## Olympic National Park Wilderness Stewardship Plan Preliminary DRAFT Alternative Management Strategies March 2014

## Key:

CTA: "Common to All" alternatives (applies to all alternatives including the No-Action Alternative)

CTAA: "Common to All Action" alternatives (applies to only Alternatives B, C, and D)

Port Service would respond to future neads and in the alternative all the purposes of the Wilderness Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the reductions associated with wilderness numbers and plans. Natural resources, colural resources, without neads and partnerships would continue to be managed in compliance with various (permits) provided within wilderness. There are the setting general management plans (1980).  **Concept Description:** This alternative and the purposes of the Wilderness Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the protection of natural resources, wild be met, with an emphasis placed on the protection of natural resources, wild be management plan (1980).  **Concept Description:** This alternative and the purposes of the Wilderness Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the protection of natural resources, would be produced and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management policies 2006, and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  **Net Management Policies 2006, the existing peaced management policies 2006, and the existing backcountry management 2006, and the		Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the management withtout major changes in current actions, programs, and plans. Natural resources, cultural resources, story operations, and partnerships would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state leavs, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plans (1980).  Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the roturn of the human imprint.  Concept Description:  This alternative would reduce the number and extent this alternative would reduce the thought the implementation of appropriate management and state leavs, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plan (1980).  Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the protection of natural resources would be restored and maintained the subject of the implementation of appropriate management and the wellopments with the intent that the overall human imprint.  Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the protection of natural resources would be restored and maintained the third that the overall human imprint.  Concept Description:  Healthy ecosystems would be restored and maintained the implementation of appropriate management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of appropriate management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of appropriate management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of appropriate management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of appropriate management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of appropriate in a management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of appropriate in a management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of a management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of a management actions (such as the removal of non-native species, restoration of a management actions (such as the removal of non-native species,	<b>Overarching Concept</b>				<u> </u>
reduction of the human imprint.    reduction of the human imprint.		Park Service would respond to future needs and	In this alternative all the purposes of the Wilderness	In this alternative all the purposes of the Wilderness Act	In this alternative all the purposes of the Wilderness Act
Concept Description:  This alternative would reduce the number and extent to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management plan (2005), and the existing general management plan (2005), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  Natural resources, would be protected and those disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces the presence of non-recreational structures and developments of which historic structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorated while complying with applicable cultural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources, while complying with applicable cultural resources, and any threatment processes, natural resources and where they are threatened by managed most provided, wisitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern human within wilderness. Under this alternative p. park operations would be more greatly reliation on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D. park operations would be more greatly reliation on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D. and cultural family provided, very keep well-designed. The control of the provided continue to per provided, very keep well-designed complete the provided of the p		conditions associated with wilderness	Act will be met, with an emphasis placed on the	will be met, with an emphasis placed on the protection of	
Concept Description:  Questions, and partnerships would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, MpS Management policies 2006, the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  All management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  Concept Description:  Concept Description:  Concept Description:  Visitor use and repression activities would be managed to torpowine for a greater variety of wildeness variety of wildeness variety of the wildeness value of the represence of non-recreational structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would pervail.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would pervail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would pervail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness seperience. The majority of the wilderness sould be managed for separate value of the provided, wistors could have a more primitive wilderness separate and through the implementation of appropriate management valued seek to reintroduction of estimated species, restoration of appropriate value to provide provided pressure. As a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain all regress was well as through the implementation of appropriate values to provide pressure to provide pressure. As a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness superior. The majority of t			reduction of the human imprint.	natural resources.	
be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing packcountry management plan (1980).  In this alternative would reduce the number and extent of developments provided within wilderness. There would be protected, while complying with applicable content of structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or methanical transport with provide the protected of non-recreational structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or methanical transport with a policible country and where they are threatened by while complying with applicable cultural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more greatly related to the wilderness would be more greatly related to the provided for agreater variety of wilderness experiences and where they are threatened by natural processes, n			Concept Description:	Concept Description:	or what head experiences.
be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws. NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the sexisting backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the sexisting backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (1980).  The provided management plan (2008), and the resisting backcountry management plan (1980).  The provided management plan					Concept Description:
and state laws. NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  Natural resources would be protected and those disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces the presence of non-recreate instructures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness swould be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be mode as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness swould be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that reminimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more giments and cultural landscapes would be more data. As with alternative park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  For introduction of non-mative species, retireducing in automatic in regimes, and natural channel migration.  Under this alternative, park management would continue to more instination would be more related					
the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  In the existing backcountry management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  In the existing backcountry management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  In the existing backcountry management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  In the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (2008).  In the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (2008).  In the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (2008).  In the existing backcountry management would be management would be management would be management would be management (2008).  In the presence of non-recreational structures and cultural landscapes would be management would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain processes, natural processes would prevail.  In the protected will be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain the processes, natural processes would prevail.  In the protected provided with a plicable cultural resources would remain the processes, natural processes would prevail.  In the provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damper the protection of, or mitigation		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).  Imprint would be reduced.  Natural resources would be protected and those disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces the presence of non-recreational structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Antural resources would see to to remove non-native fish species in wilderness rivers and lakes.  Natural resources would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources and cultural landscapes would remain agely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  The provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of a mage to, natural resources would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the		_			,
Natural resources would be protected and those disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces the presence of non-recreational structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, c, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources and cultural landscapes would be more development and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the provided. Years the pr			· ·		•
disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces the presence of non-recreational structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness would be managed for self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would prevail.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources law. Cultural resources would prevail.  Had the provided and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural resources law. Cultural resources would prevail.  Had in a provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources would prevail.  Had in a provided with a processes would prevail.  All ultural resources awould		(1980).		Under this alternative, park management would seek to	
the presence of non-recreational structures and developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, would prevail.  Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness experience and provided and where they are threatened by natural processes, na			Natural resources would be protected and those	remove non-native fish species in wilderness rivers and	Natural resources would be protected through the
developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources law. Cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain landscapes would remain landscapes would prevail.  As with alternative C, a determination policy with splicable cultural resources. Succlustral resources. Park port of the provide, yet, park of the provided, welvalue from the transport with			disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces	lakes.	implementation of appropriate visitor use management
equipment, or mechanical transport.  A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law.			the presence of non-recreational structures and		tools such as reducing visitor numbers in heavily
would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-model of the wilderness would remain largely undisturbed and where they are theretened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would remain largely undisturbed and where they are theretened by natural processes, natural processes would remain sund as designated trails and camping and storation			developments and the use of motor vehicles, motorized	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A determination would be made as to which historic structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, proteins and self-time processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes			equipment, or mechanical transport.	•	•
structures and cultural landscapes would be protected, while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  All management would continue to promote sport-fishing consistent with other wilderness values of the high mountain lakes.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant to n non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative D.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant to n non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative D.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities such as designated and where they are threatened by matural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural processes, natural p					
while complying with applicable cultural resources law. Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  All cultural resources would continue to promote sport-fishing consistent with other wilderness values of the high mountain lakes.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would continue to be provided. Very few new facilities could be replaced if necessary.					· _ ·
Cultural resources would remain largely undisturbed and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-methanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources, and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources, and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, provide mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, provide mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources.  All cultural resources or extent practicable and				, ,	
and where they are threatened by natural processes, natural processes, natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  All cultural landscapes would be extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided with a function of damage to. natural resources.  All cultural resources.  Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may be provided			, , ,	natural processes, natural processes would prevail.	
natural processes would prevail.  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-methanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Drovided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would continue to be provided. Very few new facilities could be replaced if necessary.			· ·		_
With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  All cultural resources, including historic structures and cultural landscapes would remain protected to the extent practicable and feasible.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would continue to be equipment and transport than in alternative D.  within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative provided, however, current facilities could be replaced if necessary.					mountain lakes.
With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-  With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more amore primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more amore primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more amore primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more amore primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more amore primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would be provided. Very few new facilities could be replaced if necessary.			natural processes would prevail.		
more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would continue to be equipment and transport than in alternative D.  where the wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be more reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative pareauses are springly areas, bridges, trails, privies would be provided. Very few new facilities could be replaced if necessary.			New Control of the Co	damage to, natural resources.	_
the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce			·	Hadaalka dhaaala aadaa aadaa aa hibaaaa	i ·
exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-  exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.  the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would continue to be provided. Very few new facilities could be replaced if necessary.  No peration and self-reliant travel and camping.  The goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  Facilities such as designated campsites and camping areas, bridges, trails, privies would be provided. Very few new facilities could be replaced if necessary.					extent practicable and feasible.
All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-  structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in alternative D.  however, current facilities could be replaced if necessary.  necessary.			_		Facilities such as designated commeites and committee
All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-  All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-  equipment and transport than in alternative D. provided. Very few new facilities would be provided, however, current facilities could be replaced if necessary.			exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.	1 - 5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non- however, current facilities could be replaced if necessary.			All management activities would be conducted in a		
within wilderness. Under this alternative, park operations would be more greatly reliant on non-				equipment and transport than in alternative D.	
operations would be more greatly reliant on non-			·		,
					necessary.
mechanized equipment and transport with the goal to Park operations would continue to utilize non-					Park operations would continue to utilize non-

