

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

This Environmental Checklist was derived from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, except that greenhouse gases are discussed under air quality. The following 16 environmental categories are addressed:

- Aesthetics
- Agriculture and Forest Resources
- Air Quality/Greenhouse Gas
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources/Tribal Cultural Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population and Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation/Traffic
- Utilities and Service Systems

The checklist was used to identify issues that should be carried forward for further evaluation in the environmental impact report (EIR) and to eliminate topics or resources for which no impacts are anticipated. For each checklist question, the proposed project was assessed to determine if impacts are anticipated or if the project would clearly not result in any impacts. For each question, one of the following determinations was made:

- **No Further Evaluation Needed:** No impact to the resource topic would occur as a result of implementing the proposed project. Further evaluation of the environmental factor is not necessary. The rationale behind this conclusion is that the resource or environmental factor is not present in or near the project area or that the nature of the project would clearly not result in an impact.
- **Further Evaluation Needed:** Implementation of the proposed project could result in an impact on the resource, and the topic will be further evaluated in the EIR to determine the significance of the impact. Mitigation measures may be required to reduce the significance of the impact.

I. AESTHETICS — Would the project:	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a, c) The proposed communication sites are in rural areas that are considered scenic from many viewpoints, including multiple highways and recreation areas. The new facilities would have varying degrees of impacts on the visual environment, and further evaluation is needed to assess the level of these impacts.
- b) None of the proposed communication sites are in a state scenic highway corridor; thus, the proposed project would not damage scenic resources associated with scenic highways.
- d) The proposed tower at Rodgers Peak may require lighting to meet Federal Aviation Administration requirements. Impacts associated with this new light source on views and the night sky in the area will be further evaluated.

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES — Would the project:	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined by Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, b) No agricultural land is present in the project area, and none of the land is zoned for agricultural uses. The proposed project would not affect agricultural resources.
- c, d) Some of the lands in the project area are zoned for timber use or contain forest resources. The proposed project would require some tree removal; therefore, impacts on timberland and forest resources will be further evaluated.

- e) Based on the nature of the proposed project (communication sites), no other environmental impacts on agricultural or forest land would be expected.

III. AIR QUALITY/GREENHOUSE GAS — Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a-d) The proposed project would generate emissions during construction of the new facilities, removal of facilities at Red Mountain, and operation of propane and diesel tanks as power sources. Few receptors are near the project area. Construction activities could affect air quality in the region, and further evaluation will be conducted to assess the level of these impacts.

- e) Based on the location and nature of the proposed project, odor impacts are not anticipated.

- f, g) Construction emissions and long-term emissions associated with the power source could contribute to greenhouse gas emissions in the region. This impact will be further evaluated.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES — Would the project:

	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
--	--	---

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES — Would the project:	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>a) A number of special-status plant and wildlife species could use habitats in and near the project area. The proposed project could have varying levels of impacts on these species and their habitats. Further evaluation will be conducted to assess the types and levels of impacts on special-status species. A biological resources report will be prepared to support the EIR.</p>		
<p>b, c) A preliminary assessment of the project area did not reveal sensitive riparian, wetland, or other communities, but further evaluation will be needed to fully assess impacts on these communities and on waters of the United States.</p>		
<p>d-f) Based on the location and nature of the proposed project, no movement corridors would be affected, and the project would not conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources. Although Green Diamond, a property owner in the project area, has habitat conservation plans in place for aquatic species and northern spotted owl, these plans are specific to timber harvesting and would not apply to the proposed project.</p>		

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES — Would the project:	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as identified in Section 15064.5?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource as defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>a,b,d,e) Portions of the project area are considered highly sensitive for cultural resources and are in the Helkau Ceremonial District, which is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.</p>		

Construction activities could disturb cultural resources or possibly human remains. In addition, structures or buildings in the project area, specifically at Red Mountain and Rodgers Peak, may be considered historical resources. Further evaluation will be conducted to assess the types and levels of impacts on cultural and tribal cultural resources. A cultural resources report and historic resources evaluation report will be prepared to support the EIR and consultation processes.

- c) Based on the location and nature of the proposed project, paleontological resources or unique geologic features are not expected to be present in the project area. No impacts are anticipated.

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: | | |
| i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| iv) Landslides? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code, creating substantial risks to life or property? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

a i-iv) Various geologic hazards could affect the new communication facilities. Further evaluation will be conducted to assess the level of impacts and potential for damage to new facilities.

b-d) Additional research on soil types in the project area is needed. Certain aspects of the proposed project could involve substantial soil disturbance. Further evaluation will be conducted to assess the levels and types of impacts associated with soils.

e) The proposed project does not include wastewater systems.

VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS — Would the project:

	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a, b) The proposed project would involve the use of hazardous materials, such as diesel and propane as power sources and fuels and solvents during construction. The potential for impacts from these uses will be further evaluated.
- c-g) The project area is in a remote setting with little development nearby. No schools or airports are near the existing and proposed communication sites. An old airstrip is located at one site, but it is not actively used. Access to the communication sites is limited or restricted, and the new sites would not impair emergency evacuations. Based on the location and nature of the proposed project, no impacts are anticipated. The proposed project would ensure emergency communications are maintained in the region once the communication facilities at Red Mountain are removed.
- h) Because of the remote settings and forested landscapes, wildfire is a hazard that could affect the new communication facilities or nearby structures and buildings. Further evaluation of the potential for and impacts of a wildland fire will be conducted.

VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY — Would the project:

	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|-------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| b) | Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) | Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) | Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| g) | Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| h) | Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| i) | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| j) | Inundation of seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) | The proposed project would involve varying levels of soil disturbance and other activities that could discharge pollutants via runoff into nearby water bodies. Further evaluation of water quality impacts will be conducted. | | |
| b) | Based on the nature and location of the proposed project, groundwater supplies or recharge would not be affected. | | |
| c, d) | Road construction and grading for the proposed project could alter drainage patterns in the project area. Further evaluation of drainage-related impacts will be conducted. | | |
| e-j) | The project area is not in a flood hazard area, and the proposed communication facilities would be located on peaks at elevations not likely to be affected by a tsunami. The proposed project would not contribute runoff to storm drain systems. No impacts are anticipated. | | |

IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING – Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) | Physically divide an established community? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|----|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING – Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| b) | Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) | Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) | The project area is not in an established community. | | |
| b) | The proposed project would be subject to compliance with the Del Norte and Humboldt County General Plans, Redwood National Park General Management Plan, and Six Rivers National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. Further evaluation of the consistency of the proposed project with these plans will be conducted. | | |
| c) | Although Green Diamond, a property owner in the project area, has habitat conservation plans in place for aquatic species and northern spotted owl, these plans are specific to timber harvesting and would not apply to the proposed project. | | |

X. MINERAL RESOURCES — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) | Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) | Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a, b) | The project area is not known to contain important mineral resources. | | |

XI. NOISE — Would the project result in:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) | Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) | Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) | A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) | A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) | For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport of public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XI. NOISE — Would the project result in:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

a-d) The project area is primarily remote with few people recreating or working nearby. The proposed project would generate noise during construction activities and operation of the communication facilities (e.g., from generator operation). Further evaluation of noise impacts will be conducted.

e, f) The project area is not near an airport or active airstrip.

XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

c) Displace substantial numbers of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

a-c) Based on the nature and location of the proposed project, no population and housing impacts are anticipated.

XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

Fire protection?

Police protection?

Schools?

Parks?

Other public facilities?

a) The proposed project includes construction of communication facilities for the State and other government users, which is the focus of the impact analysis in the EIR. The project would not result in the need for other public service facilities that would not already be addressed in the EIR.

XIV. RECREATION — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) | Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) | Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

a, b) Based on the nature of the proposed project, no recreation facilities would be affected.

XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC — Would the project:

*Further
Evaluation Needed* *No Further
Evaluation Needed*

- | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) | Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume-to-capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) | Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) | Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) | Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) | Result in inadequate emergency access? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f) | Result in inadequate parking capacity? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| g) | Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

a, b) Based on the rural nature of the area, construction traffic could affect traffic conditions on local roads and highways. Further evaluation of traffic-related impacts will be conducted.

c) The proposed project would not affect air traffic patterns. Although the new communication towers would be on peaks, they would either not be tall enough to be a concern for air traffic or they would be properly lit to notify pilots of their location.

d) Use of local roads, particularly private roads, could increase hazards during construction access. Further evaluation of traffic-related hazards will be conducted.

e) Although most project-related activities would be off of main roads, emergency access to remote locations could be delayed during certain construction activities. Further evaluation of emergency access impacts will be conducted.

f) All parking for the proposed project would be on-site or in designated areas along access roads. The proposed project would not result in inadequate parking capacity.

g) The proposed project would not affect alternative forms of transportation.

XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS — Would the project:	<i>Further Evaluation Needed</i>	<i>No Further Evaluation Needed</i>
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

a-e) The proposed project would not include wastewater, water, or storm drainage facilities or require the construction of these types of facilities.

f, g) Demolition activities would result in the generation of building and other waste that needs to be disposed of. Further evaluation of waste disposal impacts will be conducted.