

## **United States Department of the Interior**

#### NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Yosemite National Park P. O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: John Chisum, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2012-044 Valley Admin Building Temporary Stair

Installation and Geotech Borings (44532)

The Executive Leadership Team has reviewed the proposed project/action and completed its environmental assessment documentation, and we have determined that there:

- Will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- Will not be any effect on historical, cultural, or archeological resources.
- Will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

No mitigations identified.

For complete compliance information see PEPC Project 44532.



National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior Yosemite National Park Date: 11/13/2012

## **Categorical Exclusion Form**

Project: 2012-044 Valley Admin Building Temporary Stair Installation and Geotech Borings

PEPC Project Number: 44532

**Project Description:** 

This project is needed in response to an Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) violation issued July 27, 2012 which referenced the need for the building to have a secondary egress stair and a fire alarm system. The nature of the violation was "serious" and immediate action was required to avoid an evacuation requirement. Through a petition process the NPS was successful in separating the requirements into an immediate interim solution and a long term solution. The immediate solution required construction of a second story stair on the north side of the building. The stair is wood construction framed on pier blocks and has a 48" by 48" by 3.5" deep concrete landing pad on the ground level. The stairs are painted Wosky brown and are predominately self-standing. The stairs connect to the existing building at the second story deck which is centered on the north gable end of the building. This deck was constructed in the early 1990s and is not part of the historic structure. This interim construction configuration is not fully code compliant and is only allowed by OSHA through spring of 2014. The final requirement of the interim solution requires a two-step stair and grab bar to be installed at the second story exit window for emergency access to the deck and stairs. The long term solution will be evaluated under a separate design and compliance process. Design will evaluate three alternatives including a fully code compliant second story stair and alarm system, a three story stair, and an internal stair. Construction for the long term solution is targeted for fall of 2013, with compliance beginning in January 2013 and completed by mid-spring of 2013.

Stair design for the long-term solution needs to be informed by a soil investigation. This will be accomplished by drilling three bore holes near the building to determine the soil profile. The results of the boring will be evaluated by a geotechnical engineer to inform the foundation design for the alternatives that propose an exterior stair. More specifically, the borings will be accomplished using a drill rig mounted on a freightliner chassis (28' long by 10' wide), having a mast height of 27 feet. Up to a 9" diameter hollow stem auger will be drilled. Soil cuttings produced by auguring would generally fill 2 to 3 wheelbarrow loads if the 50 foot depth is achieved, and are typically used for backfilling the excavated boring after drilling is completed. Disturbance will be limited to the 9" diameter boring, with care taken moving the rig into and out of position. Area #1 (see Geotech Map) is the preferred drill location and may be the only area affected. However, if refusal is encountered prior to reaching the required depth, the drill rig will move to the other areas to achieve the deepest possible boring (up to 50').

Project	<b>Locations:</b>
	Mariposa County, CA

**Mitigations:** 

• No mitigations identified.

Describe the category used to exclude action from further NEPA analysis and indicate the number of the category (see Section 3-4 of DO-12):

C.18 Construction of minor structures, including small improved parking lots, in previously disturbed or developed areas.

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances (e.g. all boxes in the ESF are marked "no") or conditions in Section 3-6 apply, and the action is fully described in Section 3-4 of DO-12.

_//Don L. Neubacher//	12/20/12	
Don L. Neubacher	Date	



National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior Yosemite National Park Date: 11/13/2012

## **ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)**

#### **DO-12 APPENDIX 1**

**Date Form Initiated:** 11/13/2012

Updated May 2007 - per 2004 Departmental Manual revisions and proposed Director's Order 12 changes

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Park Name:** Yosemite National Park

**Project Title:** 2012-044 Valley Admin Building Temporary Stair Installation and Geotech

Borings

PEPC Project 44532

Number:

**Project Type:** OSHA Upgrade (OTHER)

**Project Location:** 

County, State: Mariposa, California District: Valley

**Project Leader:** John Chisum

Is project a hot topic (controversial or sensitive issues that should be brought to attention of Regional Director)? No

#### **B. RESOURCE EFFECTS TO CONSIDER:**

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Geologic resources – soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc.		Negligible			Up to three geotech borings will be conducted with a nine inch auger to a maximum depth of 50 feet.
2. From geohazards	No				
3. Air quality		Negligible			Borings will produce temporary dust in the immediate vicinity of the

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
					investigation area.
4. Soundscapes		Negligible			The drill rig will produce temporary drilling noises.
5. Water quality or quantity	No				
6. Streamflow characteristics	No				
7. Marine or estuarine resources	No				
8. Floodplains or wetlands	No				
9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use	No				
10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine	No				
11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat	No				
12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	No				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site.
13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat	No				
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	No				