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
		reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of mechanized equipment and transport than in no action alternative, and alternatives C and D.		mechanized equipment and transport to the extent practicable and allowable under Section 4(c) of the Wilderness Act.
		NATURAL RESOUR	CES	
Introduction/general description	Natural resources management would continue to be conducted in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).	Natural resources would be protected and those disturbed may be restored in a manner that reduces the presence of facilities (structures, bridges, installations, etc.) and the use of motor vehicles, motorized equipment, or mechanical transport.	Natural resource management would focus on supporting healthy ecosystems by allowing natural processes to occur while reducing the presence of management activities requiring motorized equipment and transport. Efforts would be made to enhance natural systems by using appropriate management actions such as (but not limited to) the removal of non-native species, reintroducing extirpated species, restoring natural fire regimes, and allowing natural river channel migration. Facilities (such as bridges, trails, footlogs, etc.) may also be provided mainly for the protection of, or mitigation of damage to, natural resources.	Natural resources would be protected through the implementation of appropriate visitor use management tools such as reducing visitor numbers in heavily impacted areas, seasonally or temporally redistributing use, or area closures; as well as through the development of appropriate facilities and structures such as designated trails and camping areas, foot logs and small bridges, and signs.
Wildlife Management: Native Species	<ul> <li>The no-action alternative would continue to work toward achieving the desired future conditions of the wilderness area as identified within the park's 2008 General Management Plan. These actions include:</li> <li>Complete inventory of the plants and animals in the park. Regularly monitor the distribution and condition of selected species that indicate ecosystem condition and diversity.</li> <li>Restore native biological communities and habitats. Minimize human impacts on native species, ecosystems, and the processes that sustain them.</li> <li>Preserve genetic diversity by maintaining the abundance of unique populations at or above levels necessary for genetic variability.</li> <li>In cooperation with other agencies and tribal governments, preserve healthy populations and provide safe migratory corridors for wide-ranging wildlife populations such as elk and bear.</li> <li>Protect the park's biotic communities from impacts due to human activities and facilities while ensuring that visitors have ample opportunity to visit and enjoy these ecosystems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>T&amp;E species, iconic species, and potential species at respecies across boundaries on a landscape scale as a respecies across boundaries on a landscape scale as a respective of visitor education relevant to the protection of native information, park brochures, and on trailhead or on-state of the protection of the protectio</li></ul>	species from disturbance activities would be increased poss site resource signs.  d be implemented to reduce the amount of impacts occurring	e to be monitored. Park staff would strive to manage ibly through interpretive programming, online
Wildlife Management:	See CTA	CTAA:		
Non-Native Species		Park staff would inventory for the presence of non-native	e wildlife species such as, but not limited to, mountain goats	and high mountain fish. Strategies for mitigation and

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D			
			emented, and monitored for effectiveness. Non-native specie	es would be prioritized, based on those that pose the			
	greatest threat and feasibility of removal, and removed.						
	<ul> <li>CTA:         <ul> <li>A Goat Management Plan/EIS will continue to be conducted independent of the WSP.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The following actions are identified in the park's 2008 General Management Plan as the desired future conditions of the wilderness area.</li> <li>Complete an inventory of plants, animals, marine intertidal species, and as feasible, other organisms in the park and regularly monitor the distribution and condition of selected species including invasive exotics.</li> <li>Study the environmental and ecological effects of exotic species invasion to assess threats, prioritize management actions, and prevent introduction and establishment of nonnative species.</li> </ul>						
		Monitor the condition of native species, populations, and communities that may be vulnerable to nonnative and potentially catastrophic diseases or organisms such as chronic wasting disease, West Nile virus, whiteb pine blister rust, balsam and hemlock wooley adelgid, zebra mussel, European green crab, etc. Implement management programs to prevent and develop a long-term program to reverse the destructive effects of exospecies.					
	Manage exclusively for native plant species in	wilderness.					
	Control or eliminate exotic plants and animals	s, exotic diseases, and pest species where there is a reason	able expectation of success and sustainability. Base control e	fforts on:			
	<ul> <li>The potential threat to legally protected or uncommon native species and habitats</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>The potential threat to visitor health or safety</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>The potential threat to scenic and aesthetic quality</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>The potential threat to common native species and habitat</li> </ul>						
	Implement park management actions in a ma	nner that minimizes the introduction or increase in exotic s	species, both number and type.				
	Work in cooperation with agencies, tribes, an	d local communities on exotic species control.					
	Provide interpretive and educational program	ns on the preservation of native species.					
Wildlife Management: Reintroduction of Native Species:	Fisher reintroduction is complete and would a     A restoration plan/EIS for the gray wolf would						
Wildlife Management: Fisheries	See CTA	See CTA & CTAA	Under alternative C, park management would seek to remove non-native fish species in rivers and lakes within the wilderness area.	Under alternative D, park management would continue to promote sport-fishing (to catch non-native fish in an effort to reduce the population) consistent with other wilderness values of the high mountain lakes.			
		CTAA:		,			
		Park staff would work closely with adjacent land mar	_				
		Visitors would be educated in fish identification, the	effects of non-native species on native populations, and enco	ouraged to retain non-native fish.			
	<ul> <li>The stocking of exotic fish species or enhance</li> <li>Genetic diversity would continue to be present</li> <li>Harvest and management practices that protein</li> </ul>	·	orohibited.  It or above levels necessary for genetic variability.  It or above levels necessary for genetic variability.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•	sh in high lakes will be evaluated based on assessments to b	pe completed in 2015.				
Wildlife Management:	•	sh in high lakes will be evaluated based on assessments to both CTAA:	pe completed in 2015.				
Wildlife Management: Wildlife-human conflicts/interface	Future management actions for non-native fit	CTAA:	ne effects of animal habituation and existing and potential hu	ıman –wildlife conflicts. There would also be greater			

