

Yosemite National Park Date: 04/19/2021

A PDF text file of the project's approved environmental compliance package containing the letter of compliance completion, categorical exclusion form, environmental screening form, and any other associated environmental clearance forms, as applicable (e.g., Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis, Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Analysis). The signed originals of the package are on file in the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office at Yosemite National Park.

Letter of Compliance Completion

To: Joseph Llewellyn, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2021-097 El Portal Residential Garage Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Conversion at 5567 Foresta Road (PEPC: 96416)

For complete compliance information see PEPC Project 96416.

The Superintendent and park interdisciplinary team have reviewed the proposed project and completed an impact analysis and documentation, and have determined the following:

- There will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect to historic properties.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

Required Mitigations - For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- Only actions explicitly described in this CE and attached in PEPC 94616 are approved for implementation. Any changes or modifications to the attached plans must undergo review and approval through the Yosemite National Park Environmental Planning and Compliance Branch.
- The plans will meet all pertinent building codes and be reviewed and approved by the Mariposa County Building Department.
- No ground disturbance is authorized.
- All food and refuse will be stored in accordance with park regulations. Any dumpsters will be approved ahead of installation by the Yosemite Bear Management Program (209-379-0322).

Superintendent:	Cicely Muldoon	Date:	April 28, 2021	
Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent		_		

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Yosemite National Park Date: 04/19/2021

Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form (CE Form)

Project: El Portal Residential Garage Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Conversion at 5567 Foresta Road **PEPC Project Number:** 96416

Description of Action (Project Description):

The homeowner (Eamon Schnieder) proposes to convert an existing garage to an accessory dwelling unit (ADU) at 5567 Foresta Rd. El Portal, CA 95318.

Project Summary: The resident/homeowner would convert an existing garage/accessory structure to an additional dwelling unit (ADU). The ADU would meet all applicable building codes and be permitted through the Mariposa County Building Department.

Demolition: No demolition is planned, however, there may be framing members or other things discovered during the course of work that are in need of replacement. Some framing members may need to be stripped to receive new finishes. See "Demolition notes" on sheet A-2 for more information and requirements.

Grading and Earthwork: All of the proposed work will be inside the existing structure. No excavation or expansion of the structure footprint is proposed. There will need to be a small condensing unit for the air conditioning system on the north side of the building. The exact location of that unit will be determined by the mechanical contractor.

New Construction: The existing structure will be insulated and waterproofed. Doors and windows are to be added or replaced as shown on the plans. Interior partition walls to be added as shown on plans. Plumbing fixtures, appliances, finishes, cabinetry and millwork will be selected by owner and installed as detailed on plans.

Utilities: There is existing electrical service to the building. New circuits will likely need to be added for appliances and such. The electrical contractor shall verify that the existing service panel is of sufficient size to accommodate the actual load, based on the nameplate ratings of the installed appliances, and shall upgrade the panel if necessary. There is existing water service to the building, although it seems that it may not have been properly installed. If repairs or alterations to the existing water service are needed, please advise how to proceed. There is existing sewer service to the building. Portions of the existing concrete slab floors will need to be cut and removed as necessary for installation of new plumbing.

Codes & Permitting: All work shall comply with the 2019 editions of the California Residential Code, Mechanical Code, Electrical Code, Energy Code, and Green Building Code, as well as any other codes or standards adopted by the County of Mariposa and any other Regulatory Agencies. Compliance shall be enforced by the General or Subcontractor at all times.

Project Locations:				
Location				
County:	Mariposa	State:	CA	

Mitigation(s): See Letter of Compliance Complete (page 1)

CE Citation: A.5 Issuances, extensions, renewals, reissuances or minor modifications of concession contracts or permits not entailing new construction.

CE Justification: Issuing NPS permit for conversion of existing privately owned garage structure on NPS land assignment to an additional dwelling unit. The work is not new construction.

Decision: I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No extraordinary circumstances apply.

Superintendent: Cicely Muldoon		Date:	April 28, 2021
	Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent		
Environmental P	al of this document is on file at the lanning and Compliance Office in emite National Park.		