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	No				
16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.	No				
17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		Negligible			Visitor experience will be temporarily impacted during the drilling.
18. Archeological resources		Negligible			Yosemite Valley Archeological District.
19. Prehistoric/historic structure	No				List of Classified Structures #5778
20. Cultural landscapes	No				Yosemite Valley Historic District Yosemite Village Historic District
21. Ethnographic resources	No				
22. Museum collections (objects, specimens, and archival and manuscript collections)	No				
23. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure	No				
24. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.	No				

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
25. Energy resources	No				
26. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies	No				
27. Resource, including energy, conservation potential, sustainability	No				
28. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.	No				
29. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	No				
30. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	No				

#### C. MANDATORY CRITERIA

Mandatory Criteria: If	Yes	No	N/A	Comment or Data Needed to Determine
implemented, would the				
proposal:				
A. Have significant impacts on		No		
public health or safety?				
B. Have significant impacts on		No		
such natural resources and unique				
geographic characteristics as				
historic or cultural resources;				
park, recreation, or refuge lands;				
wilderness areas; wild or scenic				
rivers; national natural landmarks;				
sole or principal drinking water				
aquifers; prime farmlands;				
wetlands (Executive Order				
11990); floodplains (Executive				

Mandatory Criteria: If implemented, would the proposal:	Yes	No	N/A	Comment or Data Needed to Determine
Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?				
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?		No		
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		No		
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		No		
F. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		No		
G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?		No		
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		No		
I. Violate a federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		No		
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations		No		

Mandatory Criteria: If implemented, would the proposal: (Executive Order 12898)?	Yes	No	N/A	Comment or Data Needed to Determine
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?		No		
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?		No		

#### D. OTHER INFORMATION

- 1. Are personnel preparing this form familiar with the site? Yes
- **1.A.** Did personnel conduct a site visit? No
- 2. Is the project in an approved plan such as a General Management Plan or an Implementation Plan with an accompanying NEPA document? No
- 3. Are there any interested or affected agencies or parties? No
- 4. Has consultation with all affected agencies or tribes been completed? No
- **5.** Are there any connected, cumulative, or similar actions as part of the proposed action? (e.g., other development projects in area or identified in GMP, adequate/available utilities to accomplish project) Long-term egress solution.

#### E. INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM SIGNATORIES\

Interdisciplinary Team	Field of Expertise
Don L. Neubacher	Superintendent
Woody Smeck	Deputy Superintendent
Michael Gauthier	Chief of Staff
Kathleen Morse	Chief of Planning
Randy Fong	Chief of Project Management
Teri Austin	Chief of Administration Management
Ed Walls	Chief of Facilities Management
Linda C. Mazzu	Chief of Resources Management & Science
Kris Kirby	Chief of Business and Revenue Management
Tom Medema	Chief of Interpretation and Education

Charles Cuvelier	Chief of Visitor and Resource Protection
John Chisum	Project Leader
Madelyn Ruffner	Acting Environmental Planning and Compliance Program
	Manager
Renea Kennec	NEPA Specialist

#### F. SUPERVISORY SIGNATORY

Based on the environmental impact information contained in the statutory compliance file and in this environmental screening form, environmental documentation for this stage of the subject project is complete.

#### **Recommended:**

Compliance Specialists	Date
//Renea Kennec// Compliance Specialist – Renea Kennec	_12/18/12
//Madelyn Ruffner// Acting Compliance Program Manager – Madelyn Ruffner	_12/19/12
//Randy Fong// Chief, Project Management – Randy Fong	_12/20/12

#### **Approved:**

Superintendent	Date
_//Don L. Neubacher//	12/20/12
Don L. Neubacher	12/20/12

## PARK ESF ADDENDUM

**Yosemite National Park** 

Date: 11/13/2012

Today's Date: November 13, 2012

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

**Park Name:** Yosemite National Park

**Project Title:** 2012-044 Valley Admin Building Temporary Stair Installation and Geotech Borings

**PEPC Project Number:** 44532

**Project Type:** OSHA Upgrade (OTHER)

**Project Location:** 

County, State: Mariposa, California District: Valley

**Project Leader:** John Chisum

#### PARK ESF ADDENDUM QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ESF Addendum Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST	-			
Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		No		
Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		No		
Park rare plants or vegetation?		No		
Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		No		
NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECK	LIST	ı		
Entail ground disturbance?	Yes			Up to three geotech borings will be conducted with a nine inch in diameter auger to a maximum depth of 50 feet.
Are any archeological or ethnographic sites located within the area of potential effect?	Yes			Yosemite Valley Archeological District.
Entail alteration of a historic structure or cultural landscape?		No		
Has a National Register form been completed?	Yes			
Are there any structures on the park's List of Classified Structures in the area of potential effect?		No		LCS #5778
WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST				

ESF Addendum Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor?	Yes			Merced River
Fall within the bed and banks AND will affect the free-flow of the river?		No		
Have the possibility of affecting water quality of the area?		No		
Remain consistent with its river segment classification?	Yes			
Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		No		
Will the project encroach or intrude upon the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		No		
Will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?		No		
Consistent with the provisions in the Merced River Plan Settlement Agreement?	Yes			
WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Within designated Wilderness?		No		
Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		No		