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D	
	removal. Management in the wilderness for hazardous wildlife is limited, there is an assumption of risk within the wilderness. Education focuses on reducing risk by minimizing habituation and never contributing to actions that lead to food conditioning (unattended food and garbage).				
Vegetation Management: Native Species	Wilderness revegetation projects in priority impacted camp areas and trail corridors usually occur annually. Campsites and social trails that will remain open would continue to be improved to enhance drainage and reduce erosion, and often delineated with logs to focus use. Sites and trails targeted for closure would continue to be scarified, and planted with park nurserygrown native species where natural recovery is unlikely.	<ul> <li>CTAA:</li> <li>Natural processes, native components and the interrelationships among them would be protected, maintained, and/or restored to the extent possible.</li> <li>T&amp;E species, iconic species, and potential species at risk due to climate change and other stressors would continue to be monitored.</li> <li>Park staff would strive to manage species across boundaries on a landscape scale as a response to effects of climate change.</li> <li>Visitor education relevant to the protection of native species from disturbance activities would be increased possibly through interpretive programming, online information, park brochures, and on trailhead signs.</li> <li>Appropriate visitor use management strategies would be implemented to reduce the amount of impacts occurring on native species.</li> <li>Park staff would strive for consistency in managing cross-boundary resource issues.</li> <li>Revegetation efforts would focus on areas with impacts to trails and campsites beyond acceptable standards; areas where non-native species removal is threatened with the reintroduction of another non-native species; and areas disturbed by management activities. Revegetation projects would include ongoing monitoring and routine maintenance.</li> </ul>			
Vegetation Management: Non-native Species	High priority areas, based on restoration needs and potential for spread, would continue to be targeted for removal of non-native vegetation. Removal would continue to be accomplished using mechanical removal (with hand tools) and herbicides dependent on species.  CTA:	monitored for effectiveness. Park staff and wilderness vis	e plant species. Strategies for mitigation and removal of non- itors would be educated about the potential distribution of v		
Special Status Species	<ul> <li>All species are monitored based on funding an</li> <li>Park staff would strive for consistency in mana</li> <li>Marbled Murrelet and Spotted Owls:</li> <li>CTA:</li> </ul>	ened and endangered species, would continue to be mana d priority. Ongoing species monitoring would be conducte aging cross-boundary issues and would increase visitor edu		e habitat, at all times unless emergency situations dictate	
Water Resources & Quality	Bull Trout:  CTA: In-stream work or adjacent trail or bridge work we Currently, there are only temporary gages within the wilderness area; all the long-term gages are outside of wilderness; water quality monitoring is conducted through the NPS Inventory & Monitoring Program and at only high lakes.	Almost all water resources would meet state criteria for outstanding resources waters. Pollution prevention and protection of water quality would be prioritized to meet the			
Air Quality	See CTA	CTAA:  Promote preservation of excellent air quality in wilderness	ss through visitor education and management practices that rness. Needs for monitoring would be handled through partn	·	

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
		air monitoring sites would be established in wilderness.		
	CTA: Air quality is monitored and no permanent station strictly utilized during fires.	ns are located within the wilderness area. Remote Automat	red Weather Stations (RAWS) are temporary monitoring stati	ons that provide onsite weather information and are
Fire Management	Current fire management actions, guided by the 2005 Fire Management Plan, include a full spectrum of management actions from monitoring naturally caused fires to full suppression. The 2005 Fire Management Plan acknowledges that it takes a conservative approach to restoring wildfire as a natural process by limiting the area where fires can be managed and by putting constraints on the acreage that is allowed to burn per year.  CTA:	<ul> <li>The ecological role of fire within the wilderness area would be maintained as a natural process naturally-ignited fires would be allowed to burn without suppression unless lands adjacent to the park are at risk, or for public health and safety reasons. Unwanted human-caused fires would be suppressed per NPS fire policy.</li> <li>Minor actions to protect administrative or recreational structures could be taken (e.g., wrapping buildings, placing sprinkler line, removal of shrubs) but higher levels of actions such as tree removal would not normally be taken unless the Incident Command for fire determines it is necessary.</li> <li>Under alternative B, hazard fuel reduction would not occur.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Naturally-ignited fires would be allowed to burn within the wilderness boundary to allow for the natural fire regime to be restored on the landscape. Naturally-ignited fires would be allowed to burn without suppression unless lands adjacent to the park are at risk, or for public health and safety reasons. Unwanted human-caused fires would be suppressed per NPS fire policy.</li> <li>Administrative and recreational structures (e.g., ranger stations, repeaters) would be protected where possible. Minor actions that do not alter natural resource processes (e.g., wrapping buildings, placing sprinkler line, etc.) could be implemented to protect administrative structures, but higher levels of actions such as vegetation tree removal would not normally be taken unless the Incident Command for Fire determines it is necessary.</li> <li>Hazard fuel reductions would be conducted at a minimal level necessary to protect historic properties identified as priorities for protection.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Naturally-ignited fires would be allowed to burn within the wilderness area without suppression unless lands adjacent to the park are at risk, or for public health and safety reasons. Unwanted human-caused fires would be suppressed per NPS fire policy.</li> <li>Wilderness camping facilities and historic structures may be protected unless it interrupts the natural fire regime. Minor actions to protect administrative and recreational structures (e.g., wrapping or placing sprinkler lines to protect toilet structures) could be taken, but higher levels of actions such as tree removal would not normally be taken unless the Incident Command for Fire determines it is necessary.</li> <li>Under this alternative, hazard fuel reduction would occur around historic and administrative structures.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>management. Also, the full extent of the interwildfire as a necessary process within natural</li> <li>Essential administrative structures for safety</li> <li>According to National Park Service fire policy,</li> </ul>	ragency 2009 "Guidance for Implementation of Federal Will ecosystems. purposes would be protected (i.e., repeaters). , prescribed burns could occur later on a naturally-ignited b	• •	ed in all areas of the park. This guidance established
Soundscapes	While Olympic National Park does have soundscape monitoring data that was collected in 2010 and 2011, there is currently no formal soundscapes monitoring occurring within the wilderness area.	<ul> <li>CTAA:</li> <li>Partnerships would be formalized to reduce impacts</li> <li>Park management would strive to preserve natural strive opportunity to experience natural quiet.</li> <li>Park managers would minimize the administrative us noise-producing tools and aircraft would be utilized to Key soundscape sites would be identified and monitor.</li> </ul>	ored throughout the wilderness in each of the primary vegeta uld be targeted for individuals, groups, and agencies (e.g., mi	erflights). It rely on natural sounds and to provide wilderness visitors through the minimum requirement analysis process. Low tion zones and additional sites where natural soundscape
	CTA: An Air Tour Management Plan will be completed	as identified in the 2008 General Management Plan.	· p -	
Night Skies	Currently, there is no formal monitoring of the night skies.	also be sought to help reduce impacts to night skies.	ould be formalized to reduce impacts to night skies. Cooperat ssible. Impacts to the natural lightscape would be minimized	