Extraordinary Circumstances:

Extraordinary Circumstances:		
If implemented, would the proposal	Yes/No	Notes
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	
B. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national	No	
natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive		
Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other		
ecologically significant or critical areas?		
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or	No	
unknown environmental risks?		
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	
G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of	No	
Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?		
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or	No	
Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		
I. Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?	No	
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	

ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title:	El Portal Residential Garage Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Conversion at 5567 Foresta Road
PEPC:	96416
Project Type:	Reconstruction (REC)
Location:	County, State: Mariposa, California
Project Lead:	Joseph Llewellyn

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: See Categorical Exclusion form. (page 2)

C. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Air	None	
Air Quality		
Biological	None	
Nonnative or Exotic Species		
Biological Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat	None	
Biological	None	
Vegetation		
Biological Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic	Potential	Issue: Workers need to ensure that all food and trash is stored properly to avoid food conditioning in wildlife.
species Behavior, Food Conditioning		Impact: All food and refuse will be stored in accordance with park regulations. Any dumpsters will be approved ahead of time (work with Yosemite Bear Management Program 209-379-0322).
Cultural Archeological Resources El Portal Archeological District	Potential	Issue: The project is located within El Portal Archeological District, but is not within or close to any know archeological site. Impact: Ground disturbance is not authorized.
Cultural	None	
Cultural Landscapes		
Cultural	None	
Ethnographic Resources		
Cultural	None	
Museum Collections		
Cultural	None	
Prehistoric/historic structures		
Geological	None	
Geologic Features		
Geological	None	
Geologic Processes		

Lightscapes	None	
Lightscapes		
Other	None	
Human Health and Safety		
Other	None	
Operational		
Other	None	
Other		
Socioeconomic	Potential	Issue: The project would result in increased housing capacity for
Land Use		the El Portal Community.
Gateway community; Housing		, and the second
7 77 0		Impact: The project would be beneficial to the Yosemite community by increasing available housing, which is presently in very high demand compared to availability.
Socioeconomic	None	
Minority and low-income		
populations, size, migration		
patterns, etc.		
Socioeconomic	None	
Socioeconomic		
Soundscapes	None	
Soundscapes		
Viewsheds	None	
Viewsheds		
Visitor Use and Experience	None	
Recreation Resources		
Visitor Use and Experience	None	
Visitor Use and Experience		
Water	None	
Floodplains		
Water	None	
Marine or Estuarine Resources		
Water	None	
Water Quality or Quantity		
Water	None	
Wetlands		
Water	None	
Wild and Scenic River		
Wilderness	None	
Wilderness		



ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. Park: Yosemite National Park

2. Project Description: See Categorical Exclusion Form.

Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])

The APE is limited to the existing Garage Structure at 5567 Foresta rd., in El Portal. The privately owned garage building will be converted into an additional dwelling unit.

3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?

	No
X	Yes
	Source or reference:

4. Potentially Affected Resource(s):

Archeological Resources Present: Yes

Property Name: El Portal Archeological District LCS:

Archeological Resources Notes: The project area is within the El Portal Archeological District, which is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The area was archeologically surveyed in 2000 and 2011 with no archeological sites identified. No additional archeological work is recommended.

Historical Structures/Resources Present: No

Cultural Landscapes Present: No

Ethnographic Resources Present: No

Ethnographic Resources Notes: Work is limited to an existing building with no ground disturbance, so tribes were not contacted.

5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

No	Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No	Replace historic features/elements in kind
No	Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
No	Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
No	Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural
	landscape
No	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible

No Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible>
No Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources
No Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or
archeological or ethnographic resources
No Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)
Other (please specify):
6. Supporting Study Data: (Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)
B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS
The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:
[X] 106 Advisor Name: Hope Schear Date: 04/19/2021 Comments: Streamlined review complete, no HLA required. YOSE PA section 14a for permit and 15b for the undertaking. The structure in question is a modern structure.
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
[X] Anthropologist Name: Liz Williams Date: 04/08/2021 Comments: Please review archeologist comments.
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [X] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:
Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
[X] Archeologist Name: Wesley Wills Date: 07/08/2020 Comments: The project area is within the El Portal Archeological District, which is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The area was archeologically surveyed in 2000 and 2011 with no archeological sites identified. No additional archeological work is recommended.
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No

Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:			
Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement			
[X] Historical Architect Name: Donald Faxon Date: 04/15/2021 Comments: Garage appears to have been already repurposed for occupant use; most exterior modernization is already in place. Building is largely invisible from street.			
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:			
Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement			
No Reviews From: Curator, Historian, Other Advisor, Historical Landscape Architect			
C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS			
1. Assessment of Effect:			
No Potential to Cause Effects			
No Historic Properties Affected			
X No Adverse Effect			
Adverse Effect			
2. Documentation Method:			
 [] A. Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed. [] B. Streamlined Review Under the 2008 Servicewide Programmatic Agreement (PA) The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for 			
Section 106 compliance.			
Applicable Streamlined Review Criteria (Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)			
[X] C. Undertaking Related to Park Specific or Another Agreement The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a park, region or statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or 36 CFR 800.14.			
[] D. Combined NEPA/NHPA Process Process and documentation required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD to comply with Section 106 is in accord with 36 CFR 800.8.c.			
[] E. Memo to Project File			

