

Workshop 8.2, Juneau, Stakeholders, 11/9/04, 8:30-12:30 p.m.

Transcriber: Diane Breeding

- 8.2.1 Determine a visitor capacity for different areas within a national park (i.e., backpackers, bus tours, etc. have different expectations). 0 votes
- 8.2.2 Consider/measure economic impact of parks/wildlands on region around park (for planning gateway infrastructure, etc). [includes #5, #26] 4 votes
- 8.2.3 How has NPS affected Alaska native cultural uses of resources in and around parks (not just NPS issue)? 7 votes
- 8.2.4 How can national parks work with native tribes within park to provide authentic experiences reflective of the people and the land of Alaska? 0 votes
- 8.2.5 What is the impact of NPS units on communities in terms of employment, income, and other economic indicators at various scales (local, regional, lengths of time)? [added to #2]
- 8.2.6 What values and uses do the local Alaskan communities and cultural groups assign to parks in AK, and how do these values compare with those assigned by the national population (e.g., value for science, recreation, subsistence, spiritual, etc.)? [includes #34] 3 votes
- 8.2.7 How does public land use tie in with travel patterns for a variety of purposes (recreation, business, visiting family/friends)? 0 votes
- 8.2.8 How does/can parks contribute to community vitality and resilience (social, cultural, and economic)? 4 votes
- 8.2.9 Effects of urbanization on Alaska native populations' traditional land use needs study, (e.g., Auke Bay which is now Juneau). [added to #12]
- 8.2.10 How can national parks smoothly facilitate and make more timely decisions on prospectuses/bid applications for commercial services (rafting permits, etc.) and be timely in sending out applications (not during busy season, requiring 60-day response from vendor but 19 month NPS response)? [added to #20]
- 8.2.11 Policy decisions should take into consideration unique access issues in Alaskan parks. (e.g., there is less road access to parks in Alaska so policies from the lower 48 may not apply). [Potential action strategy] 1 vote
- 8.2.12 What is the effect of the NPS on lives of Alaska natives in and around parks (not just NPS issue)? [includes #9] 4 votes
- 8.2.13 The NPS should research a balance (by partnering with tribes) to utilize resources to demonstrate/perpetuate living culture in and around parks. [includes #21] 4 votes
- 8.2.14 What are policies, regulations, and laws that affect local communities and resident use and how? 0 votes
- 8.2.15 How will expected/observed climate changes affect social expectations, use (recreation, tourism, subsistence, etc.), or demands on Alaskan national parks? What are the implications for park resources and management? 6 votes
- 8.2.16 We need to look at social impacts of land management and ways people respond to management actions—the links between behaviors and management actions. 0 votes
- 8.2.17 How have attitudes toward and uses of natural resources changed over time (institutional changes such as ANILCA, ANCSA, etc., including the effects on people's daily relation to land)? 1 vote
- 8.2.18 We need a survey of issues related to interactions between various tourism endeavors and Alaska native communities. 0 votes

Workshop 8.2, Juneau, Stakeholders, 11/9/04, 8:30-12:30 p.m.

Transcriber: Diane Breeding

- 8.2.19 What are the effects of persistent organic pollutants (and others) on subsistence resources within national park boundaries and on subsistence users (not just NPS issue)? **1 vote**
- 8.2.20 We need an analysis of decision-making process for wildlands to make it more responsive to communities, businesses, users (what is appropriate to this place)? *[includes #10]* **4 votes**
- 8.2.21 How can the NPS have positive effects on continuing Alaska native culture in and around parks? *[added to #13]*
- 8.2.22 What tools are available to predict changes in use due to changes in policy or infrastructure (e.g., modeling tools)? **0 votes**
- 8.2.23 What are implications of uncoordinated/unlinked land use management planning on public use/demand/expectations for Alaskan parks (e.g., length of plans vary, and planning efforts are staggered across agencies, no holistic approach)? *[added to #33]*
- 8.2.24 How do we spread use to bring economic benefits to rural areas of state and spread out impacts of use on resources? **1 vote**
- 8.2.25 How is independent tourism and recreation changing, and what are the implications for managers, communities, and tourism businesses? **1 vote**
- 8.2.26 We need to differentiate economic benefits by region, state, and national impacts and look at decisions based on immediate local impacts. *[added to #2]*
- 8.2.27 NPS needs to create and fund a partnership plan for quality access to parks and for infrastructure. [Potential action strategy]
- 8.2.28 Does the NPS in Alaska and its land management policy have a public image problem (does public support these policies/decisions)? **0 votes**
- 8.2.29 We need to understand the nature of conflict (causes of conflict, ways to mitigate/avoid conflict) between different uses and users. **6 votes**
- 8.2.30 We need to identify processes that communities can use to account for values (economic and non-economic), and to help identify/manage trade-offs (re: parks) in decision making. **2 votes**
- 8.2.31 Why do people visit parks and public lands and why do people not visit them? **0 votes**
- 8.2.32 What are the issues at the interface of tourism, recreation, and subsistence? **1 vote**
- 8.2.33 What are opportunities for cooperation between agencies (federal, state, local) and groups (native, tourism, businesses, etc.)? How do we make agencies be participants at the local level in economic and infrastructure development? *[Includes #23]* **3 votes**
- 8.2.34 How do all cultural groups value and use parks and natural resources (Filipino, Russian, Latino etc.; not just Native Alaska groups)? *[added to #6]*
- 8.2.35 How do we enhance public input and participation in management and enhance agency receptivity to that input? **0 votes**
- 8.2.36 What are the opportunities, benefits, and costs for volunteer programs and working vacations? **0 votes**
- 8.2.37 How do fees affect the use of public lands and people's relationship with the public lands? (which fees are okay, which are not from the public's point of view)? **2 votes**

WHO ARE THE PLAYERS?

- #3 How has NPS affected Alaska native cultural uses of resources in and around parks (not just NPS issue)?
- Tribes and their commissions for plants, fish, etc.
 - Alaska Department of Fish and Game
 - National parks cooperating with tribes
 - Forest Service and other federal agencies
 - Sealaska Heritage Institute and Corporation
 - Other regional and village corporations
- #15 How will expected/observed climate changes affect social expectations, use (recreation, tourism, subsistence, etc.), or demands on Alaskan national parks? What are the implications for park resources and management?
- Tribes providing local knowledge and traditional knowledge
 - University of Alaska-Fairbanks
 - Other federal and state agencies
 - Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station
 - Juneau Forestry Science Laboratory cooperating with universities
 - National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
- #29 We need to understand the nature of conflict (causes of conflict, ways to mitigate/avoid conflict) between different uses and users.
- Other federal agencies
 - Significant political sensitivity needed—Alaska state government does not use “conflict,” but “competition”
 - Universities/CESUs
 - Users and non-users of parklands
 - Public at large