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
			ss visitors the opportunity to experience dark night skies. Key agement practices for lighting for the protection of dark nigh	
	CTA:  Best management practices are implemented in the	he frontcountry and generally include downward facing light	nts, the use of timers and motion sensors, and installing only	as many lights as minimally necessary.
Scientific Research	Parkwide, 50-75 permit applications are received per year (there is no distinction between wilderness and non-wilderness permits); and 50-75 permit applications are approved per year, many of which are renewals and nearly 1/3 of the permits are new. Currently, there is no limit per year on how many permit applications park management would allow for research occurring in wilderness. Any research, collection, or monitoring activities occurring in wilderness would continue to require submission of a minimum requirement analysis, and approval by park management.	Manipulative research such as radio collaring, live captures, exclosures, etc. would not be approved for projects originating within the park's wilderness area.  Research that involves the construction of a permanent installation would not be allowed. Permanent and temporary installations currently within the wilderness area would be evaluated for removal. Generally only small temporary facilities/equipment would be allowed such as those that can be easily hidden from view by natural vegetation height, or that utilize materials and coloration that blend in with the immediate landscape. No hardened sites will be permitted. Research equipment would be placed out of sight of the trail corridors and visitor use areas.	This alternative would provide a mechanism during the research permitting process to evaluate what level of manipulative research is appropriate.	This alternative would provide a mechanism during the research permitting process to evaluate what level of manipulative research is appropriate.
		research requests. Increase wilderness stewardship of negatively affect wilderness character would be encoured requirement analysis and approval by the park.  Scientific proposals will be evaluated to determine and scientific research requests that increase understand wilderness character would be encouraged and given	arch permitting process that is currently utilized, a minimum education to partners conducting research in the park (flagging puraged. Any research, collection or monitoring activities occurred to the partners of the partners of the partners of the partners of the processes of priority. This would ensure management decisions are base upon completion of the project. This includes the immediate quipment or temporary facilities.	ng, aircraft, tagging, etc.). Citizen science that doesn't curring in wilderness will require formal minimum sources and manipulative research). It is related to the park's responsibility to preserve and on sound scientific evidence.
		CULTURAL RESOUR		
Introduction/general description	Cultural resources would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing Olympic National Park General Management Plan (2008), and the existing Olympic National Park Backcountry Management Plan (1980).	Cultural resources would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws and policies, including the Wilderness Act and the National Historic Preservation Act.  There would be a determination of which historic structures would be protected and which would be documented, while complying with cultural resources law. Where cultural resources, including historic structures, are threatened by natural processes, natural	Cultural resources would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws and policies, including the Wilderness Act and the National Historic Preservation Act.  There would be a determination of which historic structures would be protected and which would be documented, while complying with cultural resources law. Where cultural resources, including historic structures, are threatened by natural processes, natural	Cultural resources would be protected and maintained. Where there are conflicts with natural processes, cultural resources would be protected to the extent practicable.
Archeological Resources	Archeological resources exist and site-specific surveys are generally conducted based on need for compliance projects. Less than 1% of the park has been systematically surveyed.	processes would prevail.  Archeological resources would be protected in an undisturbed condition unless it is determined that disturbance or natural deterioration is unavoidable.	processes would prevail.  Archeological Resources would be protected and maintained according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values. When archeological resources are threatened by natural	Archeological resources would be protected. Appropriate visitor use management strategies may be put in place where archeological resources are threatened. Visitor education and interpretation would be encouraged.

