National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior

Lower Farmington River and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic River Study

Study Report and Environmental Assessment

November 2011















Photo: Wanda Colman

Front Cover Photos: Tom Cameron, Wanda Colman, Bill Duncan, Joyce Kennedy Raymes
Back Cover Photo: Tom Cameron

Lower Farmington River and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic River Study

Study Report and Environmental Assessment *November 2011*

Department of the Interior
National Park Service
Northeast Region
15 State Street
Boston, MA 02109-3572
Tel: 617-223-5191

National Park Service
Northeast Region
200 Chestnut Street, 3FL
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Tel: 215-597-6482

For more information and color version visit http://www.lowerfarmingtonriver.org or contact:
Lower Farmington and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic Study Committee
C/o FRWA
749 Hopmeadow Street
Simsbury, CT 06070
860 658 4442

The National Park Service is deeply indebted to the Farmington River and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic Study Committee for their long-term service and for guiding this study to completion. Thanks also to the support of many additional volunteers and partners, including citizens, and town, state and federal officials whose support, assistance and commitment made this study possible. Please see the Farmington River and Salmon Brook Management Plan for a more complete listing of the many groups and individuals that contributed to the study.

Chuck Barscz, National Wild and Scenic Rivers Program Division Chief, National Park Service

Jamie Fosburgh, Northeast Region Rivers Program, New England Team Leader, National Park Service

Joyce Kennedy Raymes, Wild and Scenic Study Coordinator

Jeff Bolton, FRWA GIS Specialist

Linda Goldsmith Design, Harwinton, CT, Graphic Design



Photo: Tom Cameron

Contents

Summary—Principal Findings V
Eligibility v
Classification v
Suitability v
Alternatives Considered v
Lower Farmington and Salmon Brook Management Plan vi
Support for Designation vi
Partnership Wild and Scenic River Designation vi

Chapter 1: Background 1
1.A. Wild and Scenic Rivers Program 1
1.B. Lower Farmington and Salmon Brook Study History and Methods 2
History 2
Study Committee 2
Study Approach 3
Partnership Rivers 3
Study Goals and Methods 4
General 4
Research 5
Outreach and Education 6
Management Plan 7

Chapter 2: Description of the Study Area 8
2.A. Regional Setting 8
2.B. Watershed Characteristics 8
General Description 8
Ecology and Natural Communities 8
Land Use and Ownership Patterns 9

Chapter 3: Eligibility and Classification. 12
3.A. Eligibility and Classification Criteria 12
3.A.1 Outstandingly Remarkable Values 12
Nationally and Regionally Significant Values .. 12
Values Significant in Aggregate 12
Defining "River-Related" Values 12
3.A.2 Free-Flowing 13
3.A.3 Classification Criteria 13
3.B. Eligibility and Preliminary Classification 13
3.B.1 Free-Flowing Determination 13
General Streamflow Conditions 13
Inventory and Description of Study Area Dams 14
Lower Farmington River Dams and Remnant Dams 14
Upper And Lower Collinsville Dams 14
Winchell-Smith/Gristmill Dam 14
Spoonville Dam 15
Rainbow Dam and Reservoir 16
East Branch Salmon Brook 16
Forman Pond Dam 17
First Pond Dam 17
Conclusions 17
3.C. Outstandingly Remarkable Values 17
Geology 17
Water Quality 20
Biological Diversity 21
Cultural Landscape 23
Recreation 28
3.D. Classification 28
3.E. Conclusions on Eligibility and Classification 29

Chapter 4: Suitability Findings and Management Context 30
4.A. Principle Factors of Suitability 30
Existing Protections 30
4.B.1 Regulatory Protections 30
Local 31
State 32
Federal 32
4.B.2 Open Space and Land Conservation 34
4.B.3 Other Supporting Programs 35
Watershed Associations 35
Local Land Trusts 35
Non-Regulatory State Programs 35
4.C. Management Framework 37
Management Plan 37
4.D. Support for River Protection and National Wild and Scenic Designation 38
4.E. Evidence of Support 38
Study Committee 38
Local 38
State of Connecticut 39
Non-Governmental 39
4.F. Effects of Designation 40
General Effects—Partnership Wild and Scenic Rivers Model ..... .40
Specific Effects—Collinsville and Rainbow Dam Areas 40
4.G. Summary of General Findings of Suitability 45
4.H. Segment-By-Segment Suitability Findings ... 45
4.I. Summary 46

Chapter 5: Environmental Assessment 48
5.A. Introduction ..... .48
5.B. Project Description 48
5.C. Purpose and Need for Action 48
5.D. Alternatives 49
Alternative A: No Action 50
Alternative B. Full Designation 50
Alternative C. Partial Designation 51
Variations on Alternatives 51
Features Common to the No Action, Full Designation and Partial Designation Alternatives 51
Alternatives Considered and Rejected Prior to the Wild and Scenic Study 53

5.E. Identification of Environmentally Preferable Alternative....53
5.F. Affected Environment....54
5.G. Impact of Alternatives....54
5.H. Impact of Alternatives Table....55
5.I. Cumulative Impacts....63
5.J. Public Involvement, Consultations and Coordination....64
5.K. Local Support for Management Plan and Wild and Scenic Designation....69
5.L. Preparers and Contributors....71
5.M. List of Recipients....71

FIGURES

Figure 1: Wild and Scenic Study Process Flow Chart....3
Figure 2: General Location & Study Towns Map....9
Figure 3: Land Use Percentages within the Corridor....10
Figure 4: Study Corridor Land Cover Values....11
Figure 5: U.S. Census Populations from 1990–2009....11
Figure 6: Dams and Free-flowing Conditions Map....18
Figure 7: Floodplains and Riparian Buffers Map....33
Figure 8: The Great Drain....34
Figure 9: Open Space/Parks/Recreation Properties Map....36
Figure 10: Potential Exclusion Boundaries Upstream of Rainbow Dam....42
Figure 11: Eligibility and Suitability Map....47
Figure 12: Potential Designation Segments: Environmentally Preferred Alternative B....52

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Lower Farmington River and Salmon Brook Study Act....74
Appendix 2: State of Connecticut Wild and Scenic Legislation....75
Appendix 3: Record of Endorsements and Support for the Wild and Scenic Designation (State, Towns, Organizations, and Individuals)....76
Appendix 4: The Metropolitan District Commission (MDC) Support of the Upper Farmington River Wild and Scenic Boundary Change....118
Appendix 5: Wild and Scenic Study Outreach and Education Examples....119
- Newsletter
- Posters and Postcard
- Press Releases, Articles, and Other Communications
- Land Use Leadership Alliance Workshop Participants
- Meeting and Event Schedule Examples



Photo: Tom Cameron

National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior

Lower Farmington/Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic River Study
C/o FRWA
749 Hopmeadow Street
Simsbury, CT 06070
860 658 4442
http://www.lowerfarmingtonriver.org/

