Enviro Tracking #:	ARNG ENVIRONME	ENTAL CHECKLIST	State ARNG
2015-0025	Enter information in the yellow shaded areas.		
PART A - PROJECT INFORMATION			
1. PROJECT NAME:			
Relocation of Three Vicksburg National Military Park Monuments for the National Park Service			
2. PROJECT NUMBER	R: (MILCON if applicable)	3. DATE PREPARED:	
		27-Ja	n-15
4. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF THE PROJECT/PROPOSED ACTION:			
a. Location (Include a Detailed Map):			
Vicksburg National Military Park (Vicksburg, MS)			
b. Description:			
The US Park Service wants to relocate three Vicksburg Military Park memorials located between Iowa Blvd and Hwy 61 South			
to a designated memorial site located adjacent to Pemberton Square in Vicksburg, MS. MSARNG will assist the Park Service			
in the relocation of memorials.			
c. The proposed action will involve (check all that apply):			
☐ Training Activities/Areas ☐ Construction ☐ Natural Resource Management			
Maintenance/Repair/Rehabilitation Real Estate Action Environmental Plans/Surveys			
☐ Innovative Rediness Training Project			
Other (Explain	1):		
d. Project Size (Acres)	: <1	Acres of New Surface Disturbance	(Proposed):
(if applicab		(if applicable	,
		Monday, 2 March 2015 2015	Note: This must be a future date.
6. PROGRAMMED FISCAL YEAR (if applicable): 2015 7. END DATE (if applicable): Monday, 2 November 2015			
PART B - DECISION ANALYSIS GUIDE			
To use a categorical exclusion, the project must satisfy the following three screening criteria: no segmentation, no exceptional circumstances and a qualifying categorical exclusion that covers the project. The following decision tree will guide the			
application and documentation of these three screening criteria. The criteria were extracted from 32 CFR Section 651.29 and			
represent the most common screening conditions experienced in the ARNG. NOTE: Each question in Part B must have a			
block checked for concurrence with REC.			
_	· <u> </u>	include the consideration of connec	ted, cumulative, and similar
actions)?	YES (go to #30)	✓ NO (go to #2)	
2. Is there reasonable likelihood of significant environmental effects (direct, indirect, and cumulative)? f action meets screening			
criteria but is assessed in an existing EA or EIS, check NO and proceed to the next question.			
	YES (go to #30)	NO (go to #3)	
3. Is there a reasonable likelihood of significant effects on public health, safety or the environment? If action meets screening criteria but is assessed in an existing EA or EIS, check NO and proceed to the next question.			
criteria but is assessed	In an existing EA or E15, check in YES (go to #30)	J and proceed to the next question. ✓ NO (go to #4)	
4. Is there an imposition of uncertain or unique environmental risks? If action meets screening criteria but is assessed in an			
existing EA or EIS, check NO and proceed to the next question.			
	YES (go to #30)	✓ NO (go to #5)	
5. Is the project of greater scope or size than is normal for the category of action? If action meets screening criteria but is			
assessed in an existing EA or EIS, check NO and proceed to the next question.			
,	YES (go to #30)	✓ NO (go to #6)	
6. Does the project introduce or employ unproven technology? If action meets screening criteria but is assessed in an existing			
EA or EIS, check NO and proceed to the next question.			
YES (go to #30)			