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
			processes, no effort would be made to alter the process for the protection of the archeological resource.	
		CTAA: Alternatives for dealing with human waste that do not in	volve ground disturbance would be sought when in conflict w	vith archeological sites.
Historic Structures	<ul> <li>All wilderness structures have been evaluated to determine eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and most extant historic structures are either listed on or have been determined eligible for listing on the NRHP.</li> <li>Typically, major repair work occurs every twenty to thirty years. There is currently minimal routine maintenance conducted on historic structures within the wilderness area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A determination would be made of which historic structures would be maintained to the extent practicable, according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values. Determination criteria would place priority on structures that could be maintained using non-mechanized equipment and native materials that are not transported by helicopter. All historic structures requiring reconstruction as defined by the Secretary's Standards would deteriorate naturally and non-native materials would be removed using non-motorized transport. An implementation plan would be developed which would identify how historic structures would be maintained.</li> <li>Structures threatened by natural processes would not be relocated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A determination would be made of which historic structures would be maintained to the extent practicable, according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values. Determination criteria would include the historical or administrative significance of the structure, its relevance within the historic shelter system, and the ability to maintain it using pack support versus transport by helicopter. An implementation plan would be developed which would identify how historic structures would be maintained.</li> <li>When historic structures are threatened by natural processes, no effort would be made to alter the natural process for the protection of the historic structure, non-native materials would be removed. Native materials could be removed. No historic structures would be reconstructed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Historic structures identified in the 2008 GMP List of Classified Structures, that are located in wilderness, would be protected and maintained to the extent practicable, according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values. Some historic structures may continue to be utilized for administrative use only.</li> <li>Historic structures that are identified on the 2008 GMP List of Classified Structures could be reconstructed on-site and with native materials, in accordance with the Secretary's Standards.</li> </ul>
Cultural Landscapes	The following properties have been evaluated as cultural landscapes within the wilderness area: Humes Ranch, Olympus Guard Station, Elkhorn, and Rooses Prairie. All four of these cultural landscapes are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). There may be other undocumented cultural landscapes within the wilderness area.	<ul> <li>There would be a determination of which cultural landscapes would be protected and maintained according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values. Key landscapes that can be maintained using non-mechanized equipment and native materials that are not transported by helicopter would be retained.</li> <li>When cultural landscapes are threatened by natural processes, no effort would be made to alter the natural process for the protection of the cultural landscape.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A determination would be made of which cultural landscapes would be maintained to the extent practicable, according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values. Determination criteria would include the historical significance of the landscape and the ability to maintain it using non-motorized versus motorized equipment.</li> <li>When cultural landscapes are threatened by natural processes, no effort would be made to alter the natural process for the protection of the cultural landscape.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cultural landscapes would be protected and maintained to the extent practicable. Visitor appreciation and understanding of the park's cultural landscapes would be accomplished through various methods which may include interpretive programs, information provided on the park's website, or print materials.</li> <li>Park management would implement recommendations from the Cultural Landscape Report for Humes and Rooses, including vegetation management.</li> <li>Native American cultural landscapes (e.g., prairies) determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places would be identified and evaluated. These cultural landscapes could be maintained to the extent practicable. Park managers would provide tribal access to cultural landscapes to the extent practicable by law and policy.</li> </ul>
Ethnographic Resources	There are numerous documented and undocumented ethnographic resources within the wilderness area.	Park staff would work with tribes to ensure that sites of traditional importance are preserved and protected. The collection of ethnographic resources would be encouraged to occur outside of the wilderness area.	Ethnographic resources would be protected and maintained according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values.	<ul> <li>Ethnographic resources would be protected and maintained according to pertinent laws and policies governing cultural resources that are consistent with the preservation of wilderness character and values.</li> <li>Park managers would provide tribal access to ethnographic resources to the extent practicable by law and policy.</li> </ul>

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
				When unique or irreplaceable ethnographic resources are threatened by natural processes, effort would be made to alter the process for the protection of the ethnographic resource(s).
		VISITOR USE AND EXPE	RIENCE	
Introduction/general description	Visitor use and experience would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).	With less infrastructure provided, visitors could have a more primitive wilderness experience. The majority of the wilderness would be managed for self-directed exploration and self-reliant travel and camping.	Alternative C would provide more opportunities for solitude. This would be due to the implementation of visitor use management strategies for the protection and preservation of natural resources, which could reduce or redistribute visitor use, or implement area closures for restoration. These activities would subsequently reduce the presence of visitor use related impacts.	Visitors of all levels of skills and abilities may find opportunities for a variety of wilderness experiences well within their reach.
Types of Recreation	country skiing, snowshoeing, paddle boarding	ue to be allowed within the Olympic Wilderness include hi surfing, trail running, wildlife viewing, stock use, swimmir oly with the Wilderness Act, may also be allowed.	king, backpacking, camping, fishing, mountaineering/climbinng, and picnicking.	g, photography, paddling (non-motorized boating), cross-
Stock Use	<ul> <li>Under the no action alternative, "Stock" would continue to be defined as horses, mules, burros, and llamas.</li> <li>Stock would continue to be prohibited on trails that are listed in the Superintendent's Compendium as closed to stock.</li> <li>The approximate mileage of stock trails is 343 miles, there are currently 14 designated stock camps, group sizes are currently limited to 12 people and 8 head of stock.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Under alternative B, as in the no action alternative and alternative C, "Stock" is defined as horses, mules, burros, and llamas.</li> <li>Stock could camp in only designated stock camps to allow for the best protection of natural resources.</li> <li>Limited opportunities may be provided for dispersed stock use in the Bogachiel drainage given this area contains more resilient resources than other areas within the wilderness.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Under alternative C, as in the no action alternative and alternative B, "Stock" is defined as horses, mules, burros, and llamas.</li> <li>Stock could camp in only designated stock camps to allow for the best protection of natural resources.</li> <li>The number of trail miles open to stock use and designated stock camps would be reduced to levels the park is able to maintain to trail standards as established in this wilderness stewardship plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Under alternative D, "Stock" is defined as horses, mules, burros, llamas, and pack goats.</li> <li>The number of trail miles open to stock may increase slightly.</li> <li>Some stock trails would be open to day-riding only.</li> <li>The number of designated stock camps may increase or decrease for resource protection but would remain relatively the same.</li> <li>Stock could camp only in designated stock camps, to allow for protection of natural resources while providing stock camps and facilities for stock users.</li> <li>The use of pack goats on designated trails would be considered.</li> </ul>
	CTA:	CTAA: Stock use is allowed on designated trails only		
Campsites & Camping Areas	<ul> <li>Stock would continue to not be allowed to travel of the current number of campsites is about 1500.</li> <li>The current number of camping areas (i.e., groupings of campsites) is about 275.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The number of camping areas and campsites in wilderness may decrease but would not increase.</li> </ul>	The number of campsites and camp areas would stay relatively the same as present. In order to protect and reduce impacts on natural resources, the number of campsites within some camp areas may decrease while the numbers in others may increase.	The numbers of campsites and camp areas would stay relatively the same as present. The number of campsites within some camp areas may decrease while the numbers in others may increase.
		CTAA: The number of designated campsites is determined by st appropriate quality of wilderness character related to ea	andards based on resource and visitor experience conditions	s. Standards would be based on an emphasis of the
Commercial Services	See CTA	To encourage self-reliant travel and camping, commercial services would be considered appropriate for only the mobility impaired.	Commercial Use Authorization (CUA) numbers would be managed at levels that would not have increased detrimental effects on natural resources.	Commercial services would be allowed to the extent necessary.

