



---

## Categorical Exclusion Form

**Project:** Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project

**PEPC Project Number:** 53971

**Project Description:**

The force main sewer that services most of the Old Faithful Inn, the Lower General (Hamilton) Store and the Lower Gas Station has failed numerous times in the recent past, causing sewage spills. This project proposes to abandon this failing section of asbestos cement line and replace it with a line adjacent to it to avoid disruption of services to these popular and Historic visitor destinations, while striving to protect the fragile hydrothermal system for which Old Faithful is best known.

The Old Faithful area is world renowned for its geysers, hot springs, mud pots and fumeroles. Due to the dynamic nature of the hydrothermal system in the area, careful planning must be taken to maintain infrastructure that has been in place since the development of the Old Faithful area began in the late 1800s. This underground section of the sewer system was installed in 1940 and consists of an 8" asbestos cement pipe that collects sewage from the Inn, store and gas station. The current pipe alignment crosses the main access road three times and meets up with a manhole across the road located within the thermal runoff from the Three Sisters feature (See Enclosure 1 for current and proposed alignment).

To avoid potential impacts to the fragile hydrothermal system, the 1000 foot existing asbestos cement line is proposed to remain in place and would be filled with material and capped to avoid artificial heat and water flow. A new 1050 foot ductile iron line is proposed to be installed slightly north of the existing alignment based on ground temperatures and gas concentrations as monitored by park geologists.

In consultation with the report, ["Hydrogeology of the Old Faithful Area, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, and its Relevance to Natural Resources and Infrastructure,"](#) an interdisciplinary team of park geologists, engineers, historic landscape architects, and vegetation and compliance specialists, analyzed design needs with regard to temperature and gas data in order to propose the placement of the new line with the least impacts to all resources. Several alternatives were analyzed including:

1) Replacing the line in the current alignment - was dismissed due to the additional costs and safety considerations of asbestos removal and the fact that the existing alignment has had repeated breaks likely due to higher temperatures in that area, 2) Similar alignments adjacent to the existing line but further away - dismissed due to ground temperature and gas readings that were higher than the originally proposed line or had inconsistent readings, 3) Above ground options - dismissed due to additional costs and unknown feasibility.

The preferred alignment is proposed for lower temperatures and lower concentrations of hydrothermal gasses, and will be carefully placed at a minimum depth using these readings and the engineering construction design. In combination with sensitive placement, mitigation measures will be implemented to further reduce the probability of impacting hydrothermal and vegetation resources in the area.

Categorical Exclusion Form - Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project - PEPC ID: 53971

Monitoring equipment has been placed in the nearby Myriad Group of hydrothermal features to collect temperature data that will assist in assessing any effects that may be caused by the placement of the line.

Full or partial lane closures or delays may occur during implementation that is proposed to occur in late September 2014 by park crews, following a 15 day public comment period. The comment period is intended to allow the public to provide comments and concerns regarding the project and to help guide park managers in planning for future replacement of failing infrastructure at Old Faithful.

**Project Locations:**

**Location**

<b>County:</b>	Teton	<b>State:</b>	WY
<b>District:</b>		<b>Section:</b>	
<b>Geo. Marker:</b>		<b>Other:</b>	

**Mitigation(s):**

- If minor fluid flow is encountered in excavated clay, the use of bentonite is recommended by the park geologist.
- If any cultural materials are discovered during this undertaking, work in the area shall halt immediately, the federal agency and SHPO be contacted, and the materials be evaluated by an archeologist, or historian meeting the Secretary of the interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48FR 22716, Sept. 1983).
- Please contact Dan Reinhart (X2145) or Heidi Anderson (X2564) with the construction schedule to ensure vegetation specialists have time to transplant known Yellowstone sulfur wild buckwheat plants prior to the excavation, and at the conclusion of work for re-seeding the area with previously collected seed.
- All Old Faithful Projects - As one of the world's most active hydrothermal areas, geothermal gas monitoring is required for all workers in confined spaces. Geothermal gases may be encountered during excavations. Carbon dioxide levels dangerous to humans have been measured in excavations in the Old Faithful area. Hydrogen sulfide gas may also be present. Please contact YNP Park Geologists (307-344-2441, 307-344-2208, Henry\_Heasler@nps.gov, Cheryl\_Jaworowski@nps.gov) with the excavation schedule AND if any of the following conditions are encountered: 1. A pre-existing hole in the ground the size of a basket ball, or larger, 2. Standing or flowing water, either hot or cold, 3. Any concentrations of either carbon dioxide or hydrogen sulfide are measured, 4. If during excavation a red clay layer is encountered, or 5. Temperatures above 80 degrees Fahrenheit are measured (early morning).
- Ensure work crews adhere to bear safety and food storage regulations

**Describe the category used to exclude action from further NEPA analysis and indicate the number of the category (see Section 3-4 of DO-12):**

C.8 Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity or appearance.

