

Chapter 8: Consultation and Coordination

SCOPING/CONSULTATION

A notice regarding the availability of this CLR/HSR/EA and inviting public comment on this CLR/HSR/EA was issued on Month Day, 2012. The park also sent letters describing the preferred alternative and asking for comments to interested individuals; organizations; state, county, and local governments; and federal agencies.

Section 106 Consultation

Agencies that have direct or indirect jurisdiction over historic properties are required by Section 106 of the NHPA of 1966, as amended (16 USC 470, et seq.), to take into account the effect of any undertaking on properties listed in or eligible for listing in the national register. To meet the requirements of 36 CFR 800, on Month Day, 2012, the NPS notified the SHPO of the proposed project and solicited comment on the alternatives. If necessary, the park would coordinate with the SHPO in the development of mitigation measures for historic and archeological resources.

Other Federal and State Agencies, Regional and Local Governments, and Interested Parties

Agencies and organizations that were given an opportunity to review or comment on this CLR/HSR/EA include, but are not limited to, the following.

- Jackson County, Missouri
- Missouri Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- State Historic Preservation Office Missouri Department of Natural Resources
- Truman Farm Home Foundation
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATIONS

The NPS would comply with all applicable federal and state regulations when implementing the preferred alternative. Permitting and regulatory requirements for the preferred alternative are listed in Table 11.

Table 11. Environmental Compliance Requirements

Agency	Statute, Regulation, or Order	Purpose	Project Application
Federal			
National Park Service	National Environmental Policy Act	Applies to federal actions that may significantly affect the quality of the environment.	Environmental review of the preferred alternative and decision to prepare a FONSI or EIS.
	NHPA, Section 106	Protection of historic and cultural resources.	The park is consulting with the SHPO to address anticipated effects and mitigation for cultural resources.
	EO 11990, "Protection of Wetlands"	Requires avoidance of adverse wetland impacts where practicable and mitigation, if necessary.	The preferred alternative would not impact wetlands.
	EO 11988, "Floodplain Management"	Requires avoidance of adverse floodplain impacts where practicable and mitigation, if necessary.	The preferred alternative would have no effect on floodplains.
	NPS - 77-2: Floodplain Management	Protection of natural resources and floodplains.	The preferred alternative would have no effect on floodplains.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps)	Clean Water Act – Section 404 Permit to discharge dredge and fill material	Authorizes placement of fill or dredge material in waters of the U.S., including wetlands.	The preferred alternative would have no impacts on waters of the U.S., including wetlands.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Endangered Species Act	Protection of federally listed threatened or endangered species.	The preferred alternative would have no effect on threatened or endangered species
State of Missouri			
Missouri Department of Natural Resources	Stormwater Runoff General Permit for Construction Activities	Erosion control and water quality protection.	The preferred alternative would disturb more than 1 acre of ground at a site and a stormwater runoff permit would be obtained.