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D		
		<ul> <li>CTAA:</li> <li>All commercial operators would be required to incorporate wilderness stewardship, Leave No Trace, and other wilderness related curriculum into all of their trips.</li> <li>An Extent Necessary Determination exercise, per the Wilderness Act, will be conducted and included as part of this planning process.</li> </ul>				
	<u> </u>	·	nt Necessary Determination would be conducted to determin ter Travel: Ski Touring and Snowshoeing (overnight or day us	·		
Permits:	The current method of obtaining wilderness camping permits would continue under this alternative. Wilderness camping permits can be obtained in-person at one of the five park Wilderness Information Centers (WIC), at self-registration stations within the park, or in some cases by email. Visitors can reserve campsites for quota areas by faxing or mailing a reservation form to the Port Angeles Wilderness Information Center. For the following quota areas: Ozette Coast, Lake Constance, Upper Lena, and Royal Basin, 100% of the quota is reservable. In other quota areas, 50% of the space at each camping area is reservable. The other 50% is available through a permit office during business hours up to but not more than 24 hours in advance of the start of the hike. All permits for quota areas must be obtained through a permit office during business hours.	Wilderness overnight permits would be required and would not be self-issued. The permitting education process would occur in person or over the phone through Wilderness Information Center (WIC) stations. Permits would be obtained through WIC stations (for example: in-person, by mail or fax, or in instances such as at Dosewallips visitors can call the WIC to obtain the permit and the WIC can email it to them). Visitors would reserve campsites through the WIC for sites available ahead of time for quota areas. In some quota areas, up to 50% of the quota and in other areas, 100% of the quota of sites or people (whichever is reached first) could be reserved. The remaining 50% of the quota permits would be available on a first come first served basis through the WIC.  CTAA:  There would be no self-registration stations within the An education component related to Leave No Trace,	Wilderness overnight permits would be required and would not be self-issued. The permitting education process would occur in person or over the phone through Wilderness Information Center (WIC) stations. Permits would be obtained through WIC stations (for example: inperson, by mail or fax, or in instances such as at Dosewallips visitors can call the WIC to obtain the permit and the WIC can email it to them). Visitors would reserve campsites through the WIC for sites available ahead of time for quota areas. In some quota areas, up to 50% of the quota and in other areas, 100% of the quota of sites or people (whichever is reached first) could be reserved. The remaining 50% of the quota permits would be available on a first come first served basis through the WIC.	Wilderness overnight permits would be obtained through an online permitting system or through an electronic permit station provided at the Wilderness Information Center (WIC) stations.		
Quotas & Use Limits	<ul> <li>Under the no-action alternative, groups camping in the park backcountry would continue to be limited to a maximum of 12 persons per group; and affiliated groups whose combined total number of people is greater than 12 would continue to be required to camp and travel at least one mile apart.</li> <li>Under this alternative, in specific backcountry areas groups of 7 to 12 people would continue to be required to camp in sites designated as "Group Camps."</li> <li>There would continue to be no limits on day use.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Quotas/use limits for overnight use would be established throughout the wilderness.</li> <li>Quotas and use limits for day use would be considered for high use areas.</li> <li>Group size limits would be 12 people in Zones 1-3, and 6 people in Zones 4-6.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Visitor use would be managed at levels that would not have increased detrimental effects on natural resources. This could include quotas for overnight and day use.</li> <li>Group size limits would be 12 people in Zones 1-3, and 6 people in Zones 4-6.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Quotas/use limits would be established for overnight and day use throughout the wilderness to offer a variety of wilderness experiences.</li> <li>Group size limits would be 12 people in all zones.</li> </ul>		
Food Storage	Food storage requirements would continue to include the use of bear canisters in many areas including the entire wilderness coast, Royal Basin area, the Sol Duc, Seven Lakes Basin area and where there are not trees suitable for hanging properly. Bear wires for hanging food would continue to be provided in some areas.	Bear canisters would be required parkwide.	Bear canisters would be required parkwide.	Bear canisters would be required parkwide.		

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
Waste Management	Waste facilities, such as pit and vault toilets would continue to be provided in some areas.  There are a total of 85 toilets in the wilderness: 66 pit toilets, 11 Romtech composting toilets,	Human waste bags would be encouraged for all wilderness users, and required in areas above 3500 feet. Blue bag use would continue to be required on climbing routes on Mt. Olympus.	Human waste bags would be required in the subalpine and above (areas with little or no organic soil for cat holes) that don't have other facilities available (e.g., cross-country areas such as the Bailey Range).	See CTAA
	and 8 fiberglass vault toilets. In addition, on the Blue Glacier climbing routes of Mt. Olympus, visitors would continue to be required to pack out human fecal waste, in blue bags, and deposit it in the waste receptacle located at the lateral moraine. Where toilet facilities do not exist, visitors would continue to be expected to adhere to Leave No Trace practices (pack it out or bury it in a cat hole 6-8 inches deep and 200 feet from water). Currently, the park does not require the use of human waste bags.	<ul> <li>Educational efforts would be increased regarding visitor understanding of the proper methods of human waste disposal.</li> </ul>		
Campfire Restrictions	Campfires would continue to be allowed below 3,500 feet except on the coast between the headland at Wedding Rock and the headland north of Yellow Banks, Elk Lake, and Three Lakes.	Campfires would be prohibited above 3,500 feet and on the wilderness coastal strip. Campfires may be restricted in camp areas below 3,500 feet if resource impacts exceed acceptable standards.	Campfire restrictions would be increased in high use areas where fuel gathering activities are negatively affecting natural resources.	Campfires would be allowed where they do not result in unacceptable impacts to natural and cultural resources.
Signs and Other Route Markings	Under this alternative, the variety of signs along the trail corridor, at camp areas, and at the park boundary would continue to exist.	Alternative B would provide the least amount of signs and other route markings within the wilderness area than the no action alternative and alternatives C and D.  CTAA: Signs would follow NPS standards and be designed to min	Alternative C would provide a more moderate amount of signs and other route markings within the wilderness area compared to the no action alternative and alternatives B and D.  nimize visual impacts to wilderness character.	Alternative D would provide the same as or fewer than the amount of signs and other route markings provided under the no action alternative, but would provide more than alternatives B and C.
Hiking Trails/Access	Under the no-action alternative, there would continue to be about 570 miles of maintained hiking trails in the wilderness and about 40 miles of beach travelways. Under this alternative there would also continue to be 53 trailheads that access the park wilderness; 37 of these are on park land and 16 on non-park lands.	Total trail mileage may decrease in an effort to enhance the undeveloped quality of wilderness character.  CTAA:  There would not be an increase in the overall trail mileage may require a slight increase in trail mileage.	See CTAA e maintained for hikers or stock users. No new trails would b	See CTAA  De constructed. Reroutes of current trails might occur and
Stock Trails/Access (see also "Activities")	Under the no-action alternative, there would continue to be approximately 343 miles of stock trails and there are 18 park trailheads and 8 trailheads on non-park lands that provide access to trails open to stock in the Olympic Wilderness.	<ul> <li>The number of trail miles open to stock use would be reduced to levels the park is able to maintain to appropriate trail standards as established in this wilderness stewardship plan.</li> <li>The associated facilities (i.e., bridges, stock camps, etc.) related to support stock use would be reduced or remain at current levels.</li> <li>CTAA:</li> <li>There would not be an increase in the overall trail mileage.</li> </ul>	The number of trail miles open to stock use would be reduced to levels the park is able to maintain to appropriate trail standards as established in this wilderness stewardship plan.  e maintained for hikers or stock users. No new trails would be	No new trails would be developed and the number of maintained trail miles open to stock may increase.
		may require a slight increase in trail mileage.	e mamameu ioi mkers of stock users. No fiew trails would b	be constructed. Relocites of current trails might occur and