**Explanation:**

This project involves replacement of a section of failing sewer line at the OF area. The new segment will be installed slightly north of the existing failing sewer line segment, based on ground temperatures and gases as measured and monitored by park geologists. To mitigate impacts to the fragile hydrothermal system, the existing line would remain in place and would be plugged with material and capped to avoid artificial heat and water flow.

**On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances (e.g. all boxes in the ESF are marked "no") or conditions in Section 3-6 apply, and the action is fully described in Section 3-4 of DO-12.**

**Signature**

**Superintendent:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_  
Dan Wenk

**NPS Contact:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_



## ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

### DO-12 APPENDIX 1

Date Form Initiated: 08/05/2014

Updated May 2007 - per 2004 Departmental Manual revisions and proposed Director's Order 12 changes

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Park Name: Yellowstone National Park  
Project Title: Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project  
PEPC Project Number: 53971  
PMIS Number:  
Project Type: Repair/Rehabilitation (REHAB)  
Project Location:  
County, State: Teton, Wyoming  
Project Leader: Michael Finken  
Administrative Record Location: YCR Compliance Files  
Administrative Record Contact: Bianca Klein

#### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

See full project description on CE form document.

Target compliance completion date: 9/12/14

Construction start date: 9/15/14

Is project a hot topic (controversial or sensitive issues that should be brought to attention of Regional Director)? No

#### C. RESOURCE EFFECTS TO CONSIDER:

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Geologic resources – soils, bedrock,			Minor		Long-term minor, localized, adverse impacts to soil will result from installation of the new line.

Environmental Screening Form (ESF) - Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project - PEPC ID: 53971

streambeds, etc.					
2. From geohazards			Minor		The project will occur within an active hydrothermal area with the potential for minor effects that are expected to be short-term.
3. Air quality		Negligible			Short-term negligible adverse impacts will occur during the installation of the new line due to the use of heavy machinery.
4. Soundscapes		Negligible			Short-term negligible adverse impacts will occur during the installation of the new line due to the use of heavy machinery.
5. Water quality or quantity	No				
6. Streamflow characteristics	No				
7. Marine or estuarine resources	No				
8. Floodplains or wetlands	No				There are no wetlands identified in the area of the existing line or the proposed new line.
9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use	No				
10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine			Minor		Minor, localized, short-term adverse impacts will occur to the rare plant, <i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i> var. <i>cladophorum</i> (Yellowstone sulfur wild buckwheat). Impacts would be minor due to the relatively low count of the plant parkwide. A population of has been located in the project area. Mitigation will include transplanting of individuals prior to the start of the excavation and re-seeding the area with previously collected seed following project implementation.
11. Species of special concern	No				

(plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat					
12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	No				
13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat	No				
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	No				
15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		Negligible			Long-term negligible adverse impacts may occur due to ground disturbance and potential introduction of non-native species. Monitoring after the project will be included in mitigation measures.
16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		Negligible			Implementation would result in negligible beneficial impacts due to the installation of the new line that would improve reliability to major sewer services in the OF area and ensure public health and safety standards are met.
17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		Negligible			Implementation would result in short-term negligible adverse impacts to scenic resources and may cause temporary traffic delays or closures during implementation.
18. Archeological resources	No				
19. Prehistoric/historic structure	No				
20. Cultural landscapes	No				
21. Ethnographic resources	No				

<b>22. Museum collections (objects, specimens, and archival and manuscript collections)</b>	No				
<b>23. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure</b>	No				
<b>24. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.</b>	No				
<b>25. Energy resources</b>	No				
<b>26. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies</b>	No				
<b>27. Resource, including energy, conservation potential, sustainability</b>	No				
<b>28. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.</b>	No				
<b>29. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity</b>	No				
<b>30. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?</b>			Minor		Minor to moderate short term adverse impacts would occur due to excavation in active hydrothermal areas and abandoning an existing line in place.