Geotech Drilling Notes Potential drilling areas are listed in order of preference for NPS resources and visitor impact.
 Contractor shall not proceed with drilling until a USA utility locate has been completed. Contractor shall not block shuttle bus traffic along Village Drive. Contractor shall use drilling mats to protect existing ground surfaces. 5. Contractor shall install barriers to block off pedestrian and vehicular access during work to provide for public safety. 6. Contractor shall restore surface to original condition following drilling operation (i.e. remove debris, rake surface to remove tracks, sweep asphalt, etc.).  $\triangleleft$ I Wy Asphalt Path STLT MSTLT STLT 1 "W Multiple Trees Not Shown 90 Z Q C WY Geotech Area #2 3/4"W 57<sub>1"GV</sub> Geotech Areas #4 0 COURT Split Rail Fence -6"GV 2"W 4"GV Geotech Area #3 Asphalt Path DEA MON.68 EL. 3985.58 DRIVE N 455638.55 E 2263813.79 WV81 Geotech Area #1 EH#36 NPS Ranger Club Dormitory Parking Lot 6"S VC 132.92' 3"W TO RANGER CLUB GARAGE PANEL 4"W NOTE: NOT ALL UTILITIES ARE SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL USA PRIOR TO STARTING ANY WORK. SITE PLAN GEOTECH DRILLING SITE PLAN 40 80 (E) EXISTING ADMINISTRATION BUILDING TECH. REVIEW: YOSEMITE VALLEY SCALE OF FEET



Temporary Stair



National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior

# ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. Park: Yosemite National Park

#### 2. Project Description:

Project Name: 2012-044 Valley Admin Building Temporary Stair Installation and Geotech

**Yosemite National Park** 

Date: 11/13/2012

Borings

Prepared by: Renea Kennec Date Prepared: 11/13/2012 Telephone: 209-379-1038 PEPC Project Number: 44532

Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])

3	<b>[</b> .]	Has	the	area	of	pote	ntial	effects	been	surveyed	l to i	dentify	cultural	resources?	:

No	
X Yes	
Source or reference: Yosemite Valley Archeological District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Village Historic District.	e
Check here if no known cultural resources will be affected. (If this is because area has been disturbed, please explain or attach additional information to show the disturbance was so extensive as to preclude intaction of the cultural deposits.)	ct

#### 4. Potentially Affected Resources:

None

#### 5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

No	Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No	Replace historic features/elements in kind

	Yes	Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure							
	Yes	Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)							
	Yes	Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape							
	No	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible							
	No	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible							
	Yes	Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources							
	No	Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources							
	No	Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)							
		Other (please specify):							
(Atta	ach if f	ng Study Data: easible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)  VS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS  Of coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/edvicers as							
	_	06 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as y check-off boxes or as follows:							
Nam Date	Archeo e: Laur : 11/13	a Kirn /2012							
Asse Effec	ssment ct	of Effect: No Historic Properties Affected X No Adverse Effect Adverse Streamlined Review dations for conditions or stipulations:							
Doc	Method	d: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement							
	e: Shav	ical Architect vn Lingo							
	. 12/03/	/2012							
Asse Effec	ek if pro ssment et	oject does not involve ground disturbance [ ] of Effect: No Historic Properties AffectedX_ No Adverse Effect Adverse _ Streamlined Review dations for conditions or stipulations:							

Date: 12/06/2012 Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_ No Historic Properties Affected \_\_X No Adverse Effect Effect Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement No Reviews From: Curator, Historian, 106 Advisor, Other Advisor, Historical Landscape Architect C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS 1. Assessment of Effect: **No Historic Properties** No Adverse **Adverse Effect** Affected X Effect 2. Documentation Method: [ ] A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed. [ ] B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA) The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance. APPLICABLE STREAMLINED REVIEW Criteria (Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.) [ ] C. PLAN-RELATED UNDERTAKING Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800. Specify plan/EA/EIS: [X] D. UNDERTAKING RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Specify: 1999 Programmatic Agreement

[ X ] Anthropologist Name: Jennifer Hardin

	ed for the preparation of a	un EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD ha 5 CFR 800.3 through 800.6	as been developed				
[ ] F. No Potential to Ca	use Effects [800.3(a)(1)]						
[ ] G. Memo to SHPO/T	НРО						
[ ] H. Memo to ACHP							
3. Additional Consulting Pa							
	y stipulations or conditi	ions necessary to ensure that t 0 criteria of effect or to avoid					
5. Mitigations/Treatment M	leasures:						
Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)							
No Assessment of Eff	fect mitigations identifie	d.					
D. RECOMMENDED BY	PARK SECTION 106 C	OORDINATOR:					
Acting Historic Preservation	Officer						
//Kimball Koc Kimball Koc		<b>Date:</b> 12/17/12					
E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL							
		ent Policies and Cultural Reso commendations, stipulations, o					
Superintendent: //Don L. I		<b>Date:</b> 12/20/12					