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D	
ABA Trails/Access	There would continue to be generally no ABA trails in wilderness.	<ul> <li>CTAA:</li> <li>The park will conduct research and provide information to assist mobility-impaired travelers in accessing wilderness. This could include information on adaptive equipment, techniques and commercial outfitters. A handout will be developed listing barrier-free trails within the park, and will also include trails that do not meet barrier-free standards but are passable to wheelchairs. Difficulty ratings of the trails will be included.</li> <li>Park staff will receive training in general disability issues and specific policies and practices regarding use of the wilderness by persons with disabilities. The purpose of the training would be to increase staff awareness and knowledge so that they might enhance opportunities for mobility impaired visitors to enjoy the wilderness resource.</li> </ul>			
Interpretation/Education	See CTA  CTAA:  A wilderness education plan/strategy would be developed and implemented.  Interpretive programs, web content, social media, publications, and exhibits that provide education opportunities on wildernes frontcountry.  Wilderness rangers and other park staff would provide education content on wilderness character and values, Leave No Trace, Park staff would review park-produced publications, cooperating association sales materials, and other items sent for park reventlishers would be encouraged to include information on wilderness character and LNT.  Park staff would work closely with area tribes to generate understanding of traditional tribal uses of, and history within, the weare the park staff would work in coordination with tribes; other federal agencies; state/county/city agencies; non-government organized education and outreach efforts.				
	CTA: Wilderness interpretive themes were developed in the 2010 Long-Range Interpretive Plan. In this plan, park staff identified three interpretive themes, one of which is wilderness related. The wilderness-related interpretive themes would continue to be developed and utilized under this alternative.				
Ranger-led hikes/programs	<ul> <li>Currently, there are ranger-led walks at Marymere Falls, Heart-o-the-Hills, and Staircase. Lake Crescent Lodge and NatureBridge both have programs that take visitors and/or students into designated wilderness. Other non-government organizations also provide some wilderness field courses, day and overnight trips, and educational activities (such as water quality monitoring, etc.)</li> <li>Currently, there are no limits on group sizes for ranger-led wilderness interpretive walks.</li> <li>There are many interpretive talks that take place outside of wilderness that discuss wilderness. These talks would likely continue to occur under this alternative.</li> </ul>	The group size and frequency of ranger-led walks or activities within wilderness would be limited to protect resources and visitor experience.	The group size and frequency of ranger-led walks or activities within wilderness would be limited to protect resources and visitor experience.	<ul> <li>Ranger-led interpretive hikes in the wilderness would be increased, including half-day wilderness discovery hikes.</li> <li>Group sizes for ranger-led hikes would be limited.</li> <li>Materials would be developed for additional self-guided interpretive hikes in the wilderness.</li> </ul>	
Wilderness Trailhead Exhibits: Wilderness use messaging	There are trailhead exhibits at seven major park trailheads. Bulletin boards with key wilderness messages and area-specific information are located at most major trailheads, some of which are shared with the USFS. Under the no-action alternative, these methods of wilderness messaging would continue to be utilized.	CTAA: Key wilderness messages would be developed for trailhe each trailhead would be identified and posted.	I ad exhibits and bulletin boards that would be consistent park	l wide. In addition, area-specific wilderness messaging for	
		PARK OPERATIO	NS		
Introduction/general description	Park operations would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the	All management activities would be conducted in a manner that minimizes the imprint of modern humans within wilderness. Under this alternative, park	Under this alternative, park operations would be more reliant on non-mechanized or non-motorized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of	Park operations would continue to utilize motorized/mechanized equipment and transport to the extent practicable and allowable under Section 4(c) of	

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
	existing general management plan (2008), and the existing backcountry management plan (1980).	operations would be more greatly reliant on non- mechanized or non-motorized equipment and transport with the goal to reduce the number of administrative structures, installations, and the use of motorized/mechanized equipment and transport than in alternatives C and D.	administrative structures, installations, and the use of motorized/mechanized equipment and transport than alternative D.	the Wilderness Act.
Facilities Maintenance: Overall	CTA: Park managers will continue to research and utilize		nose instances where motorized equipment is determined to	be the minimum tool.
Wilderness Ranger Stations and Associated Structures	Ranger stations currently include hard-sided buildings (some may or may not be historic structures), wall tents on platforms, and backpacking tents. These structures would continue to be utilized under the no-action alternative.	Ranger stations would include wall tents on platforms and backpacking tents, and less hard-sided ranger stations. Tent platform sizes would be minimized.	Ranger stations would include wall tents on platforms and backpacking tents, and less hard-sided ranger stations. Tent platform sizes would be minimized.	Ranger stations would include hard-sided buildings (some may or may not be historic structures), wall tents on platforms, and backpacking tents.
Facilities Maintenance: Trails	Motorized/mechanized tools (e.g., chainsaws, power brushers, rock drills, etc.) would continue to be utilized for trail clearing and maintenance activities to the level they are currently utilized.	<ul> <li>Some trails would be shifted to lower classifications with fewer facilities to construct and maintain.</li> <li>An equipment decision tree would be developed to determine appropriate tools and methods and incorporated into the minimum requirement analysis, emphasizing a reliance on nonmechanized and non-motorized equipment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trails would be maintained at the standards necessary to protect natural resources and reduce impacts of hikers and stock on those resources.</li> <li>An equipment decision tree would be developed to determine appropriate tools and methods and incorporated into the minimum requirement analysis, emphasizing a reliance on non-mechanized and non-motorized equipment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Emphasis would be placed on early clearing for both foot and stock use unless early clearing will result in adverse impacts to resources.</li> <li>Trail maintenance would be conducted by the utilization of a range of motorized/mechanized and non-mechanized tools.</li> </ul>
	CTA: Trails would continue to be maintained at the free	uency established in the 2008 General Management Plan	for each trail class.	
Facilities Maintenance: Bridges/Water Crossings	Bridges, footlogs, and other water crossings would continue to be newly installed or replaced as needed, based on minimum requirement considerations.	<ul> <li>No new bridges, footlogs, or water crossings would be installed. The replacement of bridges, footlogs, or water crossings may occur if determined to be the minimum requirement.</li> <li>Based on zoning, a decision tree would be developed to determine appropriate water crossings considering resource damage, seasonal flow, and visitor ability to access.</li> <li>All bridges/water crossings washed out or damaged by natural processes would be removed. Native materials may be allowed to deteriorate in the area, and non-native materials would be removed from the wilderness area by non-motorized transport to the extent practicable.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New or replacement bridges, footlogs, or water crossings may be installed to reduce impacts on the riparian and riverine systems.</li> <li>When bridges/water crossings are washed out or damaged by natural processes, non-native materials would be removed. Native materials could be removed and may be allowed to deteriorate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assess which trails should have bridges. This may include adding new bridges and/or removing others. Efforts would be made to increase education and awareness about safe methods for water crossings.</li> <li>New or replacement bridges or water crossings may be installed when necessary for the preservation of wilderness character.</li> <li>When bridges/water crossings are washed out or damaged by natural processes, non-native materials would be removed. Native materials could be removed and may be allowed to deteriorate in the area.</li> </ul>
Facilities Maintenance: Camp Areas and Sites	CTA: Camp site hardening, delineation, and maintenance	e for resource protection would be allowed in appropriate	e zones.	
Emergency Response	See CTA	CTAA: A Search and Rescue (SAR) plan would be develop	ed that would provide guidance on emergency aircraft use the hods based on a range of incidents. Preventative SAR (PSAR)	·
		procedure would be developed in coordination with the W	ilderness Act and guidance in the 2006 NPS Management Po	licies.
Radio Repeaters	There are currently five repeaters in the wilderness: Elk Lick, Muncaster, Blue Mountain, Kloochman, Peak 6 (1/2 in DNR). These	backcountry duties and responding to emergency inc	er to provide park personnel adequate communication to ma cidents.	intain a safe working environment while conducting