**Comments:**

The impact thresholds used for determining impacts to hydrothermal resources are:

**Negligible:** No measurable changes in the hydrothermal systems would occur, including no measurable effects on temperature, eruption intervals, volume of water flow, or change in heat flow pattern. Minor: Effects to the hydrothermal features or the fluid flow characteristic of the hydrothermal system would cause slight but measurable physical disturbance. There would be limited and only immediate effects upon the temperature, eruption intervals, or volume of water flow. Any effect measured would return to baseline values within minutes to one day. Mitigation measures proposed to offset adverse impacts would be minimal and would be highly successful.

**Moderate:** Effects to the hydrothermal system would be measurable for a single thermal feature, a group of features or the fluid flow characteristic of the hydrothermal system. Eruption intervals, thermal water temperature, and/or thermal water flow would change due to the disturbance but would return to baseline values within one day to one week. Mitigation measures proposed to offset adverse effects would be extensive and would be successful.

**Major:** Effects are readily apparent for either a single thermal feature or a group of features (a thermal system) and are long-term. Eruption intervals, water temperature, and/or the volume of thermal water could increase or decrease, and/or new thermal features could be created at project areas. Mitigation measures proposed to offset adverse impacts would be extensive and success would not be assured.

**D. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

<b>Mandatory Criteria: If implemented, would the proposal:</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comment or Data Needed to Determine</b>
<b>A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?</b>		N		
<b>B. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?</b>		N		
<b>C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?</b>		N		
<b>D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown</b>		N		



environmental risks?				
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		N		
F. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		N		
G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?		N		
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		N		
I. Violate a federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		N		
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?		N		
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?		N		
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?		N		

For the purpose of interpreting these procedures within the NPS, any action that has the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values would constitute an action that triggers the DOI exception for actions that threaten to violate a federal law for protection of the environment.

Environmental Screening Form (ESF) - Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project - PEPC ID: 53971

## E. OTHER INFORMATION

1. Are personnel preparing this form familiar with the site? Yes
- 1.A. Did personnel conduct a site visit? Yes
2. Is the project in an approved plan such as a General Management Plan or an Implementation Plan with an accompanying NEPA document? No
3. Are there any interested or affected agencies or parties? No
4. Has consultation with all affected agencies or tribes been completed? Yes
5. Are there any connected, cumulative, or similar actions as part of the proposed action? *(e.g., other development projects in area or identified in GMP, adequate/available utilities to accomplish project)* No

## F. INSTRUCTIONS FOR DETERMINING APPROPRIATE NEPA PATHWAY

First, always check DO-12, section 3.2, "Process to Follow" in determining whether the action is categorically excluded from additional NEPA analyses. Other sections within DO-12, including sections 2.9 and 2.10; 3.5; 4.5(G)(4) and (G)(5), and 5.4(F), should also be consulted in determining the appropriate NEPA pathway. Complete the following tasks: conduct a site visit or ensure that staff is familiar with the site's specifics; consult with affected agencies, and/or tribes; and interested public and complete this environmental screening form.

If your action is described in DO-12 section 3.3, "CEs for Which No Formal Documentation is Necessary," follow the instructions indicated in that section.

If your action is not described in DO-12, section 3.3, and IS described in section 3.4, AND you checked YES or identified "data needed to determine" impacts in any block in section D (Mandatory Criteria), this is an indication that there is potential for significant impacts to the human environment, therefore, you must prepare an EA or EIS or supply missing information to determine context, duration, and intensity of impacts.

If your action is described in section 3.4 and NO is checked for all boxes in section D (Mandatory Criteria), AND there are either no effects or all of the potential effects identified in section C (Resource Effects to Consider) are no more than minor intensity, usually there is no potential for significant impacts and an EA or EIS is not required. If, however, during internal scoping and further investigation, resource effects still remain unknown, or are at the minor to moderate level of intensity, and the potential for significant impacts may be likely, an EA or EIS is required.

In all cases, data collected to determine the appropriate NEPA pathway must be included in the administrative record.

