planning and actions necessary to minimize harm to any National Historic Landmark (NHL) that may be directly and adversely affected by an undertaking as required by Section 110(f) of the National Historic Preservation Act and 36 CFR § 800.10.

B. Where the other criteria as listed in Stipulation III of the NPS Servicewide PA, and Stipulation II above are met, proposed undertakings that may affect a designated NHL may follow the Streamlined Review Process. Where preliminary planning activities indicate that a proposed undertaking has the potential to have an adverse effect on an NHL, prior to initiating a formal consultation process, the Superintendent will initiate an internal review process in accordance with NPS Management Policies to determine alternatives to avoid or minimize the adverse effects and to assess the possibility of impairment.

IV. INADVERTENT DISCOVERIES OR UNANTICIPATED EFFECTS

- A. If historic properties are discovered or unanticipated effects on historic properties are found after the Park's approval of the undertaking and after construction has commenced, the Park shall implement any post review discovery plan developed pursuant to this PA. If such a plan is not in effect, the Park will stop construction activities in the area of the discovery, take reasonable efforts to avoid or minimize effects to historic properties, consult with SHPO within 48 hours of the discovery. As a result of the consultation, the Park will document any effects to such properties, and, in consultation with the SHPO, determine reasonable actions that can be taken to avoid, minimize or mitigate any adverse effects.
 - 1. The notification shall describe the Park's assessment of the NRHP eligibility of the property, describe the effects, and propose actions to resolve adverse effects. The SHPO shall respond within 2 business days of the notification. The agency official/superintendent shall take into account their recommendations regarding NRHP eligibility and the proposed actions, and then carry out appropriate actions. The agency official/superintendent shall provide the SHPO with a report of the actions within 30 days of completion.
 - 2. The Park, in consultation with the SHPO, may assume a newly discovered property to be eligible for the National Register for purposes of the current undertaking and shall specify the National Register criteria used to assume the property's eligibility.

V. EMERGENCY ACTIONS

- A. Immediate rescue and salvage operations conducted to preserve life or property temporarily supersedes the provisions of Section 106 and this PA. Examples include earthquake, tsunami, and/or fire.
- B. In the event the Park Superintendent deems an action necessary as an essential and immediate response to a disaster or emergency declared by the President or the Governor of a State or another immediate threat to life or property, the Park shall:
 - 1. Notify, within 24 hours of declared emergency or as soon as conditions permit, the SHPO and afford them an opportunity to comment within 24 hours of notifications. If the Park determines that circumstances do not permit 24 hours for comment, the agency official/superintendent shall notify the SHPO and invite comments within the time available. The Park shall take into account any comments received in reaching decisions on how to proceed with the emergency undertaking.
 - 2. These emergency procedures apply only to undertakings that will be implemented within 30 days after the disaster or emergency has been formally declared by the appropriate authority. The Park may request an extension of the period of applicability from the SHPO prior to the expiration of the 30 days.

VI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1 2

- A. Should the SHPO or Council object at any time to any actions proposed, or the manner in which the terms of this PA are implemented, the Park shall consult with the objecting party(s) to resolve the objection. If the Park or objecting party determines that such objection(s) cannot be resolved through this consultation, the Park will:
 - 1. Forward all documentation relevant to the dispute to the ACHP in accordance with 36 CFR Section 800.2(b)(2). Upon receipt of adequate documentation, the ACHP shall review and advise the Park on the resolution of the objection within 30 days. Any comment provided by the ACHP, and all comments from the parties to the PA, will be taken into account by the Park in reaching a final decision regarding the dispute.
 - 2. If the ACHP does not provide comments regarding the dispute within 30 days after receipt of adequate documentation, the Park may render a decision regarding the dispute. In reaching its decision, the Park will take into account all comments regarding the dispute from the parties to the PA.
 - 3. The Park's responsibility to carry out all other actions subject to the terms of this PA that are not the subject of the dispute remain unchanged. The Park will notify all parties of its decision in writing before implementing that portion of the undertaking subject to dispute under this stipulation. The Park's decision will be final.

VII. TERMINATION

- A. If any signatory to this PA determines that its terms will not or cannot be carried out, that party shall immediately consult with the other parties to attempt to develop an amendment per Section VIII, below. If within 30 days (or another time period agreed to by all signatories) an amendment cannot be reached, any signatory may terminate the PA upon written notification to the other signatories.
- B. Once the PA is terminated, and prior to work continuing on an undertaking, the Park must either (a) execute a Memorandum of Agreement pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.6, or (b) request, take into account, and respond to the comments of the ACHP under 36 CFR § 800.7. The Park shall notify the signatories as to the course of action it will pursue

VIII. AMENDMENTS

This PA may be amended when such an amendment is agreed to in writing by all signatories. The amendment will be effected on the day the copy is signed by all the signatories is filed with the ACHP.