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D		
	repeaters would continue to be maintained.	The goal would be to eliminate repeaters within wilderness as technology improves. When repeaters are removed, sites will be restored to their natural condition.				
Waste Management	<ul> <li>Waste removal flights would continue to occur either annually or every other year, at the end of the fiscal year, depending on need.</li> <li>Visitors would continue to be required to use blue bags on climbing routes on Mt. Olympus' Blue Glacier. These bags are placed in a depository on the lateral moraine and would continue to be flown out by helicopter.</li> </ul>	Under this alternative, waste management strategies would be designed with an effort to reduce the number of helicopter flights required annually to remove waste from the wilderness area. Some of these strategies include, but are not limited to:  • All wilderness visitors would be encouraged to utilize human waste bags, to be deposited at trailheads for front-country removal of waste. Visitors would still be required to use blue bags on climbing routes on Mt. Olympus, however, the deposit container would be placed at Elk Lake for stock pack out.  • Waste removal at Deer Lake and Sol Duc would be conducted by stock pack out.  • Toilets that reduce the quantity of human waste would be used, where feasible.	Human waste bags would be required in the subalpine and above (areas with little or no organic soil for cat holes) that don't have other facilities available (e.g., cross-country areas such as the Bailey Range).	See CTAA		
		Human waste would be managed through a combination	of visitor use management strategies and alternative toilet t	types, as waste technology advances and new options		
Marine Debris	Small pieces of marine debris would continue to be collected and carried out by volunteers during the annual coastal clean-up event. Large pieces of marine and tsunami debris, such as docks, boats, or similar would generally be removed immediately, by boat or helicopter, as funding and staffing allow.	Small pieces of marine debris would be removed without helicopter or other motorized equipment support. Large pieces of marine debris would be removed by the mechanism determined to be the minimum tool required on a case-by-case basis, with the intent of reducing the use of helicopters or other motorized or mechanized equipment to the extent practicable, and as funding and staffing allow.	Larger marine debris that threatens the natural quality of wilderness character would be removed, as funding and staffing allow.	Larger marine debris that threatens the natural, undeveloped, and opportunities for solitude or primitive and unconfined types of recreation qualities of wilderness character would be removed, as funding and staffing allow.		
	CTAA: Partnerships with tribes, and local, state, or federal agencies would be sought for the monitoring and removal of marine debris.  CTA: Volunteers would continue to be utilized to assist with marine debris removal.					
Aircraft Use	NPS administrative aircraft would continue to follow all applicable laws and policies.	Aircraft use within the park, including NPS-related use, will be limited to activities involving life or health-threatening emergencies, the administration and/or protection of resources, and individually-approved special purpose missions.  Flights would be scheduled during times of lower visitor use (e.g., prior to July 1 and after Labor Day), not on weekends or holidays, with the exception of emergencies.  CTAA:	As under the no action alternative, aircraft use would continue to follow all applicable laws and policies.  Flights would be scheduled during times of lower visitor use (e.g., prior to July 1 and after Labor Day), not on weekends or holidays, with the exception of emergencies or unless the necessary flights for specific resource management projects cannot be scheduled otherwise.	As under the no action alternative, aircraft use would continue to follow all applicable laws and policies.  Flights would be scheduled during times of lower visitor use (e.g., prior to July 1 and after Labor Day), not on weekends or holidays, with the exception of emergencies or unless the necessary flights for specific resource management projects cannot be scheduled otherwise.		
		<ul> <li>As with all actions in wilderness, a minimum requirement analysis would be conducted for any proposed flights to determine if the use of aircraft is the minimum tool.</li> <li>Generally, helicopters are for emergency use and transport of supplies when determined to be the minimum tool. Aircraft are not normally to be used for transporting employees.</li> <li>Increase wilderness law and policy training for staff and other agencies/tribes/partners</li> <li>Work with partners to decrease the number of wilderness overflights.</li> </ul>				

	Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D			
		<ul> <li>Administrative use of aircraft and associated noise disturbances would be minimized.</li> <li>Low noise-producing aircraft would be utilized where possible.</li> </ul>					
PARTNERSHIPS AND OUTREACH							
Introduction/general description	Partnerships would continue to be managed in compliance with various federal and state laws, NPS Management Policies 2006, the general management plan (2008).						
Volunteers	Volunteers are currently utilized for a variety of wilderness-related projects such as trail maintenance, revegetation, camp area rehabilitation, natural and cultural resources protection and preservation activities, and marine debris removal.  CTAA:  Volunteers would receive a-foundational training in wilderness values and character, minimum requirement decision-making, Leave No Trace, safety, and wilderness self-reliance.  Volunteers would receive a-foundational training in wilderness values and character, minimum requirement decision-making, Leave No Trace, safety, and wilderness self-reliance.  Volunteers would receive a-foundational training in wilderness values and character, minimum requirement decision-making, Leave No Trace, safety, and wilderness self-reliance.  Volunteers would receive a-foundational training in wilderness values and character, minimum requirement decision-making, Leave No Trace, safety, and wilderness self-reliance.						
	Volunteers would continue to be utilized for wilderness projects where appropriate.						
Federal/Tribal/State/NGO Coordination	See CTA		·				
	<ul> <li>CTA:</li> <li>Park staff would continue to work with the Department of Defense on military overflights and training.</li> <li>Park staff would continue to work with the National Marine Sanctuary (NOAA) and tribes on marine debris removal.</li> <li>Park staff would continue to work with other state/federal agencies, tribes, non-government organizations, concessioners, and others to enhance the preservation and restoration of the qualities of wilderness character.</li> </ul>						