## G. INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM SIGNATORIES

*All interdisciplinary team members sign as directed or deemed necessary by the Superintendent. By signing this form, you affirm the following: you have either completed a site visit or are familiar with the specifics of the site; you have consulted with affected agencies and tribes; and you, to the best of your knowledge, have answered the questions posed in the checklist correctly.*

## H. SUPERVISORY SIGNATORY

### Field of Expertise

Project Leader

Michael Finken

### Field of Expertise

NEPA Specialist

Natural Resource Specialist

NEPA Specialist

Engineering

NHPA Specialist

Archeologist

Natural Resource Specialist

Natural Resource Specialist

Wildlife Biologist

### Technical Specialist

Jennifer Carpenter

Henry Heasler

Bianca Klein

Molly Nelson

Zehra Osman

Staffan Peterson

Dan Reinhart

Ann Rodman

Daniel Stahler

*Based on the environmental impact information contained in the statutory compliance file and in this environmental screening form, environmental documentation for this stage of the subject project is complete.*

### Recommended:

### Compliance Specialist:

#### NEPA

Jennifer Carpenter \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

Bianca Klein \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

#### NHPA

Zehra Osman \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_



# ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

## A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. **Park:** Yellowstone National Park

### 2. **Project Description:**

**Project Name:** Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project

**Prepared by:** Zehra Osman **Date Prepared:** 08/12/2014 **Telephone:** 307-344-2625

**PEPC Project Number:** 53971

**Locations:**

**Describe project:**

Yellowstone National Park proposes to replace a force sewer main in the Old Faithful developed area. The force main sewer that services most of the Old Faithful Inn, the General Store and the Lower Gas Station has failed numerous times in the recent past, causing sewage spills. This project proposes to abandon this failing section of 1940's asbestos cement line and replace it with a line adjacent to it to avoid disruption of services to these historic buildings.

The existing line would be plugged in place and capped to avoid artificial heat and flow. A section of about 1,000 feet of line would be replaced in the same general vicinity but in a slightly different alignment to reduce impacts to the geothermal resources in the area. A map is enclosed showing the existing and proposed line and historic properties in the area of potential effect.

The project would occur adjacent to the northwest edge of the Old Faithful Historic District. A small portion of the proposed line is within the boundary of the Old Faithful Cultural Landscape. However, the line would be buried and therefore would not be visible. The area was previously inventoried for archeological historic properties and there are no archeological sites within the area of potential effect. Accordingly, no historic properties will be adversely affected by this undertaking.

### **Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])**

Adjacent to the northwest edge of the Old Faithful Historic District. A small portion of the proposed line is within the boundary of the Old Faithful Cultural Landscape.

### 3. **Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?**

☐ No  
☒ Yes

**Source or reference:** Sanders, Paul H., Carmen J. Clayton, Dale L. Wedel. 2008. The 2007 Class III Cultural Resource Block Inventory and Limited Test Excavations at the Old Faithful

Developed Area, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming

**4. Potentially Affected Resource(s):**

**Historical Structures/Resources Notes:** Old Faithful Historic District (48YE682)

**Cultural Landscapes Notes:** Old Faithful Cultural Landscape

**Ethnographic Resources Affected Notes:** Tribes have reported that all of Yellowstone contains ethnographic resources. However, none specific to the project area have been identified.

**5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)**

- ☐ **Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure**
- ☐ **Replace historic features/elements in kind**
- ☐ **Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure**
- ☐ **Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)**
- ☐ **Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape**
- ☐ **Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible**
- ☐ **Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible**
- ☐ **Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources**
- ☐ **Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources**
- ☐ **Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)**
- ☐ **Other (please specify):** \_\_\_\_\_

**6. Supporting Study Data:**

(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

**B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS**

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

**No Reviews From:** Curator, Archeologist, Historical Architect, Historian, 106 Advisor, Other Advisor, Anthropologist, Historical Landscape Architect

**C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**1. Assessment of Effect:**

☐ **No Potential to Cause Effects**

Assessment of Effect Form - Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project - PEPC ID: 53971

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Historic Properties Affected
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Adverse Effect
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adverse Effect

**2. Documentation Method:**

**[ ] A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION**

Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed. A letter has been prepared for WYSHPO consultation.