SHPO Required: No SHPO Sent: NA SHPO Received: NA			
THPO Required: NA THPO Sent: NA THPO Received: NA			
SHPO/THPO Notes:			
Advisory Council Partic Advisory Council Notes Additional Consulting l	;:		
_	ons: Following are listed any stipu consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 c		
_	leasures: Measures to prevent or retting, location, and use may be re		npairment of historic/prehistoric
_	the proposed project actions to be inplementation, the following mitig	_	-
No ground disturbance is	s authorized.		
6. Assessment of Effect Not	es: 2020 YOSE PA (section 14.a a	and also 15.b.)	
D. RECOMMENDED BY I	PARK SECTION 106 COORDIN	NATOR:	
NHPA Specialist	Hope Schear Hope Schear	Date:	April 26, 2021
E. SUPERINTENDENT'S	APPROVAL		
	s to the NPS <i>Management Policies</i> rove the recommendations, stipular		
Superintendent:	Cicely Muldoon	Date:	April 28, 2021
	Cicely Muldoon, Superintendent		
Environmental Planning	is document is on file at the g and Compliance Office in National Park.		

3. Consultation Information

Yosemite National Park Date: Apr 19, 2021

Other Compliance/Consultations Form

Park Name: Yosemite National Park PEPC Project Number: 96416

Project Title: El Portal Residential Garage Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Conversion at 5567 Foresta Road

Project Type: Reconstruction

Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, CA

Project Leader: Joseph Llewellyn

ESA

Any Federal Species in the project Area? No

If species in area: No Effect

Was Biological Assessment prepared? No If Biological Assessment prepared, concurred?

Formal Consultation required? No Formal Consultation Notes: N/A Formal Consultation Concluded:

Any State listed Species in the Project Area? No

Consultation Information: N/A

General Notes: N/A

Data Entered By: Ninette Daniele Date: Mar 31, 2021

ESA Mitigations:

No ESA mitigations are associated with this project.

Floodplains/Wetlands/§404 Permits

Question	Yes	No	Details		
A.1. Is project in 100- or 500-year		No	Not in floodplain or flash flood hazard area.		
floodplain or flash flood hazard area?			-		
A.2. Is Project in wetlands as defined by		No	Not in wetland as defined by NPS/DOI.		
NPS/DOI?					
B. COE Section 404 permit needed?		No	No placement of fill in waters of the United States.		
C. State 401 certification?		No			
D. State Section 401 Permit?		No	Issue Date:		
			Expiration Date:		
E. Tribal Water Quality Permit?		No			
F. CZM Consistency determination			N/A		
needed?					
G. Erosion & Sediment Control Plan		No			
Required?					
H. Any other permits required?		No	Permit Information:		
Other Information:					
Data Entered By: Ninette Daniele			Date: Mar 31, 2021		

FloodPlains & Wetlands Mitigations:

No FloodPlains & Wetlands mitigations are associated with this project.

Wilderness

Question	Yes	No	
A. Does this project occur in or adjacent to Designated, Recommended,		No	
Proposed, Study, Eligible, or Potential Wilderness?			
B. Is the only place to conduct this project in wilderness?		No	
C. Is the project necessary for the administration of the area as		No	
wilderness?			
D. Would the project or any of its alternatives adversely affect (directly or		No	
indirectly) Designated, Recommended, Proposed, Study, Eligible, or			
Potential Wilderness? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)			
E. Does the project or any of its alternatives involve the use of any of the		No	
Wilderness Act Section 4(c) prohibited uses: commercial enterprise,			
permanent road, temporary road, motor vehicles, motorized equipment,			
motorboats, landing of aircraft, mechanical transport, structure, or			
installation? (If Yes, Minimum Requirements Analysis required)			
If the answer to D or E above is "Yes" then a Minimum Requirements			Initiation
Analysis is required. Describe the status of this analysis in the column to			Date:
the right.			Completed
			Date:
			Approved
			Date:
Other Information:			
Data Entered By: Ninette Daniele Da	te: A	pr 19, 20)21

Other Permits/Laws *Questions A & B are no longer used.*

Question	Yes	No
C. Wild and scenic river concerns exist?		No
D. National Trails concerns exist?		No
E. Air Quality consult with State needed?		No
F. Consistent with Architectural Barriers, Rehabilitation, and Americans with	Yes	
Disabilities Acts or not Applicable? (If N/A check Yes)		
G. Other:		No

Other Information: N/A

Data Entered By: Ninette Daniele	Date:	Mar 31, 2021
----------------------------------	-------	--------------