Appendices may be revised with the written agreement of the signatories without a revision
being made to the underlying PA. Any such change will be documented in the Park's annual
report in accordance with stipulation I.A.6
IX. DURATION
This agreement will terminate 20 years from the date of its execution. Twelve months prior to
such time, the Park may consult with the other signatories to reconsider the terms of the
agreement and revise or amend the document.
The NPS will convene a meeting of the signatories to this PA within two (2) years of execution
of this PA, and every two years thereafter, to review implementation of the terms of this PA.
EXECUTION of this Programmatic Agreement by the Park, the SHPO, and ACHP, and
implementation of its terms evidence that the National Park Service has taken into account the
effects of this undertaking on historic properties and afforded the ACHP an opportunity to
comment.
SIGNATORIES:
National Park Service
Date
Kevin Hendricks, Superintendent, San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park
California State Historic Preservation Officer
Date
Carol Roland-Nawi, Ph.D. State Historic Preservation Officer
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
Date
John M. Fowler, Executive Director, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
John 1.2. 2 5 1.221, Encentit Encenty 124 1252 J Country on 11250116 1 12501 141011



1	Appendix B
2	San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park
3	Cultural Resources Publications
4	Baseline Documentation and Treatment Recommendations
5	Dodawi da
6 7	<u>Parkwide</u>
8	Aquatic Park Cultural Landscape Report, 2010
9	Aquate Fain Canada Eanascape Report, 2010
10	Aquatic Park Cultural Landscape Inventory, 2004
11	
12	Aquatic Park National Register/NHL Form 1986
13	
14	Museum Building Limited Scope Historic Structures Report (doors, windows, and roofs), 2002
15 16	Maritime Museum Building Accessibility Improvements Focused Historic Structure Report,
17	2006
18	2000
19	Aquatic Park Amphitheater Focused Historic Structure Report, 2005
20	
21	Scow Schooner Alma National Register Form, 1975, NHL 1988
22 23	
23	Sailing Ship Balclutha National Register Form, 1976, NHL 1985
24	Cailing Chin Dulahaha Winterin Chungtona Danget Dunft 2015
25 26	Sailing Ship Balclutha Historic Structure Report – Draft 2015
27	Schooner C.A. Thayer National Register Form, 1966, NHL 1966
28	Seliconer curi Transpor Transpor Trong, 1700, 17112 1700
29	Ferryboat Eureka National Register Form, 1973, NHL 1985
30	
31	Tugboat Hercules National Register Form, 1975, NHL 1986
32	
33	Tugboat Hercules Historic Structure Report, 1990
34	Sacry Sahaanan Alma Historia Structura Dragorystian Cyida 1000
35 36	Scow Schooner Alma Historic Structure Preservation Guide, 1990
37	California Fruit Canners Association Warehouse (Haslett Warehouse) National Register Form,
38	1975
39	
40	Haslett Warehouse Historic Structure Report, 1986
41	
12	Haslett Warehouse Historic Preservation Plan, 2003
1 3	L : A I N .: I D : A E 1070
14	Lewis Ark National Register Form 1979

1	Tubbs Cordage Company Office Building National Register Form, 1979
2	
3	Victorian Park Determination Of Eligibility Report (not eligible) 2007
4	
5	Beyond the Golden Gate, A Maritime History of California, Historic Resources Study, 2012
6	
7	San Francisco Maritime Administrative History (underway 2015)
8	
9	
10	
11	Fort Mason
12	
13	Fort Mason Cultural Landscape Report, Treatment (2012)
14	
15	Fort Mason Cultural Landscape Report, Part II: Treatment Fort Mason Center (2009)
16	
17	Fort Mason Cultural Landscape Report (2004)
18	
19	Cultural Landscape Inventory, Fort Mason (2004)
20	
21	Fort Mason National Register Form (1979)
22	

1		Appendix C
2		Repetitive, Low Impact Activities
3		
4	The	following classes of undertaking are considered exempt from further review or consultation
5	und	er the terms of this Agreement. NPS staff members are not required to notify or consult with
6	SAF	FR cultural resource staff about these classes of undertakings unless the project proponent has
7	reas	on to believe that a specific exempt undertaking may adversely affect historic properties.
8		
9	1.	Routine preservation maintenance of historic ships which is based on approved Historic
10		Structure Reports or preservation plans and includes:
11		a. Washing down the decks
12		b. Oiling the decks
13		c. Polishing metal
14		d. Varnishing bright work
15		e. Limited deck caulking repairs (less than 1 square foot per location)
16		f. Maintenance of working boiler/steam equipment
17		g. Paint touch up, matching color (less than 1 square foot per location)
18		
19	2.	Routine grounds maintenance and preservation which follows recommendation in the
20		Aquatic Park Cultural Landscape Report and includes:
21		
22		a. Mowing and edging of the lawns
23		b. Repair of damaged components of the irrigation system
24		c. Herbicide application per IPM plan
25		d. Graffiti removal and prevention measures that follow guidance of <i>Preservation</i>
26		Brief 38 – Removing Graffiti from Historic Masonry.
27		e. Beach sand clean up from the grounds
28		f. Replacement in kind of deteriorated or dead shrubs and groundcover
29		g. Annual tree trimming, following certified arborist recommendations
30		
31	3.	Routine building maintenance and preservation which follows recommendations in an
32		approved HSR or preservation plan, and includes:
33		a. Stripping and waxing of terrazzo floors
34		b. Replacement of UV film on windows
35		c. Graffiti removal and prevention measures that follow guidance of Preservation
36		Brief 38 – Removing Graffiti from Historic Masonry.
37		d. Re-grouting damaged areas of tile floors
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		