**[ ] B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA)**

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

**APPLICABLE STREAMLINED REVIEW Criteria**  
(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

**[ ] C. PLAN-RELATED UNDERTAKING**

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800.  
Specify plan/EA/EIS:

**[ ] D. UNDERTAKING RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT**

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Explanation: None

**[ ] E. COMBINED NEPA/NHPA Document**

Documentation is required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD has been developed and used so as also to meet the requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.6

**[X] G. Memo to SHPO/THPO - Sent concurrently with the public scoping period, however will use standard 30 day period.**

**[ ] H. Memo to ACHP**

**3. Additional Consulting Parties Information:**

**Additional Consulting Parties:** No

**4. Stipulations and Conditions:**

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

**5. Mitigations/Treatment Measures:**

Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties:  
(Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

- Assessment of Effect - If any cultural materials are discovered during this undertaking, work in the area shall halt immediately, the federal agency and SHPO be contacted, and the materials be evaluated by an archeologist, or historian meeting the Secretary of the interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48FR 22716, Sept. 1983).

**D. RECOMMENDED BY PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR:**

**Compliance Specialist:**

**NHPA Specialist**

Zehra Osman

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL**

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

**Signature**

**Superintendent:**

Dan Wenk

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_



## Other Compliance/Consultations Form

**Park Name:** Yellowstone National Park  
**PEPC Project Number:** 53971  
**Project Title:** Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project  
**Project Type:** Repair/Rehabilitation (REHAB)  
**Project Location:**  
    **County, State:** Teton, Wyoming  
**Project Leader:** Michael Finken

### ESA

Any Federal Species in the project Area? Yes  
If species in area: No Effect  
Was Biological Assessment prepared? No  
If Biological Assessment prepared, concurred?  
Formal Consultation required? No  
Formal Consultation Notes:  
  
Formal Consultation Concluded:  
Any State listed Species in the Project Area?  
Consultation Information:  
General Notes:

**Data Entered By:** Daniel Stahler **Date:** 08/07/2014

### ESA Mitigations

Mitigation ID    Text

44076      Ensure work crews adhere to bear safety and food storage regulations

### Floodplains/Wetlands/§404 Permits

Question	Yes	No	Details
A.1. Is project in 100- or 500-year floodplain or flash flood hazard area?		N	Exempt from compliance with executive order: Statement of findings approval date:
A.2. Is project in wetlands?		N	Exempt from compliance with executive order: Statement of findings approval date:

Other Compliance/Consultations Form - Draft Old Faithful Force Main Sewer Replacement Project -  
PEPC ID: 53971



B. COE Section 404 permit needed?		N	Issue Date: Expiration Date: Request Date:
C. State 401 certification?		N	
D. State Section 401 Permit?		N	Issue Date: Expiration Date:
E. Tribal Water Quality Permit?		N	
F. CZM Consistency determination needed?			N/A
G. Erosion & Sediment Control Plan Required?		N	
H. Any other permits required?		N	Permit Information:

Data Entered By: Bianca Klein

Date: 08/07/2014

FloodPlains & Wetlands Mitigations

Mitigation ID Text

*No FloodPlains & Wetlands mitigations are associated with this project.*

#### Wilderness

Question	Yes	No	
A. Does this project occur in or adjacent to Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness?		N	
B. Is the only place to conduct this project in wilderness?		N	
C. Is the project necessary for the administration of the area as wilderness?		N	
D. Would the project or any of its alternatives adversely affect (directly or indirectly) Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)		N	
E. Does the project or any of its alternatives involve the use of any of the Wilderness Act Section 4(c) prohibited uses: commercial enterprise, permanent road, temporary road, motor vehicles, motorized equipment, motorboats, landing of aircraft, mechanical transport, structure, or installation? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)		N	

<p>If the answer to D or E above is "Yes" then a Minimum Requirements Analysis is required. Describe the status of this analysis in the column to the right.</p>			<p>Initiation Date: Completed Date: Approved Date:</p>
<p>Other Information:</p>			

Data Entered By: Bianca Klein Date: 08/07/2014

Other Permits/Laws *Questions A & B are no longer used.*

Question	Yes	No
C. Wild and scenic river concerns exist?		N
D. National Trails concerns exist?		N
E. Air Quality consult with State needed?		N
F. Consistent with Architectural Barriers, Rehabilitation, and Americans with Disabilities Acts or not Applicable? (If N/A check Yes)	Y	
G. Other:		N

Other Information:

Data Entered By: Bianca Klein Date:



# Old Faithful Proposed Replacement Main

